



### The Quest for the Quark-Gluon-Plasma: from Discovery to Quantitative Exploration

### Steffen A. Bass Duke University

- Introduction: QCD
- Jet Energy-Loss
- Near-Ideal Fluids & Elliptic Flow
- Shear-Viscosity of QCD Matter
- Hadronization: Parton Recombination
- $\bullet$   $\eta/s$  of a Hadron Gas
- Global Quantitative Analysis







### Introduction:

- QCD Matter
- Discoveries at RHIC





#### <u>Quantum-Chromo-Dynamics</u> (QCD):

- •one of the four basic forces of nature
- •holds protons and neutrons together in atomic nuclei
- basic constituents of matter: quarks and gluons
- is responsible for most of the mass of ordinary matter

#### Confinement & Asymptotic Freedom:

- quarks and gluons carry color charge (RGB)
- •only color-neutral bound states are observed
- $\bullet$  coupling diverges as large distances / small  $Q^2$
- $\bullet$  at small distances / large Q² q's and g's roam freely
- 2004 Nobel Prize to D. Gross, D. Politzer & F. Wilczek



ordinary matter:
phases determined by (EM) interaction
apply heat & pressure to study phase-diagram



# **Phases of QCD matter:**•how to heat & compress QCD matter?

- Collide heavy atomic nuclei
- •numerical simulations:
- ▶ solve partition function (Lattice QCD)





### The many sides of QCD Matter



 $5 \rho_0$ 



# QCD has a rich phase-structure "easier" to solve at high T & μ<sub>B</sub> QGP state thought to have existed shortly after Big Bang











#### Brookhaven National Laboratory: Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider



2 large experiments (STAR, PHENIX)
2 small experiments (PHOBOS, BRAHMS)
1200+ scientists from 80+ institutions



typical collision @ RHIC: 1000s of tracks
task: reconstruction of final state to characterize matter created in collision



### Probing QCD in Heavy-Ion Collisions



#### Challenges:

- time-scale of the collision process: 10<sup>-24</sup> seconds! [too short to resolve]
- characteristic length scale: 10<sup>-15</sup> meters! [too small to resolve]
- confinement: quarks & gluons form bound states @ hadronization, experiments don't observe them directly

Experiments:	<ul> <li>observe only the final state</li> <li>rely on QGP signatures predicted by Theory</li> </ul>		
Lattice QCD:	<ul> <li>rigorous calculation of QCD properties in equilibrium</li> </ul>		
Transport-Models:	<ul> <li>full description of collision dynamics</li> <li>connect intermediate state to measurements &amp; lattice</li> </ul>		





#### **microscopic transport models** based on the **Boltzmann Equation**:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles
- all interactions are based on binary scattering

 $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\vec{p}}{E} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{r}}\right] f_1(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t) = \sum_{processes} C(\vec{p}, \vec{r}, t)$ 

#### **diffusive transport models** based on the **Langevin Equation**:

- transport of a system of microscopic particles in a thermal medium
- interactions contain a drag term related to the properties of the medium and a noise term representing random collisions

$$\vec{p}(t + \Delta t) = \vec{p}(t) - \frac{\kappa}{2T} \vec{v} \cdot \Delta t + \vec{\xi}(t) \Delta t$$

#### (viscous) relativistic fluid dynamics:

- transport of macroscopic degrees of freedom
- based on conservation laws:

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$T_{ik} = \varepsilon u_i u_k + P \left( \delta_{ik} + u_i u_k \right) - \eta \left( \nabla_i u_k + \nabla_k u_i - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ik} \nabla \cdot u \right) + \varsigma \delta_{ik} \nabla \cdot u$$

(plus an additional 9 eqns. for dissipative flows)

#### hybrid transport models:

- combine microscopic & macroscopic degrees of freedom
- current state of the art for RHIC modeling

Each transport model relies on roughly a dozen physics parameters to describe the time-evolution of the collision and its final state. These physics parameters act as a representation of the information we wish to extract from RHIC.



