



### **The Quest for the Quark-Gluon-Plasma: from Discovery to Quantitative Exploration**

### **Steffen A. Bass Duke University**

- Introduction: QCD
- Jet Energy-Loss
- Near-Ideal Fluids & Elliptic Flow
- Shear-Viscosity of QCD Matter
- Hadronization: Parton Recombination
- η/s of a Hadron Gas
- Global Quantitative Analysis







### Introduction:

- QCD Matter
- Discoveries at RHIC





#### **Quantum-Chromo-Dynamics (QCD):**

- •one of the four basic forces of nature
- •holds protons and neutrons together in atomic nuclei
- •basic constituents of matter: quarks and gluons
- •is responsible for most of the mass of ordinary matter

#### **Confinement & Asymptotic Freedom:**

- •quarks and gluons carry color charge (RGB)
- •only color-neutral bound states are observed
- coupling diverges as large distances / small Q<sup>2</sup>
- at small distances / large  $Q^2$  q's and g's roam freely
- 2004 Nobel Prize to D. Gross, D. Politzer & F. Wilczek



**ordinary matter:** •phases determined by (EM) interaction •apply heat & pressure to study phase-diagram



**Phases of QCD matter:** •how to heat & compress QCD matter? ‣collide heavy atomic nuclei •numerical simulations: ‣solve partition function (Lattice QCD)





### **The many sides of QCD Matter**



 $5p_0$ 

 $|qq_{2,p,T}|$ 















### Brookhaven National Laboratory: Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider



•2 large experiments (STAR, PHENIX) •2 small experiments (PHOBOS, BRAHMS) • 1200+ scientists from 80+ institutions



• typical collision @ RHIC: 1000s of tracks • task: reconstruction of final state to characterize matter created in collision



### **Probing QCD in Heavy-Ion Collisions**



#### Challenges:

- time-scale of the collision process: 10<sup>-24</sup> seconds! [too short to resolve]
- characteristic length scale: 10-15 meters! [too small to resolve]
- confinement: quarks & gluons form bound states @ hadronization, experiments don't observe them directly







#### **microscopic transport models** based on the **Boltzmann Equation**:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles
- $\bullet$  all interactions are based on binary scattering

 $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{E}\right]$ ×  $\partial$  $\partial \bar r$ 1  $f_1(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t) = \sum$ *processes*  $C(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t)$ 

#### **diffusive transport models** based on the **Langevin Equation**:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles in a thermal medium
- interactions contain a drag term related to the properties of the medium and a noise term representing random collisions

$$
\vec{p}(t+\Delta t)=\vec{p}(t)-\frac{\kappa}{2T}\vec{v}\cdot\Delta t\!+\!\vec{\xi}(t)\Delta t
$$

#### **(viscous) relativistic fluid dynamics:**

- transport of macroscopic degrees of freedom
- based on conservation laws:

$$
\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}=0
$$

$$
T_{ik} = \varepsilon u_i u_k + P(\delta_{ik} + u_i u_k)
$$
  
- 
$$
\eta \left( \nabla_i u_k + \nabla_k u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ik} \nabla \cdot u \right)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\varsigma \delta_{ik} \nabla \cdot u
$$

(plus an additional 9 eqns. for dissipative flows)

#### **hybrid transport models:**

- combine microscopic & macroscopic degrees of freedom
- current state of the art for RHIC modeling

Each transport model relies on roughly a dozen physics parameters to describe the time-evolution of the collision and its final state. These physics parameters act as a representation of the information we wish to extract from RHIC.



### **The Case for the QGP: RHIC Discoveries**



⎞

 $\overline{a}$ and a material of the state of the stat









### Jet Energy-Loss

Renk, Ruppert, Nonaka & Bass: Phys. Rev. **C75** (2007) 031902 Majumder, Nonaka & Bass: Phys. Rev. **C76** (2007) 041902 Qin, Ruppert, Turbide, Gale, Nonaka & Bass: Phys. Rev. **C76** (2007) 064907 Bass, Gale, Majumder, Nonaka, Qin, Renk & Ruppert: Phys. Rev. **C79** (2009) 024901



## **Jet-Quenching: Basic Idea**





- fragmentation of hard scattered partons into collimated "jets" of hadrons
- $p+p$  reactions provide a calibrated probe, well described by pQCD
- $\triangleright$  what happens if partons traverse a high energy density colored medium?





