# Charmonium Decays at BESII

## Marco Scodeggio (INFN - Ferrara) on behalf of the BESIII Collaboration

mscodegg@fe.infn.it



QWG24 Chandigarh - February 2024





# Outline

- BESIII Experiment
- Charmonia at BESIII
- The Singlet  $\eta_c(2S)$  Charmonium
- Investigating the  $\psi(3770)$  Resonance
- ID of the  $\psi_2(3823)$  State
- $2^{3}P_{1}$  or not- $2^{3}P_{1}$ , the  $\chi_{c1}(3872)$  Nature
- Summary

DISCLAIMER This presentation is not an encyclopaedic review of all the charmonium decays at BESIII

QWG24 - February 2024



# **BESII Experiment**

BESIII (BEijing Spectrometer III) is an experiment located at the BEPCII (Beijing Electron Positron Collider II) at IHEP (Institute of High Energy Physics)



τ-charm factory 2.0 GeV ≤  $\sqrt{s}$  ≤ 4.9 GeV with an instantaneous luminosity of  $10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} @ \sqrt{\text{s}} = 3.77 \text{ GeV}$ 

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider  $\rightarrow$  Direct production of vector states (J<sup>PC</sup> = 1<sup>--</sup>) **High statistics**  $@\psi(nS) \rightarrow$  Probe with high precision the (non-)vector states Unique opportunities above 3.8 GeV





3

- <sup>[I]</sup> A. Guo, "The Vector Charmoniumlike Spectrum at BESIII (Non-Open Charm Decays)"
- ${}^{\hbox{\scriptsize [I]}}$  K. Han, "Status of  $Z_{cs}$  States at BESII"
- <sup>[III]</sup> X. Hou, "New Results on the X(3872) from BESIII"
- <sup>[M]</sup> K. Zhu, "The Vector Charmoniumlike Spectrum at BESIII (Open Charm Decays)"
- MV. Prasad, "Search for rare phenomena at BESIII"

# Charmonia at BESII

Charmonium resonances are located in the transition region of perturbative and non-perturbative QCD

Non-vector and above-threshold states are partly unknown

Vector states can be used either to reach non-1<sup>--</sup> ones or as a way to test pQCD predictions (e.g., 12% rule,  $\mathcal{A}(EM - strong), ...)$ 

Gateway to the XYZ exotic states<sup>[1, II, III, IV]</sup>

Another way to probe the SM (via weak decays)<sup>[V]</sup>





<sup>[1]</sup> A. Guo, "The Vector Charmoniumlike Spectrum at BESIII (Non-Open Charm Decays)"

<sup>III</sup> K. Han, "Status of Z<sub>cs</sub> States at BESII"

X. Hou, "New Results on the X(3872) from BESIII"

- [19] K. Zhu, "The Vector Charmoniumlike Spectrum at BESIII (Open Charm Decays)"
- MV. Prasad, "Search for rare phenomena at BESIII"

Charmonium resonances are located in the transition region of perturbative and non-perturbative QCD

Non-vector and above-threshold states are partly unknown

Vector states can be used either to reach non-1- ones or as a way to test pQCD predictions (e.g., 12% rule, A(EM - strong), ...)

Gateway to the XYZ exotic states<sup>[1, ||, |||, |V]</sup>

Another way to probe the SM (via weak decays)<sup>[V]</sup>

BESIII can perform such studies, but we will focus on what BESIII can provide to expand the knowledge on the charmonium spectrum itself:

### **1.Search for** $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c$ and $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi_+\pi_-K_{0s}K_{\pm}\pi_{\mp}$ decays

2. Observation of  $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \Omega^{-}K^{+}$  anti- $\Xi^{0}$  + c.c.

### 3. Observation of of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\psi_2(3823)$

4. Observation of  $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow 3(K^+K^-)$ 

### 5. Observation [...] and discovery of the charmless decay $\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_{S^0} K_{L^0}$

6.Search for the decay  $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}$ 

### 7. Updated measurements of the M1 transition $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)$ with $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\overline{K}\pi$

- 8. Observation of the  $\psi$ (3686) decays into  $\Sigma^+$ anti- $\Sigma^-\omega$  and  $\Sigma^+$ anti- $\Sigma^-\phi$
- 9. Observation of the decay  $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \Omega^{-}$  anti- $\Omega^{+}$
- 10. Helicity amplitude analysis of  $\chi_{cJ} \rightarrow \phi \phi$

### 11.Observation of $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$

**12.Evidence for**  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  decay

Charmonium Decays at BESIII - M. Scodeggio



.....h<sub>c</sub>(2'P<sub>t</sub>)

ψ(1°D₁)

3.8

3.7

.........