### The Case for the QGP: RHIC Discoveries











### Jet Energy-Loss

Renk, Ruppert, Nonaka & Bass: Phys. Rev. **C75** (2007) 031902 Majumder, Nonaka & Bass: Phys. Rev. **C76** (2007) 041902 Qin, Ruppert, Turbide, Gale, Nonaka & Bass: Phys. Rev. **C76** (2007) 064907 Bass, Gale, Majumder, Nonaka, Qin, Renk & Ruppert: Phys. Rev. **C79** (2009) 024901



# Jet-Quenching: Basic Idea





- fragmentation of hard scattered partons into collimated "jets" of hadrons
- >p+p reactions provide a calibrated probe, well described by pQCD
- >what happens if partons traverse a high energy density colored medium?





# q-hat at RHIC







#### Armesto, Salgado, Wiedemann (ASW):

- •medium of heavy static scattering centers w/ Yukawa-like potentials
- path integral over multiple scatterings in the medium

#### Higher Twist (HT):

- calculates modification of n-hadron FF due to mult. scattering in medium
- scattering encoded as HT gluon-gluon field strength: can be expanded twistby-twist or resummed for multiple scattering

Arnold, Moore, Yaffe (AMY):
thermalized partonic medium in HTL approx. (T→∞ and g→0)
resummation over multiple scatterings and absorptions

Gyulassy, Levai, Vitev (GLV):

- medium of heavy static scattering centers w/ Yukawa-like potentials
- •operator formalism that sums order by order in opacity n=L/ $\lambda_{g}$

• all approaches make assumptions about the underlying medium and its evolution • example: 3D hydrodynamic evolution provides  $\varepsilon$ , T,  $\gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{QGP}$  as function of (T,X,Y, $\eta$ ) • how does the assumed QGP structure and medium evolution affect the analysis?



## Energy-Loss Implementation in 3D RFD



3D hydrodynamic evolution provides  $\epsilon$ , T,  $\gamma$  and  $\Gamma_{QGP}$  as function of  $(\tau, x, y, \eta)$ 

BDMPS/ASW:

•define local transport coefficient along trajectory  $\xi$  (K as parameter to fix transport coefficient of medium):  $\hat{q}(\xi) = K \cdot 2 \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}}(\xi)$ 

Higher Twist:

•fix starting value of q; hadronic phase can be taken into account via coefficient  $c_{HG}$ :  $\hat{q}(\vec{r},\tau) = \hat{q}_0 \frac{\gamma(\vec{r},\tau)T^3(\vec{r},\tau)}{T_0^3} \Big[\Gamma_{QGP}(\vec{r},\tau) + c_{HG} (1 - \Gamma_{QGP}(\vec{r},\tau))\Big]$ 

#### AMY:

•evolution of jet-momentum distribution is obtained by solving set of coupled rate eqns, with transition rates depending on the coupling constant  $\alpha_s$ , local temperature T and flow velocity  $\gamma$  (q $^T^3$ )



### Jet-Tomography: Medium vs. Scheme Dependence



same jet energy-loss scheme and medium assumption:

- 50% sys. error in tomography analysis due to different evolution descriptions
- need standard model for evolution to gain predictive and discriminative power



 same 3D-hydro medium, 3 different schemes:
 R<sub>AA</sub> in (semi-)central collisions is well described by all jet energy-loss schemes
 parameters reflect response of medium structure hard-wired into schemes

➢ large variation in extracted q-hat: 4-18!!





How does the transport coefficient scale with the thermodynamic properties of the medium? Does the choice of T,  $\epsilon$  or s matter?

