



Erik Fröjdh :: PSD Detector Group :: Paul Scherrer Institute

A look at single photon counting detectors for SLS2.0

PSD13 – Oxford, UK – 3-8<sup>th</sup> September 2023



#### PSD Detector Group at PSI





# SLS2.0 – a 4th generation light source

- Increased brilliance [1]:
  - ->100x at 10 keV
  - Up to 1000x at 20 keV
- Dark period starting end of September 2023
- First light planned 2025 with user operation in later part of the year
  - More photons
  - Higher energies
  - Lower energies





## New light source, new detector (Matterhorn)



- 75x75 µm<sup>2</sup> pixel size
- 4 thresholds (w. 16 bit counters)
- Energy range: 250 eV
- Electron and hole colle
- 100Gbit/s readout boa
- 160 kHz in 1 bit mode
- <20ns gating</p>
- ~20M photons pixel/s tracking)



1M Jungfrau GaAs 500 μm thick 8x4 cm<sup>2</sup>

First results of Matterhorn vo.1



## Reaching 250 eV - iLGADs

FONDAZIONE

- Thin entrance window (QE)
- Signal amplification in the sensor
- Collaboration with FBK started 2019
  - Optimized entrance window
  - iLGAD design with shallow gain layer
- QE @ 250 eV increased:
  - < 5% conventional sensor</p>
  - ~ 60% current TEW
  - ~ 80% thinner passivation
  - $-\sim$  90% future new process
- Gain 3-7 (tested on Eiger)





#### References: PSI-FBK sensor development

[1] Andrä et. al. <u>Development of low-energy X-ray detectors using LGAD sensors</u>. J. Synchrotron Rad. 26, 1226–1237.
[2] Zhang et. al. <u>Development of LGAD sensors with a thin entrance window for soft X-ray detection</u> JINST 17. 2022
[3] Carulla et. al. <u>Study of the internal quantum efficiency of FBK sensors with optimized entrance windows</u> JINST 18 2023



#### Eiger with iLGADs



- Single photon counting
- 512x512 pixels at 75 μm<sup>2</sup>
- Diffraction pattern from *Fresnel zone plate*
- 900 eV 250 eV
- Lower energies  $\rightarrow$  larger diffraction angle
- Higher harmonics visible in the center



## Eiger with iLGADs

- Threshold scans: 450 eV 900 eV
- Shallow gain layer design



#### • Calibration curves





#### Ptychographic Scan of a Siemens Star







LGAD Eiger (standard gain layer) at **712.5 eV** Spatial resolution ~8 nm

Butcher et. al. *Ptychographic nanoscale imaging of the magnetoelectric coupling in freestanding BiFeO3* <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.13465</u>











#### Reaching 20Mcps – pileup tracking





- Paralyzable counter:  $m = ne^{-\tau n}$
- Probablility of two and three events pile-up:

• 
$$p_2 = e^{-\tau n} (1 - e^{-\tau n})$$
  
•  $p_3 = e^{-\tau n} (1 - e^{-\tau n})^2$ 

• 
$$m_s = m + m_2 + m_3$$

For characterization:

- Fit first counter with the paralyzable model
- Fit sum of counter 1,2 and 3 with the pile-up model

Glenn F. Knoll, Radiation Detector and Measurement 4th Edition, Wiley

M. Andrae The MYTHEN III Detector System - A single photon-counting microstrip detector for powder diffraction experiments ETHZ Doctoral Thesis





MS beamline@SLS





Settings: standard Energy: 15 keV

10% lost counts at: th<sub>0</sub>: 1.03M th<sub>sum</sub>: 6 M

Noise 175e- RMS standard settings

Settings: Fast [1] Single counter 3.52 M Three counters 20.87 M

#### MS beamline@SLS

[1] M Andrä The MYTHEN III Detector System - A single photon-counting microstrip detector for powder diffraction experiments ETHZ PhD Thesis 2021









#### Matterhorn vo.1 characterization

- First results with X-rays (XRF)
- ~80e- RMS noise (preliminary!)
- Beamtime planned: calibration and rate





#### MATTERHORN and SLS2.0





#### Summary and outlook

Increased flux at SLS2.0 will be be a challenge for single photon counting detectors

- Extend rate capabilities (e.g. pileup tracking)
- Faster frame rates (to use the photons)

Extended energy range

- iLGADs 250eV single photon counting looks realistic
- High Z sensor materials

Charge integrating with dynamic gain switching for the extremly high (or low fluxes)



Count if you can, integrate if you have to



### Jungfrau for synchrotron use

 [1] F. Leonarski et al. *Fast and accurate data collection for macromolecular* <u>crystallography using the JUNGFRAU detector</u>. Nature methods 15.10 (2018), pp. 799–804.

