Hands on: Nuclear weapons

nuclearweaponarchive.org

alberto.mengoni@cern.ch

source: nuclearweaponarchive.org

Discovery of the **neutron** by James Chadwick in February 1932.

On May 10, 1934, Fermi's research group published a report on experiments with neutron bombardment of uranium. Appearance of the "**Uranium Problem**".

Fermi discovered the extremely important principle of neutron behavior called "**moderation**" on October 22, 1934.

December 1935, Chadwick won the Nobel Prize for discovery of the neutron.

In November-December 1938, the Otto Hahn and Lise Meitner correctly unravel the Uranium Problem, i.e. **evidence of fission**.

January 13, 1939 - Otto Frisch observed fission directly by detecting **fission fragments in an ionization chamber**. With the assistance of William Arnold, he coins the term "fission".

January 26, 1939 - Niels Bohr publicly announces the discovery of fission at an annual theoretical physics conference at George Washington University in Washington, DC. This announcement was the principal revelation of fission in the United States.

January 29, 1939 - Robert Oppenheimer hears about the discovery of fission, within a few minutes he realized that excess neutrons must be emitted, and that it might be **possible to build a bomb**.

February 5, 1939 - Niels Bohr gained a crucial insight into the principles of fission - that U-235 and U-238 must have different fission properties, that U-238 could be fissioned by fast neutrons but not slow ones, and that U-235 accounted for observed slow fission in uranium. Key uncertainties were:

- The number of neutrons emitted per fission
- The cross sections for fission and absorption at different energies for the uranium isotopes.

For a chain reaction there would need to be both a sufficient excess of neutrons produced, and the ratio between fission to absorption averaged over the neutron energies present would need to be sufficiently large. The different properties of U-235 and U-238 were essential to understand in determining the feasibility of an atomic bomb, or of any atomic power at all. The only uranium available for study was the isotope mixture of natural uranium, in which U-235 comprised only 0.72%.

March, 1939 - Fermi and Herbert Anderson determine that **there are about two neutrons produced for every one consumed in fission**. This paper is the first experimental evidence of neutron multiplication. [See](#page-38-0) >>.

June, 1939 - Fermi and Szilard submit a paper to Physical Review describing sub-critical neutron multiplication in a lattice of uranium oxide in water, but it is clear that **natural uranium and water cannot make a self-sustaining reaction**.

July 3, 1939 - Szilard writes to Fermi describing the idea of using a uranium lattice in carbon (graphite) to create a chain reaction. This is the first proposal of the graphite moderated reactor concept. [See](#page-39-0) >>.

August 31, 1939 - Bohr and John A. Wheeler publish a theoretical analysis of fission. This theory implies U-235 is more fissile than U-238, and that the undiscovered element 94-239 (Plutonium!) is also very fissile. These implications are not immediately recognized.

September 1, 1939 - Germany invades Poland, beginning World War 2.

Basic principles of fission weapon design

The principal issues that must solved to construct a fission weapon are:

- 1. Keeping the fissionable material in a subcritical state before detonation;
- 2. Bringing the fissionable material into a supercritical mass while keeping it free of neutrons;
- 3. Introducing neutrons into the critical mass when it is at the optimum configuration (i.e. at maximum supercriticality);
- 4. Keeping the mass together until a substantial portion of the material has fissioned.

Solving issues 1, 2 and 3 together is greatly complicated by the unavoidable presence of naturally occurring neutrons. Neutrons can be generated by cosmic rays (at a low rate), and by spontaneous fission.

The process of assembling the supercritical mass must occur in significantly less time than the average interval between spontaneous fissions to have a reasonable chance of succeeding. This problem is difficult to accomplish due to the very large change in reactivity required in going from a subcritical state to a supercritical one. The time required to raise the value of **k from 1 to the maximum value of 2** or so is called the reactivity insertion time, or simply **insertion time**.

Critical mass of an untampered gadget

Diffusion equation:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{3} \lambda_t v \nabla^2 F + \frac{v_f - 1}{t_f} F
$$

1. Persistent time-dependent solution:

 $F(t) \sim e^{\nu' t/t_f}$

2. Space-dependence for a spherical assembly:

$$
F(r) \sim \frac{\sin Kr}{r}
$$
, with $K^2 = \frac{3(\nu_f - \nu' - 1)}{\lambda_t v t_0}$

Vanishing at the boundary provides $KR = \pi$, hence

$$
R = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_t v t_f}{v_f - v' - 1}}
$$

REPORT OF THE THIRD MEETING HELD ON APRIL 17, 1943.

designed and

(5) The physical constants determining the critical mass and officioncy of an untamped gadget.