# **q-hat at RHIC**







#### Armesto, Salgado, Wiedemann (ASW):

- •medium of heavy static scattering centers w/ Yukawa-like potentials
- •path integral over multiple scatterings in the medium

#### Higher Twist (HT):

- calculates modification of n-hadron FF due to mult. scattering in medium
- scattering encoded as HT gluon-gluon field strength: can be expanded twistby-twist or resummed for multiple scattering

Arnold, Moore, Yaffe (AMY): •thermalized partonic medium in HTL approx. (T→∞ and g→0) •resummation over multiple scatterings and absorptions

Gyulassy, Levai, Vitev (GLV):

- •medium of heavy static scattering centers w/ Yukawa-like potentials
- •operator formalism that sums order by order in opacity n=L/ $\lambda_a$

 $\frac{1}{2}$ • all approaches make assumptions about the underlying medium and its evolution • example: 3D hydrodynamic evolution provides ε, T,  $\gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{QGP}}$  as function of  $(\tau, x, y, \eta)$ • how does the assumed QGP structure and medium evolution affect the analysis?



## **Energy-Loss Implementation in 3D RFD**



3D hydrodynamic evolution provides  $\varepsilon$ , T,  $\gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{\alpha\varsigma\rho}$  as function of  $(\tau,x,y,\eta)$ 

BDMPS/ASW:

•define local transport coefficient along trajectory ξ (K as parameter to fix transport coefficient of medium): *q*  $\hat{q}(\xi) = K \cdot 2 \cdot \varepsilon$ 3  $\frac{1}{4}$  ( $\frac{2}{5}$ )

Higher Twist:

•fix starting value of q; hadronic phase can be taken into account via coefficient  $c_{HG}$ :  $\hat{q}(\vec{r},\tau) = \hat{q}_0$  $\gamma($  $\rightarrow$  $\vec{r}\,,$ τ) $T$   $^3$ (  $\rightarrow$  $\vec{r}\,,\tau)$  $\overline{T_0}$  $\frac{(\cdot, \cdot)}{3}$   $\Gamma_{QGP}$  (  $\rightarrow$  $\left[ \Gamma_{QGP}(\vec{r}, \tau) + c_{HG} \left( 1 - \Gamma_{QGP}(\vec{r}, \tau) \right) \right]$  $\left[ \Gamma_{QGP}(\vec{r}, \tau) + c_{HG} \left( 1 - \Gamma_{QGP}(\vec{r}, \tau) \right) \right]$ 

#### AMY:

•evolution of jet-momentum distribution is obtained by solving set of coupled rate eqns, with transition rates depending on the coupling constant  $\alpha_{s}$ , local temperature T and flow velocity  $\gamma$  (q<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>7<sup>3</sup>)



### **Jet-Tomography: Medium vs. Scheme Dependence**



same jet energy-loss scheme and medium assumption:

- 50% sys. error in tomography analysis due to different evolution descriptions
- $\triangleright$  need standard model for evolution to gain predictive and discriminative power



same 3D-hydro medium, 3 different schemes:  $R_{AA}$  in (semi-)central collisions is well described by all jet energy-loss schemes parameters reflect response of medium structure hard-wired into schemes

large variation in extracted q-hat: 4-18!!





How does the transport coefficient scale with the thermodynamic properties of the medium? Does the choice of T, ε or s matter?