[2] F. Leonarski et. al. *Jungfraujoch: hardware-accelerated data-acquisition system for kilohertz pixel-array X-ray detectors* Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 30, 2023

[3] Mozzanica et. al. <u>The JUNGFRAU Detector for Applications at Synchrotron Light</u> <u>Sources and XFELs</u> Synchrotron Radiation News 31 2018



# Backup slides



## What about integrating detectors?

- Charge integrating detector with dynamic gain switching (e.g. Jungfrau) can outperform single photon counters at high rates [1]
  - Linear up to 20M 12keV photons/pixel/s (Jungfrau2 100M 12 keV photons?)
  - Rate capabilities scales with photon energy and frame rate
  - (Almost) no corner effect
- Provides more information about photons in the sparse regime (interpolation)
- Higher demands on the readout system
  - Needs to run at maximum frame rate for optimal data quality
  - Pedestal subtraction and conversion into energy



#### Detector portfolio: Single photon counting

	MYTHEN3	PILATUS	EIGER	MATTERHORN
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	New New
Technology	UMC 250 nm	UMC 250 nm	UMC 250 nm	UMC 110 nm
Status	Commercially available	Commercially available	Commercially available	Prototyping phase
Pixel size	50 μm (Strips)	172 x 172 μm²	75 x 75 μm²	75 x 75 μm²
Maximum system size	120° (=48 modules)	6M (=42 x 43 cm²)	9M (=23 x 23 cm²)	4 x 4 mm²
Minimum threshold	< 4 keV	< 2 keV	< 2.5 keV	< 1 keV with iLGAD technology
Count rate capability	>2 MHz/Strip (10% deviation, Standard)	0.5-1.0 MHz/Pixel (10% deviation)	0.2-0.7 MHz/Pixel (10% deviation)	<b>20 MHz/Pixel</b> (20% deviation)
Maximum frame rate	100 kHz (8-bit)	300 Hz/Module	23 kHz (1-bit)	10 kHz (16-bit)
Applications (Examples)	<ul> <li>Powder Diffraction</li> <li>Energy dispersives</li> <li>spectrometer</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Protein Crystallography</li><li>Time-resolved experiments</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Protein Crystallography</li> <li>XPCS</li> <li>Coherent X-Ray Imaging</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Optimized for high count- rates</li> <li>Electron collection</li> </ul>

A. Bergamaschi :: GRC X-Ray Science 2023



—

#### Detector portfolio: Charge integrating

	GOTTHARD	GOTTHARD2	AGIPD <sup>1</sup>	JUNGFRAU	MÖNCH
Technology	IBM 130 nm	UMC 110 nm	IBM 130 nm	UMC 110 nm	UMC 110 nm
Status	Modules available	Modules available	Modules available	Modules available	(Advanced) Prototyping
Pixel size	50 μm (Strips)	50 μm (Strips)	200 x 200 μm²	75 x 75 μm²	25 x 25 μm²
Maximum system size	Modules (=10 ASICs)	Modules (=10 ASICs)	1Mpixel (=16 Modules)	16Mpixel (=32 Modules)	Single Chip (=1x1 cm <sup>2</sup> )
Noise (r.m.s.)	<200 e <sup>-</sup> ENC	~300 e⁻ ENC @ 4.5 MHz	< 322 e <sup>-</sup> ENC < 214 e <sup>-</sup> ENC (HG)	< 100 e <sup>-</sup> ENC (G0) < 55 e <sup>-</sup> ENC (HG0)	<35 e <sup>-</sup> ENC
Dynamic range	< 1 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>4</sup> x 12.4 keV (3 gain stages)	> 8 <sup>.</sup> 10 <sup>3</sup> x 12.4 keV (3 gain stages)	< 1.10 <sup>4</sup> x 12.4 keV (3 gain stages)	< 1.10 <sup>4</sup> x 12.4 keV (3 gain stages)	< 500 x 12.4 keV (2 gain stages)
Maximum frame rate	40 kHz (cont.) 1 MHz (burst)	400 kHz (cont.) 4.5 MHz (burst*) *2720 frames	< 5 MHz (burst*) * 352 frames	2.4 kHz (cont.) < 1 MHz (burst*) *16 frames	6-8 kHz (cont.)

A. Bergamaschi :: GRC X-Ray Science 2023

<sup>1)</sup> Developed with the University of Bonn (GER), the University of Hamburg (GER) and DESY (GER)



# Where does counters fit in?

#### Interpolation





A. Bergamaschi

#### Single photon counting

- Workhorse of the synchrotron
- "Noise free" data
- Pre processed (1 photon count)
- Gating possible

 $10^{1}$ 

- Flexible exposure time (us->h)
- Reliable and proven technology

 $10^{3}$ 

photons/pixel/second

#### XFEL (all photons at once)





#### Comparing deadtime models



[1] A. Bergamaschi et. al. Time-over-threshold readout to enhance the high flux capabilities of single-photon-counting detectors J. Synchrotron Rad. 18, 923-929. 2011

[2] P. Zambon, Dead time model for X-ray photon counting detectors with retrigger capability, NIMA 2021



#### Pixel size and the corner effect (sim)





#### Comparing simulation and measurement



In collaboration with Filip Leonarski from the MX group. Jungfrau data from Aldo Mozzanica.





#### **Detector simulation**



A. Schübel, et.al. "A Geant4 based framework for pixel detector simulation". JINST 9.12 (2014), p. C12018.

D. Krapohl, et.al.. "Validation of Geant4 pixel detector simulation framework by measurements with the Medipix family detectors". TNS 63.3 (2016), pp. 1874–1881.