Backward Hadronic Calorimeter DSC report

Leszek Kosarzewski

Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering Czech Technical University in Prague

ePIC Collaboration Meeting, Warsaw 29.7.2023



EUROPEAN UNION European Structural and Investment Funds Operational Programme Research, Development and Education





The work was also supported by Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Project No. LM2023034



Status of backward HCal DSC

Geometry implementation in dd4hep

Calibration

6 Position resolution study

Study of SIDIS events from simulation campaign

Introduction - backward HCal

Requirements: https://eic.jlab.org/Requirements/

A future backward HCal shall provide functionality of a tail catcher for the high resolution e/m calorimeter in electron identification, as well as for jet kinematics measurement at small Bjorken \times





- Design considerations:
 - · High efficiency for neutron detection
 - Good spatial resolution to distinguish neutral/charged hadrons
- Reuse STAR EEMC scintillator megatiles (expected to have lost only $\sim 5\%$ of light yield): https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(02)01971-X

Design

- Sampling calorimeter with 10 alternating layers, $2.4\lambda^0$ (red), similar to Belle-II KLM:
 - \bullet stainless steel 4 cm
 - plastic scintillator 4 mm Kuraray SCSN-81
- Scintillator light guided by 0.83 mm WLS (Kuraray Y11-doped 200 ppm fiber)
- Light collection by SiPM:
 - Candidate (to verify): S14160-1315PS https://www.hamamatsu.com/eu/en/product/ optical-sensors/mppc/mppc_mppc-array/S14160-1315PS.html
- Electronics to follow solutions of other calorimetry systems (HGCROCv3 or EICROC)



STAR EEMC 6° megatile - 12 tiles in η direction (radial) each



 $\bullet\,$ nHCal decoupled from the magnetic steel \Rightarrow more flexibility

STAR EEMC megatile and connectors





Pictures thanks to Will Jacobs

- 12° megatile shown (2 rows of 12 tiles in η)
- 0.83 mm diameter WLS fiber contained in $\sigma\text{-shaped}$ grooves
- New, modified connectors need to be made, coupling light to an array of 12 SiPMs each (1 fiber/SiPM, but multiple fibers/SiPM to be considered)
- May need to remain wrapped after disassembly of STAR

Detector Subsystem Leader

Leszek Kosarzewski leszek.kosarzewski@gmail.com

Detector Subsystem Technical Contact

ČVUI

Leszek Kosarzewski leszek.kosarzewski@gmail.com

Czech Technical University in Prague

- Subhadip Pal (PhD student)
 - simulations, part time
- Alexandr Prozorov (fresh PhD)
 - geometry, clustering, part time

Brookhaven National Laboratory

- Roland Wimmer, mechanical engineer
- other experts at BNL
 - Looking now for institutions to join and more people to participate!
 - Getting a lot of help from other people at BNL and CTU





- Megatile selection algorithm by Alexandr Prozorov
- \bullet Selects megatiles from a layer, which matches the η of the first, to maintain projective structure
- $\bullet\,$ STAR EEMC tiles provide acceptance in $-2.39 < \eta < -2.195$



• Extrapolated tiles to cover the region close to beampipe and the outer region

 $\bullet\,$ extends acceptance to $-3.06 < \eta < -1.27$

Geometry implementation in dd4hep



- Exact tile geometry implemented with absorber (no support structures)
- Added extrapolated inner and outer parts with a gap for connectors

Overlap of calorimeters

Acceptance



- Acceptance $-3.06 < \eta < -1.27$ - can still be extended to match the stainless steel absorber volume
- Overlaps with backward and barrel EMcals



- $\sim 24X_0$ for backward HCal
- Scintillator tiles do not cover the same volume as steel absorber yet



- $\bullet~\sim 2.4\lambda_0$ for backward HCal
- Scintillator tiles do not cover the same volume as steel absorber yet

Calibration - sampling fraction



NAME	VALUE	ERROR
Area Mean	255.7 0.04779	1.0 0.00007
Sigma	0.0161	0.0001

lacksquare nHCal is calibrated using π^-

□ 1π /event, 1mill events and p = 5 GeV

$$\Box$$
 θ = 170° and φ = 45°

Sampling Fraction (f) = 0.04779/5.05 = 0.00946 ~ 0.0095 +/- 1.4E-05

Study by Subhadip Pal

• Study energy sharing between backward HCal and EMCal



Fitted a linear function to E_{HCal} vs. E_{EMCal} histogram to extract the energy sharing parameters



Study by Subhadip Pal

() Simulated 1 neutron/event, $p = 5 \, \mathrm{GeV/c}$

- Angular direction:
 - $\theta = 170^{\circ}$ (2.967 rad)
 - $\phi = 45^{\circ}$ (0.785 rad)

Peconstructed clusters in both backward HCal and EMCal are combined with energy weights to have a combined angular position measurement

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{RECO} &= w_{EMCal} \theta_{EMCal} + w_{HCal} \theta_{HCal} \\ \phi_{RECO} &= w_{EMCal} \phi_{EMCal} + w_{HCal} \phi_{HCal} \\ w_{EMCal} &= \frac{1.55 E_{EMCal}}{E_{RECO}}, w_{HCal} = \frac{E_{HCal}}{E_{RECO}} \end{aligned}$$

Position resolution study - hits



Study by Subhadip Pal



- Simulated 1 neutron/event, $p = 5 \, \text{GeV/c}$
- Angular direction:
 - $\theta = 170^{\circ}$ (2.967 rad) $\phi = 45^{\circ}$ (0.785 rad)
- Much better resolution provided by backward EMCal
 - · But HCal provides better response to hadrons

Study by Subhadip Pal



- · Gaussian fits work only in a narrow range
- $\bullet\,$ Much worse resolution in the ϕ direction
 - maybe due to proximity to beam

Study by Subhadip Pal

Primary particles(generated) - with nHCal hits



- Primary particles(generated, GenStat==1) with nHCal hits
- Investigating potential bugs and issues with basic particle distributions in full DIS/SIDIS events

Simple hit visualization



- Simple hit visualization
- May add MC particles or Reco tracks (need magnetic field map)
- More work needed

Conclusions

- Realistic geometry implemented in dd4hepp
- · More flexibility in the design thanks to decoupling from flux return steel
- Response and calibration studied in simulations
- Position resolution tested with neutrons using backward HCal and EMcal as a combined system
- Tiles can be further extrapolated towards the beam

Next steps

- Investigate potential bugs in hit-MC particle association
- \bullet Do a scan vs. η and ϕ for position resolution study
- $\bullet\,$ Test clustering, track matching and neutral shower reconstruction in a realistic e+p event
- Perform simulations of optical photon propagation
- Work with engineers to design support structures and FEE mounting

BACKUP

Jet particle distributions



Jet particle distributions





STAR EEMC tiles acceptance in η





7200 existing ones + 3480 inner tiles = 10680 tiles 29 types x 10 layers = 290 different shapes of new tiles to be manufactured ⁴ Readout - version 2



Particle distributions - with LFHCAL hits - start(z > 0) and end points(z < 0) (vertices)



• Particles with LFHCAL hits with start vertex z > 0 and stop vertex z < 0

Still produce hits in LFHCAL! Backscatters? Non-trivial to debug, because not all