## **Quark stars in massive gravity might be candidates for the mass gap region objects**

1

**Jalil Sedaghat Behzad Eslam Panah Mohammad Zebarjad Gholam Hossein Bordbar Rahim Moradi** Yerevan, Armenia, September12-16, 2023

(Lhe /Vlodern Physics of Comapct Stars



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern





**For non-rotating Neutron stars, the equation of state (EOS) must be very rigid. Such EOSs contrast dimensionless tidal deformability () constraints obtained from GW170817**

**They could be the smallest black holes or the remnants of binary mergers**

bidi

**Black** 

**MAL** 

### Black Hole



**We look at this from a different point of view.**



**Massive Gravity is a theory of gravity which considers massive gravitons**

**Modified TOV equation in massive gravity**

$$
\frac{dP(r)}{dr} = \frac{\left(M(r) + 4\pi r^3 P - \frac{r^2 C_1}{4}\right)(\epsilon + P)}{r\left(\frac{C_1 r^2}{2} + 2M(r) + r(C_2 - 1)\right)}
$$

#### **Strange Quark Star**



**The most stable state of QCD is the strange quark matter.**

**We have considered a modified version of the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (MNJL) model to obtain the EOS**

**(Cheng-Ming Li et al, PHYSICAL REVIEW D 101, 063023 (2020))**

We have used the constraint,  $A_{1.4M_{\bigodot}} \lesssim 580$ 

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{NJL}} = \bar{\psi}(i\partial - m)\psi + \sum_{i=0}^{8} G[(\bar{\psi}\lambda_{i}\psi)^{2} + (\bar{\psi}i\gamma^{5}\lambda_{i}\psi)^{2}] - K(\det[\bar{\psi}(1+\gamma^{5})\psi] + \det[\bar{\psi}(1-\gamma^{5})\psi]),
$$

**By performing the Fierz transformation on the Lagrangian interaction part, we get**

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} = \bar{\psi}(i\partial - m)\psi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=0}^{8} G[(\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\lambda_{a}^{C}\psi)^{2} - (\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\lambda_{a}^{C}\psi)^{2}] - K(\det[\bar{\psi}(1+\gamma^{5})\psi] + \det[\bar{\psi}(1-\gamma^{5})\psi]), \qquad (2
$$

**The original Lagrangian and its Fierz transform are mathematically equivalent, but differ in the mean-field approximation.**

$$
\mathcal{L} = (1-\alpha)\mathcal{L}_{\text{NJL}} + \alpha\mathcal{L}_{\text{F}}
$$

#### **mean-field approximation**

In mean-field approximation the dynamical quark mass  $M_i$  and the modified chemical potential  $\mu'_i$  of flavor  $i$  are obtained respectively:

$$
M_{\rm i}=m_{\rm i}-4G\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\rm i}+2K\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\rm j}\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\rm k},
$$

$$
\mu'_{\rm i} = \mu_{\rm i} - \frac{2\alpha}{N_{\rm c}(1-\alpha)} G \langle \psi^+ \psi \rangle_{\rm i}.
$$

**Cheng-Ming Li et al, PHYSICAL REVIEW D 101, 063023 (2020)**

**Quark number densities**



 $P(\mu) = P(\mu = 0) + \int_0^{\mu} d\mu' \rho(\mu'),$ 

8

aning general spalmer

#### **Constraints of SQM to obtain EOS**

#### **stability condition**

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{n_B}(3 \; flavor) < \frac{\epsilon}{n_B}(2 \; flavor)
$$

**Charge neutrality**  2 3  $n_u$  – 1 3  $n_d -$ 1 3  $n_{\rm s}-n_{e}=0$ **Beta equilibrium**  $\mu_s = \mu_d$  $\mu_d = \mu_u + \mu_e$ 

> EOS  $\epsilon = \mu_i n_i - P$



#### **Comparison of energy density per baryon between two-flavor and three-flavor quark matter for**   $\boldsymbol{B}$  $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{4}=117MeV$



**Cheng-Ming Li et al, PHYSICAL REVIEW D 101, 063023 (2020)**

#### **Comparison of energy density per baryon between two-flavor and three-flavor quark matter for**   $\boldsymbol{B}$  $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{4}=130MeV$



**Cheng-Ming Li et al, PHYSICAL REVIEW D 101, 063023 (2020)** 









**Speed of sound**

п

#### $B_4^{\frac{1}{4}} = 117MeV$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$ ,  $C_1 = 10^{-5}$  & different values of  $C_2$





**Dimensionless tidal deformability versus the mass of the star**



#### $B_4^{\frac{1}{4}} = 117MeV$ ,  $\alpha = 0.8$ ,  $C_1 = 10^{-5}$  & different values of  $C_2$





**Dimensionless tidal deformability versus the mass of the star**



#### $B^{\frac{1}{4}} = 117$ MeV,  $\alpha$ =0.94,  $C_1 = 10^{-5}$  & different values of  $C_2$





**Dimensionless tidal deformability versus the mass of the star**





#### $B_4^2 = 130$  MeV,  $\alpha$ =0.5,  $C_1 = 10^{-5}$  & different values of  $C_2$





**Dimensionless tidal deformability versus the mass of the star**



#### $B_4^{\frac{1}{4}} = 130$ MeV,  $\alpha$ =0.8,  $C_1 = 10^{-5}$  & different values of  $C_2$





**Dimensionless tidal deformability versus the mass of the star**



#### $B^{\frac{1}{4}} = 130$ MeV,  $\alpha$ =0.94,  $C_1 = 10^{-5}$  & different values of  $C_2$





**Dimensionless tidal deformability versus the mass of the star**



# **Conclusion**

**In GR, the soft EOSs give small masses for quark stars, and the stiff EOSs do not satisfy the constraint of tidal deformability. We have shown that in massive gravity, it is possible to have quark stars that not only cover the mass gap region objects, but also satisfy the constraint of tidal deformability.**

# The Modern Physics of Comapct Stars

# and Relativistic Gravity 2023

28

Yerevan, Armenia, September12-16, 2023