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CA－VICTORIA－WESTGRID－T2 uses Kubernetes for container－native batch computing．Harvester submits ATLAS grid jobs to k8s API，which runs them as pods．No traditional batch system or Compute Element．

## The eventual goal: a fully k8s-native T2 Installable with Helm

- Helm: application manager for Kubernetes
- One command to install/upgrade everything
- Comprehensive configuration via one YAML file
- helm install T2Site
- (K)APEL accounting
- frontier-squid
- compute (security rules, Harvester setup)
- EOS SE
- CVMFS-CSI
- Compute Element
- Bateh system
done
done
done (static YAML)
in progress
optional
built-in
built-in


## EOS SE on k8s with CephFS

- Physical consolidation: all storage on Ceph (CephFS)
- Logical consolidation: services on k8s
- EOS can be installed on k 8 s via Helm chart
- reproducible, single step deployment
- easier to manage and maintain
- easy to set up another instance, e.g. for dev
- EOS + CephFS is an established solution
- Opportunity: direct data access for jobs on CephFS
- Thanks to Andreas


## EOS Helm chart

- Generally only used for internal clients so far
- Different from typical grid storage use case
- Need enhancements for T2 SE use cases (\#74, \#75)
- configure X509 VOMS authz/authn

- install host certs via secrets
- fetch-crl, grid-security CAs, etc.
- external network access

Big thanks to Enrico for collaboration and support on Helm charts!

## Network architecture on k8s

- Simple architecture for typical k8s app
- Web app, minimal bandwidth
- Single ingress IP
- Ingress controller and LBaaS
- L7 (HTTP) routing
- Won't work for EOS
- Need to scale bandwidth >> 1 NIC
- FSTs need to be individually addressable
- Ingress (Traefik) can do L4 (TCP) routing
- but only with SNI (Server Name Indication)
- XrootD can not support SNI

External clients


## Network architecture on k8s for EOS

- One LB service for each of N FSTs
- Total bandwidth = 1 NIC * N
- L3 routing: 1 IP per FST
- Ingress controller not a bottleneck
- Solves multi-homing
- With hostAliases (/etc/hosts)



## EOS CephFS layout on k8s

"Usual" way: separate volume per FST

- /volume01
- /volume01/fst01
- /volume02
- /volume02/fst02
- /volume03
- /volume03/fst03

Instead try one volume for all FSTs

- /volume01
- /volume01/fst01
- /volume01/fst02
- /volume01/fst03
- 
- Hopefully simplify cloud volume management
- Facilitate direct data access for compute jobs on $\mathrm{k8s}$ cluster
- Ideal: any/all FSTs on CephFS could serve data together
- proxy groups?
- CephFS bug encountered: $\underline{55090}$
- Ceph fixes: \#46902 \#46905


## Summary

- All services/resource for UVic T2 are on k8s, except storage
- Developing proof-of-concept EOS SE deployment with k8s, CephFS
- Enhancements of EOS Helm chart
- Scalable k8s network architecture for external access to EOS
- Need a way to specify FST host names


## Why Kubernetes?

- We are a cloud site


Arbutus Science Cloud

## OPEN FOR RESEARCH

DicPus verus ipu masse

- Cloud + k8s provides:
- Flexible \& dynamic infrastructure
- Resilience and automated remediation
- Rapid application deployment
- Application lifecycle management
- Horizontal scalability


Prior talks on UVic k8s T2

- 2019 Nov CHEP
- 2019 Dec pre-GDB
- 2020 Dec k8s HEP meetup
- 2020 Dec WFM SW TIM
- 2021 May ADC TCB
- 2022 June pre-GDB
- 2022 Nov WLCG workshop
- 2022 Dec US ATLAS Computing Facilities F2F


## Ingress and LBaaS

- Initial basic approach used keepalived and nginx-ingress to receive traffic from outside world into clusters
- Migrated to PureLB and Traefik
- More maintainable/manageable, via Helm charts
- Cohesive access to dashboards etc across all clusters
- PureLB: like MetalLB but simpler, lightweight
- relies on Linux network stack of host
- Programmable (LB -> LBaaS)
- Traefik Ingress controller
- Widely used, full featured, nice web UI, CRDs
- Better TCP and UDP support


