



**Alexander von Humboldt** Stiftung/Foundation

# Alaric: A NLL accurate Parton Shower algorithm

Florian Herren

[2208.06057](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.06057), in collaboration with Stefan Höche, Frank Krauss, Daniel Reichelt & Marek Schönherr

### Event Generators

### Crucial for precision Collider Physics

### Combine different physics at different scales:

- **Hard Process**
- Parton Shower
- Underlying Interaction
- Hadronization
- QED FSR
- **Hadron Decays**





### Parton Showers



Conditions:  $p_i \rightarrow z\tilde{p}_i$  $p_j \rightarrow (1-z)\tilde{p}_i$ in collinear limit, and $=\tilde{Q}^2$  $\Omega^2$ 

### NLL Showers

Criteria for NLL accuracy:

- Generate correct square tree-level ME when one kinematic variable (e.g.  $k_1$ ) for two emissions differ significantly and another one is similar (e.g. η)
- Reproduce NLL results for rIRC safe observables  $\rightarrow$  Subsequent Emissions don't change previous ones significantly

[Dasgupta,Dreyer,Hamilton,Monni,Salam,Soyez] [2002.11114](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.11114)



















### **Recoil**

Recoil distributed to remaining momenta through Lorentz Transformation:

$$
p_l^\mu \to \Lambda_\nu^\mu(K,\tilde{K}) p_l^\nu
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{Define} \\
X^{\mu} = p_j^{\mu} - (1 - z) \tilde{p}_i^{\mu} \\
= v(\tilde{K}^{\mu} - (1 - z + 2\kappa) \tilde{p}_i^{\mu}) + k_{\perp}^{\mu}\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{At} \text{ most } \mathcal{O}(k_{\perp}) \text{ in } \text{logarithmically} \text{ enhanced region}
$$

### **Recoil**

Recoil distributed to remaining momenta through Lorentz Transformation:

$$
p_l^\mu \to \Lambda_\nu^\mu(K,\tilde{K}) p_l^\nu
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}^{\mu} = p_j^{\mu} - (1 - z) \tilde{p}_i^{\mu}
$$
  
=  $v(\tilde{K}^{\mu} - (1 - z + 2\kappa) \tilde{p}_i^{\mu}) + k_{\perp}^{\mu}$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu}(K,\tilde{K}) = g^{\mu}_{\nu} + \tilde{K}^{\mu}A_{\nu} + X^{\mu}B_{\nu} \\
A^{\nu} = 2\left[\frac{(\tilde{K} - X)^{\nu}}{(\tilde{K} - X)^{2}} - \frac{(\tilde{K} - X/2)^{\nu}}{(\tilde{K} - X/2)^{2}}\right] & B^{\nu} = \frac{(\tilde{K} - X/2)^{\nu}}{(\tilde{K} - X/2)^{2}}\n\end{cases}
$$









### **Numerical Tests**  $\int$  For Thrust, Heavy Jet mass and Fractional Energy Correlators with  $b = 1$ , Alaric is NLL and Dire is indistinguishable from NLL







### **Numerical Tests**  $\int$  For the Two-Jet rate, total Broadening and FC with b = 1 Alaric and Dire differ, here only Alaric is NLL accurate





## Let's look at Data

#### Details:

- **CMW** scheme
- Massless b- and c-quarks
- **Flavour thresholds**
- Hadronization through Lund string fragmentation

#### Comments:

- Perturbative region to the right, except for thrust
- Some deviations for Broadening and Aplanarity
- MECs and massive quarks will improve situation





### Let's look at Data

#### Details:

- **CMW** scheme
- Massless b- and c-quarks
- Flavour thresholds
- Hadronization through Lund string fragmentation

Comments:

- Perturbative region to the right
- b-quark mass corresponds to<br> $y \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$





### MC@NLO Matching

$$
\sigma^{(\text{NLO})} = \int d\Phi_n \left[ B + V + \int d\Phi_{+1} S \right] + \int d\Phi_{n+1} \left[ R - S \right]
$$

If we compare NLO calculation and PS expanded to first order in the strong coupling:

- **NLO calculation: contains virtual corrections,** one hard, soft or collinear emission
- **PS: contains one soft or collinear emission** virtual corrections approximated (unitarity)

 $\rightarrow$  Double counting of soft and collinear radiation!

In the MC@NLO scheme, the subtraction terms are chosen to be the PS evolution kernels  $\rightarrow$  Need to compute integrated terms with our momentum mapping, but the matching is fully differential! [Frixione, Webber] [hep-ph/0204244](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0204244)

### MC@NLO Matching

$$
\sigma^{(\text{NLO})} = \int d\Phi_n \left[ B + V + \int d\Phi_{+1} S \right] + \int d\Phi_{n+1} \left[ R - S \right]
$$

If we compare NLO calculation and PS expanded to first order in the strong coupling:

- **NLO calculation: contains virtual corrections.** one hard, soft or collinear emission
- **PS: contains one soft or collinear emission** virtual corrections approximated (unitarity)

 $\rightarrow$  Double counting of soft and collinear radiation!

