

THE DARK ENERGY SURVEY

# Latest results from the Dark Energy Survey

Agnès Ferté SLAC/Stanford University

Agnès Ferté

## Large galaxy surveys to probe (dark) matter in the Universe



Evolution of matter distribution since the Big Bang depends on Universe's properties



But most of the matter is dark...  $\Rightarrow$  Use galaxies as tracers of large-scale structures

Open questions of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model:

- What is **dark energy**?
- o What is dark matter?
- Is GR verified at cosmic distances?

The Dark Energy Survey, a pivotal photometric galaxy survey



- DECam on the Blanco–4m in Chile:
  74 CCDs, 2.2° field of view, 570 Mpixels
  - Mapped 10% of the sky from 2011 until 2019 in 5 optical bands (g,r,i,z,Y)
- International collaboration working on
  3-stage cosmological analyses: Y1, Y3, Y6





## DES cosmological probes and main current results **Expansion**

#### Supernovae

Cosmology from 207 supernovae from DES-SN Y3 DES Collaboration, ApJL, 2019



### **Baryonic Acoustic Oscillation**

Cosmology from 2.7% measurement of BAO scale using DES Y3 data DES Collaboration, PRD, 2022





## Growth

#### Weak lensing and clustering

Cosmology with 4% precision from DES Y3 data DES Collaboration, PRD, 2022



### **Clusters of galaxies**

Cosmology from 6054 clusters using DES Y1 data DES Collaboration, PRD, 2020



#### Agnès Ferté

## Focus on weak lensing and clustering

### Statistical properties of galaxies:

- Galaxies are clustered on large scales
- Galaxies are weakly lensed
  - by large-scale structures on the line of sight
  - → Geodesics are modified
  - → Shapes of galaxies appear:



#### Correlated





Measurements of 2-pt correlation functions of shape and position of galaxies in tomographic redshift bins  $\Rightarrow$  Probe the expansion and the growth of structures  $\Rightarrow$  Sensitive to the parameter S8



## DES Y3: cosmological analysis of 3x2pt is a data challenge

#### Images over 10% of the sky

Sevilla – Noarbe, Bechtol, Carrasco Kind, et al, ApJ, 2021



Shape of **100 millions** galaxies and redshift estimation



## **Precise** measurements of correlation functions (S/N = 87)

DES collaboration, PRD, 2022



Sevilla — Noarbe, Bechtol, Carrasco Kind, et al, 2021 Hartley, Choi, et al, 2021 MacCrann et al, 2021 Everett et al, 2021 Jarvis et al, 2021 Gatti, Sheldon, et al, 2021 Cawthon et al, 2021 Giannini et al, in prep Myles, Alarcon, et al, 2021 Gatti, Giannini, et al, 2021 Cordero, Harrison, et al, 2021 Elvin–Poole, MacCrann, et al, in prep DeRose et al, 2021 Porredon et al, 2021 Pandey et al, 2021 Rodriguez–Monroy et al, 2021 Prat et al, 2021 Sánchez, Prat, et al, 2021 Amon et al, 2021 Secco, Samuroff, et al, 2021 Jeffrey, Gatti, et al, 2021 and also a modeling challenge



Model: Analytic prediction of weak lensing and clustering signal, pipeline and validation of modeling choices Krause et al (incl. AF), 2021

# Tests of beyond–ACDM models with DES Y3 weak lensing and clustering data

DES Y3 extensions team led by Jessie Muir & Agnès Ferté from 2018 to 2022:

- Dynamical dark energy
- Curvature
- Sterile massive neutrinos
- Phenomenological  $\sigma_8(z)$  test
- Test of gravity



#### EDITORS' SUGGESTION

Dark Energy Survey Year 3 results: Constraints on extensions to  $\Lambda\,CDM$  with weak lensing and galaxy clustering

The authors use Dark Energy Survey data on galaxy clustering and lensing from the first three years of observations combined with five prominent external datasets. They robustly constrain six potential extensions to the currently prevalent cosmological paradigm of ACDM (Cold Dark Matter with a cosmological constant). All extensions would add significant new physics, such as deviations from General Relativity or non-zero spatial curvature, but no significant evidence for new physics is found.