- EoS for ideal QGP (ideal gas of ultrarelativistic bosons):  $\varepsilon = \frac{\pi^2}{30} \, g_{DOF} T^{\,4}$
- common choices for scaling:  $\hat{q} \sim T^3$   $\hat{q} \sim \varepsilon^{3/4}$   $\hat{q} \sim s$
- $\triangleright$  identical results only for ideal QGP



(choice of  $c_{\text{HG}}$ =0.2 mimics scaling with entropy-density s)

• for non-ideal EoS, value of q will be affected by choice of scaling variable:



- different medium scaling can affect q-hat by a factor of 2!
- systematic differences in q-hat values extracted by the three schemes remain, even when corrected for medium scaling and are due to differing assumptions on the structure of the medium
- ‣ need higher precision data and theory advances to provide guidance



## **Energy Loss vs. In-Medium Shower**



#### **leading particle energy-loss:**

- •energy loss only describes leading or next-to-leading partons
- •information reduction: only small fraction of what happens during shower evolution in medium is utilized
- •single and two-particle observables may lack sensitivity to discriminate between the medium assumptions and transport properties inherent in the different energy loss schemes

‣enhance sensitivity/efficiency of the analysis by looking at full shower evolution



#### **in-medium shower:**

- includes dynamics of all partons emitted by shower in medium
- provides full accounting of energy deposited into medium
- describes multiple low-pt hadron production

00000

#### current state of the art:

- Monte-Carlo generators with in-medium shower evolution: JEWEL, YaYEM, Q-PYTHIA, Q-HERWIG, MARTINI
- caveat: no real transport!
	- medium response not being calculated
	- no space-time evolution of system
- solution: do full transport:
	- **‣Parton Cascade Models**







### Near-Ideal Fluids & Elliptic Flow

S.A. Bass & A. Dumitru, Phys. Rev **C61** (2000) 064909 D. Teaney et al, nucl-th/0110037

- T. Hirano et al. Phys. Lett. **B636** (2006) 299
- C. Nonaka & S.A. Bass, Phys. Rev. **C75** (2006) 014902



## **RHIC in the press: Perfect Liquid**





Steffen Bass from Duke University.<br>The QGP is the state postulated to be present just a few

- on April 18th, 2005, BNL announced in a press release that RHIC had created a new state of hot and dense matter which behaves like a nearly perfect liquid.
- how does one measure/ calculate the properties of a near ideal liquid?
- are there any other near ideal liquid systems found in nature?







#### **Viscosity:**

• shear and bulk viscosity are defined as the coefficients in the expansion of the stress tensor in terms of the velocity fields:

$$
T_{ik} = \varepsilon u_i u_k + P(\delta_{ik} + u_i u_k) - \eta \left(\nabla_i u_k + \nabla_k u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ik} \nabla \cdot u\right) + \varsigma \delta_{ik} \nabla \cdot u
$$

• viscous RFD requires solving an additional 9 eqns. for the dissipative flows Note:

- for quasi-particulate matter, viscosity decreases with increasing cross section
- for viscous RFD, the microscopic origin of viscosity is not relevant!



## **Collision Geometry: Elliptic Flow**



#### elliptic flow (v<sub>2</sub>):

- gradients of almond-shape surface will lead to preferential emission in the reaction plane
- asymmetry out- vs. in-plane emission is quantified by  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  Fourier coefficient of angular distribution:  $v_2$

 $\triangleright$  vRFD: good agreement with data for very small  $\eta/s$ 





## **Elliptic flow: early creation**



Most model calculations suggest that flow anisotropies are generated at the earliest stages of the expansion, on a **timescale of ~ 5 fm/c** if a QGP EoS is assumed.

P. Kolb, J. Sollfrank and U.Heinz, PRC 62 (2000) 054909



### **Elliptic flow: ultra-cold Fermi-Gas**

Li atoms at release from an optical trap: • initial almond shape, similar to interaction area in heavy-ion collision



 $1000 \mu s$ 

 $1500 \mu s$ 

 $2000 \mu s$ 

- Li-atoms released from an optical trap exhibit elliptic flow analogous to what is observed in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions
- Elliptic flow is a general feature of strongly interacting systems!

