holographic QCD

PILJIN YI HIM, Sept. 2007

content

- D-Branes and a non-AdS/non-CFT
- Holographic QCD is a theory of the large n Master Field
- Sakai-Sugimoto & Mesons
- Baryons
- Effective Field Theory of Baryons
- Vector Dominance

General D-Brane Theory



Dp's

p+I dim Maximally SUSY U(n) Yang-Mills

+ Chern-Simons couplings to RR-fields

$$\mu_{\rm p} \int dx^{p+1} \frac{1}{4e^{\Phi}} \sqrt{-h} \operatorname{tr} |2\pi \alpha' F|^2$$
$$+ \quad \mu_{\rm p} \int \sum_{k=0}^{p} C_{k+1} \wedge \operatorname{tr} e^{2\pi \alpha' F}$$

+ susy completion

+ higher dimensional corrections

$$\mu_{
m p} = rac{2\pi}{(4\pi^2lpha')^{(p+1)/2}}$$

pure D=4 SU(n) Yang-Mills theory



4+1 dim Maximally SUSY U(n) Yang-Mills theory on $S^1 \times R^{3+1}$

anti-periodic BC along S^1 on fermions breaks SUSY and produces

3+I-D NonSusy SU(n) Pure YM+ towers of heavy adjoint particles.

E.Witten 1998

pure SU(n) Yang-Mills theory $n \gg 1, g_{YM}^2 n \gg 1$



 $\times R^{3+1} \times S^4$

nonAdS/nonCFT for pure SU(n) Yang-Mills theory

 $n \gg 1, \ g_{YM}^2 n \gg 1$



Gravity in the dual nonAdS geometry = A Subsector of the Dual nonCFT

nonAdS/nonCFT for pure SU(n) Yang-Mills theory $n \gg 1, g_{YM}^2 n \gg 1$



 $\times R^{3+1} \times S^4$

nonAdS/nonCFT for pure SU(n) Yang-Mills theory $n \gg 1, g_{YM}^2 n \gg 1$

$$G_{9-1} = \left(\frac{u}{R}\right)^{3/2} \left(\eta_{3-1} + f(u)d\theta^2\right) + \left(\frac{R}{u}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{du^2}{f(u)} + u^2 d\Omega_4^2\right)$$
$$u_0 \le u < \infty$$
$$R^{3+1} \qquad S^1 \qquad f(u) = 1 - \left(\frac{u_0}{u}\right)^3$$

Gravity in the dual nonAdS geometry = A Subsector of the dual nonCFT states with spin no larger than 2, including some low-lying glueballs

10% match with lattice results on glueball mass ratios had been reported.

C. Csaki, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz, J. Terning 1998



Toy model: hermitian matrix model

$$Z = \int \prod_{i} dM_{i} e^{-S(M_{i})}$$
 $S(M_{i}) = S(U^{\dagger}M_{i}U)$

 M_i n x n hermitian matrices

any large n theory of matrices with U(n) (gauge) symmetry

Fact:

$$O_a = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} \prod_{a_i} M_{a_i} \longrightarrow \langle O_1 O_2 \rangle = \langle O_1 \rangle \langle O_2 \rangle + O(\frac{1}{n^2})$$

In the large n limit, the invariant operators behaves as classically, i.e., certain classical configurations dominate the evaluation of the path integral for these operators.

$$\langle \prod_{a} O_{a} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_{i} dM_{i} e^{-S(M_{i})} \prod_{a} O_{a} \sim \prod_{a} O_{a}^{classical} + \cdots$$

$$\langle \prod_{a} O_{a} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_{i} dM_{i} e^{-S(M_{i})} \prod_{a} O_{a} \sim \prod_{a} O_{a}^{classical} + \cdots$$

However, the situation is too complicated to expect the classical configuration be expressed in terms of the original matrix variables. In other words, while classical O's exist, classical M's may not exist.

$$\langle \prod_{a} O_{a} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_{i} dM_{i} e^{-S(M_{i})} \prod_{a} O_{a} \sim \prod_{a} O_{a}^{classical} + \cdots$$

However, the situation is too complicated to expect the classical configuration be expressed in terms of the original matrix variables. In other words, while classical O's exist, classical M's may not exist.