1. The diffusion theory critical mass and multiplication time: The rate of growth of the neutron density. F. is given by.

$$
\frac{2E}{2E} = \frac{1}{3} M \Delta F + \frac{2}{9} F
$$

where I is the transport mean free path, v is an appropriate average of the neutron velocities, \checkmark is the number of neutrons omits ted per fission, and t is the mean time between fission collisions. Asido from transiont solutions, there is the persistent solution varying exponentially in time, as
 $F \sim e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} \int_{\epsilon}^{2\pi} \epsilon}$

The space dependence of the solution appropriate for a spherical mass is $F \sim \frac{sin Kr}{2}$

where $k^2 = \frac{3(\gamma - \gamma' - 1)}{k}$
The transport mean free path is, $1 - 1/\mathcal{N}_{\sigma_k}$ where is the (1-cosp) average of the clastic scattering cross section plus all the reaction cross sections.

According to elementary diffusion theory the density F should vanish at the boundary, which gives $kR \cdot \pi$, honce

$$
R = \frac{1}{13} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12}}
$$

The elementary diffusion theory is accurate only in the limit of dimensions large compared to the mean free path and for small absorption. An approach to the correct solution is obtained by making the density vanish a distance outside the actual boundary. The critical radius, i.e. that for a static solution, is given by setting $\nu' \circ \circ$. The more accurate integral theory divides the above derived critical radius by $(14.91 \nu \nu \bar{i}/\sigma_{\bar{i}})$.

Fig. 9. Bethe's summary of critical mass calculations from the first Los Alamos conference, April 1943, LA-2 (Ref. 34).

Mark B. Chadwick (2021) Nuclear Science for the Manhattan Project and Comparison to Today's ENDF Data, Nuclear Technology, 207:sup1, S24-S61, DOI: [10.1080/00295450.2021.1901002](https://doi.org/10.1080/00295450.2021.1901002)

アメ

Critical mass of an untampered gadget

The critical radius is obtained by making $v'=0$ (static solution), hence

$$
R_c = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_t v t_f}{v_f - 1}}
$$

$$
M_C = \frac{4\pi^4}{3^{5/2}} \left(\frac{A}{N_A}\right)^3 \left[\sigma_t^{-1/2} \sigma_f^{-1/2} \left(\nu_f - 1\right)^{-1/2}\right]^3 \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^2
$$

$$
\times \left(1+0.9\, (v_f-1)\sigma_f/\sigma_t\right)^{-3}
$$

The actual minimum (or critical) amount of material necessary to sustain a fast neutron chain reaction for an untamped gedget has been obtained from calculation as:

$$
M_{e} = \frac{4\pi^{*}}{3^{2} \lambda} \left(\frac{H}{N}\right)^{3} \left\{ \sigma_{e}^{-\lambda_{2}} \sigma_{f}^{-\lambda_{2}} \left(\nu - i\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \rho^{-2} \right\} \left\{ i + \cdot \rho^{\frac{\left(\nu - 1\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}}{\alpha_{2}}} \right\}^{2}
$$

where A is the atomic weight; N is avogadras number; Je the transport cross-section of the gadget material, σ the fission crosssection; v the number of neutrons per fission; and p the density of the gadget. If we pmlt the last factor in the formula, the remaining part is the result of a calculation based on differential diffusion theory. The last factor gives the correction due to integral theory.

Using the value for the constants

- $\sigma = 4$ (measured for 28 only)
- σ = 1.5(for 25)
- $\rho = 19$

 $V = 2.2$ (observed for thermal neutron fission of 25) one obtains the critical mass of 25, Mc = 200 Kg from the differential the ry and Mc = 60 Kg from the integral theory.

If the gadget is surrounded by a neutron reflector or tamper in which no absorption or fission takes place and in which the transport mean free path is the fame as in the gadget, we obtain for the critical mass the expression

$$
H_c = \frac{\pi^2}{2 \cdot 3} t_5 \left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\right)^3 \left\{ \sigma_c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \sigma_f^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\nu - i\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot p^{-2} \right\} \left[t + 3 \cdot \frac{(\nu - i)}{\sigma_c^2} \sigma_i \right]^{-1}
$$

The constants and factors have the same significance as in the previous expression. On the differential theory the critical amount is now 25 Kg of 25. On the integral theory this becomes 15 Kg; whereas for 49, on the integral theory, only about 4 Kg are needed.

Fig. 8. Oppenheimer's summary of critical mass calculations from the first Los Alamos conference, April 1943, LA-2. Because the image is of poor quality, the first equation appears to have typos, with the parameters σ_i , σ_f , $(\bar{v} - 1)$ raised to the power $-1/2$. A careful study though shows that they are correctly raised to the power $-3/2$, as in Eq. (1).

