### Polarized Bethe-Heitler Calculation at Leading Order



Dhevan Gangadharan (University of Houston) ePIC collaboration meeting Warsaw July 28<sup>th</sup> 2023

1

### Measuring Luminosity at the EIC

#### Goal of Luminosity program:

Measure the production rate of Bremsstrahlung photons and use its calculable cross section to extract the collider luminosity

 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{N_{\gamma}}{\sigma_{Brem}}$ 



Bethe & Heitler first calculated  $\sigma_{Brem}$  for <u>unpolarized</u> beams in 1934.

The EIC will accelerate *polarized* electrons *and* nuclei.

#### Goal of this work:

Calculate the polarized contribution to  $\sigma_{\mbox{\tiny Brem}}$ 

Hasn't this already been calculated?? Not quite...

Highly related publications:

Akushevich et al. (1998) arXiv:hep-ph/9804361 Afanasev et al. (2001) arXiv:hep-ph/0102086

### Two Feynman diagrams at Leading Order



#### 3 references used:

1) Landau and Lifshitz,

#### **QED textbook (sections 93-97)**

- 2) Matthew Schwartz,
- 3) Gluckstern and Hull, Phys. Rev. 90 1953
  - Before attempting the polarized case, the original Bethe-Heitler formula was re-derived.
  - Main challenge is the tedious algebra of integrating over the angles in the final state.

OFT textbook

### Suitable approximations



Focus on dominant part of amplitude, for which:  $q^2 \sim 0$   $(p'+k)^2 \sim 0$   $(p-k)^2 \sim 0$ This occurs at very small momentum transfer:  $\mathbf{q} \sim \mathbf{m}_e$ .

Suitable approximations (also used by Bethe & Heitler)

- Neglect the structure of the hadron. Justified at low  $q^2$ .
- Ultrarelativistic particles:  $p \approx \varepsilon \frac{m_e^2}{2\varepsilon}$
- Neglect time component of  $q = (0, \mathbf{q})$ . "No-recoil" approximation. Resulting error is ~ m<sub>e</sub> /  $\epsilon$

Lab FrameFull 1D cross section
$$\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$$
  
energy electron, scat electron  
 $\varepsilon_p$   
energy proton  
 $\omega$   
energy photon $\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = \frac{4\alpha r_e^2}{\omega} \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \left[ \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{P} \right]$  $e^{i}$   
 $e^{i}$   
electron and proton mass  
 $\mathbb{P}_e, \mathbb{P}_p$   
electron and proton polarization  
 $\alpha, r_e$   
fine struc const, classical  
electron radiusUnpolarized  
Bethe-Heitler term $\mathcal{U} = \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \left( \ln \frac{4\varepsilon_p \varepsilon \varepsilon'}{m_p m_e \omega} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$ 

New Polarized term  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{P}_{e} \mathbb{P}_{p} \frac{m_{e}^{2}}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_{p}} \left( F_{1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\varepsilon'} F_{2} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{8\varepsilon} F_{3} + \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2\varepsilon'^{2}} F_{4} \right)$ F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>3</sub>, F<sub>4</sub> are functions containing 3 types of logs (in backup). One log is the same as that in Bethe-Heitler expression.

The polarized part is highly suppressed wrt unpolarized part.

## Plots of cross section components ep 18 x 275 GeV



- Polarized part of the cross section is completely negligible.
- For transverse ion polarizations at the EIC, the polarized part vanishes exactly when integrating over azimuthal angles.

## Summary

- An analytic expression has been derived for polarized bremsstrahlung cross section relevant for the low q<sup>2</sup> regime of the EIC luminosity program.
- Polarized part is highly suppressed wrt unpolarized Bethe-Heitler component.
  - The origin of the suppression is the low q<sup>2</sup> scale that dominates the Bremsstrahlung process.
- The main feature of this calculation was shown to Andrei Afanasev, who agreed that polarization asymmetries would be proportional to q<sup>2</sup>, and therefore suppressed.



### Correction to Bethe-Heitler at high Z

 $\frac{d\sigma_{\rm BM}}{d\omega} = \frac{4\alpha r_e^2}{\omega} \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} - \frac{2}{3}\right) \left(\ln\frac{4\varepsilon\varepsilon'\varepsilon_p}{\omega m_p m_e} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{f(\alpha Z)}{2}\right)$ 

For large Z, the Bethe-Heitler expression is known to be inaccurate.

 $f(\alpha Z) = (\alpha Z)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n^2 + (\alpha Z)^2)}$ 

for  $\alpha Z \ll 1$   $f(\alpha Z) \approx 1.2(\alpha Z)^2$ 

# **Relativistic Rutherford Scattering**

• To gain some insight into the expected scale of the polarized part, compute the much simpler Rutherford scattering.

$$|M|^2 = \frac{e^4}{q^4} \left[ \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{P} \right]$$

$$e^{-}$$

$$a^{(e)} \sim \frac{\varepsilon_I \varepsilon}{m_I m_e}$$

$$\mathcal{U} \approx 8m_I^2 (2\varepsilon'\varepsilon + m_e^2 - p'p) \sim m_I^2 \varepsilon'\varepsilon \qquad \qquad a^{(I)} \sim 1$$
$$\mathcal{P} = -8m_e m_I (a^{(e)}a^{(I)}q^2 - qa^{(e)}qa^{(I)}) \sim \varepsilon_I \varepsilon m_e^2 \qquad \qquad q^2 \sim m_e^2$$

• Polarized part scales with q<sup>2</sup>, which is tiny in the Bremsstrahlung process.



# **QED LO Feynman Diagrams**



No-recoil approximation, as in ordinary Bethe-Heitler calculation

$$q^0 = \varepsilon - (\varepsilon' + \omega) = 0$$

# QED LO Feynman Diagrams



- This is a low q<sup>2</sup> process, so we neglect the structure of the ion. For heavy-ion beams at the EIC, the effect scales with Z<sup>2</sup>.
- Feynman rules taken from Schwartz' book.

$$iM = ie^{3}\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{q^{2}}\epsilon^{*}_{\sigma}(k)\left[\bar{u}(r')\gamma^{\mu}u(r)\right]\left[\bar{u}(p')\left[\gamma^{\sigma}\frac{p'+k+m_{e}}{2p'k}\gamma^{\nu}+\gamma^{\nu}\frac{p-k+m_{e}}{-2pk}\gamma^{\sigma}\right]u(p)\right]$$

## Amplitude Squared

Photon polarizations will be unmeasured:  $\sum_{pol} \varepsilon_a^*(k) \varepsilon_b(k) \to -g_{ab}$ 

 $|M|^{2} = -\frac{e^{6}}{4q^{4}}Tr[u(r')\bar{u}(r')\gamma^{\mu}u(r)\bar{u}(r)\gamma^{\alpha}]Tr[u(p')\bar{u}(p')Q^{\sigma}_{\mu}u(p)\bar{u}(p)\bar{Q}_{\sigma\alpha}]$ 

$$Q^{\sigma}_{\mu} = \gamma^{\sigma} \frac{p'' + k + m_e}{p'k} \gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu} \frac{p - k + m_e}{pk} \gamma^{\sigma}$$

$$\bar{Q}_{\sigma\alpha} = \gamma_{\alpha} \frac{p' + k + m_e}{p'k} \gamma_{\sigma} - \gamma_{\sigma} \frac{p - k + m_e}{pk} \gamma_{\alpha}$$

$$|M|^2 \equiv -\frac{e^6}{4q^4} W^{\mu\alpha} w_{\mu\alpha}$$

Ion Tensor Electron Tensor

# **Unpolarized & Polarized Parts**



Unpolarized part

- $U^{\mu\alpha}$  and  $u_{\mu\alpha}$  are symmetric tensors
- $P^{\mu\alpha}$  and  $p_{\mu\alpha}$  are antisymmetric.
- Thus, only  $U^{\mu\alpha}u_{\mu\alpha}$  and  $P^{\mu\alpha}p_{\mu\alpha}$  survive.
- $U^{\mu\alpha}u_{\mu\alpha}$  is the ordinary Bethe-Heitler
- $P^{\mu\alpha}p_{\mu\alpha}$  is new and to be calculated.