Note that above would be true only for (gauge-)invariant object, whereas M's are not gauge invariant by themselves. If we insert M's in place of O's, the above approximation breaks down.

$$\langle \prod_{a} O_{a} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_{i} dM_{i} e^{-S(M_{i})} \prod_{a} O_{a} \sim \prod_{a} O_{a}^{classical} + \cdots$$

However, the situation is too complicated to expect the classical configuration be expressed in terms of the original matrix variables. In other words, while classical O's exist, classical M's may not exist.

Note that above would be true only for (gauge-)invariant object, whereas M's are not gauge invariant by themselves. If we insert M's in place of O's, the above approximation breaks down.

Instead, we may anticipate a new classical field for (some of) the gauge-invariant operators. The collection of such classical fields are known in large n matrix models as Master Field.

$$\langle \prod_{a} O_{a} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_{i} dM_{i} e^{-S(M_{i})} \prod_{a} O_{a} \sim \prod_{a} O_{a}^{classical} + \cdots$$

However, the situation is too complicated to expect the classical configuration be expressed in terms of the original matrix variables. In other words, while classical O's exist, classical M's may not exist.

Note that above would be true only for (gauge-)invariant object, whereas M's are not gauge invariant by themselves. If we insert M's in place of O's, the above approximation breaks down.

Instead, we may anticipate a new classical field for (some of) the gauge-invariant operators. The collection of such classical fields are known in large n matrix models as Master Field.

The IIB gravity theory on AdS is a theory of these Master Fields for the large n maximally SUSYYM in the conventional AdS/CFT duality, which was conjectured from the study of the D3-brane theory.

the question: what are the master fields for large n QCD (without SUSY) and the classical theory governing them?

D-branes can be used to construct QCD-like theory at the low energy limit, so why not repeat what was done for maximally SUSY YM with stack of D3 branes.

 \rightarrow Witten's Theory with D4 on a thermal circle introduced earlier !



$$\times R^{3+1} \times S^4$$



$$\times R^{3+1} \times S^4$$

T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto 2004



 $\times R^{3+1} \times S^4$





since D4's are not really there

 $\times R^{3+1} \times S^4$

Pions and (pseudo-)vector mesons are contained in U(N) gauge field on N D8's



Only 5D vectors along noncompact direction remain massless due to compactification on S⁴ and also due to broken SUSY.







Pions and (pseudo-)vector mesons are contained in U(N) gauge field on N D8's

$$A_{5}(x^{\mu}, w) = \phi_{0}(x^{\mu})\partial_{w}\psi_{0}(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\partial_{w}\psi_{n}(w)$$

$$A_{\mu}(x^{\mu}, w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu}^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\psi_{n}(w) \quad \text{eaten up } \Rightarrow \text{ massive vector mesons}$$

$$\psi_{n}(w) = \psi_{n}(-w) \Rightarrow \text{ vector meson}$$

 $\psi_n(w) = -\psi_n(-w) imes$ pseudo-vector meson

$$A_{5}(x^{\mu},w) = \phi_{0}(x^{\mu})\partial_{w}\psi_{0}(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\partial_{w}\psi_{n}(w)$$

$$A_{\mu}(x^{\mu},w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu}^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\psi_{n}(w)$$

$$e^{2i\pi(x^{\mu})/f_{\pi}} = U(x^{\mu}) \equiv Pe^{i\int A_{5}(x^{\mu},w)dw}$$

$$e^{2i\pi(x^{\mu})/f_{\pi}} = U(x^{\mu}) \equiv Pe^{i\int A_5(x^{\mu},w)dw}$$

$$\int dx^{3+1} \left\{ \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4} \operatorname{tr} \left(U^{-1} \partial U \right)^2 + \frac{1}{32e_{Sk}^2} \operatorname{tr} \left[U^{-1} \partial U, U^{-1} \partial U \right]^2 \right\} + \cdots$$
$$f_{\pi}^2 = \frac{(g_{YM}^2 n_c) n_c}{54\pi^2} M_{KK}^2 \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{e_{Sk}^2} = \frac{61(g_{YM}^2 n_c) n_c}{54\pi^2}$$

chiral Lagrangian for QCD coupled to all massive (pseudo-) vector mesons

$$e^{2i\pi(x^{\mu})/f_{\pi}} = U(x^{\mu}) \equiv Pe^{i\int A_{5}(x^{\mu},w)dw}$$

how is the axial symmetry realized ? answer: as a large gauge transformation !

$$U \to L^{\dagger} U R$$

$$(Pe^{i \int_{L}^{R} A}) \to L^{\dagger} (Pe^{i \int_{L}^{R} A}) R$$





$\times S^4$

The horizontal wilson line of an instanton soliton carries the Skyrmion winding number $U = P e^{i \int_{L}^{R} A_{5}(x^{\mu}, w) dw}$

Because an instanton mediates jump between two topological vacua with the 3^{rd} homotopy winding numbers which differ by unit.