T.M.C. Abbott *et al.* (DES Collaboration) Phys. Rev. D **107**, 083504 (2023)



#### Results released:

- DES collaboration, PRD, 2023 as editor's suggestion
- Public data

https://dev.des.ncsa.illinois.edu/releases/y3a2/Y3keyextensions

## Phenomenological tests of gravity



Are modifications to geodesics caused by weak lensing as expected in GR?

$$k^2 \Phi = -8\pi G a^2 (1 + \Sigma_0 \Omega_\Lambda(t)) \rho \delta$$

 $\Rightarrow \text{Test for deviations of } \Sigma_0 \text{ from 0 (GR)} \\ \Rightarrow \text{Similarly for clustering with the parameter } \mu_0 \\ \text{See Camille Bonvin's talk for more about } \Sigma, \mu \\ \end{cases}$ 



$$\begin{split} \text{Approach to test of gravity} & w^{i}(\theta) = \sum_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta) C_{\delta_{g}\delta_{g}}^{ii}(\ell) \\ \gamma_{t}^{ij}(\theta) = \sum_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \frac{P_{\ell}^{2}(\cos\theta)}{\ell(\ell+1)} C_{\delta_{g}E}^{ij}(\ell) \\ \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{D}|\Theta) \sim [\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{M}(\Theta)]^{T} C^{-1} [\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{M}(\Theta)] & \xi_{\pm}^{ij}(\theta) = \sum_{\ell \ge 2} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} \frac{2(G_{\ell,2}^{+}(x) \pm G_{\ell,2}^{-}(x))}{\ell^{2}(\ell+1)^{2}} \\ \times [C_{\text{EE}}^{ij}(\ell) \pm C_{\text{BB}}^{ij}(\ell)], \end{split}$$

Estimate  $\Sigma_0$  by sampling the likelihood

Adapt the model of 3x2pt to add the impact of  $\Sigma_0,\mu_0$ 



Safeguard against false detection of beyond–ACDM physics:

- Scale cuts: data points where we don't trust our model are removed.
  50% of data point removed for tests of gravity.
- Blinded analysis: validation of our modeling choices on P(k,z) modeling, intrinsic alignment, baryonic feedback, etc. on simulated data and real data without looking at real results to avoid confirmation bias Muir et al, PRD, 2020 Weaverdyck, Alves et al, in prep

## DES Y3 weak lensing tells us that General Relativity still holds...



## Neutrinos mass constraints





 $\Rightarrow$  Weak lensing will give important constraints on the sum of neutrino masses in the coming years. ⇒ Weak lensing helps constrain sterile massive neutrinos when added to CMB data

## Combination of DES weak lensing with CMB lensing

The Cosmic Microwave Background is also lensed:



- $\Rightarrow$  Are 3x2pt and CMB lensing consistent? Consistency and combination of
- CMB lensing power spectrum from SPT–SZ and the Planck satellite,
- 3x2pt from DES Y3.







#### Results released in

Y. Omori, E. J. Baxter, C. Chang et al., PRD, 2023
 C. Chang, Y. Omori, E. J. Baxter, PRD, 2023
 DES Collaboration, PRD, 2023

## Tensions: $S_8$ tension and tensions with $\Lambda CDM$





 $S_8$  tension: weak lensing surveys systematically measure  $S_8$  to be lower than what CMB experiments measure.

- Not alleviated by beyond ACDM models we tested.
- Not alleviated by combination with CMB lensing.

See Pedro Carrilho's talk for more about the  $S_8$  tension

Tension with ACDM: used many tensions metrics and no evidence of tension. Lemos, Raveri et al, MNRAS, 2021

## Preparing for the DES legacy and the new weak lensing surveys

- DES Y3 results in 2022/2023:
  - No deviation from ACDM detected.
  - Results of combination with CMB lensing data.
- Many challenges need to be solved for the future:
  - $X_{\text{lens}}$  issue, improve modeling of 3x2pt in beyond  $\Lambda\text{CDM}$  models, ...
- Exploration beyond 3x2pt: cosmology from deep learning.
  AF co-PI with Tomasz Kacprzak of NERSC NESAP proposal to develop deep learning algorithms parallelized on GPUs on NERSC Perlmutter.
- We are working hard on DES Y6 data to do the final cosmological analyses of DES probes.



The future of tests of gravity