Mark B. Chadwick (2021) Nuclear Science for the Manhattan Project and Comparison to Today's ENDF Data, Nuclear Technology, 207:sup1, S24-S61, DOI: [10.1080/00295450.2021.1901002](https://doi.org/10.1080/00295450.2021.1901002)

Critical mass of an untampered gadget

$$
M_C = \frac{4\pi^4}{3^{5/2}} \left(\frac{A}{N_A}\right)^3 \left[\sigma_t^{-1/2} \sigma_f^{-1/2} \left(\nu_f - 1\right)^{-1/2}\right]^3 \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^2 \left(1 + 0.9 \left(\nu_f - 1\right) \sigma_f / \sigma_t\right)^{-3}
$$

Mark B. Chadwick (2021) Nuclear Science for the Manhattan Project and Comparison to Today's ENDF Data, Nuclear Technology, 207:sup1, S24-S61, DOI: [10.1080/00295450.2021.1901002](https://doi.org/10.1080/00295450.2021.1901002)

Basic principles of fission weapon design

The principal issues that must solved to construct a fission weapon are:

- 1. Keeping the fissionable material in a subcritical state before detonation;
- 2. Bringing the fissionable material into a supercritical mass while keeping it free of neutrons;
- 3. Introducing neutrons into the critical mass when it is at the optimum configuration (i.e. at maximum supercriticality);
- Keeping the mass together until a substantial portion of the material has fissioned.

The problem is further complicated by the **subcritical neutron multiplication:**

This persistence of neutrons in subcritical masses further reduces the time window for assembly and requires that the reactivity of the mass be increased from a value of <0.9 to a value of 2 or so within that window.

Simply splitting a supercritical mass into two identical parts, and bringing the parts together rapidly is unlikely to succeed since neither part will have a sufficiently low k value, nor will the insertion time be rapid enough with achievable assembly speeds.

Nuclear weapons: Units

 $1 kt = 4.18 \times 10^{12}$ Joule $= 26.1 \times 10^{24}$ MeV

1 Joule $= 6.24 \times 10^{12}$ MeV $=$ 2.39 x 10⁻¹³ kt (kilotons)

15 kt (Hiroshima) = $3.92/200 \times 10^{26} = 2.0 \times 10^{24}$ fissions

Nuclear weapons: Units

$$
N(t) = N_0 e^{(k-1)t/t_0}
$$

1

1

 v_n

 Σ_f

 n : number density [1/cm 3] $\sigma_{\!f}$ $\hspace{1cm}$: fission cross section [cm²] The macroscopic cross section is defined by $\Sigma_f \equiv n \sigma_f$ [Σ_f] = 1/cm

The mean-free path is $\lambda_f = 1/\Sigma_f$ [λ_f] = cm

$$
\lambda_f = 18.7
$$
 cm, $\sigma_f = 1.1$ b at $E_n \approx 2$ MeV, $t_0 = 9.6$ ns ≈ 10 ns (1 "shake")

 $N = 2 \times 10^{24} = e^{(k-1)t/t_0}$

 $t_0 =$

 λ_f

=

 v_n

 $log(2x10^{24}) = (k - 1) t/t_0$ and, if $k = 2$, $t = 56 t_0$ or $t = 560$ ns (56 "shakes")

Basic principles of fission weapon design

The principal issues that must solved to construct a fission weapon are:

- 1. Keeping the fissionable material in a subcritical state before detonation;
- 2. Bringing the fissionable material into a supercritical mass while keeping it free of neutrons;
- 3. Introducing neutrons into the critical mass when it is at the optimum configuration (i.e. at maximum supercriticality);
- Keeping the mass together until a substantial portion of the material has fissioned.

The problem is further complicated by the **subcritical neutron multiplication:**

This persistence of neutrons in subcritical masses further reduces the time window for assembly and requires that the reactivity of the mass be increased from a value of <0.9 to a value of 2 or so within that window.

Simply splitting a supercritical mass into two identical parts, and bringing the parts together rapidly is unlikely to succeed since neither part will have a sufficiently low k value, nor will the insertion time be rapid enough with achievable assembly speeds.

Mass

 6.15 kg

 111 kg

 128 kg

 608 kg

 \sim 1900 kg

32 explosive blocks (20 hexagonal and 12 pentagonal blocks) $\mathcal{P} \times$ which fit together in the same pattern as a soccer ball.

32 explosive blocks (20 hexagonal and 12 pentagonal blocks) $\mathcal{P} \times$ which fit together in the same pattern as a soccer ball.

40 BaF₂ crystals for γ -ray detection: a 4π Total Absorption Calorimeter (TAC) at CERN n_TOF

A high performance explosive can generate shock wave pressures of **400 kilobars** (four hundred thousand atmospheres), implosion convergence and other concentration techniques can boost this to **several megabars**.

The convergent shock wave of an implosion can compress solid uranium or plutonium by **a factor of 2 to 3**. The compression occurs very rapidly, typically providing insertion times in the range to **1 to 4 microseconds**. The period of maximum compression lasts less than a microsecond.

A two-fold compression will boost a slightly sub-critical solid mass to nearly **four critical masses**.

Nuclear weapons

In addition to its major objective of achieving supercriticality, compression has another important effect: The increased density **reduces the neutron mean free path**, which is inversely proportional to density. This reduces the time period for each generation and allows a faster reaction that can progress farther before disassembly occurs. Implosion thus considerably increases a bomb's efficiency.

