# Recent updated results related to dimuons from CMS



Dong Ho Moon (Chonnam National University)



HIM Spring 2015, Pusan 16<sup>th</sup> May, 2015







#### Contents

- Updated psi(2S) results in PbPb using new pp sample
- Z boson measurement in PbPb and pPb collisions
- Summary





# Updated $\psi(2S)$ in pp & PbPb collisions





HIM Spring 2015, Pusan



### $\psi(2S)$ measurement

- One of ccbar bound state (2S)
- Mass : 3.686 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>



 $\psi(2S)$  DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction $(\Gamma_i/\Gamma)$	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Г1	hadrons	(97.85±0.13) %	
Γ <sub>2</sub>	virtual $\gamma  ightarrow $ hadrons	( 1.73±0.14) %	S=1.5
۲ <sub>3</sub>	ggg	(10.6 $\pm$ 1.6 )%	
Γ <sub>4</sub>	$\gamma gg$	( 1.03±0.29) %	
Γ <sub>5</sub>	light hadrons	(15.4 $\pm 1.5$ )%	
Г <sub>6</sub>	e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup>	$(7.73\pm0.17) imes10$	<sub>)</sub> –3
Γ <sub>7</sub>	$\mu^+\mu^-$	( 7.7 $\pm$ 0.8 ) $ imes$ 10	<sub>)</sub> –3
Г <sub>8</sub>	$ au^+ au^-$	( $3.0 \pm 0.4$ ) $ imes$ 10	)-3



Dong Ho Moon

HIM Spring 2015, Pusan

3685.6

3685.8

 $\psi(2S)$  mass (MeV)

Mechanism

 $\Psi(2s)$  decay

b-hadron decay

 $\chi_{c1}$  decay

3686

Direct Production

3686.2



ARTAMONOV 00

3686.4

 $J/\psi$  feed down fractions

ARMSTRONG 93B

3686.6

OLYA

E760

(Confidence Level = 0.148)

 $\% \pm \text{Error}$ 

 $8.1 \pm 0.3^{|133|}$ 

 $25 \pm 5.0^{|133|}$ 

 $8.1 \pm 3.2^{\ 134}$ 

 $41 \pm 17$ 

2.0

1.3 3.8

# $\psi(2S)$ Measurements in NN

EPJ C 49 (2007) 559



- NA50 (PbPb) : stronger suppression of  $\psi(2S)$  than J/ $\psi$  in central collisions
- Cold nuclear matter effect (dAu) : stronger suppression of  $\psi(2S)$  than J/ $\psi$  in central collisions
- (Re)generation : less generation of  $\psi(2S)$  than J/ $\psi$  (X. Zhao and R. Rapp, Nucl. Phys. A 859(2011) 114)



Measured / Expected





# Quarkonia Suppression in Hot Medium

- One of striking signatures for Quark-Gluon-Plasma (QGP) formation
- Sequential melting : different binding energies → bound states are melt sequentially in hot medium







Sequential melting  $\rightarrow$  a QGP thermometer

H. Satz, NPA 783 (2007) 249c.

2013 Heavy Flavor Measurements at RHIC and LHC (W. Xie)

• Quenched heavy quarks (energy loss): A.Rothkopf, PRL 108(2012) 162001



HIM Spring 2015, Pusan

6

## Quarkonia Suppression in Hot Medium





#### CMS detector







)

### Muon Reconstruction in CMS



#### **Muon Reconstruction**

Muon tracks in muon chamber (or segments) + tracks in inner tracker Excellent momentum resolution of tracking system.

✓ Overall resolution: 1~2 %





#### Quarkonia Acceptance



- ALICE: acceptance for  $p_T > 0$ 
  - midrapidity: no absorber and low magnetic field
  - forward rapidity: longitudinal boost
- ATLAS and CMS: Muons need to overcome strong magnetic field and energy loss in the absorber
  - minimum total momentum p~3–5 GeV/c to reach the muon stations
  - Limits  $J/\psi$  acceptance:
    - mid-rapidity:  $p_T > 6.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
    - forward rapidity:  $p_T > 3 \text{ GeV/c}$
    - (values for CMS, but similar for ATLAS)
  - Y acceptance:
    - $p_T > 0 \text{ GeV/c}$  for all rapidity
- Complementary acceptances

