Measurement of the Energy Response of the ATLAS Calorimeter to Charged Pions from  $\tau$ -lepton Decays in Run 2 Data

## Meryem Noury (Univ.Hassan II Casablanca)

September 25, 2023





- ∢ ∃ →

1 /10

- Introduction
- ATLAS detector
- Data and samples
- Event selection
- Calorimeter response
- Uncertainties in the response measurement
- Conclusions

- Considering the ratio of the energy reconstructed in the calorimeter to the momentum measured in the tracking detector to understand the calorimeter response to hadrons
- Precise knowledge of the calorimeter response at high p<sub>T</sub> is essential for many ATLAS physics analyses
- Select events with isolated charged pions from  $\tau$ -lepton decays to probe a much higher energy regime

- Forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry
- 4π coverage in solid angle
- Energy and momentum measurement
- Jet reconstruction : Particle-flow algorithm



Cut-away view of the ATLAS detector

Image: Image:

- pp-collision RUN 2 data from 2015 to 2018
  - $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$
  - Integrated luminosity of 139  $\rm fb^{-1}$
- Main signal processes
  - $W(\rightarrow \tau \nu_{\tau})$ + jets
  - Top pair production  $t\bar{t}$

3 × 4 3 ×

Event selection is designed to obtain a high-purity sample of  $W^{\pm} \to \tau^{\pm} (\to \pi^{\pm} + \nu_{\tau}) \nu_{\tau}$ 

- $E_T^{miss} > 150 \text{ GeV}$
- Select isolated tracks associated with the primary vertex with a large number of hits to measure the momentum
- Reject tracks that are formed from electrons, muons and converted photons
- Reject events where the track does not originate from a  $\tau$ -lepton

## Calorimeter Response

• Calorimeter response for  $10 < p_T < 300$  GeV





The fitted mean of the signal  $\mathbf{E}_T^{EM}/p_T^{trk}$ distribution as a function of the track  $p_T$ in the central region The fitted mean of the signal  $\mathbf{E}_T^{EM}/p_T^{trk}$ distribution as a function of the track  $p_T$ in the endcap region

- 2% overestimate of the response in simulation in the barrel
- 4% underestimate of the response in simulation in the endcaps

## Uncertainties in the Response Measurement

• Systematic uncertainties in E/p measurement



The various systematic uncertainties which affect the measurement of the calorimeter energy scale for charged pions as a function of  $p_T^{trk}$  in the central (left) and in the endcap (right) regions

- Only a few uncertainties are larger than the statistical uncertainty of the dataset or the simulated event samples
- Pile-up uncertainties are important at low  $p_T$
- Total uncertainty less than 1% for  $20 < p_T < 200$  GeV in the central region and for  $30 < p_T < 100$  in the endcaps

8 /10

- Calorimeter response was measured for a wide range of p<sub>T</sub> using pions from W<sup>±</sup> → τ<sup>±</sup>(→π<sup>±</sup>+ ν<sub>τ</sub>)ν<sub>τ</sub> process
- The calorimeter response is overestimated by 2% in the central region and underestimated by 4% in the endcaps
- Uncertainties in measurements are less than 1% for :
  - $20 < p_T < 200$  GeV in the central region
  - $30 < p_T < 100$  in the endcaps
- Better understanding of jet energy scale and its uncertainty for the highest p<sub>T</sub> jets
- Measuring jet properties

## Thank you!

Noury Meryem

September 25, 2023 10 /10

æ

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト