Accessing the proton structure using the exclusive dilepton final state: from CLASI2 to the EIC second detector

Pierre Chatagnon EICUG – July 30th 2023 Warsaw, Poland





Outline of the talk





Timelike Compton Scattering



- BH cross section only depends on electromagnetic FFs.
- At JLab, energies the BH cross section is expected to be larger than the TCS one. We aimed at measuring the interference cross section between BH and TCS.



....

TCS interference cross-section formulae, CFFs and GFFs

TCS unpolarized cross-section

Formulae and notations of Berger, Diehl, Pire, Eur.Phys.J.C23:675-689,2002

$$\frac{d^4\sigma_{INT}}{dQ'^2dtd\Omega} \propto \frac{L_0}{L} \left[\cos(\phi) \frac{1 + \cos^2(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \operatorname{Re}\mathcal{H} + \dots \right]$$

Compton Form Factors (CFFs)

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_{-1}^{1} dx H(x,\xi,t) \left(\frac{1}{\xi - x - i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\xi + x - i\epsilon}\right)$$

Dispersion relation and link to GFFs

- Angular dependence of the TCS cross-section gives access to the real part of \mathcal{H} .
- This quantity is not well constrained by existing DVCS data (accessed in cross-section mostly).
- $\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{H})$ is related to the GFFs D, itself related to the mechanical properties of the nucleon:

$$\operatorname{Re}\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \mathcal{P}\int_{-1}^{1} dx \left(\frac{1}{\xi-x} - \frac{1}{\xi+x}\right) \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) + \Delta(t) \longrightarrow \Delta(t) \propto D^{Q}(t) \propto \int d^{3}\mathbf{r} \ p(r) \frac{j_{0}(r\sqrt{-t})}{t}$$



pentaquark.

....

J/ψ photoproduction

• Probe the gluon content of the proton (under 2-gluon exchange assumption and no open-charm contributions discussed in the next slide)



The t-dependence of the cross-section allow to access gluon Gravitational Form Factors (GFFs), mass radius of the nucleon (under 2-gluon exchange assumption and no open-charm contributions, see back-up). Model-dependent limit on the branching ratio of the Pc





Figure in Duran, B., Meziani, ZE., Joosten, S. et al. Determining the gluonic gravitational form factors of the proton. *Nature* 615, 813–816 (2023)

5/21

Double DVCS measurement

 $ep \rightarrow e' \mu^+ \mu^- p$

Capturing the complete kinematic dependence of GPDs



The CLASI2 experiment at Jefferson Lab



- The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility provides a quasi-continuous beam of polarized electron, up to 12 GeV.
- Build around two anti-parallel linacs, with recirculation arcs on both ends. The maximum energy is reached after 6 pass through the linacs.
- 4 experimental halls: A, B, C and D
 - A. C. Small acceptance but large luminosity
 - B. Housing CLASI2, a large acceptance detector
 - D. Tagged photon beam, dedicated to spectroscopy



e

CLASI2 in action



(Quasi-)Photoproduction events selection



The CLASI2 dilepton invariant mass spectrum

- Data taken in Fall 2018
- 10.6 GeV beam on Liquid H₂ target
- Accumulated charge: 37mC or 48 fb⁻¹
- Vector mesons peaks are visible in data: ω (770), ρ (782), ϕ (1020) and J/ ψ (3096).
- Data/simulation are matching at the 15% level, up to an overall normalization factor.
- No clear contribution of higher mass vector meson production (ρ (1450), ρ (1700)).

Phase-space for the TCS analysis

 $\begin{array}{l} 0.15 \ {\rm GeV^2} < -t < 0.8 \ {\rm GeV^2} \\ 1.5 \ {\rm GeV} < M_{e^+e^-} < 3 \ {\rm GeV} \\ 4 \ {\rm GeV} < E_\gamma < 10.6 \ {\rm GeV} \end{array}$





The TCS Forward/Backward asymmetry

Observable definition

$$A_{FB}(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) = \frac{d\sigma(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) - d\sigma(180^{\circ} - \theta_{0},180^{\circ} + \phi_{0})}{d\sigma(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) + d\sigma(180^{\circ} - \theta_{0},180^{\circ} + \phi_{0})}$$
$$\propto \frac{\frac{L_{0}}{L}\cos\phi_{0}\frac{(1+\cos^{2}\theta_{0})}{\sin(\theta_{0})}\operatorname{Re}\tilde{M}^{--}}{d\sigma_{BH}(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) + d\sigma_{BH}(180^{\circ} - \theta_{0},180^{\circ} + \phi_{0})}$$

 Integration over the forward angular bin :

 $\theta \in [50^\circ, 80^\circ]$ and $\phi \in [-40^\circ, 40^\circ]$

• The measured asymmetry is nonzero: **evidence of signal** beyond pure BH contribution





What we have learned so far ?

