The Nelson-Barr Axion Dark Matter (converting the LHC+B-factories into quantum sensors)

Weizmann



Gilad Perez

- Intro. the strong CP problem + ultralight dark-matter
- The Nelson-Barr solution to the strong CP problem
- The strong-CP axion
- New pheno' new signatures for the LHC+B-factories
- Challenges of the model



How serious is the strong CP problem ?

- I a levels of formulating the strong CP problem: (*i*) $\bar{\theta} = \theta - \arg \left| \det \left(Y_u Y_d \right) \right| \lesssim 10^{-10}$, is it a problem? (who knows?) (*ii*) $\bar{\theta} = \leq 10^{-10} \ll \theta_{\rm KM} = \arg \left\{ \det \left[Y_u Y_u^{\dagger}, Y_u^{\dagger} \right] \right\}$ (not if these are natural/protected and seque (*iii*) $\bar{\theta} = \leq 10^{-10} \ll \theta_{\rm KM}$, but $\bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}_{\rm bare} + \epsilon \theta_{\rm KM} \ln (\Lambda_{\rm UV}/M_W)$, is it a problem?
 - (*e* appears in 7 loops and contains several other suppression factor)
- Should we be cautious [at least till we reach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-16})$ precision]

$$\left\{Y_{d}^{\dagger}\right\} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$
, is it a problem?
estered)

We nevertheless focus on axions

Segin with ultralight dark matter (UDM), minimal model would be just a free massive scalar:

$$\mathscr{L} \in m_{\phi}^2 \phi^2, \ \rho_{\mathrm{Eq}}^{\mathrm{DM}} \sim \mathrm{eV}^4 \sim m_{\phi}^2 \phi_{\mathrm{Eq}}^2 = m_{\phi}^2 \phi_{\mathrm{init}}^2 (\mathrm{eV}/T_{\mathrm{osc}})^3 \qquad \left[T_{\mathrm{os}} \sim \sqrt{M_{\mathrm{Pl}} m_{\phi}} \right]^2$$

minimal misalignment mechanism



• Assuming ("best case") MeV reheating: ϕ_{init} (f_r

$$f_{\min} = \begin{cases} 10^{17} \,\text{GeV} \,\left(\frac{10^{-27} \,\text{eV}}{m_{\phi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} & m_{\phi} \lesssim 10^{-15} \,\text{eV} \\\\ 10^{15} \,\text{GeV} \,\left(\frac{10^{-15} \,\text{eV}}{m_{\phi}}\right) & m_{\phi} \gtrsim 10^{-15} \,\text{eV} \end{cases}$$

• There's a class of models where CP is UV-sym' and at tree level we find:

$$\bar{\theta} = \theta - \arg\left[\det\left(Y_{u}Y_{d}\right)\right] = 0 \quad \& \quad \theta_{\mathrm{KM}} = \arg\left\{\det\left[Y_{u}Y_{u}^{\dagger}, Y_{d}Y_{d}^{\dagger}\right]\right\} = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

- This is realized if:
- 1. Yukawas are Hermitian (left-right models or wave function renorm')
- 2. Structure/sym. => det(0), concretely, Nelson-Barr (NB)
- We focus on NB, which are easy to control & of higher quality

Solving the QCD problem *not* with QCD axion

Hiller & Schmaltz (01); Harnik, GP, Schwartz & Shirman (04); Cheung, Fitzpatrick & Randall (08)

Nelson; Barr (84)



•
$$\mathscr{L}_{NB} = \mu q^c q + (g_i \Phi + \tilde{g}_i \Phi^*) d_i^c q + Y_d H Q d^c + Y_u \tilde{H} Q u^c \quad (\text{with } q, q^c, \Phi \subset Z_2 - \text{odd})$$

Assume that theory is real and only

1.
$$\mathcal{M}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & B_i \\ 0 & m_d \end{pmatrix}; \ m_d \equiv Y_d v; \ B_i \equiv (g_i \Phi + \tilde{g}_i \Phi^*) \implies \det \left[\mathcal{M}_d \right] \in \text{Real}$$

2. At low energy ($v \ll \mu, g_i f$), effective m_d satisfies

which if g_i isn't parallel to \tilde{g}_i and $\mu \leq B_i$ lead to $\theta_{\rm KM} = \mathcal{O}(1)$

