# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Charmonium spectroscopy with optimal distillation profiles

Juan Andrés Urrea-Niño, Roman Höllwieser, Jacob Finkenrath, Francesco Knechtli, Tomasz Korzec, Michael Peardon

### QWG 2024, IISER Mohali, India, February 26 to March 1, 2024



▶ ...

<span id="page-1-0"></span>FOR5269: Future methods for studying confined gluons in QCD

Spokesperson: Prof. Dr. Francesco Knechtli Collaboration between physics and applied math at BUW, DESY Zeuthen and Trinity College Dublin. Main goals:

- ▶ Disconnected contributions in **charmonium**.
- ▶ Glueballs and mixing in dynamical QCD.
- $\triangleright$  String breaking in hybrid potentials.
- ▶ New schemes for molecular dynamics.
- $\triangleright$  Distillation + Multigrid framework.

https://confluence.desy.de/display/for5269



#### Charmonium Spectrum

#### Plot by T. Korzec



Some observed states are not compatible with a  $\bar{q}q$ composition. Alternatives [Brambilla et al. (2019)]:





<span id="page-4-0"></span>[Motivation](#page-1-0) Lattice  $QCD$  [Improved Distillation](#page-10-0) [Charmonium](#page-12-0) [Charmonium + Glueballs](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-19-0)

## Lattice QCD

Simulate QCD via Monte-Carlo methods in a Euclidean space-time lattice.

- $\blacktriangleright$  Discretization introduces lattice spacing a.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Quarks  $\psi$  live in lattice sites, gluons  $U$  live in links between sites.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Lattice Dirac operator D is a **large** but sparse matrix  $(10^7 \times 10^7)$
- ▶ Action  $S[\bar{\psi}, \psi, U]$  recovers correct  $a \rightarrow 0$  limit.

Measure expected values of observables  $\mathcal{A}[\bar{\psi}, \psi, U]$ :

- $\blacktriangleright \langle A \rangle$  gives physical information, e.g energies.
- ▶ Sample gluon configurations distributed as  $\propto e^{-S}$ .

1  $\sqrt{\text{# of measurements}}$ 

▶ Statistical errors <sup>∝</sup>

 $+$  other effects

[Motivation](#page-1-0) [Lattice QCD](#page-4-0) [Improved Distillation](#page-10-0) [Charmonium](#page-12-0) [Charmonium + Glueballs](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-19-0)

### Hadron spectroscopy in lattice QCD

**Nature**: What is the mass of a  $J^{PC}=0^{-+}$   $\bar{c}c$  state, e.g  $\eta_c$ ?

- $\triangleright$  SO(3) reduces to cubic group  $\mathbb{O}$ :  $(0^{\pm \pm}, 1^{\pm \pm}, 2^{\pm \pm}, ...) \rightarrow (A_1^{\pm \pm}, A_2^{\pm \pm}, E^{\pm \pm}, T_1^{\pm \pm}, T_2^{\pm \pm}).$  $\blacktriangleright$  Flavor-singlet channels are **blind** to quark content. Lattice: What is the mass of a  $A_1^{-+}$  with dominant  $\bar{c}c$ content?
	- 1. Define operator  $\mathcal{O}[\bar{\psi}, \psi, U]$  with fixed quantum numbers.
	- 2. Calculate two-point temporal correlation function

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}(t)\bar{\mathcal{O}}(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int d\psi d\bar{\psi} dU \mathcal{O}(t) \bar{\mathcal{O}}(0) e^{-S}
$$

$$
\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (\dots) \to \text{Monte Carlo for } \int dU
$$

$$
= \sum_{n} |\langle n|\hat{O}^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle|^{2} e^{-E_{n}t} \stackrel{t \to \infty}{\approx} |\langle 0|\hat{O}^{\dagger}|\Omega\rangle|^{2} e^{-E_{0}t}
$$

[Motivation](#page-1-0) [Lattice QCD](#page-4-0) [Improved Distillation](#page-10-0) [Charmonium](#page-12-0) [Charmonium + Glueballs](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-19-0)

### Hadron spectroscopy in lattice QCD

Build correlation matrix between different operators with equal quantum numbers

 $C_{ij}(t) = \langle \mathcal{O}_i(t)\overline{\mathcal{O}}_j(0)\rangle$ 

and solve a generalized eigenvalue problem (GEVP)

$$
C(t)w_n(t, t_G) = \rho_n(t, t_G)C(t_G)w_n(t, t_G)
$$

to get

 $\rho_n(t,t_G) \stackrel{t\to\infty}{\approx} c_n e^{-E_n t} \to$  Energies of states  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n = \sum$ k  $w_n^{(k)}(t_1, t_G) \mathcal{O}_k \rightarrow \mathsf{Operator}$  closest to  $|n\rangle$ 

[Lüscher and Wolff (1999), Blossier et al. (2009)]



## Charmonium on the lattice

Mesonic operators

$$
\mathcal{O}(t) = \bar{c}(t)\Gamma c(t), \ \Gamma = \{\gamma_5, \gamma_i, \gamma_5\gamma_i, \nabla_i, ...\}
$$

with correlation function

$$
\begin{split} C(t) = & - \left\langle \text{Tr}\left( \Gamma D^{-1}[t,0] \Gamma D^{-1}[0,t] \right) \right\rangle_{\text{gauge}} \text{ Connected} \\ & + \left\langle \text{Tr}\left( \Gamma D^{-1}[t,t] \right) \text{Tr}\left( \Gamma D^{-1}[0,0] \right) \right\rangle_{\text{gauge}} \text{ Disconnected} \end{split}
$$



▶ Inversions  $D^{-1}$  are the main computational cost. ▶ Disconnected contribution is the most expensive and noisy, being often neglected (OZI suppression).