Atiyah and Manton 1988



The horizontal wilson line of an instanton soliton carries the Skyrmion winding number

Baryon ~ Skyrmion ~ instanton soliton on noncompact part of D8's





$$\times R^{3+1} \times S^4$$

wrap a single D4 on it



The horizontal wilson line of an instanton soliton carries the Skyrmion winding number

-1 D4 on S^4 looks like an instanton soliton to D8's

Baryon ~ D4 on S^4



Tadpole cancellation on S⁴ Demands n fundamental strings Attached to the D4 whose other Ends can only attach to D8's

Baryon = D4 on S^4 with n fundamental string hairs attached



Baryons: Topology, Charge, and Energetics





Baryons: Topology, Charge, and Energetics

$$G_{9+1} = \left(\frac{u}{R}\right)^{3/2} \left(\eta_{3-1} + f(u)d\theta^2\right) + \left(\frac{R}{u}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{du^2}{f(u)} + u^2 d\Omega_4^2\right)$$

induced metric on D8's:

$$h_{8+1} = \left(\frac{u}{R}\right)^{3/2} \eta_{3+1} + \left(\frac{R}{u}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{du^2}{f(u)} + u^2 d\Omega_4^2\right)$$
$$= \frac{u(w)^{3/2}}{R^{3/2}} \left(\eta_{3+1} + dw^2\right) + R^{3/2} u(w)^{1/2} d\Omega_4^2$$

dilaton:

$$e^{\Phi} = g_{s} imes \left(rac{u(w)}{R}
ight)^{3/4}$$

Baryons: Topology, Charge, and Energetics

$$u_8 \int dx^{8+1} \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{4e^{\Phi}} \sqrt{-h} \operatorname{tr} F_{MN} F_{KL} h^{MK} h^{NL} + \frac{\mu_8 (2\pi\alpha')^3}{6} \int dC_3^{\mathrm{FR}} \wedge \omega_5(A) dC_3^{\mathrm{FR}} + \frac{\mu_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2}{6} \int dC_3^{\mathrm{FR}} \wedge \omega_5(A) dC_3^{\mathrm{FR}} + \frac{\mu_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2}{6} \int dC_3^{\mathrm{FR}} + \frac{\mu_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2}$$

integrate over S⁴ employ the conformal coordinate recall quantized RR-flux through S⁴

$$\int dx^{3+1} dw \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{n}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \omega_5(A) dx^{3+1} dw = \frac{1}{4e(w)^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} + \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int$$

$$\frac{1}{e(w)^2} = \mu_8 (2\pi\alpha')^2 e^{-\Phi} V_{S^4} \left(\frac{u(w)}{R}\right)^{3/4}$$

$$\frac{1}{e(w)^2} = \frac{(g_{YM}^2 n)n}{108\pi^3} M_{KK} \frac{u(w)}{u_0}$$
$$\frac{u(w)}{u_0} \simeq 1 + \frac{1}{3} M_{KK}^2 w^2 + \cdots$$



Using the ordinary instanton as trial configurations

$$A_m^a = \bar{\eta}_{mk}^a \partial_k \log\left(1 + \rho^2 / (\vec{x}^2 + w^2)\right)$$

which is an instanton soliton for

$$\int dx^{3+1} dw \frac{1}{4e_0^2} \operatorname{tr} F^{mn} F_{mn}$$

Using the ordinary instanton as trial configurations

$$A_m^a = \bar{\eta}_{mk}^a \partial_k \log\left(1 + \rho^2 / (\vec{x}^2 + w^2)\right)$$

Energy can be estimate for small size limit as

$$E(\rho) = \frac{(g_{YM}^2 n)n}{27\pi} M_{KK} \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{6}M_{KK}^2 \rho^2 + \cdots\right) + \frac{e(0)^2 n^2}{20\pi^2 \rho^2} - \cdots$$

$$\downarrow$$
Extra F^2 energy due to increasing I/e(w)^2

Coulomb energy due to electric charge proportional to the instanton density =

Extra energy from corrections due to the Chern-Simons term in the action

Hong, Rho, Yee, Yi 2007 Hata, Sakai, Sugimoto, Yamato 2007

Using the ordinary instanton as trial configurations

$$A_m^a = \bar{\eta}_{mk}^a \partial_k \log\left(1 + \rho^2 / (\vec{x}^2 + w^2)\right)$$