Nelson-Barr (crash course)

$$\Phi = \frac{f + \rho}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{ia}{f}\right); \quad \langle a \rangle \neq 0 \text{ breaks CP, then:}$$

atisfies
$$m_d^{\text{eff}} m_d^{\text{eff}^{\dagger}} = m_d \left(\mathbf{1}_3 + \frac{B_i^* B_j}{\mu^2 + B_f B_f^{\dagger}} \right) m_d^{\dagger}$$
,



6

Nelson-Barr axion-like pheno for the CP breaking

- $\mathscr{L}_{NB} = \mu q^c q + (g_i \Phi + \tilde{g}_i \Phi^*) d_i^c q + Y_d H Q d^c + Y_u \tilde{H}$
- \bigcirc Assume approx' flavor sym' such that $g_i \propto (1,0,0)$ & $\tilde{g}_i \propto (0,0,1)$ with $\langle a \rangle = 0$
- \sim Furthermore, one can show that $\theta_{\rm KM}$ =

Also, mixing angles develop quadratic dependence on a (but not masses)

Discussion with: M. Dine, Y. Nir, W. Ratzinger, I. Savoray

$$\tilde{A}Qu^c$$
 (with $q, q^c, \Phi \subset Z_2 - \text{odd}$)

• Then a is a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone-boson, with suppressed potential, but

Involved the 3rd generation

$$= \frac{a}{f} \qquad \begin{cases} m_d^{\text{eff}} m_d^{\text{eff}^{\dagger}} \sim m_d \left[\mathbf{1}_3 + r \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & e^{\frac{2ia}{f}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e^{\frac{-2ia}{f}} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] m_d^T \end{cases}$$

Nelson-Barr ultralight-DM pheno

the minimum of potential generically would lead to $\langle a \rangle \neq 0$ and spontaneous breaking of CP => $\bar{\theta} = 0 \& \theta_{KM} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ultralight DM

- While the strong CP is always zero

With: M. Dine, Y. Nir, W. Ratzinger, I. Savoray (also discussion with Surject)

In case another sector breaks the shift sym' (say Planck suppress or other) then

Relaxion: Graham, Kaplan & Rajendran (15) NB-relaxion - Davidi, Gupta, GP, Redigolo, & Shalit (17)

• Now if we tip the NB-axion from it's minimum it'd behave as a new type of

New type of pheno: *time dependent CKM angles*





NB-UDM signature & parameter space

• What is the size of the effect? $\delta \theta_{\rm KM} \sim \frac{\sqrt{\rho_{\rm DM}}}{m_{\rm NID} f} \cos \theta_{\rm KM}$

- Currently (PDG): $\theta_{\rm KM} = 1.14 \pm 0.03$
- How to search such signal? Need time dependence CP violation, perfect for *B*-asym

• Bound from EP:
$$\frac{\Delta m_u}{m_u} \approx \frac{3}{32\pi^2} y_b^2 |V_{ub}^{\text{SM}}|^2 \frac{a}{f} \Rightarrow f$$

Minimal misalignment DM bound, can't be satis

$$s(m_{\rm NB}t) \sim 10^{-3} \times \frac{10^{10} \,{\rm GeV}}{f} \times \frac{10^{-19} \,{\rm eV}}{m_{\rm NB}} \times \cos(m_{\rm NB}t)$$

 $c \gtrsim 10^{10} \,\mathrm{GeV}$

sfied:
$$f \gtrsim 10^{15} \,\text{GeV} \left(\frac{10^{-19} \,\text{eV}}{m_{\phi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

• Naive naturalness => sub-MeV cutoff , $\Delta m_a \approx \frac{y_b |V_{ub}| m_u \Lambda_{UV}}{16\pi^2 f}$ => current B-factories probe finely tuned region



9

- The strong CP problem is marginall solve it
- Nelson-Barr models account for the smallness of the strong CP phase & the fact the KM phase is order one, which requires spontaneous CP violation
- Spontaneous breaking lead to the presence of a light axion-like field
- If this field consist of ultralight dark matter it'd lead to new type of pheno', with time-dependent CKM angles (involving the 3rd gen')
- Maybe be probed by the LHC/B-factories

• The strong CP problem is marginally a problem but it is interesting to try and



10