Performance measurements of a co-axial transfer line for 2-phase CO, HEP detector cooling

T. Pakulski CERN EP-CMX, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Background – Phase-2 CO, Cooling Transfer Lines Phase-2 CMS detectors require an order-of-magnitude increase in 2PACL (2-Phase Accumulator-Controlled Loop) CO, cooling capacity while operating roughly 20°C colder than legacy systems [1]. The 2-phase pressure drop and supply-return heat transfer through the co-axial transfer lines that will route CO, to the new detectors has a direct impact on cooling performance. A tool was therefore developed to simulate the performance of these transfer lines, as shown below, based on the CoBra library [2] of empirical correlations. But future systems' low saturation temperatures (<-35°C), largediameter co-axial design, and long routings – including about 20 m of vertical 2-phase upflow – entail significant modelling uncertainties when relying on empirical correlations with limited validation in these ranges.



Phase-1:15 kW

Phase-2: 550 kW

ransfer

DEMO Transfer Line

DEMO is connected to a dummy a foam-insulated load by prototype 4" Phase-2 CMS MTL. It includes horizontal, vertical upflow and downflow sections, instrumented is and absolute temperature, differential pressures. DEMO enables measurements at Phase-2 temperatures, flow rates and



Example Transfer Line Network Performance Simulation – CE3 2PACL System

This poster summarizes preliminary performance measurements of a prototype Phase-2 CMS Main Transfer Line (MTL) connected to DEMO, a prototype Phase-2 2PACL system [1]. It then evaluates the performance of various adiabatic 2-phase DP models that are critical for correct sizing of future CMS transfer lines.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to B. Verlaat, V. Bhanot, J. Noite, and other CERN EP-DT colleagues for the CoBra library and access to DEMO data.

Transfer line routing 3D model in background courtesy of C. Penadés CERN EP-CMX.







Overview of Measurements

Steady-state data (marked in grey below) was retroactively identified within long-term DEMO performance data. High-sampling-rate data was extracted from each of about 200 steady-state intervals and averaged to yield unique system states for analysis. Typical steady-state interval lasted 15 minutes





The overview of steady-state vertical DP measurements 2-phase density driving the hydrostatic DP, as indicated by previous research. [3] The 2-phase upflow DP is linear with predicted void fraction, due to the relatively low frictional component in the present mass flux range. 2-Phase downflow is more difficult to predict, in line with previous attempts [3]. Associated pressure recovery has therefore been neglected so far in transfer line performance simulations.



DP Model Performance

tem

PA

rtica

Vel

0

Frictional DP: Friedel Correlation [5] with correction factor 1.5 [4] Static DP: Yashar Void Fraction Correlation [8] Model now used for transfer line simulations, neglecting downflow DP



Discussion

2-phase DP prediction models used for Phase-2 transfer lines design perform well in upflow, but significantly overpredict horizontal flow and are of little use for modelling downflow. The resulting DP error yields conservative detector saturation temperature estimates.

Müller-Steinhagen & Heck model with good recent The performance in horizontal flow [3] underpredicted DP on the present set-up, possibly due to the pipes' co-axial configuration. Owens' correlation [5] for frictional DP looks like a promising option for modelling the co-axial line, but requires further evaluation.

Outlook

While the test set-up has yielded unique opportunistic data on 2phase co-axial DP, dedicated tests will be needed to validate these findings in real phase-2 detector conditions. Horizontal 2-phase DP models have significant room for improvement, though they appear conservative so far. Several potential factors in prediction errors, notably flow patterns and co-axial configuration, remain to be examined. A separate analysis evaluating heat exchange models will also need to be conducted.

References Analysis code available at https://gitlab.cern.ch/CO2/153_co-ax

- Zwalinski, L. et al., Progress in new environmental friendly low temperature detector cooling systems development for the ATLAS and CMS experiments, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, Volume 1047, 2023
- Verlaat, B., Noite, J., Design Considerations of Long Length Evaporative CO₂ Cooling Lines. 10th IRR Gustav Lorentzen Conference on Natural Refrigerants, GL-209,
- Schmid, D. (2023). Flow Boiling of Carbon Dioxide at Different Channel Orientations for the Thermal Management of Future Detector Technologies at the High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider at CERN [Doctoral thesis, EPFL
- Baldinelli, G. et al., Evaporative CO, pressure drops: comparison between measurements and calculations. Forum on Tracking Detector Mechanics 2022 L. Friedel, "Improved Friction Pressure Drop Correlation for Horizontal and Vertical Two-Phase Pipe Flow", "3R International", vol. 18, 1979.
- H. Müller-Steinhagen and K. Heck, "A simple friction pressure drop correlation for two-phase flow in pipes", "Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification", vol. 20, no. 6, Nov. 1986.
- W. Owens, "Two-Phase Pressure Gradient", "ASME International Developments in Heat Transfer", vol. 2, 1961.
- D. A. Yashar, M. J. Wilson, H. R. Kopke, D. M. Graham, J. C. Chato, and T. A. Newell, "An Investigation of Refrigerant Void Fraction in Horizontal, Microfin Tubes", HVAC&R Research", vol. 7, no. 1, Jan. 2001.



Forum on Tracking Detector Mechanics 2023 Indico 1228295 May 31-June 2 – Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen

Tym.Pakulski@cern.ch https://ep-dep.web.cern.ch/organisation/cms