Unmeasured spins in the final state

 $\sum_{spin} u(p')\bar{u}(p') = p' + m_e$ 

$$\sum_{spin} u(r')\bar{u}(r') = \eta' + m_I$$

#### Measured beam polarizations

$$u(p)\bar{u}(p) = \frac{1}{2}(\not p + m_e)(1 - \gamma^5 \not a^{(e)})$$

$$u(r)\bar{u}(r) = \frac{1}{2}(\not r + m_I)(1 - \gamma^5 \not a^{(I)})$$

 $a^{(e)}$  and  $a^{(I)}$  are the electron and Ion spin 4-vectors

# Spin 4-vectors

Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector: Purely vectorial in particle's respective Rest Frame

$$a_{RF}^{(e)} = \left(0, \zeta^{\vec{(e)}}\right) \qquad a_{RF}^{(I)} = \left(0, \zeta^{\vec{(I)}}\right)$$

- The Lab coordinate system is defined as for the ePIC detector where the Ion moves along +Z, and the electron moves along -Z.
- The above spin vectors are boosted to the Lab Frame where the beam polarizations are defined, which allows one to solve for  $\zeta$ . Lifshitz QED section 29.
- Then, we further boost to Target Rest Frame (Ion), where we will remain until the very end.
- Focus on one of the EIC configurations: **longitudinally polarized beams**.

#### In the Target Rest Frame (ultrarel limit: p->E)

Beam energies as measured in Lab Frame

$$a^{(e)} = 2\mathbb{P}_e \frac{\tilde{E}_e E_I}{m_e m_I} \left(-1, 0, 0, +1\right)$$

electron beam polarization = twice the mean spin vector along -**Z** in Lab Frame

$$a^{(I)} = \mathbb{P}_I (0, 0, 0, +1)$$

Ion beam polarization = twice the mean spin vector along +**Z** in Lab Frame

## lon tensor

$$W^{\mu\alpha} = Tr[(\eta' + m_I)\gamma^{\mu}\frac{1}{2}(\eta' + m_I)(1 - \gamma^5 \phi^{(I)})\gamma^{\alpha}]$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}a_c^{(I)}Tr[(r'_a\gamma^a + m_I)\gamma^{\mu}(r_b\gamma^b + m_I)\gamma^5\gamma^c\gamma^{\alpha}]$$

$$= -\frac{m_I}{2}a_c^{(I)}\left[r'_aTr[\gamma^a\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\gamma^c\gamma^{\alpha}] + r_bTr[\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^b\gamma^5\gamma^c\gamma^{\alpha}]\right]$$

$$= \frac{m_Ia_c^{(I)}}{2}(r_a - r'_a)Tr[a\mu c\alpha 5]$$

$$= 2i m_I q_a a_c^{(I)} \varepsilon^{a\mu c\alpha}$$

- *q* is momentum transfer in the exchange photon.
- This is a hint that the overall polarization effect will be small since  $q^2 \sim m_e^2$ . Lifshitz Eq 97.10
- Compare the scale to the unpolarized counter part:  $U^{\mu\alpha} = 4m_I^2 \delta^{0\mu} \delta^{0\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(m_e^2)$

# Electron tensor, polarized part

$$p_{\mu\alpha} \equiv p_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} + p_{\mu\alpha}^{(2)} + p_{\mu\alpha}^{(3)} + p_{\mu\alpha}^{(4)}$$

 4 terms arise from the product of Q and Q on slide 3.