Energy can be estimated for small size limit as

$$E(\rho) = \frac{(g_{YM}^2 n)n}{27\pi} M_{KK} \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{6}M_{KK}^2 \rho^2 + \cdots\right) + \frac{e(0)^2 n^2}{20\pi^2 \rho^2} - \cdots$$

Minimization gives a definite size to the 5D baryon = instanton + hair

$$\rho_{\text{baryon}} \simeq \frac{(2 \cdot 3^7 \cdot \pi^2/5)^{1/4}}{M_{KK}\sqrt{g_{YM}^2 n}} \simeq \frac{9.6}{M_{KK}\sqrt{g_{YM}^2 n}}$$

with small soliton size, we may introduce an effective (Dirac) field for the (isospin $\frac{1}{2}$) baryon and try to incorporate the property of the latter into an effective action.

$n \gg 1, \ g_{YM}^2 n \gg 1$

Compton Length of Baryons << Soliton Size << Compton Lengths of Mesons

 \rightarrow The baryon can be treated pointlike for interaction with mesons, yet, the classical properties of the soliton can still be reliable.



Hong, Rho, Yee, Yi 2007

The result turns out to be exceedingly simple:

$$\int dx^{3+1} \int dw \left[-i\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^m (\partial_m - iA_m^{U(N)})\mathcal{B} - im_B(w)\bar{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B} + \frac{g_5(w)\rho_{\text{baryon}}^2}{e(w)^2}\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^{mn}F_{mn}^{SU(N)}\mathcal{B} \right]$$
$$g_5(0) = \frac{2\pi^2}{3}$$

two main issues



Couplings: Position Dependence & Reliability

two main issues

Uniqueness & Necessity of the Direct Coupling to the SU(N) Field Strength

Necessity: A coupling to the magnetic field strength must be present to reproduce the self-dual long-range tail of the instanton soliton. The same observation was used by Adkins-Nappi-Witten to extract pion-nucleon coupling from the Skyrmion picture of baryons.

two main issues

Uniqueness & Necessity of the Direct Coupling to the SU(N) Field Strength

Necessity: A coupling to the magnetic field strength must be present to reproduce the self-dual long-range tail of the instanton soliton. The same observation was used by Adkins-Nappi-Witten to extract pion-nucleon coupling from the Skyrmion picture of baryons.

Uniqueness: Power counting shows that the necessary term is dimension-6, i.e. one higher power than the minimal coupling. The present term is the only gauge-invariant dimension-6 term, involving a baryon current and a single gauge field. Nontrivial things to show is that this term actually does the job necessary. (See the paper, sorry)

two main issues

Couplings: Position Dependence & Reliability

Two separate questions here:

I) whether the classical value of the couplings is reliable?

the answer is yes, within the usual AdS/CFT with large n and large 'tHooft limit, which of course does not guarantee the reliability for the realistic regime. the basic tenet of AdS/CFT in that limit is that bulk side is treated at tree-level.

two main issues

Couplings: Position Dependence & Reliability

Two separate questions here:

I) whether the classical value of the couplings is reliable?

the answer is yes, within the usual AdS/CFT with large n and large 'tHooft limit, which of course does not guarantee the reliability for the realistic regime. the basic tenet of AdS/CFT in that limit is that bulk side is treated at tree-level.

2)to what extent the specific coordinate-dependence can be trusted?

even at tree-level the value of couplings away from origin is difficult to estimate precisely. its coordinate-dependence must be taken with a grain of salk. therefore whet we must do is to consider physical quantities which are dictated by the value at origin.

$$\begin{split} \int dx^{3+1} \int dw \left[-i\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^m (\partial_m - iA_m^{U(N)})\mathcal{B} - im_B(w)\bar{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B} + \frac{g_5(w)\rho_{\text{baryon}}^2}{e(w)^2}\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^{mn}F_{mn}^{SU(N)}\mathcal{B} \right] \\ g_5(0) = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \end{split}$$

this effective action with **only one nontrivial term** is capable of reproducing all the interaction between Nucleons and the entire tower of pions and (pseudo-)vector mesons, including some subleading corrections in I/n expansion. Furthermore, this effective action dictates all electromagnetic interaction, with a vector-dominance.