Lepton PID

•

- Main background: $ep \rightarrow e\pi^+(\pi^-)p$
- CLAS12 relies on a Cherenkov counter and Calorimeters for lepton/pion separation
 - In the momentum region where the Cherenkov counter is not useful, we have developed a ML approach



Angular coverage

• Necessary to measure the forward/backward asymmetry

Momentum resolution

Necessary to ensure (quasi-)exclusivity using missing mass/momentum technics

Proton detection & low-Q2 tagger

• At most one missing particle

Importance of muon detection

- For the DDVCS case, it allows to fully reconstruct the kinematic variables in the absence of a resonance.
- For electro-production events



Simulation setup

Generator

- Grape, generator developed for HERA
 Tetsuo Abe, GRAPE-Dilepton (Version 1.1): A generator for dilepton production in ep collisions, Computer Physics
 Communications (2001)
- Included all relevant Bethe-Heitler and Z diagrams
- Final state interference included

Beam configurations and kinematic limits

- Beam energy: 10 GeV electron, 275 GeV proton
- Only Bethe-Heitler diagrams included
- Muon in the final state (avoid ambiguities of the two electrons final state, while keeping *almost* the same kinematics)
- Muon polar angle above 3 degree ($\eta \sim 3.5$)





TCS at the second **EIC** detector





Simulation setup

- 2 GeV < M_{II} < 3 GeV & Q² < 0.1 GeV
- Pt_{Lept.} > 0.1 GeV & P_{lept.} > 0.5 GeV
- xL_{Proton} < 99%

Ideal setup

- Lepton identification on both hadron and lepton side (Pion/electron separation is essential).
- Lepton identification from 0.5 to 3 GeV in barrel, up to ~20 GeV in hadron endcap.
- Scattered proton detection necessary, if scattered electron reconstructed as missing particle.

Jefferson Lab

TCS at the second **EIC** detector: Focus on the proton detector



Part III: Projections for a second detector at the EIC

Electro-production of J/ ψ and DDVCS at the second EIC detector





Simulation setup

- 2.5 GeV < M_{II} < 3.5 GeV
- Pt_{Lept.} > 0.1 GeV & P_{lept.} > 0.5 GeV
- xL_{Proton} < 99%

Ideal setup

- Lepton (electrons and muons) identification on both side.
- Lepton identification from 0.5 to 4 GeV in barrel, up to ~20 GeV in hadron endcap.
- Scattered proton detection necessary
- Scattered electron detection both on the lepton side and in low-Q² tagger



Electro-production of Upsilon at the second EIC detector





Simulation setup

- 9.4 GeV < M_{II} < 9.6 GeV
- Pt_{Lept.} > 0.1 GeV & P_{lept.} > 0.5 GeV
- xL_{Proton} < 99%

Ideal setup

- Muon identification needed
- Muon identification on both side from 0.5 to 8 GeV in barrel, and up to ~30 GeV in hadron endcap.
- Scattered proton detection necessary
- Scattered electron detection both on the lepton side and in low-Q² tagger



Electro-production of Upsilon at the second EIC detector





Simulation setup

- 9.4 GeV < M_{II} < 9.6 GeV & Q² > 1.0 GeV
- Pt_{Lept.} > 0.1 GeV & P_{lept.} > 0.5 GeV
- xL_{Proton} < 99%

Ideal setup

 Muon detection seems essential to distinguished final state negative leptons



Part III: Projections for a second detector at the EIC

Electro-production of Upsilon at the second EIC detector: Focus on the proton



Rather straightforward with EIC

Observables and focus on the TCS FB asymmetry

Polarized observables

- FB asymmetry
 - Positive asymmetry at JLab energies.
 - Expected to become negative at small ξ .

$$A_{FB}(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) = \frac{d\sigma(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) - d\sigma(180^{\circ} - \theta_{0},180^{\circ} + \phi_{0})}{d\sigma(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) + d\sigma(180^{\circ} - \theta_{0},180^{\circ} + \phi_{0})} \\ \propto \frac{\frac{L_{0}}{L}\cos\phi_{0}\frac{(1+\cos^{2}\theta_{0})}{\sin(\theta_{0})}\text{Re}\tilde{M}^{--}}{d\sigma_{BH}(\theta_{0},\phi_{0}) + d\sigma_{BH}(180^{\circ} - \theta_{0},180^{\circ} + \phi_{0})}$$

Figure in Timelike and spacelike hard exclusive reactions D. Müller, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, and J. Wagner Phys. Rev. D 86, 031502(R), 2012

FIG. 2 (color online). The real part of CFF \mathcal{H} vs ξ with $\mu^2 = Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ and t = 0 at LO (solid curve) and NLO for DVCS (dashed curve). For TCS at NLO its negative value is shown as the dotted curve.

- LO

----- DVCS@NLO

TCS@NLO

H(ξ

<u>ه</u> 10

Angular coverage

polarized beams

•

- In CLASI2, the angular coverage is the limiting factor to calculate the forward/backward asymmetry
- Pseudo-rapidity coverage down to 3.5 allow to cover most of the angular phase space





Summary and take-aways

- The dilepton final state allows to access fundamental properties of the nucleon (GPDs, GFFs).
- Rich experimental program with the CLASI2 detector, already producing some important results.
- Along the way, we have learn some important lesson that could be applied for a second detector at the EIC.
- Proton detection is essential to perform exclusive dilepton measurements. A second detector could provide ideal momentum reach.
- Muon detector would greatly improve the physics case and seem essential for some key measurements.