- EoS for ideal QGP (ideal gas of ultrarelativistic bosons):  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_{DOF} T^4$
- common choices for scaling:  $\hat{q} \sim T^3$   $\hat{q} \sim \varepsilon^{3/4}$   $\hat{q} \sim s$
- > identical results only for ideal QGP



(choice of  $c_{HG}$ =0.2 mimics scaling with entropy-density s)

 for non-ideal EoS, value of q will be affected by choice of scaling variable:

q <sub>0</sub> [GeV²/fm]	ASW	HT	AMY	
Т	10	2.3	4.1	
3	18.5	4.5	×	
S		4.3	×	

- different medium scaling can affect q-hat by a factor of 2!
- systematic differences in q-hat values extracted by the three schemes remain, even when corrected for medium scaling and are due to differing assumptions on the structure of the medium
- need higher precision data and theory advances to provide guidance



# Energy Loss vs. In-Medium Shower

0000

1000



#### leading particle energy-loss:

- energy loss only describes leading or next-to-leading partons
- information reduction: only small fraction of what happens during shower evolution in medium is utilized
- single and two-particle observables may lack sensitivity to discriminate between the medium assumptions and transport properties inherent in the different energy loss schemes

enhance sensitivity/efficiency of the analysis by looking at full shower evolution

#### in-medium shower:

- includes dynamics of all partons emitted by shower in medium
- provides full accounting of energy deposited into medium
- describes multiple low-pt hadron / production

00000

#### current state of the art:

- Monte-Carlo generators with in-medium shower evolution: JEWEL, YaYEM, Q-PYTHIA, Q-HERWIG, MARTINI
- caveat: no real transport!
  - medium response not being calculated
  - no space-time evolution of system
- solution: do full transport:
  - Parton Cascade Models







### Near-Ideal Fluids & Elliptic Flow

S.A. Bass & A. Dumitru, Phys. Rev **C61** (2000) 064909 D. Teaney et al, nucl-th/0110037

- T. Hirano et al. Phys. Lett. **B636** (2006) 299
- C. Nonaka & S.A. Bass, Phys. Rev. C75 (2006) 014902



## RHIC in the press: Perfect Liquid





- on April 18<sup>th</sup>, 2005, BNL announced in a press release that RHIC had created a new state of hot and dense matter which behaves like a nearly perfect liquid.
- how does one measure/ calculate the properties of a near ideal liquid?
- are there any other near ideal liquid systems found in nature?

The QGP is the state postulated to be present just a few

Steffen Bass from Duke University.







#### Viscosity:

• shear and bulk viscosity are defined as the coefficients in the expansion of the stress tensor in terms of the velocity fields:

$$T_{ik} = \varepsilon u_i u_k + P\left(\delta_{ik} + u_i u_k\right) - \eta \left(\nabla_i u_k + \nabla_k u_i - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ik}\nabla \cdot u\right) + \varsigma \,\delta_{ik}\nabla \cdot u$$

- viscous RFD requires solving an additional 9 eqns. for the dissipative flows Note:
- for quasi-particulate matter, viscosity decreases with increasing cross section
- for viscous RFD, the microscopic origin of viscosity is not relevant!



## Collision Geometry: Elliptic Flow



#### elliptic flow $(v_2)$ :

- gradients of almond-shape surface will lead to preferential emission in the reaction plane
- asymmetry out- vs. in-plane emission is quantified by  $2^{nd}$  Fourier coefficient of angular distribution:  $v_2$

 $\succ$  vRFD: good agreement with data for very small  $\eta/s$ 





# Elliptic flow: early creation



Most model calculations suggest that flow anisotropies are generated at the earliest stages of the expansion, on a timescale of  $\sim$  5 fm/c if a QGP EoS is assumed.

P. Kolb, J. Sollfrank and U.Heinz, PRC 62 (2000) 054909



### Elliptic flow: ultra-cold Fermi-Gas

Li atoms at release from an optical trap: • initial almond shape, similar to interaction area in heavy-ion collision



1000 µs

1500 µs

2000 µs

- Li-atoms released from an optical trap exhibit elliptic flow analogous to what is observed in ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions
- Elliptic flow is a general feature of strongly interacting systems!