K. M. O'Hara, S. L. Hemmer, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade, J. E. Thomas: Science **298** (2002) 2179







### shear-viscosity of QCD matter •quantifying q-hat is still a challenge •can we do better with η or η/s?

M. Asakawa, S.A. Bass & B. Mueller: Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 252301

M. Asakawa, S.A. Bass & B. Mueller: Prog. Theo. Phys. **116** (2006) 725



## **Viscosity at RHIC**





## **η/s from Lattice QCD**





#### **Scientific Grand Challenges**

FOREFRONT QUESTIONS IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE AND ROLE OF COMPUTING AT THE EXTREME SCALE



•calculating QCD transport coefficients on the Lattice has been identified as a Priority Research Direction by the DOE Office of Nuclear Physics and the Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) in their report on Extreme-Scale Computing

> Harvey B. Meyer: Phys.Rev.**D79**: 011502, 2009 Harvey B. Meyer: **arXiv:0809.5202** [hep-lat]



## **AdS/CFT correspondence**



- calculating viscosity and viscosity/entropy ratio too difficult in full QCD
- quantities are calculable in a related theory using string theory methods



- $\triangleright$  YM observables at infinite N<sub>C</sub> and infinite coupling can be computed using classical gravity
- I technique can be applied to dynamical and thermodynamic observables

 $\blacktriangleright$  in all theories with gravity-duals one finds:  $\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{h}{4\pi}$  (very small number!) =  $\hbar$  $4\pi$ 

#### **Caution:**

- N=4 SUSY YM is not QCD!
- no information on how low η/s is microscopically generated

J. Maldacena: Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231

E. Witten: Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 505

S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov & M. Polyakov: Nucl.Phys. B636 (2002) 99



### **The sQGP Challenge: do quasi-particles drive η/s?**



 $t$  [fm/c]

even at moderately weak coupling.



## **Hard Thermal Loops: Instabilities**



Nonabelian Vlasov equations describe interaction of "hard" (i.e. particle) and "soft" color field modes and generate the "hard-thermal loop" effective theory:

$$
\frac{dp^{\mu}}{d\tau} = gQ^{a} F^{a\mu\nu} u_{\nu} \qquad \frac{dQ^{a}}{d\tau} = g f_{abc} A^{b\nu} u_{\nu} Q^{c} \quad D_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} = gJ^{\nu}
$$
  
with  $J^{\nu}(x) = \sum_{i} \int d\tau Q_{i}(\tau) u_{i}^{\nu}(\tau) \delta(x - x_{i}(\tau))$ 

Effective HTL theory permits systematic study of instabilities of "soft" color fields

$$
\text{find HTL modes for anisotropic distribution:} \\ f(\vec{p},\vec{r}) \approx \left(e^{\beta u \cdot p - f_1(\vec{p},\vec{r})} \mp 1\right)^{-1}
$$

 $\triangleright$  for most f<sub>1</sub>≠0 there exist unstable modes  $\triangleright$  energy-density and growth rate of unstable modes can be calculated:



P. Romatschke & M. Strickland, PRD **68**: 036004 (2003) P. Arnold, J. Lenaghan & G.D. Moore, JHEP **0308**, 002 (2003) S. Mrowczynski, PLB **314**, 118 (1993)







 $\mathbf{F}^a = \mathcal{E}^a + \mathbf{v} \times \mathcal{B}^a$ • use color Vlasov-Boltzmann Eqn. to solve for f and  $\Delta$ :  $v^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) + g\mathbf{F}^{a} \cdot \nabla_{p} f^{a}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) + C[f] = 0$ with

- turbulent color field assumption:
	- ensemble average over fields:  $\langle \mathcal{B}_i^a(x)U_{ab}(x,x')\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_j^b(x')\rangle = \langle \mathcal{B}_i^a\mathcal{B}_j^a\rangle\, \Phi_{\tau}^{(\mathrm{mag})}\left(|t-t'| \right)\, \tilde{\Phi}_{\sigma}^{(\mathrm{mag})}\left(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \right)$

 diffusive Vlasov-Boltzmann Eqn: *<sup>v</sup><sup>µ</sup>* <sup>∂</sup>  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \bar{f} - \nabla_p \cdot D \cdot \nabla_p \bar{f} + C[\bar{f}] = 0$ 