How does this 5D effective action for Nucleons arises and in what regime of validity in the parameter space ?

$$\int dx^{3+1} \int dw \left[-i\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^m (\partial_m - iA_m^{U(N)})\mathcal{B} - im_B(w)\bar{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B} + \frac{g_5(w)\rho_{\text{baryon}}^2}{e(w)^2}\bar{\mathcal{B}}\gamma^{mn}F_{mn}^{SU(N)}\mathcal{B} \right]$$
$$g_5(0) = \frac{2\pi^2}{3}$$

How does the 4D effective action arise from this and what kind of interactions does it encode ?

How well do the structures and numbers of the resulting 4D effective action compare against the nature (or at least against the quenched QCD) ?

KK reduction along the fifth direction

$$\mathcal{B}(x,w)=\left(egin{array}{c} B_+(x)f_+(w)\ B_-(x)f_-(w)\end{array}
ight) \qquad \gamma^5=\left(egin{array}{c} 1 & 0\ 0 & -1\end{array}
ight)$$

$$\pm \partial_w f_{\pm}(w) + m_{\mathcal{B}}(w) f_{\pm}(w) = M_B f_{\mp}(w)$$

take the smallest eigenvalue $M_B \longrightarrow 4D$ nucleon mass

$$B(x)\equiv \left(egin{array}{c} B_+(x)\ B_-(x)\end{array}
ight)$$
 \longrightarrow 4D Dirac field for nucleons

$$\int dx^{3+1} \int dw \left[-i\bar{B}\gamma^{m}(\partial_{m} - iA_{m}^{U(N)})B - im_{B}(w)\bar{B}B + \frac{g_{5}(w)\rho_{\text{baryon}}^{2}}{e(w)^{2}}\bar{B}\gamma^{mn}F_{mn}^{SU(N)}B \right]$$

$$B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{+}(x) \\ B_{-}(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_{5} \qquad F_{5\mu}$$

$$B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{+}(x) \\ B_{-}(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_{\mu} \qquad F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\int dx^{3+1} \left[-i\bar{B}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}B - iM_{B}\bar{B}B \right] + \cdots$$

Pions and (pseudo-)vector mesons are contained in U(N) gauge field on N D8's

$$A_{5}(x^{\mu}, w) = \phi_{0}(x^{\mu})\partial_{w}\psi_{0}(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\partial_{w}\psi_{n}(w)$$

$$A_{\mu}(x^{\mu}, w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu}^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\psi_{n}(w) \quad \text{eaten up } \Rightarrow \text{ massive vector mesons}$$

$$\psi_{n}(w) = \psi_{n}(-w) \Rightarrow \text{ vector meson}$$

 $\psi_n(w) = -\psi_n(-w) imes$ pseudo-vector meson

$$\int dx^{3+1} \int dw \left[-i\bar{B}\gamma^{m}(\partial_{m} - iA_{m}^{U(N)})B - im_{B}(w)\bar{B}B + \frac{g_{5}(w)\rho_{\text{baryon}}^{2}}{e(w)^{2}}\bar{B}\gamma^{mn}F_{mn}^{SU(N)}B \right]$$

$$B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{+}(x)f_{+}(w) \\ B_{-}(x)f_{-}(w) \end{pmatrix} \qquad A_{5} \qquad F_{5\mu} \qquad F_{5\mu} \qquad F_{\mu\nu} \qquad f_{\mu\nu$$

$$\int dx^{3+1} \left[-i\bar{B}\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}B - iM_{B}\bar{B}B \right] + \cdots \qquad meson-nucleon-nucleon or \\ \sim \int dw f_{+}(w)^{*}\psi_{n}(w)f_{\pm}(w)$$

Г

meson-meson-nucleon-nucleon $\sim \int dw \; f_{\pm}(w)^* \psi_n(w) \psi_m(w) f_{\pm}(w)$

Vector Dominance

and ?

- take the effective action at face-value and use it for nuclear phenomenology
 →
 seemingly works much better than rigorously justified and
 in particular improves the existing framework quite a bit.
 the improvements largely come from the systematic and
 unambiguous incorporation of the first few vector mesons.
- try to better the formulation by incorporating things which should have been, such as adding the 3rd flavor, generating pion mass, going beyond the quenched approximation, going beyond large n, etc, etc.
- apply to astrophysical regimes.
- take it as a model building paradigm and do things to the model that string theorists does not approve. (bottom-up, say)