$$p_{\mu\alpha}^{(1)} = 8i \frac{m_e a^{\lambda,(e)}}{(p'k)^2} \varepsilon_{a\mu\lambda\alpha} \left[ m_e^2 q^a - p'k(p^a + k^a) \right]$$

$$p_{\mu\alpha}^{(2)} = 8i \frac{m_e a^{\lambda,(e)}}{(pk)^2} \left[ (m_e^2 q^a - p'^a \, pk) \varepsilon_{a\mu\lambda\alpha} - p'^a k_\lambda (k^b - p^b) \varepsilon_{a\mub\alpha} \right]$$

$$p_{\mu\alpha}^{(3)} = 8i\frac{m_e a^{\lambda,(e)}}{(pk)(p'k)} \left[\frac{q^2}{2}p'^a \varepsilon_{\lambda a\mu\alpha} + p'^a p^\mu (k^b - p^b)\varepsilon_{\lambda ab\alpha} + (p'^\alpha k^a (p^b - p'^b) + p^b (p^\alpha - k^\alpha)(p'^a + k^a))\varepsilon_{\lambda ab\mu}\right]$$

 $p_{\mu\alpha}^{(4)} = -p_{\alpha\mu}^{(3)}$  Note: the simple relation between  $p^{(3)}$  and  $p^{(4)}$  doesn't exist between  $p^{(1)}$  and  $p^{(2)}$ .

## 1<sup>st</sup> Result: Fully differential polarized amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu}p_{\mu\nu} &= -32m_e m_I \left[ \frac{1}{(pk)^2} \left( \frac{q^2}{2} k a^{(e)} (q a^{(I)} - 2p' a^{(I)}) \right) \right. \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{(pk)^2} + \frac{1}{(p'k)^2} \right) \left( q a^{(e)} q a^{(I)} m_e^2 - a^{(e)} a^{(I)} q^2 m_e^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(pk)(p'k)} \left( -q^4 a^{(e)} a^{(I)} - 2q a^{(e)} q a^{(I)} m_e^2 + \frac{q^2}{2} (2p' a^{(e)} (p' a^{(I)} - p a^{(I)}) + k a^{(e)} (3q a^{(I)} + 2p a^{(I)}) + 4m_e^2 a^{(e)} a^{(I)}) \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{p'k} - \frac{1}{pk} \right) \left( (q a^{(e)} + k a^{(e)}) q a^{(I)} - 2q^2 a^{(e)} a^{(I)} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

• Beam polarizations appear in  $a^{(e)}$  and  $a^{(l)}$ 

### Polarized cross section



 $d\sigma_{pol} = \frac{1}{2^5 (2\pi)^5} |M|^2_{pol} \frac{|\mathbf{p}'|\omega d\omega}{|\mathbf{p}|m_I E_I} d\Omega' d\Omega_0$ 



 $\Omega'$  = angular phase space of scattered electron

$$\Omega_0$$
 = angular phase space of emitted photon

• Polar axes strategically chosen  $\rightarrow$  azimuthal integrations each yield a trivial (2 $\pi$ ).

$$d\sigma_{pol} = -\frac{\alpha r_e^2 m_e^2}{2^4 q^4} \mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha} p_{\mu\alpha} \frac{|\mathbf{p}'| \omega d\omega}{|\mathbf{p}| m_I E_I} d\cos\theta' d\cos\theta_0$$

## Integration over scattered electron angles

- The luminosity detectors at the EIC will typically not correlate scattered electron angles at very small q<sup>2</sup> with the emitted photon measurements. It's experimentally irrelevant, so integrate over scattered electron angles.
- This can be done analytically according to Ref 3), with integrals of type  $I_{m,n}$
- All are elementary except 3, but they can be found in integral tables.
- The algebra is long and tedious. To help prevent mistakes, Mathematica is used to assemble all the terms, simplify, and apply the ultrarelativistic expansion to all terms where momenta appears, i.e.  $p \sim \epsilon m_e^2/(2\epsilon)$ .
- There are no terms of order m<sub>e</sub><sup>0</sup>!

