# **Implementation of the annihilation gamma-ray** polarizations in Positron Emission Tomography

<u>Ana Marija Kožuljević<sup>1,\*</sup>, Tomislav Bokulić<sup>1</sup>, Darko Grošev<sup>2</sup>, Siddharth Parashari<sup>1</sup>, Luka</u> Pavelić<sup>3</sup>, Ema Topolnjak<sup>2</sup>, Mihael Makek<sup>1</sup> \* amk@phy.hr

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 32, Zagreb, Croatia <sup>2</sup>University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Kišpatićeva 12, Zagreb, Croatia <sup>3</sup>Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health, Ksaverska cesta 2, Zagreb, Croatia

#### Motivation

To investigate the possibility of image background reduction and image enhancement by measuring the polarization correlations of gamma-rays from positron annihilation in Positron Emission Tomography (PET) [1, 2, 3, 4].

#### Aim

Investigate the properties of images of two Ge-68 line sources in a phantom, obtained by novel PET demonstrator device that measures polarization correlations of the annihilation utilizing gamma-rays, by capabilities of the single-layer Compton polarimeters with SiPM read-out [5..9].

## **Data analysis** Coincidence window time: 2500 ps

## $\phi = \operatorname{atan}$

#### Results

#### **Image reconstruction**

- Selected events reconstructed with OMEGA software
- OSEM, 10 iterations, 8 subsets

#### **Novel PET demonstrator device**



Figure 1: The novel PET demonstrator device. Detector modules based on the single-layer Compton polarimeters mounted on a rotating gantry [5, 7, 8, 9].



Figure 2: Polarized gamma-ray Compton scatters in one pixel of the detector and gets absorbed in another. The Compton angles are reconstructed from the equations.



Figure 3: The azimuthal angle differences distribution with the Klein-Nishina fit, with the cuts for  $90^{\circ}\pm20^{\circ}$  used in reconstruction.

**Reconstructed image of the annihilation** gamma-rays with polarization correlations



- Images of the size 100x100x31
- FOV: 120 mm x 120 mm

**Polar angle interval** 

|    |         | 82°±10° | 82°±5° | 70°±10° | 70°±5° |
|----|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| ΦΦ | 0°±20°  | 99      | 28     | 164     | 43     |
|    | 90°±20° | 180     | 55     | 280     | 75     |

Table 1: The number of coincidence events cuts (per position) for image reconstruction (in thousands). The cut was determined by the maximum number of events that originate from the correlated annihilation gamma-rays.

### **SNR characteristics of the** reconstructed images



ratio (SNR) was evaluated MATLAB, by dividing the average intensity in the regions of interest (ROI) by their standard deviation . ROI is a circle around each of the sources in the axial image (in Fig.

- Modules with 3.2 matrix pitch fixed opposite of one another
- GaGG:Ce crystals, Eres@511keV~10%[6]
- 16x16 matrix, 3x3x20 mm<sup>3</sup> each pixel
- Diameter fixed at 430 mm
- Acquisition time: ~ 2.3 h per position
- Number of positions:  $12 (15^{\circ} \text{ per rotation})$

### **Source and phantom**

- Ge-68 line source, 45.5 MBq
- Epoxy phantom is 3 cm in diameter
- Distance between the sources is 1 cm
- One source placed through the middle of the phantom

Figure 4: Reconstructed image with the data that corresponds to events in which both gamma-rays scattered with the polar scattering angle 82°±10°, with azimuthal angle difference of 90°±20° (preliminary).

#### Conclusions

We have successfully, for the first time, reconstructed the image of two line sources in a plastic phantom with events that correspond to annihilation gammarays with correlations in polarization. Calculated SNR ratios for the reconstructed images show that the ratio is larger for events with a polar angle  $82^{\circ}\pm10^{\circ}$ , than those at 70° $\pm$ 10°. With the removal of five slices from the top and bottom of the image, there is a slight increase in the SNR in each of the coincidence cuts.

Figure 7: SNR values of images with the difference of azimuthal scattering angle 90°±20° and polar angle 70°±10° vs. number of reconstructed coincidences per position, calculated before and after the removal of the top and bottom 5

#### **References:**

[1] A. McNamara et al., Phys. Med. Biol., vol. 59, Nov. 2014, pp. 7587. [2] M. Toghyani et al., Phys. Med. Biol., vol. 61, Aug. 2016, pp. 5803. [3] D. Watts et al., Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 2646. [4] D. Kim et al., 2023 JINST vol. 18, P07007 [5] M. Makek, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, vol. 958, Apr. 2020, Art. no. 162835. [6] M. Makek, et al., Crystals, vol. 10, no. 12, Nov. 2020, Art. no. 1073. [7] M. Makek, et al., Condensed Matter, vol. 4, no. 1, Feb. 2019, Art. no. 24. [8] A.M. Kožuljević et al., 2021 Condensed Matter vol. 6, Art. No. 43 [9] S. Parashari et al, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, vol. 1040, Art. No. 167186

This work was supported by "Research Cooperability" Program of the Croatian Science Foundation funded by the European Union from the European Social Fund under the







#### Operational Programme Efficient Human Resources 2014–2020, Grant number PZS-2019-



The project is funded by the European Union under the European Social Fund