#### Excited Quarkonia States in PbPb

#### Observed stronger suppression of excited states than ground state in bottomnia measurement. What about charmonia?

PRL 109 222301 (2012)









# Previous results of $\psi(2S)$ Measurements



- Double ratio of inclusive  $\psi(2S)$  to  $J/\psi$
- Stronger suppression of  $\psi(2S)$  than J/ $\psi$  in mid-rapidity and high  $p_T$  (as predicted from sequential melting)
- Hint of  $\psi(2S)$  enhancement relative to J/ $\psi$  in central PbPb at low  $p_T$  and forward rapidity, however, severely limited by large pp uncertainty







CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp, 2013,  $\sqrt{s} =$  2.76 TeV



Thanks to pp run in 2013: ~ 20 times larger data sample





#### JHEP 02 (2012) 011

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp, 2013,  $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$ 



• Thanks to pp run in 2013: ~ 20 times larger data sample





#### JHEP 02 (2012) 011

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp, 2013,  $\sqrt{s}=$  2.76 TeV



- Thanks to pp run in 2013: ~ 20 times larger data sample
- Reject non-prompt contribution by cut on pseudo-proper decay length
- Keep 90% of prompt charmonia: cancels in double ratio
- Non-prompt contamination ~5%: included in systematic uncertainties



#### JHEP 02 (2012) 011



- Thanks to pp run in 2013: ~ 20 times larger data sample
- Reject non-prompt contribution by cut on pseudo-proper decay length
- Keep 90% of prompt charmonia: cancels in double ratio
- Non-prompt contamination  $\sim$ 5%: included in systematic uncertainties







### Signal Extraction of Prompt $\psi(2S)$

JHEP 02 (2012) 011



- Signal region : Gaussian + CrystalBall functions
- Background region : Chebyshev polynomials (1 ≤ N ≤ 3) for each analysis bins
- Several fit functions were tested for systematics (8% 28%)





# Prompt $\psi(2S)$ in mid-rapidity (high $p_T$ )

#### PRL 113 (2014) 262301



 In high p<sub>T</sub> (mid-rapidity): ψ(2S) in PbPb is smaller than in pp with respect to the J/ψ as seen with 2010 pp data.







# Prompt $\psi(2S)$ in forward rapidity (low $p_T$ )

PRL 113 (2014) 262301



In low  $p_T$  (forward-rapidity):  $\psi(2S)$  in PbPb is higher(or less) ?? than in pp with respect to  $J/\psi$ , yet.





## Double Ratio of Prompt $\psi(2S)$





- Observe a difference in  $\psi(2S)$  production for both central and minbias PbPb at high  $p_T$  (mid-rapidity) vs low  $p_T$  (forward-rapidity)
  - At high p<sub>T</sub> and mid-rapidity ψ(2S) is more suppressed than J/ψ in PbPb collisions (as expected from sequential melting)
  - At low p<sub>T</sub> and forward rapidity ψ(2S) is less suppressed than J/ψ at mid-rapidity and high p<sub>T</sub> (contrary to expectations from sequential melting and/or regeneration)





### Comparison of ALICE and CMS



- Observe a difference in  $\psi(2S)$  production for both central and minbias PbPb at high  $p_T$  (mid-rapidity) vs low  $p_T$  (forward-rapidity)
  - At high p<sub>T</sub> and mid-rapidity ψ(2S) is more suppressed than J/ψ in PbPb collisions (as expected from sequential melting)
  - At low p<sub>T</sub> and forward rapidity ψ(2S) is less suppressed than J/ψ at mid-rapidity and high p<sub>T</sub> (contrary to expectations from sequential melting and/or regeneration)





# Z boson in pp & pPb & PbPb collisions





Dong Ho Moon

HIM Spring 2015, Pusan



22

#### Z boson measurement



- Why we are interested in Z boson?
  - Elector+weak interacting particles are expected not to be modified by QGP.
  - It should be used as the reference for modified objects (quarkonia, light hadrons ... etc) ٠
  - Ultimately can help to constrain initial state standard candle of initial state.
- If the initial state effects would be influenced ... by
  - Nuclear shadowing : nPDF can be modified (suppressed in low x region than pp): 10-20 %
  - Isospin effect : Proton and neutron have different guark constituent : ~3 %
  - Energy loss and multiple scattering of initial parton : ~3 %