Initiating fission

There are three different ways to generate the neutrons that starts the chain reaction $(10^7 - 10^9$ n/s needed)

1. $9Be + \alpha \rightarrow 8Be + \alpha + n$ with the α provided by a ²¹⁰Po source of 3-30 Ci

The major problem with the beryllium/alpha emitter generators is that the strong emitters used have very short half-life (138.4 days for ²¹⁰Po). Maintaining an inventory of weapons thus requires continual manufacture and replacement of generators.

2. Use the implosion to initiate a neutron generating fusion D+T reactions (placed at the center of the imploding device).

This type of implosion initiator is even more difficult to engineer than the Be/ 210 Po type since the very high precision implosion is required to achieve the required symmetry. The major advantage is that the short half-life ²¹⁰Po is not needed.

3. Use an electronically controlled particle accelerator (a pulse neutron tube). Can produce $10^8 - 10^9$ n/s (pulsed or continuous)

Initiating fission

TECHNICAL DATA

Physical

- $-$ Neutron energy: 14 MeV
- Neutron emission:
- adjustable up to 2 x 10⁸ neutron per second / 4 π sr
- peak in pulsed mode: up to 10^5 n/s depending on pulse width and pressure
- pulse flux: up to 10^7 neutrons /p. at 10 Hz
- $-$ Pulse rate: up to 20 kHz
- $-$ Pulse width: from 5 us to continuous mode
- $-$ Rise and fall time: from 1 to 5 us depending on the pressure
- $-$ Tritium: < 10¹¹ Bq (2.6 Ci)

Electrical

Environment

 $-90-$

 -100

- Operating temperature range \div + 5 to + 125° C
	-
- Insulation by mean of: oil, Fluorinert of SF 6 under 4 atm. with optional radiator

(Dimensions mm)

The SODITRON is a small diameter sealed neutron tube; it is well suited for laboratory or industrial portable neutron generators, and can be installed in geophysical probes (oil industry excepted).

The equimolecular loading of deuterium-tritium gas provides a self replenishing of the target, thus increasing the tube lifetime.

The SODITRON operates either in continuous mode or in pulsed mode and emits up to 2×10^8 neutrons at 14 MeV per second.

It offers obvious advantages in comparison with isotopic sources, such as no radiation hazard when the tube is not in operation.

Nuclear weapons: Gun assembly

Gun-assembly

Take two subcritical masses and obtain the equivalent of three critical masses by bringing them together.

Imagine a spherical pit made up of about three critical masses of fissionable material. Now remove a core from the pit with a mass slightly less than critical. Since the center of the pit is now hollow, its effective density has been reduced to 2/3 of the original density. Since we now have two critical masses remaining in the pit, and the reduction in density leads to a further reduction of $(2/3)^2 = 4/9$, the pit now contains only $2*(4/9) = 8/9$ of a critical mass.

gun-assembly fission bomb

Gun-assembly

Take two subcritical masses and obtain the equivalent of three critical masses by bringing them together.

Imagine a spherical pit made up of about three critical masses of fissionable material. Now remove a core from the pit with a mass slightly less than critical. Since the center of the pit is now hollow, its effective density has been reduced to 2/3 of the original density. Since we now have two critical masses remaining in the pit, and the reduction in density leads to a further reduction of $(2/3)^{2} = 4/9$, the pit now contains only $2*(4/9) = 8/9$ of a critical mass.

Cross-section drawing of Y-1852 Little Boy showing major mechanical component placement. Drawing is shown to scale. Numbers in () indicate quantity of identical components. Not shown are the APS-13 radar units, clock box with pullout wires, baro switches and tubing, batteries, and electrical wiring. (John Coster-Mullen)

Z) Armor Plate Y) Mark XV electric gun primers (3) X) Gun breech with removable inner plug W) Cordite powder bags (4) V) Gun tube reinforcing sleeve U) Projectile steel back T) Projectile Tungsten-Carbide disk S) U-235 projectile rings (9) R) Alignment rod (3) Q) Armored tube containing primer wiring (3) P) Baro ports (8) O) Electrical plugs (3) N) 6.5" bore gun tube M) Safing/arming plugs (3) L) Lift $\ln g$ K) Target case gun tube adapter J) Yagi antenna assembly (4) I) Four-section 13" diameter Tungsten-Carbide tamper cylinder sleeve $H)$ U-235 target rings (6) G) Polonium-Beryllium initiators (4) F) Tungsten-Carbide tamper plug E) Impact absorbing anvil D) K-46 steel target liner sleeve C) Target case forging B) 15" diameter steel nose plug forging A) Front nose locknut attached to 1" diameter main steel rod holding target components

"Atom Bombs: The Top Secret Inside Story of Little Boy and Fat Man," 2003, p 112. John Coster-Mullen drawing used with permission

Nuclear weapons: Efficiency

By the time a significant percentage of the atoms have fissioned, their thermal kinetic energy is so high that the pit will expand enough to shut down the reaction in only a few of shakes.