χ<sub>c0</sub>(2<sup>3</sup>P<sub>c</sub>)

0++

(3872)



 $(3^{3}P_{0})$ 

χ\_(2<sup>3</sup>P

0++

 $\psi_{2}(1^{3}D_{2})$ 

2m<sub>D</sub>

J<sup>PC</sup>

2

2++



# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ Decay Phys. Rev. D **107**, 052007 (2023)

### Using the 448 million $\psi(2S)$ data set

### **Search for** the $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ decay through the M1 $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)$ transition and determination of $\mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)$







# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ Decay Phys. Rev. D **107**, 052007 (2023)

Using the 448 million  $\psi(2S)$  data set

**Search for** the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  decay through the M1  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)$ transition and determination of  $\mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ 

Fit to the M( $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$ ) at [3.35, 3.70] GeV/ $c^2$  to properly estimate the  $\chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  contributions (found to be compatible to PDG values)









# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ Decay Phys. Rev. D **107**, 052007 (2023)

### Using the 448 million $\psi(2S)$ data set

**Search for** the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  decay through the M1  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)$ transition and determination of  $\mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ 

Fit to the M( $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$ ) at [3.35, 3.70] GeV/ $c^2$  to properly estimate the  $\chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$  contributions (found to be compatible to PDG values)



First evidence @3.5σ

$$\mathcal{B}(\eta_c(2S) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{N_{\psi(3686)} \ \epsilon \ BR_1(\psi(3686) \to \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \ BR_2(\eta \to \gamma \gamma)}$$
$$= \left(42.4 \pm \underbrace{11.6}_{\text{Stat.}} \pm \underbrace{30.8}_{\text{Syst.}} \right) \times 10^{-4}$$







# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ Decay

### Using the 448 million $\psi(2S)$ data set

Search for the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$  decay through the M1  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)$ transition and determination of  $\mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ 

Fit to the M( $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$ ) at [3.35, 3.70] GeV/ $c^2$  to properly estimate the  $\chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$  contributions (found to be compatible to PDG values)



First evidence @3.5σ

$$\mathcal{B}(\eta_c(2S) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \eta) = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{N_{\psi(3686)} \ \epsilon \ BR_1(\psi(3686) \to \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \ BR_2(\eta \to \gamma \gamma)}$$
$$= \left(42.4 \pm \underbrace{11.6}_{\text{Stat.}} \pm \underbrace{30.8}_{\text{Syst.}} \right) \times 10^{-4}$$



Predictions for 0<sup>-+</sup> glueball-charmonium mixing Ref. [1] → ~12.8% Ref. [2] → ~1.00

> <sup>[1]</sup> Phys. Rev. D 44, 1597 (1991) <sup>[2]</sup> Commun. Theor. Phys. **25**, 471 (1996)







# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi Decay$

Using the 3 billion  $\psi(2S)$  data set

## Search for the $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow KK\pi$ decay and estimation of the M1 $\mathcal{E}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S))$





QWG24 - February 2024



# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi Decay$ arXiv:2309.14689 Accepted by PRD

Using the 3 billion  $\psi(2S)$  data set

Search for the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow KK\pi$  decay and estimation of the M1  $\mathcal{E}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S))$ 

Simultaneous fit to the  $M(K^+K^-\pi^0)$  and  $M(K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$  spectra





11

# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$ Decay arXiv:2309.14689 Accepted by PRD

### Using the 3 billion $\psi(2S)$ data set

### Search for the $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow KK\pi$ decay and estimation of the M1 $\mathcal{E}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S))$

Simultaneous fit to the  $M(K^+K^-\pi^0)$  and  $M(K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$  spectra

Hence, we obtain		From 𝔗( <b>η。(2S)</b> → I	
	Mass $(MeV/c^2)$	Width (MeV)	$\mathcal{B}(\psi(3686) \to \gamma \eta_c(2S))$ (×10 <sup>-4</sup> )
This work	$3637.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2$	$10.5\pm1.7\pm3.5$	$5.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5$ $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} + & 1.9 \\ - & 1.4 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$
World average	$3637.6 \pm 1.2$	$11.3 \ _{-2.9}^{+3.2}$	$7\pm5$



140





# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi Decay$ arXiv:2309.14689 Accepted by PRD

### Using the 3 billion $\psi(2S)$ data set

## **Search for** the $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow KK\pi$ decay and estimation of the M1 $\mathcal{E}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S))$

Simultaneous fit to the  $M(K^+K^-\pi^0)$  and  $M(K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$  spectra

Mass $(MeV/c^2)$ Widt	h (MeV) $\mathcal{B}(\psi(3686) \to \gamma \eta_c(2S))$ (×10 <sup>-4</sup> )
This work $3637.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2$ $10.5 \pm$	$5.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5$ $+ 1.9$
World average $3637.6 \pm 1.2$ 11.	$.3 ^{+3.2}_{-2.9}$ $7 \pm 5$

Comparison with theoretical expectations...