K. M. O'Hara, S. L. Hemmer, M. E. Gehm, S. R. Granade, J. E. Thomas: Science **298** (2002) 2179







# shear-viscosity of QCD matter quantifying q-hat is still a challenge can we do better with η or η/s?

M. Asakawa, S.A. Bass & B. Mueller: Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 252301

M. Asakawa, S.A. Bass & B. Mueller: Prog. Theo. Phys. 116 (2006) 725



# Viscosity at RHIC





## $\eta$ /s from Lattice QCD



$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline $preliminary estimates: $caution: $caution: $systematic errors are O(1)! $n/s$ $ $0.2$ $ $0.26$ \end{tabular}$	<ul> <li>The confines of the Euklidian Formulation:</li> <li>extracting η/s formally requires taking the zero momentum limit in an infinite spatial volume, which is numerically not possible</li> </ul>			
systematic errors are O(1)! n/s 0.2 0.26	preliminary estimates: caution:	Т	1.58 T <sub>C</sub>	2.32 T <sub>C</sub>
	systematic errors are O(1)!	η/s	0.2	0.26

#### Scientific Grand Challenges

FOREFRONT QUESTIONS IN NUCLEAR SCIENCE AND THE ROLE OF COMPUTING AT THE EXTREME SCALE



• calculating QCD transport coefficients on the Lattice has been identified as a Priority Research Direction by the DOE Office of Nuclear Physics and the Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR) in their report on Extreme-Scale Computing

> Harvey B. Meyer: Phys.Rev.**D79**: 011502, 2009 Harvey B. Meyer: **arXiv:0809.5202** [hep-lat]





- calculating viscosity and viscosity/entropy ratio too difficult in full QCD
- quantities are calculable in a related theory using string theory methods



- YM observables at infinite N<sub>c</sub> and infinite coupling can be computed using classical gravity
- technique can be applied to dynamical and thermodynamic observables

in all theories with gravity-duals one finds:  $\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi}$  (very small number!)

#### Caution:

- N=4 SUSY YM is not QCD!
- $\bullet$  no information on how low  $\eta/s$  is microscopically generated
  - J. Maldacena: Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231
  - E. Witten: Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 505
  - S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov & M. Polyakov: Nucl.Phys. B636 (2002) 99



### The sQGP Challenge: do quasi-particles drive $\eta/s$ ?



t [fm/c]

even at moderately weak coupling.



# Hard Thermal Loops: Instabilities



Nonabelian Vlasov equations describe interaction of "hard" (i.e. particle) and "soft" color field modes and generate the "hard-thermal loop" effective theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp^{\mu}}{d\tau} &= gQ^a \, F^{a\mu\nu} \, u_{\nu} & \frac{dQ^a}{d\tau} = gf_{abc}A^{b\nu} \, u_{\nu} \, Q^c \quad D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = gJ^{\nu} \\ \text{with} \quad J^{\nu}(x) &= \sum_i \int d\tau \, Q_i(\tau) \, u_i^{\nu}(\tau) \, \delta \left(x - x_i(\tau)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Effective HTL theory permits systematic study of instabilities of "soft" color fields

find HTL modes for anisotropic distribution: 
$$f(\vec{p},\vec{r}) \approx \left(e^{\beta u \cdot p - f_1(\vec{p},\vec{r})} \mp 1\right)^{-1}$$

for most f<sub>1</sub>≠0 there exist unstable modes
 energy-density and growth rate of unstable modes can be calculated:



P. Romatschke & M. Strickland, PRD 68: 036004 (2003)
P. Arnold, J. Lenaghan & G.D. Moore, JHEP 0308, 002 (2003)
S. Mrowczynski, PLB 314, 118 (1993)







