# A Global Analysis of Resonanceenhanced Light Scalar Dark Matter

Yu Watanabe (University of Tokyo)

Based on arXiv:2205.10149

with Tobias Binder(IPMU), Sreemanti Chakraborti(LAPTh) and Shigeki Matsumoto(IPMU)

# Light thermal DM

# 10MeV m<sub>DM</sub> Light Thermal DM

#### Can solve small scale problem, e.g. core-cusp



# Light thermal DM

- Light  $\rightarrow$  singlet { Relic abundance  $\rightarrow \sigma \approx 1$ pb @ freeze-out CMB  $\rightarrow \sigma < 1$ pb @ recommbination  $\rightarrow \circ \sigma$  should be velocity dependent p-wave, s-channel resonance, Sommerfeld, forbbiden...
  - → ∃singlet mediator
    9 candidates; spin=0,1/2,1 for DM and mediator
    We consider scalar DM and mediator



### Model

• The most general renormalizable Lagrangian is

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \chi)^2 - \frac{\mu_{\chi}^2}{2} \chi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \chi)^2 + \frac$$

$$V(\Phi,H) = \mu_{\Phi H} \Phi |H|^2 + rac{\kappa_{\Phi H}}{2} \Phi^2$$

$$\chi \cdots$$
 DM  
H \cdots Higgs  
 $\Phi \cdots$  mediator



# Self-Scattering

- Core-cusp problem ··· mismatch of DM density profiles at GC prefered by simulation(cusp) and observation(core).
- Self-scattering of DM may solve this by thermalizing DM at GC.



CS reproducing the observation

Our model

Fittig seems to be good.

## CMB

- DM decoupling after  $\nu$  decoupling alters expansion rate of universe.  $N_{eff} \simeq 3 \rightarrow m_{DM} \gtrsim 10 \text{ MeV}$
- Annihilation of DM into primordial plasma may modify anisotropy of the CMB  $\rightarrow f_{eff} \langle \sigma v \rangle / m_{\chi} < 4.1 \times 10^{-28} \text{cm}^3/\text{s/GeV}$



 $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  can be enhanced(suppressed) at freeze-out(recommbination)



## **Relic abundance**

• We required that abundance of DM is thermally produced.

• S-channel resonance  $\rightarrow$  Abundance continues to dicrease even after freeze-out.

• S-channel is enhanced, however t,u-channel are not enhanced.  $\rightarrow$ Early kinetic decoupling









γφ





- Best fit
- $1\sigma$
- $2\sigma$

#### Experiments

1. Collider

Produce DM by collision of high energy SM particles

2. Direct detection

Observe DM-SM scattering in underground laboratory

3. Indirect detection

Observe SM particles prodused by annihilations of DM





### Collider

#### • $B^{\pm}, K^{\pm}, K_L \rightarrow K^{\pm}, \pi^{\pm}, \pi^0 + \Phi(\rightarrow \text{missing})$







• Several parameter sets are constrained.



### **Direct detection**



#### • Constraints are too weak because t,u-channel diagrams are not enhanced.

## Indirect detection

- COMPTEL gives the most straingent constraint to  $\gamma$ .



• Voyager can observe  $e^{\pm}$  produced by annihilation of DM.

- Several parameter survives at present.
- Almost all of them can be excluded in the near future.

MeV gap and huge uncertainties; J-factor, hadrons.



# Summary

- candidate.
- mediator.
- observations e.g. GECCO and COSI.

• Light thermal DM with velocity-dependent  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  is an attractive DM

As an example, we studied the model with scalar singlet DM and

• A part of attractive regions in which DM can solve core-cusp problem, explain the relic density and overcome the constraint from CMB is still surviving from constraints at present concerning the uncertainties.

• Almost all of these will be constrained by near future MeV  $\gamma$ -ray