	Mass $(MeV/c^2)$	$\mathcal{B}(\psi(3686) \to \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \ (\times 10^{-4})$	$\Gamma($
NR model [4]	3630	$7.14\pm0.19$	
GI model [4]	3623	$5.80\pm0.16$	
Meson loop correction [5]	N/A	$2.72 \pm 1.00$	
Light-front quark model [6]	3637	3.9	
Effective field theory [7]	N/A	0.6 - 36.0	







# The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\eta_c/K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})$ Decay

Using the 3 billion  $\psi(2S)$  data set

## Search for the two-pion $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(KK\pi)$ and $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$ transitions





QWG24 - February 2024



## The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\eta_c/K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})$ Decay

Using the 3 billion  $\psi(2S)$  data set

# Search for the two-pion $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(K\overline{K}\pi)$ and $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$ transitions

Fit to  $M(\pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$  to search for the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$  decay









## The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\eta_c/K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp})$ Decay

Using the 3 billion  $\psi(2S)$  data set

Search for the two-pion  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(K\overline{K}\pi)$  and  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$  transitions

Fit to  $M(\pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$  to search for the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$  decay

 $\mathscr{C}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \times \mathscr{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c) < 2.21 \times 10^{-5} @90\%$  C.L.







## The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\eta_c/K_S^0K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp})$ Decay

Using the 3 billion  $\psi(2S)$  data set

# Search for the two-pion $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(K\overline{K}\pi)$ and $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$ transitions

Fit to  $M(\pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$  to search for the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$  decay

 $\mathcal{C}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \times \mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c) < 2.21 \times 10^{-5} @90\% \text{ C.L.}$ 

With  $\mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c)$  having the same di-pion q<sup>2</sup> dependence as  $\mathcal{C}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)$ , **Refs. [8, 9]** estimate  $\mathcal{C}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \times \mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c) \sim 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ (additional suppression from stronger chromo-magnetic interaction)



[8] Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 1533 (2002)
[9] Phys. Rev. D 74, 054022 (2006)





## The Singlet $\eta_c(2S)$ Charmonium $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(\eta_c/K_S^0K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp})$ Decay

Using the 3 billion  $\psi(2S)$  data set

## Search for the two-pion $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-(K\overline{K}\pi)$ and $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$ transitions

Fit to  $M(\pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp)$  to search for the  $\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-K_s^0K^\pm\pi^\mp$  decay

 $\mathscr{C}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \times \mathscr{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c) < 2.21 \times 10^{-5} @90\%$  C.L.

With  $\mathcal{E}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c)$  having the same di-pion q<sup>2</sup> dependence as  $\mathcal{E}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi)$ , **Refs. [8, 9]** estimate  $\mathcal{E}(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_c(2S)) \times \mathcal{E}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta_c) \sim 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$ (additional suppression from stronger chromo-magnetic interaction)

> For the first time  $\mathcal{C}(\eta_c(2S) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}) = (1.33 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.95) \%$

> > **NB** The sum of  $\mathcal{E}(\eta_c(2S))$  is ~ 3%



[8] Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 1533 (2002)
[9] Phys. Rev. D 74, 054022 (2006)





### Using the 2.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> $\psi$ (3770) data set

### Search for the $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$ decay, and study of the $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)$ line-shape @ $\sqrt{s} = [3.773, 4.600]$ GeV

From Ref. [10], data points  $@\sqrt{s} = [3.8, 4.6]$  GeV are taken Two hypotheses are considered, the  $\psi(3770)$  contribution is added either coherently or incoherently





QWG24 - February 2024



Using the 2.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>  $\psi$ (3770) data set

Search for the  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi$  decay, and study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta J/\psi)$  line-shape @ $\sqrt{s} = [3.773, 4.600]$  GeV