• use color Vlasov-Boltzmann Eqn. to solve for **f** and  $\Delta$ :  $v^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) + g \mathbf{F}^{a} \cdot \nabla_{p} f^{a}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) + C[f] = 0$  with  $\mathbf{F}^{a} = \mathcal{E}^{a} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathcal{B}^{a}$ 

- turbulent color field assumption:

> diffusive Vlasov-Boltzmann Eqn:  $v^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \bar{f} - \nabla_{p} \cdot D \cdot \nabla_{p} \bar{f} + C[\bar{f}] = 0$ 

• example: anomalous viscosity in case of transverse magnetic fields  $\eta_{\rm A}^{(g)} = \frac{16\zeta(6)(N_c^2 - 1)^2}{\pi^2 N_c} \frac{T^6}{g^2 \langle \mathcal{B}^2 \rangle \tau_{\rm m}^{\rm mag}} \qquad \eta_{\rm A}^{(q)} = \frac{62\zeta(6)N_c^2 N_f}{\pi^2} \frac{T^6}{g^2 \langle \mathcal{B}^2 \rangle \tau_{\rm m}^{\rm mag}}$ 





collisional viscosity:

 $\frac{\eta_C}{s} \approx \frac{5}{q^4 \ln q^{-1}}$ • derived in HTL weak coupling limit

#### anomalous viscosity:

• induced by turbulent color fields, due to momentum-space anisotropy

$$\frac{\eta_A}{s} = \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{(N_c^2 - 1)}{N_c} \frac{T^6}{g^2 \langle \mathcal{B}^2 \rangle \tau_{\rm m}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\eta_A}{s} \sim \frac{1}{\langle B}$$

with ansatz for fields:

$$\frac{\eta_A}{s} = \bar{c}_0 \left(\frac{T}{g^2 |\nabla u|}\right)^{3/5}$$

- for reasonable values of g:  $\eta_A < \eta_C$
- sum-rule for system w/ 2 viscosities: (derived from variational principle)  $\frac{1}{-} = \frac{1}{--} + \frac{1}{--}$  $\eta \quad \eta_A \quad \eta_C$ • total viscosity dominated by  $\eta_A$



# Collisional vs. Anomalous Viscosity



anomalous viscosity dominates total shear viscosity during early QGP evolution
a small viscosity does not necessarily imply strongly interacting matter!







# Intermezzo @ Hadronization:Parton Recombination

featured in Thompson ESI: Fast Moving Fronts March 2005

2004 JNS publication prize for Young Nuclear Theorists awarded to C. Nonaka

850+ citations since January 2003

R.J. Fries, C. Nonaka, B. Mueller & S.A. Bass, PRL 90 (2003) 202303
R.J. Fries, C. Nonaka, B. Mueller & S.A. Bass, PRC 68 (2003) 044902
C. Nonaka, R.J. Fries & S.A. Bass, Phys. Lett. B 583 (2004) 73
R. J. Fries, S.A. Bass & B. Mueller, PRL 94 (2005) 122301



# The baryon puzzle @ RHIC



• why do protons not exhibit the same jet- suppression as pions?

Fragmentation starts with a single fast parton: energy loss affects pions and protons in the same way! species dependence of v<sub>2</sub> saturation:
RFD distributions scale by mass, not Meson/Baryon Number: why does RFD fail at intermediate momenta?
why do baryons overtake mesons?
STAR Preliminary (Au+Au; 200 GeV; lyl<1.0)</li>
K<sup>0</sup><sub>S</sub>
A + Λ
h<sup>+</sup>+h<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub>
Hydro calculations



what drives the physics that makes intermediate pt physics dependent on Meson/Baryon Number?