• example: anomalous viscosity in case of transverse magnetic fields  $\eta_{\rm A}^{(g)}=$  $\frac{16\zeta(6)(N_c^2-1)^2}{2}$  $\pi^2 N_c$ *T*6  $\overline{g^2\langle\mathcal{B}^2\rangle\,\tau_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{mag}}}$  $\eta_{\rm A}^{(q)}=$  $62\zeta(6)N_c^2N_f$  $\pi^2$ *T*6  $\overline{g^2\langle\mathcal{B}^2\rangle\,\tau_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{mag}}}$ 



η*C*

*s*

 $\approx$ 

5

*g*<sup>4</sup> ln *g*−<sup>1</sup>



collisional viscosity:

• derived in HTL weak coupling limit

#### anomalous viscosity:

• induced by turbulent color fields, due to momentum-space anisotropy

$$
\frac{\eta_A}{s} = \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{(N_c^2 - 1)}{N_c} \frac{T^6}{g^2 \langle \mathcal{B}^2 \rangle \tau_{\rm m}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\eta_A}{s} \sim \frac{1}{\langle B^2 \rangle}
$$

with ansatz for fields:

$$
\frac{\eta_A}{s} = \bar{c}_0 \left( \frac{T}{g^2 |\nabla u|} \right)^{3/5}
$$

- $\triangleright$  for reasonable values of g:  $\eta_A < \eta_C$
- 1  $\eta$   $\eta_A$   $\eta_C$ = 1  $+$ 1 • sum-rule for system w/ 2 viscosities: (derived from variational principle)  $\rightarrow$  total viscosity dominated by  $\eta_A$



## **Collisional vs. Anomalous Viscosity**



 anomalous viscosity dominates total shear viscosity during early QGP evolution a small viscosity does not necessarily imply strongly interacting matter!







### Intermezzo @ Hadronization: • Parton Recombination

featured in Thompson ESI: Fast Moving Fronts March 2005

2004 JNS publication prize for Young Nuclear Theorists awarded to C. Nonaka

850+ citations since January 2003

R.J. Fries, C. Nonaka, B. Mueller & S.A. Bass, PRL **90** (2003) 202303 R.J. Fries, C. Nonaka, B. Mueller & S.A. Bass, PRC **68** (2003) 044902 C. Nonaka, R.J. Fries & S.A. Bass, Phys. Lett. B **583** (2004) 73 R. J. Fries, S.A. Bass & B. Mueller, PRL **94** (2005) 122301



## **The baryon puzzle @ RHIC**



• why do protons not exhibit the same jet- suppression as pions?

 $\triangleright$  fragmentation starts with a single fast parton: energy loss affects pions and protons in the same way!

species dependence of  $v_2$  saturation: •RFD distributions scale by mass, not Meson/Baryon Number: why does RFD fail at intermediate momenta? •why do baryons overtake mesons? STAR Preliminary (Au+Au; 200 GeV; lyl<1.0) h<sup>+</sup>+h`  $\Lambda + \Lambda$  $0.3$ Hydro calculations  $0.2$  $V_2$  $0.1$ Transverse Momentum  $p_T$  (GeV/c)

what drives the physics that makes intermediate  $p_t$  physics dependent on Meson/Baryon Number?



### **Recombination+Fragmentation Model**

### **basic assumptions:**

• at low  $p_{+}$ , the quark- and antiquark spectrum is thermal and they recombine into hadrons locally "at an instant":

$$
\frac{dN_M}{d^3 P} = C_M \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} w(\frac{1}{2}P - q) w(\frac{1}{2}P + q) |\hat{\varphi}_M(q)|^2
$$