From Ref. [10], data points  $@\sqrt{s} = [3.8, 4.6]$  GeV are taken

Two hypotheses are considered, the  $\psi(3770)$  contribution is added either <u>coherently</u> or <u>incoherently</u>

$p_1(rad)$
$.0{\pm}0.5$
$.3{\pm}0.5$
$.8{\pm}0.5$
$.1{\pm}0.5$
-
· ]

**Compatible with CLEO** result<sup>[11]</sup> (w/o interference) of  $8.7 \pm 3.3 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ 

In agreement with Ref. [12] hypothesis of **tetra-quark admixture** in the ψ(3770)  $\mathcal{E}(\psi(3770) \rightarrow \eta J/\psi) \sim 15 \times 10^{-4}$ 



**Search** for the  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$  decay, and study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0)$  line-shape



Investigating the  $\psi(3770)$  Resonance  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_S^{0}K_L^{0}$ arXiv:2312.10962v1 Accepted by PRL

The **K**<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup>**K**<sub>L</sub><sup>0</sup> channel is important to **understand** the "**12% rule**" violation, as  $\mathcal{R}(K_{S^0}K_{L^0})$  is found to deviate by more than  $3\sigma$  [13, 14]

**Refs.** [15, 16] propose the  $\psi$ (3686) and  $\psi$ (3770) to be **mixtures** of pure  $\psi(2^{3}S_{1})$  and  $\psi(1^{3}D_{1})$  states, estimating  $\mathcal{C}(\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_{s}^{0}K_{L}^{0}) \sim [0.02, 5.3] \times 10^{-5}$ 

> <sup>[15]</sup> Phys. Rev. D 64, 094002 (2001) <sup>[13]</sup> Phys. Rev. D 96, 112001 (2017) <sup>[16]</sup> Phys. Rev. D 70, 077505 (2004) <sup>[14]</sup> Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 052001 (2004)

QWG24 - February 2024





**Search** for the  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$  decay, and study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0)$  line-shape

Fit to  $E_{K}/E_{Beam}$  to extrapolate the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow K_{S^0}K_{L^0})$  line-shape







**Search** for the  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$  decay, and study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0)$  line-shape

Fit to  $E_{\kappa}/E_{Beam}$  to extrapolate the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0)$  line-shape







**Search** for the  $\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$  decay, and study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0)$  line-shape

Fit to  $E_{K}/E_{Beam}$  to extrapolate the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K_L^0)$  line-shape

Adding the  $\psi(3770)$  and the continuum contributions coherently,  $\mathscr{C}(\psi(3770) \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}K_{L}^{0}) = (2.63^{+1.40}_{-1.59}) \times 10^{-5}$ in agreement with the prediction [16]



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[16]</sup> Phys. Rev. D 70, 077505 (2004)





Study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823))$ , (employing a partial) reconstruction



QWG24 - February 2024







Using 20 energy points  $@\sqrt{s} = [4.230, 4.700]$  GeV for a  $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 11.3$  fb<sup>-1</sup> Study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823))$ , (employing a partial) reconstruction technique:  $\pi^0\pi^0$ , ( $\gamma$ ) $\gamma \& J/\psi (\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)$ 

Fit to M( $\gamma\gamma J/\psi$ ) to estimate  $\psi_2(3823)$  mass and N<sup> $\pi\pi\psi_{obs}$ </sup>







Study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823))$ , (employing a partial) reconstruction technique:  $\pi^0\pi^0$ , ( $\gamma$ ) $\gamma \& J/\psi (\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)$ 

Fit to M( $\gamma\gamma J/\psi$ ) to estimate  $\psi_2(3823)$  mass and N<sup> $\pi\pi\psi_{obs}$ </sup>







Study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823))$ , (employing a partial) reconstruction technique:  $\pi^0\pi^0$ , ( $\gamma$ ) $\gamma \& J/\psi (\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)$ 

Fit to M( $\gamma\gamma J/\psi$ ) to estimate  $\psi_2(3823)$  mass and N<sup> $\pi\pi\psi_{obs}$ </sup>

Due to the limited statistics, a cross-section scan cannot be performed with enough significance...

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\sigma_{\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2}^{Avg\,Born}}{\sigma_{\pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2}^{Avg\,Born}} = \frac{N_{\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2} (\sum_i \mathcal{L}_i (1+\delta)_i \epsilon_i)_{\pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2}}{N_{\pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2} (\sum_i \mathcal{L}_i (1+\delta)_i \epsilon_i)_{\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}^2(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)}$$

QWG24 - February 2024





Study of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2(3823))$ , (employing a partial) reconstruction technique:  $\pi^0\pi^0$ ,  $(\gamma)\gamma \& J/\psi (\rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)$ 

Fit to M( $\gamma\gamma J/\psi$ ) to estimate  $\psi_2(3823)$  mass and N<sup> $\pi\pi\psi_{obs}$ </sup>

Due to the limited statistics, a cross-section scan cannot be performed with enough significance...