### **Recombination+Fragmentation Model**

#### basic assumptions:

 at low p<sub>t</sub>, the quark- and antiquark spectrum is thermal and they recombine into hadrons locally "at an instant":

$$\frac{dN_{M}}{d^{3}P} = C_{M} \frac{V}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} w \left(\frac{1}{2}P - q\right) w \left(\frac{1}{2}P + q\right) \left|\hat{\varphi}_{M}(q)\right|^{2}$$

• at high p<sub>t</sub>, the parton spectrum is given by a pQCD power law, partons suffer jet energy loss and hadrons are formed via fragmentation of quarks and gluons:  $E \frac{dN_h}{d^3P} = \int d\Sigma \frac{P \cdot u}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{z^2} \sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}(R, \frac{1}{z}P) D_{\alpha \to h}(z)$ 



- $\bullet$  Reco: baryons shifted to higher  $p_{t}$  than mesons, for same quark distribution
- shape of spectrum determines if reco or fragmentation is more effective:
  - for thermal distribution recombination yield dominates fragmentation yield
  - vice versa for pQCD power law distribution
- > understand behavior of baryons, since jet-quenching is strictly high- $p_t$ !



The parton recombination model predicts that the elliptic flow of a hadron as a function of p<sub>t</sub> scales with the number of its constituent quarks:

$$v_{2}^{M}(p_{t}) = \frac{2 v_{2}^{p} \left(\frac{p_{t}}{2}\right)}{1 + 2 \left(v_{2}^{p} \left(\frac{p_{t}}{2}\right)\right)^{2}}$$
$$v_{2}^{B}(p_{t}) = \frac{3 v_{2}^{p} \left(\frac{p_{t}}{3}\right) + 3 \left(v_{2}^{p} \left(\frac{p_{t}}{3}\right)\right)^{3}}{1 + 6 \left(v_{2}^{p} \left(\frac{p_{t}}{3}\right)\right)^{2}}$$



#### Lessons from Constituent-Quark-Scaling:

- as the system evolves towards  $T_c$ , the DoF of the QGP become quasi-particles
- at hadronization, constituent quarks are most likely the dominant DoF

>most direct evidence for the creation of a QGP to date!







### $\eta$ /s of a Hadron Gas

N. Demir & S.A. Bass: Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 172302 (2009)



### Shear Viscosity: Linear Transport Equation & Green – Kubo Formalism

Mechanical definition of shear viscosity:

• application of a shear force to a system gives rise to a non-zero value of the xycomponent of the pressure tensor  $P_{xy}$ .  $P_{xy}$  is then related to the velocity flow field via the shear viscosity coefficient  $\eta$ :  $P_{xy} = -\eta \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial u}$ 

• a similar linear transport equation can be defined for other transport coefficients: thermal conductivity, diffusion ...

 using linear-response theory, the Green-Kubo relations for the shear viscosity can be derived, expressing η as an integral of an near-equilibrium time correlation function of the stress-energy tensor:

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{1}{T} \int d^3 r \int_0^\infty dt \, \left\langle \pi^{xy}(\vec{0},0) \, \pi^{xy}(\vec{r},t) \right\rangle_{\text{equil}}$$

with the stress-energy tensor:  $\pi^{\mu\nu}(\vec{r},t) = \int d^3p \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{p^0} f(x,p)$ 



### Microscopic Transport: $\eta$ /s of a Hadron Gas



 for particles in a fixed volume, the stress energy tensor discretizes

 $\pi^{xy} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{part}}} \frac{p^x(j)p^y(j)}{p^0(j)}$ 

• and the Green-Kubo formula reads:

 $\eta = \frac{V}{T} \int_0^\infty dt \, \langle \pi^{xy}(0) \, \pi^{xy}(t) \rangle$ 

- Entropy:
- extract thermodynamic quantities via:

$$P = \frac{1}{3V} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{part}}} \frac{|\vec{p}|^2(j)}{p^0(j)} \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{part}}} p^0(j)$$

use Gibbs relation (with chem. pot. extratced via SM)

 evaluating the correlator numerically, e.g. in UrQMD one empirically finds an exponential decay as function of time