This severely limits the efficiency of fission weapons (percentage of material fissioned). The practical efficiency limit of a typical pure fission bomb is about 25% and could be much less.

Little Boy (Hiroshima, gun-type) had an efficiency of only **1.4%**. The **Fat Man** implosion bomb (Nagasaki) was **17% efficient** (counting only the energy produced by the fissile core, the natural uranium tamper contributed another 4% through fast fission). Very large pure fission bombs can achieve efficiencies approaching 50% but have been supplanted by thermonuclear weapon technology. Anything that will increase the confinement time of the fissionable core or decrease the generation time, even slightly, can cause a significant increase in bomb yield.

all details in: [Elements of Fission Weapon Design](https://nuclearweaponarchive.org/Nwfaq/Nfaq4-1.html#Nfaq4.1.6.2)

Nuclear weapons: ²³⁹Pu & ²³⁵U production

The three reactors (B and D which went started up for production in December 1944, and F which started up February 1945) at Hanford had a combined design thermal output of 750 MW and were theoretically capable of producing **19.4 kg of plutonium a month** (6.5 kg/reactor), enough for over 3 Fat Man bombs. Monthly or annual production figures are unavailable for 1945 and 1946, but by the end of FY 1947 (30 June 1947) 493 kg of plutonium had been produced. Neglecting the startup month of each reactor, this indicates **an average plutonium production 5.6 kg/reactor/month** even though they were operated at reduced power or even shut down intermittently beginning in 1946.

Enriched uranium production is more difficult to summarize since there were three different enrichment processes in use that had interconnected production. The Y-12 plant calutrons also had reached maximum output early in 1945, but the amount of weapon-grade uranium this translates into varies depending on the enrichment of the feedstock. Initially this was natural uranium giving a production of **weapon-grade uranium of some 6 kg/month**.

source: nuclearweaponarchive.org

remember the critical masses:

The most important fusion reactions for thermonucle
 $\frac{1}{\frac{B}{10.811}}$

At ordinary material densities (e.g. liquid hydrogen, compressed hydrogen gas, or hydrogen-rich solids), the D+T reaction is the only reaction that can occur to a significant extent at the temperature of an atomic bomb of 50-100 million degrees. The temperature at the center of the Sun is only 14 million degrees!

At ordinary material densities (e.g. liquid hydrogen, compressed hydrogen gas, or hydrogen-rich solids), the D+T reaction is the only reaction that can occur to a significant extent at the temperature of an atomic bomb of 50-100 million degrees. The temperature at the center of the Sun is only 14 million degrees!

The Teller-Ulam configuration makes use of the fact that at the high temperatures of a fission bomb 80% or more of the energy exists as soft X-rays, not kinetic energy. The transport of energy by radiation from the fission core greatly exceeds the core's expansion rate (a mere 1000 km/sec or so). It is possible then to use this energy to compress, and ignite a physically separate mass of fusion fuel (the second stage) through radiation implosion before the expanding trigger disrupts it.

At the temperatures achievable in the fission core of the primary (up to 10^8 degrees K) nearly all of the energy is present as a thermal radiation field (up to 95%) with average photon energies **around 10 KeV** (moderately energetic Xrays).

As energy flows down the radiation channel, the energy density drops since the photon gas is now filling a greater volume. This means the temperature of the photon gas, and the average photon energy must drop as well. From an initial average energy of 10 KeV, the X-rays **soften to around 1-2 KeV**. This corresponds to a temperature in the casing of some 10-25 million degrees K.

By applying the blackbody radiation laws we can determine the corresponding radiation intensities and temperatures: **9.8 MK** and 5.3 x 10^16 W/cm² for Mike; and **20 MK** and 1.0 x 10^18 W/cm^2 for the W-80. The radiation pressures are 73 and 1400 Mbar respectively.

The pressure exerted by the plasma causes cylindrical (or spherical) implosion of the **fusion capsule**, consisting of the pusher/tamper, fuel, and the axial fissionable rod. The capsule is compressed to perhaps **1/30 of its original diameter** for cylindrical compression (1/10 for spherical compression), and thus reaches or exceeds **1000 times its original density**. It is noteworthy that at this point the explosive force released by the trigger, an amount of energy sufficient to destroy a small city, is being used simply to squeeze several kilograms of fuel!

It is unlikely that **the fissionable rod** reaches such extreme compression. Located at the center, it will experience an extremely violent shock wave that will heat it to high temperatures but compress it only modestly, **increasing its density by a factor of 4 or so**. This is sufficient to **make the rod super-critical**.

Combined with the high temperatures generated by the convergent shock wave, this raises the temperature of the fusion fuel around the rod high enough to **initiate the fusion reaction**. The temperatures generated by fusion burning can considerably exceed that produced by fission (**up to 300 million K**). As the temperature rises, the fusion reactions accelerate.