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\sigma_{\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2}^{Avg\,Born}}{\sigma_{\pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2}^{Avg\,Born}} = \frac{N_{\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2} (\sum_i \mathcal{L}_i (1+\delta)_i \epsilon_i)_{\pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2}}{N_{\pi^+ \pi^- \psi_2} (\sum_i \mathcal{L}_i (1+\delta)_i \epsilon_i)_{\pi^0 \pi^0 \psi_2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}^2 (\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma)} = \underbrace{0.57 \pm 0.14}_{\text{isospin symmetry}}$$





The  $\pi^+\pi^-$  system in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\psi_2(3823)$  process is expected to be dominated by S-wave

If this was true and  $\psi_2(3823)$  was a  $\psi_2(1^3D_2)$  state, the relative orbital angular momentum should be 2



ID of the  $\psi_2(3823)$  State  $\psi_2(3823) \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma J/\psi$ 



Search for the di-pion  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \chi_{c1}$  process, with  $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \ell \ell$ 



arXiv:2312.13593 Submitted to PRD

In the assumption that the  $\chi_{c1}(3872)$  is a pure  $\chi_{c1}(2^3P_1)$  state [19]

$$\frac{\Gamma(2^{3}P_{1} \to \pi^{0}\chi_{c1})}{\Gamma(2^{3}P_{1} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\chi_{c1})} \sim 0.04$$







Search for the di-pion  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \chi_{c1}$  process, with  $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \ell \ell$ 

**Simultaneous fit** to  $M_{recoil}(\gamma_{rad})$  for the  $\gamma \pi \pi \ell \ell$  and  $\gamma \pi \ell \ell$  events





Search for the di-pion  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \chi_{c1}$  process, with  $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \ell \ell$ 

Simultaneous fit to  $M_{recoil}(\gamma_{rad})$  for the  $\gamma \pi \pi \ell \ell$  and  $\gamma \pi \ell \ell$  events

No  $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}$  events are found...

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{\mathcal{B}(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})}{\mathcal{B}(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi)} < 0.18$$





Search for the di-pion  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+\pi^- \chi_{c1}$  process, with  $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \ell \ell$ 

**Simultaneous fit** to  $M_{recoil}(\gamma_{rad})$  for the  $\gamma_{\pi\pi}$  and  $\gamma_{\pi}$  events

No  $\chi_{c1}(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\chi_{c1}$  events are found...

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{\mathcal{B}(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})}{\mathcal{B}(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi)} < 0.18$$

which allows to estimate...

$$\frac{\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^0 \chi_{c1})}{\Gamma(\chi_{c1}(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \chi_{c1})} > 0.5$$

favouring the a non-conventional component for the  $\chi_{c1}(3872)$  state [20]





# Summary

- **BESIII** started taking data in '08, and since then it has been exploring and shedding light on the charmonium decays
- The largest datasets of cc vector states collected by BESIII provide the power not to only search for rare vector decays but also to probe theoretical predictions with non-vector decays
- Datasets above the DD threshold shed new light on charmonium decays and allow us to discern among theoretical expectations and to understand the nature of charmonia
  - Thanks to its tuneable centre-of-mass energy in the charmonium range and leptonic beams, **BESIII** will be **competitive** even with relatively small datasets
    - Finally, new data sets are currently being taken and analysed
- $\sim 2.7 \times 10^9 @\psi(2S)$
- ~20fb<sup>-1</sup>@\u00c0(3770)





# Thank you for the attention!



# Backup Slides

# BACKUP



# **BESII Collaboration**



### Europe (17)

Germany(6): Bochum University, CSI Darmstadt, Helmholtz Institute Mainz, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Universitaet Giessen, University of Münster Italy(3): Ferrara University, INFN, University of Turin, Netherlands(1):KVE/University of Groningen Russia(2): Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Dubna JINR Sweden(1):Uppsala University Turkey (1):Turkish Accelerator Center Particle Factory Group UK(2): University of Manchester, University of Oxford Poland(1): National Centre for Nuclear Research

Mongolia(1) Institute of Physics and Technology Korea(2) Seoul National University Chung-Ang University Japan(1) Tokyo University