#### The first $Z \rightarrow \mu^{-}\mu^{+}$ candidate found in CMS heavy-ion collisions at 2010





#### PRL 106 (2011) 212301 2010



#### 39 candidates









667 candidates





2013 JHEP 03 (2015) 022







#### • Uncertainties

	$Z \rightarrow \mu$	$\mu^+\mu^-$
Source	PbPb	pp
Combined efficiency	1.8%	1.9%
Acceptance	0.7%	0.7%
Background	0.5%	0.1%
$N_{ m MB}$	<b>3.0%</b>	_
$T_{\rm AA} \ (N_{\rm MB} \ {\rm included})$	6.2%	_
Integrated luminosity $(L_{int})$	_	3.7%
Overall (without $T_{AA}$ or $L_{int}$ )	3.6%	2.0%
Overall	6.5%	4.2%





#### JHEP 03 (2015) 022

#### pp differential cross section



- Overall cross sections agree with the POWHEG theoretical prediction.
- Higher order correlation (~3%), Next-to-next-to-leading-order calculation (~3%) – grey band.





JHEP 03 (2015) 022

PbPb differential cross section



- $p_T$  dependence : compatible to POWHEG theoretical prediction
- |y| dependence : compared to consideration of no nuclear effect (yellow band) or nuclear effect (green band)





JHEP 03 (2015) 022

#### PbPb differential cross section



Centrality dependence : no strong dependence observed. Compared to ۲ pythia pp cross section generated by POWHEG.



#### JHEP 03 (2015) 022

$$R_{\mathrm{AA}} = rac{N_{\mathrm{PbPb}}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{T_{\mathrm{AA}} imes \sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}^{\mathrm{Z}}} \equiv rac{N_{\mathrm{PbPb}}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{N_{\mathrm{coll}} imes N_{\mathrm{pp}}^{\mathrm{Z}}}$$



- No strong dependence on  $p_T$  and y as expected.
- $R_{AA}$  is consistent with ~ 1 within uncertainties.





JHEP 03 (2015) 022

$$R_{\mathrm{AA}} = rac{N_{\mathrm{PbPb}}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{T_{\mathrm{AA}} imes \sigma_{\mathrm{pp}}^{\mathrm{Z}}} \equiv rac{N_{\mathrm{PbPb}}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{N_{\mathrm{coll}} imes N_{\mathrm{pp}}^{\mathrm{Z}}}$$



- No strong dependence on centrality as expected.
- $R_{AA}$  is consistent with ~ 1 within uncertainties.













CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003



 $L = 34.6 \text{ nb}^{-1}, 5.02 \text{ TeV collision}$ 

- Selection condition
  - Muon :  $p_T > 20$  GeV/c,  $|\eta^{\mu}| < 2.4$
- Asymmetric acceptance in rapidity due to the boost in center of mass frame



2183 Z candidates





CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003

Inclusive cross section of Z production

$\sigma(pPb \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mu\mu)$	Measured $\sigma \pm \text{stat.} \pm \text{syst.} \pm \text{lumi.}$	$\sigma^{\text{NLO POWHEG}} \times A$
Full phase space	134.4 ± 2.9 ± 7.1 ± 4.7 nb	134 ± 7 nb
-2.5 < y <sub>c.m.</sub> < 1.5	94.1 ± 2.1 ± 2.4 ± 3.3 nb	94.0 ± 4.7 nb

- Compared to NLO POWHEG calculation scaled by 208
- Results consistent with  $\sigma^{pp} x A (208)$





CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003

Inclusive cross section of Z production 

Measured NI O POWHEG Table 1: Summary of the relative systematic uncertainties on the inclusive and differential cross sections.