The 2.45 MeV and 14.1 MeV neutrons that escape from the fusion fuel can also contribute greatly to bomb yield by **inducing fission in the highly compressed fusion tamper**. This extra boost can release most of the explosion energy, and commonly accounts for half of the yield of large fission-fusion-fission bombs and **can reach at least 85%** of the total yield.

A large part of the fusion fuel can be burned before expansion quenches the reaction by reducing the density, which takes some 20-40 nanoseconds. The power output of a fusion capsule is noteworthy. **The largest bomb ever exploded had a yield of 50 Mt**, almost all produced by its final fusion stage. Since 50 Mt is 2.1x10¹⁷ joules, the power produced during the burn was around 5.3x10²⁴ watts. This is **more than one percent of the entire power output of the Sun** $(4.3x10^{26} \text{ watts})!!$

2023 ESTIMATED GLOBAL NUCLEAR WARHEAD INVENTORIES

The world's nuclear-armed states possess a combined total of over 12,500 nuclear warheads; nearly 90% belong to Russia and the United States. Approximately 9,600 warheads are in military service, with the rest awaiting dismantlement.

アメ

Nuclear weapons

The boy standing by the crematory, Hiroshima (1945) [Joe O'Donnell \(photojournalist\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joe_O%27Donnell_(photojournalist))

アメ

Additional material

Neutron Production and Absorption in Uranium*

H. L. ANDERSON, E. FERMI AND LEO SZILARD Columbia University, New York, New York (Received July 3, 1939)

 \mathbb{T} T has been found¹⁻³ that there is an abundant placed in the center of the tank. The geometry action of slow neutrons, and it is of interest to ascertain whether and to what extent the number of neutrons emitted exceeds the number absorbed.

This question can be investigated by placing a photo-neutron source in the center of a large water tank and comparing, with and without uranium in the water, the number of thermal neutrons present in the water. In the previous experiments of this type^{$1, 3$} it was attempted to have as closely as possible a spherically symmetrical distribution of neutrons. The number of thermal neutrons present in the water was determined by measuring along one radius the neutron density ρ as a function of the distance r from the center, and then calculating $\int r^2 \rho dr$. A difference in favor of uranium of about five percent was reported by von Halban, Joliot and Kovarski.⁴

Since one has to measure a small difference. slight deviations from a spherically symmetrical distribution might give misleading results. The present experiments which are based on the same general principle do not require such symmetry. In order to measure the number of thermal neutrons in the water we filled the tank with a ten-percent solution of MnSO₄. The activity induced in manganese is proportional to the number of thermal neutrons present. A physical averaging was performed by stirring the solution before measuring the activity of a sample with an ionization chamber. To obtain an effect of sufficient magnitude, about 200 kg of U_sO_s was used.

The experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 1. A photo-neutron source, consisting of about 2 g of radium and 250 g of beryllium was

 \blacktriangle emission of neutrons from uranium under the was such that practically all neutrons emitted by the source and by the uranium oxide were slowed down and absorbed within the tank. Each irradiation extended over several half-life periods of radiomanganese and the observed activity of the solution was about four times the background of the ionization chamber. Alternating measurements were taken with the cans filled with uranium oxide, and with empty cans of the same dimensions. The activity proved to be about ten percent higher with uranium oxide than without it. This result shows that in our arrangement more neutrons are emitted by uranium than are absorbed by uranium.

In order to find the average number of fast neutrons emitted by uranium for each thermal neutron absorbed by uranium, we have to determine what fraction of the total number of neutrons emitted by the photo-neutron source is, in our experiment, absorbed in the thermal region by uranium. The number of photo-neutrons

FIG. 1. Horizontal section through center of cylindrical tank which is filled with 540 liters of 10-percent MnSO₄ solution. A. Photo-neutron source composed of 2.3 grams of radium and 250 grams of beryllium. B, One of 52 cylindrical cans 5 cm in diameter and 60 cm in height, which are either empty or filled with uranium oxide.

Nuclear Reactors

by uranium, we have to determine what traction of the total number of neutrons emitted by the photo-neutron source is, in our experiment, absorbed in the thermal region by uranium. The number of photo-neutrons

<< back

^{*} Publication assisted by the Ernest Kempton Adams Fund for Physical Research of Columbia University. 1v. Halban, Joliot and Kovarski, Nature 143, 470

 (1939) . ² L. Szilard and W. H. Zinn, Phys. Rev. 55, 799 (1939).

⁸ Anderson, Fermi and Hanstein, Phys. Rev. 55, 797 (1939) ⁴ v. Halban, Joliot and Kovarski, Nature 143, 680

 (1939) ,

Neutron Production and Absorption in Uranium*

H. L. ANDERSON, E. FERMI AND LEO SZILARD Columbia University, New York, New York (Received July 3, 1939)

certain whether and to what extent the number of neutrons emitted exceeds the number absorbed.