• at high  $p_{+}$ , the parton spectrum is given by a pQCD power law, partons suffer jet energy loss and hadrons are formed via fragmentation of quarks and gluons: *E*  $dN_{\rm h}$  $d^3P$  $= \int d\Sigma \frac{P \cdot u}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$  $(2\pi)^3$ *dz z* 2 0 1  $\int d\Sigma \frac{I-\mu}{\left(2\pi\right)^3} \int \frac{dz}{z^2} \sum w_\alpha (R, \frac{1}{z})$  $\frac{1}{z}P)$ α  $\sum w_{\alpha}(R, \frac{1}{z}P)D_{\alpha \to h}(z)$ 



- Reco: baryons shifted to higher  $p_t$  than mesons, for same quark distribution
- shape of spectrum determines if reco or fragmentation is more effective:
	- for thermal distribution recombination yield dominates fragmentation yield
	- vice versa for pQCD power law distribution

 $\triangleright$  understand behavior of baryons, since jet-quenching is strictly high-p<sub>t</sub>!



The parton recombination model predicts that the elliptic flow of a hadron as a function of  $p_t$  scales with the number of its constituent quarks:

$$
v_2^M(p_t) = \frac{2 v_2^p \left(\frac{p_t}{2}\right)}{1 + 2 \left(v_2^p \left(\frac{p_t}{2}\right)\right)^2}
$$
  

$$
v_2^B(p_t) = \frac{3 v_2^p \left(\frac{p_t}{3}\right) + 3 \left(v_2^p \left(\frac{p_t}{3}\right)\right)^3}{1 + 6 \left(v_2^p \left(\frac{p_t}{3}\right)\right)^2}
$$



#### **Lessons from Constituent-Quark-Scaling:**

- as the system evolves towards  $T_c$ , the DoF of the QGP become quasi-particles
- at hadronization, constituent quarks are most likely the dominant DoF

**‣most direct evidence for the creation of a QGP to date!**







### η/s of a Hadron Gas

N. Demir & S.A. Bass: Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 172302 (2009)



### **Shear Viscosity: Linear Transport Equation & Green - Kubo Formalism**

Mechanical definition of shear viscosity:

•application of a shear force to a system gives rise to a non-zero value of the xycomponent of the pressure tensor  $P_{xy}$ .  $P_{xy}$  is then related to the velocity flow field via the shear viscosity coefficient η:  $\partial v_x$ 

•a similar linear transport equation can be defined for other transport coefficients: thermal conductivity, diffusion ...

∂*y*

 $P_{xy} = -\eta$ 

•using linear-response theory, the **Green-Kubo relations** for the shear viscosity can be derived, expressing η as an integral of an near-equilibrium time correlation function of the stress-energy tensor:

$$
\eta \,=\, \frac{1}{T} \int d^3r \int_0^\infty \,dt\, \left\langle \pi^{xy}(\vec{0},0)\,\pi^{xy}(\vec{r},t) \right\rangle_{\rm equil}
$$

 $\pi^{\mu\nu}(\vec{r},t) = \int d^3p$  $p^{\mu}p^{\nu}$ with the stress-energy tensor:  $\pi^{\mu\nu}(\vec{r},t)=\int d^3p\frac{\vec{r}\phantom{a}\vec{r}}{p^0}f(x,p)$ 



### **Microscopic Transport: η/s of a Hadron Gas**



• for particles in a fixed volume, the stress energy tensor discretizes

 $\pi^{xy} =$ 1 *V* N  $\blacktriangledown$ part *j*=1  $p^x(j)p^y(j)$  $p^{\bm{0}}(j)$ 

• and the Green-Kubo formula reads:

 $\eta =$ *V T*  $\int_0^\infty$ 0  $dt \, \left\langle \pi^{xy}(0) \, \pi^{xy}(t) \right\rangle$ 

- Entropy:
- extract thermodynamic quantities via:

 $s_{\text{Gibbs}} =$ 

$$
P = \frac{1}{3V} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{part}}} \frac{|\vec{p}|^2(j)}{p^0(j)} \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{part}}} p^0(j)
$$

• use Gibbs relation (with chem. pot. extratced via SM)

 $\int \frac{\epsilon + P - \mu_i \rho_i}{\sigma_i}$ 

*T*

"

