# Charge Transfer Fluctuation as a Signal for QGP

Sangyong Jeon with Lijun Shi & Marcus Bleicher

Physics, McGill

RBRC

# Why fluctuations?

- Sometimes physics is in the width.
- Thermodynamically interesting (heat capacity, ...).
- Bulk property :  $p_T < 2 \,\mathrm{GeV}$

#### Aren't Correlation functions better?

• Yes, of course. More fundamental and lots more info. Fluctuations are but a single aspect of them but easier to predict than the whole function.

# **Interesting fluctuations**

- Multiplicity fluctuations (KNO? Thermal?)
- Energy fluctuation (Heat capacity?)
- 'Charge' fluctuation
  - Electric charge (Fractional charges?)
  - Baryon number (Fractional baryon number?)
  - Strangeness (Gluon fragmentation?)
  - Heavy quark number (Initial wave function?)
- Mean  $p_T/m_T$  fluctuation (Temperature? Heat capacity?)



(Thomas, Quigg, Chao (1973), Shi, Jeon, hep-ph/0503085)

• Charge Transfer:

$$u(y) = \left[Q_F(y) - Q_B(y)\right]/2$$

where

 $\begin{cases} Q_F(y) = \text{Net charge in the forward region of } y \\ Q_B(y) = \text{Net charge in the backward region of } y \end{cases}$ 



- $u(y) = [Q_F(y) Q_B(y)]/2$
- Suppose a neutral cluster R decays near y.

 $- R \longrightarrow h^+ + h^-$  with a typical  $\Delta y = \lambda$ 

- For each R decay, u(y) changes by  $\pm 1 \Longrightarrow \underline{\mathsf{Random walk}}$ 

$$- D_u(y) = \langle \Delta u(y)^2 \rangle = N_{\text{steps}}(y) \approx \lambda \frac{dN_{\text{cluster}}}{dy}$$

- Since  $dN_{\rm cluster}/dy \propto dN_{\rm Ch}/dy$ ,



$$\kappa(y) \equiv \frac{D_u(y)}{dN_{ch}/dy} \propto \lambda(y)$$
 Constant  $\kappa(y)$ : Thomas-Chao-Quigg Relationship

• Measure of the *local* charge correlation length

 $\kappa(y) \equiv \frac{D_u(y)}{dN_{\rm Ch}/dy} \propto \lambda(y)$  Constant  $\kappa(y)$ : Thomas-Chao-Quigg Relationship

• Measure of the *local* charge correlation length

[Net charge fluct. and Balance func : Averaged inside the obs. window]

 $\kappa(y) \equiv \frac{D_u(y)}{dN_{ch}/dy} \propto \lambda(y)$  Constant  $\kappa(y)$ : Thomas-Chao-Quigg Relationship

• Measure of the *local* charge correlation length

[Net charge fluct. and Balance func : Averaged inside the obs. window]

• In elementary particle collisions,  $\kappa(y) \approx \text{const}$ 

#### *PP* **@** $p_{max} = 200 \, \text{GeV}$



7

 $\kappa(y) \equiv \frac{D_u(y)}{dN_{ch}/dy} \propto \lambda(y)$  Constant  $\kappa(y)$ : Thomas-Chao-Quigg Relationship

• Measure of the *local* charge correlation length

[Net charge fluct. and Balance func : Averaged inside the obs. window]

• In elementary particle collisions,  $\kappa(y) \approx \text{const}$ 

 $\kappa(y) \equiv \frac{D_u(y)}{dN_{\rm Ch}/dy} \propto \lambda(y)$  Constant  $\kappa(y)$ : Thomas-Chao-Quigg Relationship

• Measure of the *local* charge correlation length

[Net charge fluct. and Balance func : Averaged inside the obs. window]

- In elementary particle collisions,  $\kappa(y) \approx \text{const}$
- If QGP has a much smaller  $\lambda$ , its presence should be reflected in  $\kappa(y) \Longrightarrow$  Captures *inhomogeneity*.

 $\kappa(y) \equiv \frac{D_u(y)}{dN_{\rm Ch}/dy} \propto \lambda(y)$  Constant  $\kappa(y)$ : Thomas-Chao-Quigg Relationship

• Measure of the *local* charge correlation length

[Net charge fluct. and Balance func : Averaged inside the obs. window]

- In elementary particle collisions,  $\kappa(y) \approx \text{const}$
- If QGP has a much smaller  $\lambda$ , its presence should be reflected in  $\kappa(y) \Longrightarrow$  Captures *inhomogeneity*.