This question can be investigated by placing a photo-neutron source in the center of a large water tank and comparing, with and without uranium in the water, the number of thermal neutrons present in the water. In the previous experiments of this type^{$1, 3$} it was attempted to have as closely as possible a spherically symmetrical distribution of neutrons. The number of thermal neutrons present in the water was determined by measuring along one radius the neutron density ρ as a function of the distance r from the center, and then calculating $\int r^2 \rho dr$. A difference in favor of uranium of about five percent was reported by von Halban, Joliot and Kovarski.⁴

Since one has to measure a small difference. slight deviations from a spherically symmetrical distribution might give misleading results. The present experiments which are based on the same general principle do not require such symmetry. In order to measure the number of thermal neutrons in the water we filled the tank with a ten-percent solution of MnSO₄. The activity induced in manganese is proportional to the number of thermal neutrons present. A physical averaging was performed by stirring the solution before measuring the activity of a sample with an ionization chamber. To obtain an effect of sufficient magnitude, about 200 kg of U₂O_s was used.

The experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 1. A photo-neutron source, consisting of about 2 g of radium and 250 g of beryllium was

 Γ has been found¹⁻³ that there is an abundant placed in the center of the tank. The geometry emission of neutrons from uranium under the was such that practically all neutrons emitted action of slow neutrons, and it slowed down and absorbed within the tank. Each irradiation extended over several half-life periods of radiomanganese and the observed activity of the solution was about four times the background of the ionization chamber. Alternating measurements were taken with the cans filled with uranium oxide, and with empty cans of the same dimensions. The activity proved to be about ten percent higher with uranium oxide than without it. This result shows that in our arrangement more neutrons are emitted by uranium than are absorbed by uranium.

In order to find the average number of fast neutrons emitted by uranium for each thermal neutron absorbed by uranium, we have to determine what fraction of the total number of neutrons emitted by the photo-neutron source is, in our experiment, absorbed in the thermal region by uranium. The number of photo-neutrons

FIG. 1. Horizontal section through center of cylindrical tank which is filled with 540 liters of 10-percent MnSO₄ solution. A. Photo-neutron source composed of 2.3 grams of radium and 250 grams of beryllium. B, One of 52 cylindrical cans 5 cm in diameter and 60 cm in height, which are either empty or filled with uranium oxide.

Nuclear Reactors

by uranium, we have to determine what traction of the total number of neutrons emitted by the photo-neutron source is, in our experiment, absorbed in the thermal region by uranium. The number of photo-neutrons

$$
k_{\infty} = \eta \epsilon p f
$$

 η : reproduction

- ϵ : fast fission
- $p:$ resonance escape
- f : thermal utilization

^{*} Publication assisted by the Ernest Kempton Adams Fund for Physical Research of Columbia University. ¹ v. Halban, Joliot and Kovarski, Nature 143, 470

 (1939) . ² L. Szilard and W. H. Zinn, Phys. Rev. 55, 799 (1939). ⁸ Anderson, Fermi and Hanstein, Phys. Rev. 55, 797

 (1939) ⁴ v. Halban, Joliot and Kovarski, Nature 143, 680 (1939) ,

U-fuel, H2O-moderated infinite reactor

2 eta epsi 1.5 factors 1 0.5 0 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0 U5 enrichment

U-fuel, H2O-moderated infinite reactor

 $\epsilon \approx 1$

 $p \approx 0.74$

U-fuel, H2O-moderated infinite reactor

 C

 k_{∞} < 1 for $x = 0.072$ (U-nat)

[<< back](#page-5-0)

$$
k_{\infty} = \eta \epsilon p f
$$

source: wikipedia

Nuclear Reactors: neutronics

The 100 ton test

The first nuclear explosion in history took place in New Mexico, at the Alamogordo Test Range, on the **Jornada del Muerto** (Journey of Death) desert, in the test named Trinity.

Before Trinity: May 7, 1945

To help in preparing the instrumentation for the Trinity shot the "100 Ton Test" was fired on 7 May 1945. This test detonated 108 tons of TNT stacked on a wooden platform 800 yards from Trinity ground zero. The pile of high explosive was threaded with tubes containing 1000 curies of reactor fission products. This is the largest instrumented explosion conducted up to this date. The test allowed the calibration of instruments to measure the blast wave, and gave some indication of how fission products might be distributed by the explosion.