• evaluating the correlator numerically, e.g. in UrQMD one empirically finds an exponential decay as function of time

• using the following ansatz, one can extract the relaxation time  $T_{\pi}$ :

$$
\langle \pi^{xy}(0) \pi^{xy}(t) \rangle \propto \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{\pi}}\right)
$$

• the shear viscosity then can be calculated from known/extracted quantities:

$$
\eta\,=\,\tau_\pi\frac{V}{T}\left\langle\pi^{xy}(0)^2\right\rangle
$$

A. Muronga: Phys. Rev. **C69**: 044901, 2004







first reliable calculation of of η/s for a full hadron gas including baryons and anti-baryons:

‣ breakdown of vRFD in the hadronic phase?

‣ what are the consequences for η/s in the deconfined phase?

- RFD freeze-out temperature to reproduce spectral shapes: ∼110 MeV
- Statistical Model temperature fits to hadron yields/ratios: ∼160 MeV
- ‣ separation of chemical and kinetic freeze-out in the hadronic phase!
- ‣ confirmed by hybrid models
- ‣ implies non-unit species-dependent fugacities in RFD
- non-unit fugacities reduce η/s by a factor of two to η/s≈0.5
- ‣ **improved constraint:** η/s needs to be significantly lower in deconfined phase for vRFD to reproduce elliptic flow!





### Global Quantitative Analysis

## **The Challenge of a Rigorous Model to Data Comparison**

**experimental data:** π/K/P spectra yields vs. centrality & beam elliptic flow **HBT** charge correlations & BFs density correlations **Model Parameter:** Eq. of state Viscosity Saturation Pre-equilibrium state Hadronization dynamics Quark chemistry Jet Quenching

• large number of interconnected parameters w/ non-factorizable data dependencies

- data have correlated uncertainties
- develop novel optimization techniques: Bayesian Statistics and MCMC methods
- transport models require too much CPU: need new techniques based on emulators
- general problem, not restricted to RHIC Physics **→seek help/collaboration from Statistical Sciences**



## **MaDAI Collaboration: Models and Data Analysis Initiative**

**a multi-institutional and multi-disciplinary collaboration to develop next generation tools for complex model-to-data knowledge extraction**

**Michigan State University**

RHIC Physics: Scott Pratt Supernova: Wolfgang Bauer Astrophysics: Brian O'Shea and Mark Voit Atmospheric Modeling: Sharon Zhong Statistics: Dan Dougherty

**Duke University**

RHIC Physics: Steffen A. Bass and Berndt Müller Statistics: Robert Wolpert

**UNC & RENCI**

Visualization: Xunlei Wu and Russell M. Taylor



Funded by NSF CDI program (Cyber-Enabled Discovery Initiative) • US\$ 1,800,000 over 4 years



## **CDI: Extracting Science from Data & Models**

- develop a comprehensive transport model (or set of consistent interlocking transport approaches), capable of describing the full time-evolution of a heavy-ion collision at RHIC, starting from the coherent glue-field dominated initial state up to the hadronic final state
- identify the relevant physics parameters (EoS, QCD transport coefficients, matrix elements etc.) which are sensitive to the observables measured at RHIC
- conduct a systematic study in that multi-dimensional parameter-space and via comparison to data to determine the properties of the QCD medium created at RHIC



### **Conclusion & Outlook: RHIC**



Heavy-Ion collisions at RHIC have produced a state of matter which can be called the **Quark-Gluon-Plasma:**

- the properties of the QGP can be characterized by its transport coefficients, such as η/s and q-hat
	- large opacity: values of q-hat beyond the pQCD expectation
	- near ideal fluidity: the smallest value of η/s observed in nature

Transition from Discovery Phase to Exploratory Phase and onwards to Precision Spectroscopy of the QGP:

- establish the physics driving the small value of η/s (e.g. particles vs. fields) and its dependence on temperature and fugacities
- determine proper structure of the QGP to use in jet energy-loss calculations for a precision measurement of q-hat
- explore medium response to jets and heavy quarks and develop a unified picture on the nature of the QGP











# The End