## Boost to Lab Frame for final result

- Now transform the expression from target rest frame to the lab frame in the ultrarelativistic limit.
- $\epsilon_1$  and  $m_1$  is the energy and mass of the Ion, respectively.

$$\omega \to \omega \frac{2\varepsilon_I}{m_I} \qquad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon \frac{2\varepsilon_I}{m_I} \qquad \varepsilon' \to \varepsilon' \frac{2\varepsilon_I}{m_I}$$

### Lab Frame 2<sup>nd</sup> resu

## 2<sup>nd</sup> result: double-differential cross section

• Here is the lowest surviving order in the small angle approximation:  $\delta = \theta \epsilon/m_e$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}{d\omega d\delta} = \mathbb{P}_{e} \mathbb{P}_{p} \frac{\alpha \, r_{e}^{2} \, m_{e}^{2} \, \delta}{\omega \varepsilon^{3} \varepsilon' \varepsilon_{p} (1+\delta^{2})^{2}} \left[ 2\omega^{3} + 2(-1+2L_{2})\varepsilon'^{3} + (8+6L_{2})\varepsilon'^{2}\omega + 2(1+L_{2})\varepsilon'\omega^{2} - 2(L_{1}+L_{2}-L_{\theta})(\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon'^{2}-\varepsilon\omega\delta^{2})\varepsilon - (4\varepsilon'^{3}+2(-1+L_{2})\varepsilon'^{2}\omega + 5\varepsilon'\omega^{2}+2\omega^{3})\delta^{2} + \frac{2\varepsilon\varepsilon'\omega}{1+\delta^{2}} + 2L_{1}\varepsilon\varepsilon'(\varepsilon+\varepsilon')(1+\delta^{2}) \right]$$

$$L_1 = 2 \ln \frac{4\varepsilon'\varepsilon_p}{m_e m_p} \qquad L_2 = \ln \frac{4\varepsilon\varepsilon'\varepsilon_p}{\omega m_e m_p} \qquad L_\theta = \ln \left(1 + \delta^2\right)_{_{23}}$$

#### Lab Frame

## Integration over photon polar angle Main result

• The integration over the photon polar angle is elementary but leads to a lot of algebra. Mathematica is used for the integration and simplification.

$$\frac{d\sigma_{pol}}{d\omega} = \frac{4\alpha r_e^2}{\omega} \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_e \mathbb{P}_p \frac{m_e^2}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_p} \left( F_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4\varepsilon'} F_2 + \frac{\varepsilon'}{8\varepsilon} F_3 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\varepsilon'^2} F_4 \right)$$

$$F_{1} = \frac{1}{8} \left( 5 + L_{1} \left( -2 + 4L_{3} \right) - 4L_{3} \left( -3 + L_{2} \right) + 2L_{2} \right) \qquad L_{1} = \ln \frac{4\varepsilon' \varepsilon_{p}}{m_{e}m_{p}}$$

$$F_{2} = L_{1} + \left( -1 + L_{3} \right) \left( 3 + 2L_{3} - 2L_{2} \right) \qquad L_{2} = \ln \frac{4\varepsilon \varepsilon' \varepsilon_{p}}{\omega m_{e}m_{p}}$$

$$F_{3} = -1 - 2L_{2} + 2L_{3} \left( -9 + 2L_{2} \right) \qquad L_{3} = \ln \frac{4\varepsilon \varepsilon_{p}}{\omega m_{e}m_{p}}$$

$$F_{4} = \left( -1 + L_{3} \right) \left( -2 + L_{1} + L_{2} - L_{3} \right) \qquad L_{3} = \ln \frac{\pi \varepsilon \varepsilon_{p}}{m_{e}m_{p}} \qquad 24$$

### The Need for Luminosity Measurements

Main EIC Goal:

Measure the cross section of "exotic" states

$$\sigma_{\text{exotic}} = \frac{N_{\text{exotic}}}{\mathcal{L}}$$

We need the a method to measure the collider luminosity

- Without luminosity, we won't have our cross sections of interest
- Without cross sections, we won't have PDFs, ...