 $s_{\text{Gibbs}} = \left(\frac{\epsilon + P - \mu_i \rho_i}{T}\right)$ 

• using the following ansatz, one can extract the relaxation time  $\tau_{\pi}$ :

$$\langle \pi^{xy}(0) \pi^{xy}(t) \rangle \propto \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{\pi}}\right)$$

 the shear viscosity then can be calculated from known/extracted quantities:

$$\eta = \tau_{\pi} \frac{V}{T} \left\langle \pi^{xy}(0)^2 \right\rangle$$

A. Muronga: Phys. Rev. C69: 044901, 2004







first reliable calculation of of  $\eta/s$  for a full hadron gas including baryons and anti-baryons:

breakdown of vRFD in the hadronic phase?

 ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$  what are the consequences for  $\eta/s$  in the deconfined phase?

- RFD freeze-out temperature to reproduce spectral shapes: ~110 MeV
- Statistical Model temperature fits to hadron yields/ratios: ~160 MeV
- separation of chemical and kinetic freeze-out in the hadronic phase!
- confirmed by hybrid models
- implies non-unit species-dependent fugacities in RFD
- non-unit fugacities reduce η/s by a factor of two to η/s≈0.5
- improved constraint: η/s needs to be significantly lower in deconfined phase for vRFD to reproduce elliptic flow!





### Global Quantitative Analysis

# U

# The Challenge of a Rigorous Model to Data Comparison

Model Parameter: Eq. of state Viscosity Saturation Pre-equilibrium state Hadronization dynamics Quark chemistry Jet Quenching

• large number of interconnected parameters w/ non-factorizable data dependencies

- data have correlated uncertainties
- develop novel optimization techniques: Bayesian Statistics and MCMC methods
- transport models require too much CPU: need new techniques based on emulators
- general problem, not restricted to RHIC Physics
   →seek help/collaboration from Statistical Sciences



# MaDAI Collaboration: Models and Data Analysis Initiative

a multi-institutional and multi-disciplinary collaboration to develop next generation tools for complex model-to-data knowledge extraction

Michigan State University

RHIC Physics: Scott Pratt Supernova: Wolfgang Bauer Astrophysics: Brian O'Shea and Mark Voit Atmospheric Modeling: Sharon Zhong Statistics: Dan Dougherty

Duke University

RHIC Physics: Steffen A. Bass and Berndt Müller Statistics: Robert Wolpert

UNC & RENCI

Visualization: Xunlei Wu and Russell M. Taylor



Funded by NSF CDI program (Cyber-Enabled Discovery Initiative)
US\$ 1,800,000 over 4 years



# CDI: Extracting Science from Data & Models

- develop a comprehensive transport model (or set of consistent interlocking transport approaches), capable of describing the full time-evolution of a heavy-ion collision at RHIC, starting from the coherent glue-field dominated initial state up to the hadronic final state
- identify the relevant physics parameters (EoS, QCD transport coefficients, matrix elements etc.) which are sensitive to the observables measured at RHIC
- conduct a systematic study in that multi-dimensional parameter-space and via comparison to data to determine the properties of the QCD medium created at RHIC



### Conclusion & Outlook: RHIC



Heavy-Ion collisions at RHIC have produced a state of matter which can be called the **Quark-Gluon-Plasma**:

- $\bullet$  the properties of the QGP can be characterized by its transport coefficients, such as  $\eta/s$  and q-hat
  - large opacity: values of q-hat beyond the pQCD expectation
  - near ideal fluidity: the smallest value of  $\eta$ /s observed in nature

Transition from Discovery Phase to Exploratory Phase and onwards to Precision Spectroscopy of the QGP:

- establish the physics driving the small value of  $\eta/s$  (e.g. particles vs. fields) and its dependence on temperature and fugacities
- determine proper structure of the QGP to use in jet energy-loss calculations for a precision measurement of q-hat
- explore medium response to jets and heavy quarks and develop a unified picture on the nature of the QGP









# The End