Severe signal-to-noise problem in disconnected piece. Why not just work at small times?





Excited-state contamination is significant at small times!  $C(t) = |\langle 0|\hat{O}^\dagger|\Omega\rangle|^2 e^{-E_0t} + \sum_{n>0} |\langle n|\hat{O}^\dagger|\Omega\rangle|^2 e^{-E_nt}$ 



<span id="page-10-0"></span>

[Motivation](#page-1-0) Lattice OCD **[Improved Distillation](#page-10-0)** [Charmonium](#page-12-0) [Charmonium + Glueballs](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-19-0)

# Optimal distillation profiles

### Distillation [Peardon et al. (2009)]

- ▶ Project quark fields onto low-dimensional subspace of smooth, gauge-covariant fields  $\rightarrow$  Smearing.
- $\blacktriangleright \psi(t) \to V[t] V[t]^{\dagger} \psi(t)$ , with  $V[t]$  the low modes of the 3D gauge-covariant Laplacian operator.
- ▶ Perambulators:  $\tau[t_1, t_2] = V[t_1]^{\dagger} D^{-1} V[t_2]$
- **Elementals:**  $\Phi[t] = V[t]^{\dagger} \Gamma V[t]$

 $C(t) = - \langle \textsf{Tr}\left(\Phi[t] \tau[t,0] \Phi[0] \tau[0,t] \right) \rangle_{\sf gauge}$  $+\left\langle \textsf{Tr}\left(\Phi[t] \tau[t,t] \right) \textsf{Tr}\left(\Phi[0] \tau[0,0] \right) \right\rangle_{\textsf{gauge}}$ 

High inversion cost but matrices have manageable sizes and perambulators are recycled for any choice of  $\Gamma$ .



#### Improved Distillation Phys. Rev. D 106, 034501 (2022)

- ▶ Exploit further freedom:  $V[t]V[t]$ <sup>†</sup>  $\rightarrow$   $V[t]J[t]V[t]$ <sup>†</sup> with quark distillation profile  $J_k[t]_{ij} = \delta_{ij}g_k\left(\lambda_i[t]\right)$ .
- ▶ Build optimal meson profiles solving a GEVP with different quark distillation profiles.

$$
\mathcal{O}^{(\Gamma,n)}(t) = \sum_{k} a_k^{(\Gamma,n)} \bar{\psi}(t) V[t] J_k[t]^{\dagger} V[t]^{\dagger} \Gamma V[t] J_k[t] V[t]^{\dagger} \psi(t)
$$

$$
f^{(\Gamma,n)}(\lambda_i[t], \lambda_j[t]) = \sum_{k} a_k^{(\Gamma,n)} g_k^*(\lambda_i[t]) g_k(\lambda_j[t])
$$

$$
\Phi[t]_{ij}^{(\Gamma,n)} = f^{(\Gamma,n)}(\lambda_i[t], \lambda_j[t]) \Phi[t]_{ij}
$$

One optimal profile per  $\Gamma$  and energy level  $n$ .



### <span id="page-12-0"></span>A close-to-physical setup

 $\blacktriangleright$   $N_f = 3 + 1$  Clover-improved Wilson fermions with mass-dependent improvement for charm quark,  $\beta = 3.43$ ,  $\kappa_l = 0.13599$ ,  $\kappa_c = 0.13088$  in a  $144 \times 48^3$  lattice. [P.

Fritzsch et al. (2018), R. Höllwieser et al. (2020)]

•  $a = 0.04292(52)$  fm.

 $\triangleright$  SU(3) light flavor symmetric point + physical charm mass  $(n_e \approx 3 \text{ GeV})$ .

### Advantages:

- $\triangleright$  Charm physics close to physical point and small lattice spacing.
- ▶ Presence of light quarks introduces decay channels.
- $\blacktriangleright$  At SU(3) flavor symmetric point:

$$
m_{\bar{c}c}^{\text{phys.}} = m_{\bar{c}c}^{\text{sym.}} + \sum_{i=u,d,s} \frac{\partial m_{\bar{c}c}}{\partial m_i} \left( m_i^{\text{sym.}} - m_i^{\text{phys.}} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \Delta m_i^2 / \sqrt{\frac{m_i^2}{M_i^2}} \right)
$$
\nJ. A. Urrea-Niño, Charmonium spectroscopy with optimal distribution profiles

\n1.2/21



Optimals profiles suppress excited-state contamination. Earlier and longer plateau regions.