In the MC@NLO scheme, the subtraction terms are chosen to be the PS evolution kernels  $\rightarrow$  Need to compute integrated terms with our momentum mapping, but the matching is fully differential! [Frixione, Webber] [hep-ph/0204244](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0204244)

Evaluating the soft counterterm tells us something about efficient scale choices. In our case we obtain:

 $I_{\rm soft} \propto \left(\frac{\mu^2(p_k n)}{(p_i p_k)(p_i p_n)}\right)^{\epsilon} \propto \left(\frac{\mu^2}{E_i^2(1-\cos\theta_i^i)}\right)^{\epsilon} \propto \left(\frac{\mu^2}{t}\right)^{\epsilon}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  The logarithms resummed by the RG-evolution are large when the soft parton is emitted from a Dipole that originates from a soft or collinear splitting of  $k \rightarrow k+i$  and correspond to the respective  $k<sub>1</sub>$ 

 $\rightarrow$  We can minimize the number of explicit higher-order corrections by choosing t as the renormalization scale

## Conclusion



- New NLL accurate Parton Shower Algorithm: Alaric
- First dipole-like algorithm to disentangle colour and kinematics
- Strict positivity of evolution kernels
- Momentum mapping preserves directions of hard partons

Conceptually clear but need to be implemented:

- Initial state emitter and spectator
- Initial state emitter and final state spectator
- **NLO** matching

Next goals:

Massive quarks (see Benoit's talk), NLO splitting kernels





 $\text{Recoil}$  Momentum mapping works for initial and final state emitters/spectator  $\rightarrow$  e+ e-, pp, DIS, ... all treated on same footing



Numerical Tests and Azimuthal angle between two Lund plane declusterings Tests soft and rapidity separated emissions



## NLO Matching

Alaric shares many similarities with Catani-Seymour identified particle subtraction  $\rightarrow$  MC@NLO matching straightforward Follow [Höche, Liebschner, Siegert] [1807.04348](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.04348)

Combined integrated subtraction term for identified parton production with a partonic fragmentation function:

$$
{}_{+1}\mathrm{d}\sigma^S + \int_m \mathrm{d}\sigma^C = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=a,a,\bar{a}} \sum_{\tilde{i}=1}^m \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z^{2-2\epsilon}} \int_m \mathrm{d}\sigma^B(p_1,\ldots,\frac{p_i}{z},\ldots,p_m) \otimes \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\tilde{i}i}^{(\text{FS})}
$$

#### Insertion operator:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\tilde{i}i}^{\mathrm{(FS)}} = \delta(1-z)\mathbf{I}_{\tilde{i}i} + \mathbf{P}_{\tilde{i}i} + \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{i}i}
$$

$$
\mathbf{I}_{\tilde{n}}(p_1,\ldots,p_i,\ldots,p_m;\epsilon) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \sum_{k=1,k\neq\tilde{i}}^m \frac{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}} \mathbf{T}_{k}}{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}}^2} \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{2p_i p_k}\right)^{\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_{\tilde{n}}(\epsilon)
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{P}_{\tilde{n}}(p_1,\ldots,\frac{p_i}{z},\ldots,p_m;z;\mu_F) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1,k\neq\tilde{i}}^m \frac{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}} \mathbf{T}_{k}}{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}}^2} \ln \frac{z\mu_F^2}{2p_i p_k} \delta_{\tilde{n}i} P_{\tilde{n}}(z)
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{n}}(p_1,\ldots,p_i,\ldots,p_m;n;z) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1,k\neq\tilde{i}}^m \frac{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}} \mathbf{T}_{k}}{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}}^2} \left[ \tilde{K}^{\tilde{n}}(z) + \bar{K}^{\tilde{n}}(z) + 2P_{\tilde{n}}(z) \ln z + \mathcal{L}^{\tilde{n}}(z;p_i,p_k,n) \right]
$$

## NLO Matching

Alaric shares many similarities with Catani-Seymour identified particle subtraction  $\rightarrow$  MC@NLO matching straightforward Follow [Höche, Liebschner, Siegert] [1807.04348](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.04348)

Combined integrated subtraction term for identified parton production with a partonic fragmentation function:

$$
\int_{m+1} \mathrm{d} \sigma^S + \int_m \mathrm{d} \sigma^C = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=q,q,\bar{q}} \sum_{\tilde{i}=1}^m \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{z^{2-2\epsilon}} \int_m \mathrm{d} \sigma^B(p_1,\ldots,\frac{p_i}{z},\ldots,p_m) \otimes \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\tilde{i}i}^{(\text{FS})}
$$

#### Non-trivial integral:

$$
\int_0^1 dz \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}}(p_1,\ldots,p_i,\ldots,p_m;n;z) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1,k\neq \tilde{i}}^m \frac{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}} \mathbf{T}_{k}}{\mathbf{T}_{\tilde{i}}^2} \Bigg\{ \mathcal{K}^{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} + \delta_{\tilde{i}\tilde{i}} \operatorname{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{2\tilde{p}_{\tilde{i}} \tilde{p}_{k} \tilde{K}^2}{(\tilde{p}_{\tilde{i}} \tilde{K})(\tilde{p}_{k} \tilde{K})}\right) - \int_0^1 dz P_{\text{reg}}^{qq}(z) \ln \frac{n^2 \tilde{p}_{\tilde{i}} \tilde{p}_{k}}{2z(\tilde{p}_{\tilde{i}} n)^2} \Bigg\}
$$

### Evolution



**Evolution variable:**<br> $t = 2E_i^2(1 - \cos \theta_i^i) = v(1 - z)2\tilde{p}_i \tilde{K}$ **Corresponds to Lund plane**  $k_t^2 \rightarrow \beta_{\text{PS}} = 0$ 

# Differential splitting probabilities:  $\label{eq:3.1} \begin{cases} \mathrm{d}P_{ik,j}^{i\,(\mathrm{soft})}(t,z,\phi)=\mathrm{d}t\;\mathrm{d}z\;\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{2\pi}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi t}2C_{i}\bar{W}_{ik,j}\\ \mathrm{d}P_{ik,j}^{i\,(\mathrm{coll})}(t,z,\phi)=\mathrm{d}t\;\mathrm{d}z\;\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{2\pi}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi t}C_{\tilde{i}i} \end{cases}$