Thailand(1)

### Pakistan(3)

COMSATS Institute of Information Technology University of the Punjab, University of Lahore India(1)

Indian Institute of Technology madras:

### **China (50)**

 Beijing Institute of Petro-chemical Technology, Beihang University, Central South University China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, China University of Geosciences, Fudan University, Guangxi Normal University, Guangxi University, Hangzhou Normal University, HeBei University, Henan Normal University, Henan University of Science and Technology, Henan University of Technology, Huazhong Normal University, Huangshan College, Hunan University, Hunan Normal University, Institute of High Energy Physics, Institute of Modern Physics, Jilin University, Lanzhou University, Liaoning Normal University, Liaoning University, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing University, Nankai University, North China Electric Power University, Peking University, Qufu Normal University, Shanxi University, Shanxi Normal University, Sichuan University, Shandong Normal University, Shandong University, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Soochow University,

South China Normal University, Southeast University, Sun Yat-sen University, Tsinghua University, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, University of Jinan, University of Science and Technology of China, University of Science and Technology Liaoning, University of South China, Wuhan University, Xinyang Normal University, YunNan University, Zhejiang University, Zhengzhou University

# **BESII Experiment**

BESIII (BEijing Spectrometer III) is an experiment located at the BEPCII (Beijing Electron Positron Collider II) at IHEP (Institute of High Energy Physics)



τ-charm factory 2.0 GeV ≤  $\sqrt{s}$  ≤ 4.9 GeV with a 10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> designed luminosity @ √s = 3.77 GeV

MDC		
Single wire $\sigma_{r\phi}$ (1 GeV)	130	$\mu m$
$\sigma_{\rm z}  \left( 1  {\rm GeV} \right)$	~2	mm
$\sigma_{\rm p}/{\rm p}~(1{\rm GeV})$	0.5	%
$\sigma_{\rm dE/dx} \ (1  {\rm GeV})$	6	%

EMC		
$\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E}~(1{\rm GeV})$	2.5	%
Position resolution (1 Ge	eV) 0.6	$\mathbf{cm}$

TOF		
$\sigma_{ m T}$		
Barrel $(1  \text{GeV/c muons})$	100	$\mathbf{ps}$
End cap $(0.8{\rm GeV/c\ pions})$	65	$\mathbf{ps}$

Muon Identifier		
No. of layers (barrel/end cap)	9/8	
Cut-off momentum	0.4	${\rm GeV/c}$

Solenoid field	1.0	Т
$\Delta\Omega/4\pi$	93	%

# **BESII Experiment**

BESIII (BEijing Spectrometer III) is an experiment located at the BEPCII (Beijing Electron Positron Collider II) at IHEP (Institute of High Energy Physics)



τ-charm factory 2.0 GeV ≤  $\sqrt{s}$  ≤ 4.9 GeV with a 10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> designed luminosity @  $\sqrt{s}$  = 3.77 GeV

## Data sets

**2009**: 106M  $\psi(2S)$ 225M **J/ψ 2010**: 975 pb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\psi(3770)$ **2011**: 2.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (total) at  $\psi(3770)$ 482 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 4.01 GeV **2012**: 0.45B (total)  $\psi(2S)$ 1.3B (total)  $J/\psi$ **2013**: 1092 pb<sup>-1</sup> at **4.23 GeV** 826 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 4.26 GeV 540 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 4.36 GeV  $10 \times 50 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ scan } 3.81 - 4.42 \text{ GeV}$ **2014**: 1029 pb<sup>-1</sup> at **4.42 GeV** 110 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 4.47 GeV 110 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 4.53 GeV 48 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 4.575 GeV 567 pb<sup>-1</sup> at 4.6 GeV  $0.8 \text{ fb}^{-1} \text{ R-scan } 3.85 - 4.59 \text{ GeV}$ **2015**: R-scan 2 – 3 GeV + 2.175 GeV **2016**:  $\sim$ 3fb<sup>-1</sup> at **4.18 GeV** (for D<sub>s</sub>) **2017**:  $7 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ scan } 4.19 - 4.27 \text{ GeV}$ **2018**: more  $J/\psi$  (and tuning new RF cavity) **2019**: 10B (total) J/ψ  $8 \times 500 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ scan } 4.13, 4.16, 4.29 - 4.44 \text{ GeV}$ **2020:** 3.8 fb<sup>-1</sup> scan 4.61 - 4.7 GeV **2021:** 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> scan 4.74 - 4.946 GeV 3.0B (total)  $\psi(2S)$ 