Good agreement with nature despite omission of disconnected contributions.

Hyperfine splitting  $\Delta m_{\text{HF}} = m_{\text{J/\Psi}} - m_{\eta_c}$ 

- Experiment:  $113.0(5)$  MeV. [R. L. Workman et al., (2022)]
- ▶ Lattice:  $118.6(1.1)$ ,  $116.2(1.1)$  MeV [C. DeTar et al. (2019), D. Hatton et al. (2020)]
- $\blacktriangleright$  This work:  $111.8(1.4)$ MeV.

Other mass splittings [C. DeTar et al. (2019)]



$$
\Delta m_{\rm SO} = \frac{1}{9} \left( 5m_{2^{++}} - 3m_{1^{++}} - 2m_{0^{++}} \right),
$$
  
 
$$
\Delta m_{\rm tensor} = \frac{1}{9} \left( 3m_{1^{++}} - m_{2^{++}} - 2m_{0^{++}} \right)
$$
  
 
$$
\Delta m_{\rm 1P \ HF} = m_{\overline{1P}} - m_{1^{+-}}
$$



### <span id="page-16-0"></span>Glueballs on the lattice

Bound states of only gluons arising from their self-interaction. Experimental detection is **difficult** due to decays and mixing with mesons.

On the lattice:

- ▶ Correlations are heavily affected by signal-to-noise problem, needing large statistics.
- ▶ Mixing with mesons makes identification difficult.

Popular operators:  $\overrightarrow{S}, \overrightarrow{S}, \overrightarrow{A}, \overrightarrow{A}$   $\rightarrow$  3D Wilson loops.

Quenched lattice QCD [C. Morningstar and M. Peardon, (1999)]:  $0^{++}$ : 1730  $\pm$  80 MeV  $\rightarrow$   $f_0(1710)$  ?  $2^{++}$ : 2400 ± 120 MeV, 0<sup>-+</sup>: 2590 ± 130 MeV Glueballs are unstable in full dynamical QCD!



Start with simplified setup:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $N_f = 2$  QCD, degenerate charm quarks at half the physical charm quark mass.
- ▶ Absence of light quarks restricts mixing to only charmonium.
- $\triangleright$  No decays into light hadrons.

Correlation matrix involves mesonic and gluonic operators:

 $C(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \bar{c}(t) c(t) \cdot \bar{c}(0) c(0) \rangle & \langle \bar{c}(t) c(t) \cdot G(0) \rangle \\ \langle C(t) \cdot \bar{c}(0) c(0) \rangle & \langle C(t) \cdot C(0) \rangle \end{pmatrix}$  $\langle G(t) \cdot \bar{c}(0)c(0) \rangle$   $\langle G(t) \cdot G(0) \rangle$  $\setminus$ 

 $\langle \bar{c}(t)c(t) \cdot G(0) \rangle \neq 0$  implies mixing.  $\rightarrow$  Optimal operator includes mesonic and gluonic components.





We include multiple profiles and gluonic operators. Ground state is mostly gluonic, first excitation is mostly mesonic  $(\chi_{c0})$ .



<span id="page-19-0"></span>

[Motivation](#page-1-0) Lattice OCD [Improved Distillation](#page-10-0) [Charmonium](#page-12-0) [Charmonium + Glueballs](#page-16-0) **[Conclusions](#page-19-0)** 

# Conclusions and Outlook

Charmonium spectroscopy with optimal distillation profiles:

- $\checkmark$  Good agreement with nature.
- $\sqrt{\ }$  Significant **improvement** over distillation and other stochastic methods: excited-state contamination, precision, etc...
- $\checkmark$  Facilitates including disconnected contributions, i.e possible light decays/mixing.
- $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  Facilitates a first study of charmonium-glueball mixing in a simplified setup.

Work in progress within FOR5269:

- ? Better operators for  $\bar{c}c$ , glueballs, multi-particle states, static-light mesons, static potentials, ...
- ? Better methods to tackle SNR problem, e.g multi-level updates for quenched QCD in 2312.11372.
- ? Better methods to solve  $Dx = b$ .

[Motivation](#page-1-0) Lattice OCD [Improved Distillation](#page-10-0) [Charmonium](#page-12-0) [Charmonium + Glueballs](#page-16-0) [Conclusions](#page-19-0)

## Outlook: Including the light quarks

 $N_f = 3 + 1$  at SU(3) flavor-symmetric point  $+$  800 MeV pions



2 (heavy) pion threshold for scalar glueball  $\approx 1.6$  GeV. Need 2-particle operators! (See 2312.16740 for other details.)

### Thank you for your attention!





Significant improvement on previous study of the same setup. [R. Höllwieser et al. (2020)]



Optimal meson distillation profiles of ground state of local Γ operators.





Optimal meson distillation profiles of first excitation of local Γ operators.



Spatial profile for  $\Gamma = \gamma_5 \ (0^{-+})$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  S-wave behavior.
- ▶ Node-like structure in first excitation.
- ▶ Lattice size provides high resolution.
- ▶ Finite-volume effects under control.