Source	$\sigma$ (inclusive)	$\sigma(y_{\rm c.m.} \in (-2.5, 1.5))$	$d\sigma/dp_{\rm T}$	$d\sigma/dy_{\rm c.m.}$
Acceptance	4.7%	0.9%	0.4% - 1.2%	0.1% - 1.1%
Efficiency from MC	0.2%	0.2%	0.1% – 0.3%	0.01% - 0.9%
Data/MC efficiencies	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7% - 3.4%
Background	1.7%	1.8%	0.3% - 5.4%	0.5% - 2.4%
Overall	5.3%	2.6%	2.1% - 6.3%	1.9% - 4.3%
Luminosity		3.5%		

Results consistent with  $\sigma^{pp} x A (208)$ 







#### CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003

Differential cross section of Z production vs y ۲



- $d\sigma/dy$  shifted to center of mass frame
- Dominant uncertainty comes from statistics
- Consistent with pp prediction scaled by A





#### CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003

• Differential cross section of Z production vs y



• Nuclear effects expected in the forward and backward regions.







CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003

Forward-backward ratio : expected to be more sensitive to nuclear effects

$$R_{FB} = \frac{d\sigma(+y_{\rm c.m.})/dy}{d\sigma(-y_{\rm c.m.})/dy}$$

- Hint of nuclear effect visible
- Large statistical uncertainties in data



40



#### CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003

- Differential cross section vs p<sub>T</sub>
  - Large covering p<sub>T</sub> range [0, 150] GeV/c
- Expected nuclear effects are small
  - Comparing to only pp
- Compared to pythia and POWHEG







#### CMS-PAS-HIN-14-003

- Differential cross section vs p<sub>T</sub>
  - Large covering p<sub>T</sub> range [0, 150] GeV/c
- Expected nuclear effects are small
  - Comparing to only pp
- Compared to pythia only : better agreement in low p<sub>T</sub> region.







# Summary

- Double ratio of prompt  $\psi(2S)$  in PbPb
  - Observed clear difference mid-rapidity (high  $p_T$ ) and forward rapidity (low  $p_T$ )
  - Need more statistics
- Z boson in pp, pPb and PbPb
  - Observed no modification in PbPb
  - Observed hint of nuclear effect visible in pPb







43

# Summary



Back up





# R<sub>AA</sub> vs binding energy



state	$J/\psi$	$\chi_c$	$\psi'$	Υ	$\chi_b$	$\Upsilon'$	$\chi_b'$	Υ″
mass $[GeV]$	3.10	3.53	3.68	9.46	9.99	10.02	10.26	10.36
$\Delta E \; [\text{GeV}]$	0.64	0.20	0.05	1.10	0.67	0.54	0.31	0.20
$\Delta M \; [\text{GeV}]$	0.02	-0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.08	-0.07
$r_0 \; [{ m fm}]$	0.50	0.72	0.90	0.28	0.44	0.56	0.68	0.78

Table 3: Quarkonium Spectrosco

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsHIN12014





#### **Results from PbPb Collisions**

#### **Double Ratio**



Y(2S) and Y(3S) are more suppressed than Y(1S)



$$\begin{split} R_{AA}(\Upsilon(1S)) &= 0.56 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.07(\text{syst}), \\ R_{AA}(\Upsilon(2S)) &= 0.12 \pm 0.04(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst}), \\ R_{AA}(\Upsilon(3S)) &= 0.03 \pm 0.04(\text{stat}) \pm 0.01(\text{syst}) \\ &< 0.10(95\%\text{CL}). \end{split}$$

Y(3S) are more suppressed than Y(2S).

Ordering:  $R_{AA}(Y(3S)) < R_{AA}(Y(2S)) < R_{AA}(Y(1S))$ 



HIM Spring 2015, Pusan



#### Muon Pair Acceptance







#### The life of Quarkonia in the Medium can be Complicated

- <u>Observed J/ψ is a mixture of direct production+feeddown (R. Vogt: Phys. Rep. 310, 197 (1999)).</u>
  - All J/ $\psi \sim 0.6$  J/ $\psi$ (Direct) + ~0.3  $\chi_c$  + ~0.1 $\psi$ '
  - B meson feed down.
    - Important to disentangle different component
- <u>Suppression and enhancement in the "cold" nuclear medium</u>
  - Nuclear Absorption, Gluon shadowing, initial state energy loss, Cronin effect and gluon saturation (CGC)
  - Study p+A collisions
- <u>Hot/dense medium effect</u>
  - J/ $\psi$ , Y dissociation, i.e. suppression
  - Recombination, i.e. enhancement
  - Study different species, e.g. J/psi,  $\Upsilon$
  - Study at different energy, i.e. RHIC, LHC