 $-\kappa_{AA} < \kappa_{PP}$ 

 $\kappa(y) \equiv \frac{D_u(y)}{dN_{ch}/dy} \propto \lambda(y)$  Constant  $\kappa(y)$ : Thomas-Chao-Quigg Relationship

• Measure of the *local* charge correlation length

[Net charge fluct. and Balance func : Averaged inside the obs. window]

- In elementary particle collisions,  $\kappa(y) \approx \text{const}$
- If QGP has a much smaller  $\lambda$ , its presence should be reflected in  $\kappa(y) \Longrightarrow$  Captures *inhomogeneity*.
  - $-\kappa_{AA} < \kappa_{PP}$
  - $-\kappa_{AA}(y)$ : Significantly different from constant if QGP is made only locally





Hadron Gas + QGP  $p \lambda_{QGP} + q \lambda_{HG} < \lambda_{HG}$ 



#### **Extent of QGP?**

- Comparing d-Au and Au-Au  $dN/d\eta$  (Vertical scaling + small shifting (1 or 2 exp. bins))
- Same shapes outside the 'plateau'! (Jeon, Bleicher, Topor Pop, Phys.Rev.C69:044904,2004, nucl-th/0309077)



# How small is $\lambda_{QGP}/\lambda_{HG}$ ?

•  $\langle \Delta Q^2 \rangle_{\text{QGP}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}} \rangle \approx (1/3) \langle \Delta Q^2 \rangle_{\text{HG}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}} \rangle$ 

(Fractional charges + gluons)

• If neutral clusters, this implies  $\lambda_{QGP} \approx (1/3)\lambda_{HG}$ 

# Modeling

"Correlation function has all the information."

True. Any charge fluctuation observable measures a particular aspect of

$$C_Q(y,y') = C_{++}(y,y') + C_{++}(y,y') - 2C_{+-}(y,y')$$

where

$$C_{ab}(y,y') = \frac{dN_{ab}}{dydy'} - \frac{dN_a}{dy}\frac{dN_b}{dy'}$$

- Different fluctuations emphasize different aspects of correlation.
- Fluctuations allow physical interpretation of the features through model studies.

#### Correlations

- Relevant to fluctuations: Single particle distributions and 2particle correlation functions.
- Single particle distribution functions :  $\rho_{\alpha}(p)dp = \text{Average number of } \alpha \text{ within } dp \text{ around } p.$

$$\int_{\Delta\eta} dp \,\rho_{\alpha}(p) = \langle N_{\alpha} \rangle_{\Delta\eta} \tag{1}$$

• 2-particle correlation functions :

 $\rho_{\alpha\beta}(p_1, p_2) dp_1 dp_2 = \text{Average number of } \alpha\beta \text{ pairs within } dp_1 dp_2$ around  $p_1, p_2$ 

$$\int_{\Delta\eta} dp_1 dp_2 \,\rho_{\alpha\beta}(p_1, p_2) = \langle N_\alpha N_\beta \rangle_{\Delta\eta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \langle N_\alpha \rangle_{\Delta\eta} \tag{2}$$

# A toy model – " $\rho$ " gas

- $M_{\pm}$  independently emitted  $\pm$  particles " $\rho^{\pm}$ "  $\implies g_{\pm}(p_{\pm})$
- $M_0$  neutral clusters " $\rho^{0}$ "  $\implies f_0(p_+, p_-), g_0(p) = \int dq f_0(p, q)$

- Single particle distributions

$$\rho_{\pm}(p) = \langle M + \rangle g_{\pm}(p) + \langle M_0 \rangle g_0(p) \tag{3}$$

- Two particle correlation functions

$$C_{++}(p_1, p_2) \equiv \rho_{++}(p_1, p_2) - \rho_{+}(p_1)\rho_{+}(p_2)$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{a=+,0} \sum_{b=+,0} \langle \delta M_a \delta M_b \rangle g_a(p_1)g_b(p_2)$$
  
- 
$$\langle M_+ \rangle g_+(p_1)g_+(p_2) - \langle M_0 \rangle g_0(p_1)g_0(p_2)$$

$$C_{+-}(p_1, p_2) = \sum_{a=+,0} \sum_{b=-,0} \langle \delta M_a \delta M_b \rangle g_a(p_1) g_b(p_2) + \langle M_0 \rangle [f_0(p_1, p_2) - g_0(p_1) g_0(p_2)]$$
(4)

If Poisson-like, all terms in  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  are O(M). In  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}$ , the leading term is  $O(M^2) \Longrightarrow f_0$  is hidden.