Spontaneous fission

$5.5.$ 175 $t_{y_L} = 3.5 \times 10^{17}$ yr $A = \frac{\ln(2)}{3.5410^{17}} = 6.3 \times 10^{-26} S^{-1}$ A_{se} = AN $N = \frac{1}{255} N_A > 2.56 \times 10^{-21} g^{-1}$ A_{xx} = 6.3 x 10⁻²⁶ x 2.8 x 10²¹ = $= 0.16 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Bg/s/g}$ $150 kg/s$ $V_{45} \approx 1.86$ z is eastrows / so kg/s

Neutrons per Half-life Fission rate Spontaneous $\frac{Z^2}{A}$ **Nuclide** (% of decays) half-life (yrs) (yrs) **Fission Gram-sec** 235 U $7.04 \cdot 10^8$ $3.5 \cdot 10^{17}$ $2.0 \cdot 10^{-7}$ 0.0003 36.0 1.86 238 U $4.47 \cdot 10^{9}$ $5.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ $8.4 \cdot 10^{15}$ 2.07 0.0136 35.6 239 Pu $4.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$ $5.5 \cdot 10^{15}$ 24100 2.16 0.022 37.0 240 Pu $5.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$ 6569 $1.16 \cdot 10^{11}$ 2.21 920 36.8 250 Cm 8300[13] $1.6 \cdot 10^{10}$ $1.12 \cdot 10^{4}$ $~1/74$ 3.31 36.9 252 Cf $2.3 \cdot 10^{12}$ $2.6468^{[14]}$ 3.09 3.73 85.7 38.1

Spontaneous fission rates[12]

アメ

Number of fissions:

$$
N(t) = N_0 e^{(k-1)t/t_0}
$$

 t_0 is the time needed to go from one generation of neutrons to the next

$$
reactivity: \qquad \rho = \frac{k-1}{k}
$$

Most neutrons from are emitted within 10^{-13} s (prompt) The slowing-down process takes, typically 10⁻⁴ s

The multiplication factor k represents the number of neutrons of $(n+1)$ st generation, produced by each neutron of the *n-*th generation

In an infinite reactor

$$
k_{\infty} = \eta \in p f
$$

Nucleosynthesis: TRU (1000 Mw^e LWR)

LLFP

Criticality

A neutron entering a pure chunk of one of the slowfissionable isotopes would have a high probability of causing fission compared with the chance of unproductive neutron capture. If the chunk is large and compact enough, then the rate of neutron escape from its surface will be so low that it becomes a "critical mass", a mass in which a self-sustaining chain reaction occurs. Non-fissionable materials mixed with these isotopes tend to absorb some of the neutrons uselessly and increase the required size of the critical mass or may even make it impossible to achieve altogether.

Typical figures for critical masses for bare (unreflected) spheres of fissionable materials are:

Criticality: HEU

The macroscopic cross section is defined by

$$
\Sigma_f \equiv n \sigma_f \qquad [\Sigma_f] = 1/cm
$$

The mean-free path is

$$
\lambda_f = 1/\Sigma_f \qquad [\lambda_f] = \text{cm}
$$

Considering that $\sigma_t = \sigma_f + \sigma_{el}$ the criticality radius is given by

$$
R_C = k \sqrt{\lambda_f \lambda_t}
$$

With the constant k related to the effective number of neutrons emitted/fission, given by

$$
k = \frac{1.82}{\sqrt{\log(v)}}
$$

Table I. U-235 bare critical radii and masses.

^aActual high fission threshold for U-238.

^bNo definite high fission threshold for U-238.

^cI have adopted fission-spectrum averaged cross sections listed in the Table of Nuclides site maintained by the Korean Atomic Energy Research Institute, *(www.atom.kaeri.re.kr)*.

^dSecondary-neutron number adopted from the Evaluated Nuclear Data Files maintained by the National Nuclear data Center at Brookhaven National Laboratory, $\langle www.nndc.bnl.gov \rangle$.

^eFrom R_o=1.73 $\sqrt{\lambda_f} \lambda_t$.

^f From diffusion theory for the cross sections and ν values listed.

Criticality: HEU

The macroscopic cross section is defined by

 $\Sigma_f \equiv n \sigma_f$ [Σ_f] = 1/cm The mean-free path is

$$
\lambda_f = 1/\Sigma_f \qquad [\lambda_f] = \text{cm}
$$

The radius of a critical assembly is

 $R_C = \lambda_f \sqrt{s}$

where s is the number of steps in a random walk. Then, considering that $n = \frac{\rho}{4}$ $\frac{P}{A}N_A$

$$
1 = \frac{f \sigma_f}{A \sqrt{s}} \rho^{2/3} M_C^{1/3}
$$

 f is a "fudge" factor incorporating constants and geometrical factors. It follows that:

 $M_C \propto 1/\rho^2$

Table I. U-235 bare critical radii and masses.

^aActual high fission threshold for U-238.

^bNo definite high fission threshold for U-238.

^cI have adopted fission-spectrum averaged cross sections listed in the Table of Nuclides site maintained by the Korean Atomic Energy Research Institute, *(www.atom.kaeri.re.kr)*.

^dSecondary-neutron number adopted from the Evaluated Nuclear Data Files maintained by the National Nuclear data Center at Brookhaven National Laboratory, (www.nndc.bnl.gov).

^eFrom R_o=1.73 $\sqrt{\lambda_f} \lambda_t$.

¹From diffusion theory for the cross sections and ν values listed.