#### <N<sub>coll</sub>> from different methods agree well

#### Defining centrality from different methods:



#### + How do we quantify medium effects ?

- N<sub>part</sub>: number of nucleons which undergo at least one collision
- N<sub>coll</sub>: number of n+n collisions taking place in A+B collision



• Modification nuclear factor  $R_{AA} = \frac{1/N_{evnts}d^2N^2/dydp_T}{< T_{AB} > d^2\sigma_{pp}/dydp_T}$ 

quantifies the effect of the medium on a particle production

- To compare measured PbPb yields to theoretical pp cross sections, we need T<sub>AB</sub> : nuclear overlap function
  - In absence of medium effects
    - R<sub>AA</sub> = 1 for perturbative probes
  - T<sub>AB</sub> is proportional to N<sub>coll</sub>
    - **30-100%** :  $T_{AB} = 1.45 \pm 0.18 \text{ mb}^{-1}$
    - $= 10-30\% : T_{AB} = 16.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ mb}^{-1}$
    - 0-10% :  $T_{AB} = 23.2 \pm 1.0 \text{ mb}^{-1}$

 $\mathbf{B}$   $\mathbf{B}$ 

NATIONA

Lamia, B. "Observation of Z Boson Production in Heavy Ion Collisions at CMS" Moriond QCD and High Energy Interactions, 2011



Dong Ho face

# Single Muon Acceptance



$$\begin{split} |\eta^{\mu}| < 1.0 \rightarrow p_{T}^{\mu} > 3.4 \text{ GeV}/c \\ 1.0 \leq |\eta^{\mu}| < 1.6 \rightarrow p_{T}^{\mu} > 5.8 - 2.4 \times |\eta^{\mu}| \text{ GeV}/c \\ 1.6 \leq |\eta^{\mu}| < 2.4 \rightarrow p_{T}^{\mu} > 3.3667 - 7/9 \times |\eta^{\mu}| \text{ GeV}/c \end{split}$$

Aloguium 25 September 2014 Dong 🗄

#### **Reconstruction** Efficiency







#### Quarkonia Acceptance







## Binding Energy of Quarkonia

state	$J/\psi$	$\chi_c$	$\psi'$	Υ	$\chi_b$	Ϋ́	$\chi_b'$	Υ″
mass [GeV]	3.10	3.53	3.68	9.46	9.99	10.02	10.26	10.36
$\Delta E$ [GeV]	0.64	0.20	0.05	1.10	0.67	0.54	0.31	0.20
$\Delta M$ [GeV]	0.02	-0.03	0.03	0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.08	-0.07
radius [fm]	0.25	0.36	0.45	0.14	0.22	0.28	0.34	0.39





#### Heavy ion program timeline



- PbPb statistics: 1.5nb<sup>-1</sup> and 10nb<sup>-1</sup> PbPb
- · What is the expected pp statistics we should use?



Yen-Jie Lee (CERN)

High pT PInG Input

Dr<del>ig në moon</del>

# pp DiMuon







#### Z boson measurement in CMS

#### 2013 JHEP 03 (2015) 022

	${ m Z}  ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$		$Z \rightarrow c$	$e^+e^-$
Source	PbPb	pp	PbPb	pp
Combined efficiency	1.8%	1.9%	7.4%	7.7%
Acceptance	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
Background	0.5%	0.1%	2.0%	1.0%
$N_{ m MB}$	3.0%	_	3.0%	_
$T_{ m AA} \ (N_{ m MB} \ { m included})$	6.2%	_	6.2%	_
Integrated luminosity $(L_{\rm int})$	_	3.7%	_	3.7%
Overall (without $T_{AA}$ or $L_{int}$ )	3.6%	2.0%	8.3%	7.8%
Overall	6.5%	4.2%	9.9%	8.6%

**Table 2**. Summary of systematic uncertainties in the  $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $e^+e^-$  yields. PbPb values correspond to the full 0–100% centrality range.  $N_{\rm MB}$  is the number of MB events corrected for the trigger efficiency.