# **QGP vs. Hadron gas**

- Color fluctuation: Hadrons are all color neutral =>> Difficult to observe color fluctuation
- Charge fluctuation: Quarks have fractional charges —> Less charge fluctuation per charged degree of freedom

Final hadron spectrum : Neutral rich

# **A Simple Neutral Cluster Model**

[Similar to the old  $\rho, \omega$  model and Bialas et.al.'s Acta Phys. Polon. B6, 39, 1975 model]

• Make up an event with  $M_0 + M_+$  positive particles and  $M_0 + M_-$  negative particles by sampling

 $\rho(y_+, y_-) = R(y_+, y_-|Y)F(Y)$ 

 $M_0$  times for (+-) pairs and by sampling

 $g(y) \approx F(y)$ 

 $M_{\pm}$  times for un-paired charged particles.

F(Y): Cluster rapidity distribution,  $Y = (y_+ + y_-)/2$ .

 $R(y_+, y_-|Y)$ : Rapidity distribution of the daughters given Y.

#### Models

- Different choices of R and  $F \implies$  Different Models
- For instance, Bialas et.al.'s model is equivalent to sampling

$$\rho_{75}(y_+, y_-) = f(y_+|Y)f(y_-|Y)F(Y)$$

Correlation provided by integration over Y.

- Our model: Two different scenarios
  - Single species of neutral clusters ( $\sim$  Hadronic): Sample

$$\rho(y_+, y_-) = R(y_+ - y_- | Y) F(Y)$$

where  $(M_{\pm} = 0)$ 

F(Y) = Wood-Saxon  $R(y|Y) = C \exp(-|y|/\lambda)$ Or  $R(y|Y) = C' \exp(-y^2/2\sigma^2)$ 

Explicit charge correlation with const.  $\lambda$  or  $\sigma$ 

#### Models – Cont.

• Single component model:  $D_u(y) = \kappa dN/dy$  means

$$\int_{-\infty}^{y} dy' \int_{y}^{\infty} dy'' f_0(y', y'') = \kappa \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy' f_0(y, y')$$

Solutions in two extreme cases:

- Independent (no cluster) :  $f_0(y, y') = g(y)g(y'')$ 

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{4\kappa} \frac{1}{\cosh^2(y/2\kappa)} \propto \frac{dN}{dy}$$

 $\implies$  Does not correspond to real spectra.

- 2 particle cluster:  $f_0(y, y') = R(y_{rel})F(Y)$  with  $y_{rel} = y - y'$ and Y = (y + y')/2

$$f_0(y, y') = \frac{1}{4\kappa} \exp\left(-\frac{|y_{\mathsf{rel}}|}{2\kappa}\right) F(Y)$$

# Models – Cont.

• Our model: Second scenario: Two species of neutral clusters ( $\sim$  Hadronic + QGP): Sample  $\rho_H(y_+, y_-)$  $= R_H(y_+ - y_-|Y)F_H(Y)$ and  $\rho_{QGP}(y_+, y_-)$  $= R_{QGP}(y_+ - y_-|Y)F_{QGP}(Y)$ with  $\lambda_{QGP} \approx (1/4) \lambda_H$ 

so that  $\lambda_{QGP} \approx (1/2)$ 



#### Single Component Model

- $\rho(y_+, y_-) = \exp(-|y|/\lambda) F(Y)$  is an exact solution of the Thomas-Chao-Quigg relationship
- $\rho(y_+, y_-) = \exp(-y^2/2\sigma^2) F(Y)$  is an approx. soln.
- Hadronic models  $\implies$  constant  $\kappa$



#### Cont.



UrQMD, Central 6%

RQMD, Central, Semi-Peripheral

**HG** + **QGP** - **Full**  $\eta$  space



# HG – STAR acceptance

Hadronic models with the single component results



#### HG + QGP - STAR acceptance

End point fixed by  $\langle \Delta Q^2 \rangle / N_{\rm ch}$ 



#### **Charge difference** $\underline{\eta}, \underline{\phi}$ **correlations**:







...and approaches a 2D hadronization geometry, *i.e.* symmetric widths on<br/> $\phi_{\Delta}$ ,<br/>with exponential attenuation suggesting an opaque medium.05/09/05Correlations & Fluctuations at<br/>MIT20<br/>28

#### Conclusions

• Charge transfer:  $u(y) = (Q_F(y) - Q_B(y))/2$ 

- $\kappa(y) \equiv \langle \Delta u(y)^2 \rangle / dN_{ch}/dy$ : A measure of *local* charge correlation length  $\implies$  Captures *inhomogeneity*
- QGP may be created in a small region around midrapidity. As collisions become more central
  - Large acceptance:  $\kappa(y)$  develops a dip in the middle
  - Small acceptance:  $\kappa(0)$  becomes smaller faster than  $\kappa(y_0)$ Flattening
- Net baryon transfer fluctuation. Net strange transfer fluctuation
- $\langle \Delta N_{\mathsf{ch}}^F(y) \Delta N_{\mathsf{ch}}^B(y) \rangle$