# Nuclear and laser spectroscopy study of the neutron-rich 212,213,215,216,217,219,220Bi isotopes with LIST

### **Andrei Andreyev**

#### **University of York on behalf of York-Gatchina-Leuven-Bratislava-Bucharest... +IDS-RILIS-ISOLTRAP Collaboration**

**Contents** 

- Previous Bi studies by our collaboration (IS608, IS650)
- Experimental method (LIST, IDS, MR-ToF)
- Outstanding questions and puzzles in the neutron-rich Bi isotopes

 $^{214}_{84}$  Per

 $M = 4470.0(1.4)$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \n 213 \\
\hline\n 83\n \end{array}$ 

>168 s 25/2<sup>-</sup>#<br>Eex 1300# (200#

130

• Rate estimations and Beamtime request (16 shifts)

 $\frac{213}{84}$  PO

294.7 ns 0<sup>+</sup><br>M <sup>-</sup> 10369.5 (1.

 $\alpha = 100$ 

 $1 - 11859$  (

128

 $\frac{211}{83}$  Bi

• TAC comments/answers

**INTC, 8th February 2023**

 $\frac{215}{84}$  PO

781 ms 9/2

M -541.7 (2.1)

 $\alpha = 100%$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} 214 \\ 83 \end{array}$ 

>93 s 8<sup>-</sup>#<br>Eex 200# (100#)

 $131$ 

 $\frac{216}{84}$  PO  $_{132}$ 

 $145 \text{ ms } 0^+$ 

M 1782.4 (1.8)

 $\alpha = 100%$ 

132

 $\frac{217}{84}$  PO  $_{133}$ 

 $1.514 s (9/2<sup>+</sup>)$ 

M 5884 (7)

 $a > 959$ 

133

 $\frac{216}{83}$  Bi

 $\frac{218}{84}$  PO  $_{134}$ 

 $3.098 \text{ m}$  0

M 8356.9 (2.0)

α<sup>≈</sup>100%

 $^{219}_{84}$  PO

 $\frac{218}{83}$  Bi

33 s (6<sup>-</sup>,7

 $\frac{220}{84}$  PO

 $\frac{219}{83}$  Bi

 $40# s 0<sup>+</sup>$ 

M 15263 (18)

8.7 s 9/2<sup>-</sup>#

 $\frac{221}{84}$  PO

 $\frac{220}{83}$  Bi

# **Why LIST? -Fr contamination at some masses**

- Long-lived, strongly-produced Fr contaminants only at A=212,213 and 220
- At all other masses, Fr's are short-lived (ms/sub-ms), can be suppressed by the beam gate



### **The Team**

A.N. Andreyev<sup>1</sup>, A.E. Barzakh<sup>2</sup>, B. Andel<sup>3</sup>, S. Antalic<sup>3</sup>, S. Bara<sup>4</sup>, C. Bernerd<sup>4,5</sup>, A. Candiello<sup>4</sup>, K.Chrysalidis<sup>5</sup>, T.E. Cocolios<sup>4</sup>, J. Cubiss<sup>1</sup>, H. De Witte<sup>4</sup>, M. Deseyn<sup>4</sup>, R. de Groote<sup>4</sup>, D.V. Fedorov<sup>2</sup>, V.N. Fedosseev<sup>5</sup>, K.T. Flanagan<sup>6</sup>, G. Georgiev<sup>7</sup>, M. Heines<sup>4</sup>, R. Heinke<sup>5</sup>, A.A.H. Jaradat<sup>5,6</sup>, J.D. Johnson<sup>4</sup>, U. Koster<sup>8</sup>, R. Lica<sup>9</sup>, K. Lynch<sup>6</sup>, R. Mancheva<sup>4,5</sup>, B.A. Marsh<sup>5</sup>, A. McGlone<sup>6</sup>, C. Mihai<sup>9</sup>, H. Naïdja<sup>10</sup>, G. Neyens<sup>4</sup>, C.Page<sup>1</sup>, S. Rothe<sup>5</sup>, M.D. Seliverstov<sup>2</sup>, P. Van Duppen<sup>4</sup>, W. Wojtaczka<sup>4</sup>, Z.Yue<sup>1</sup>, D. Balabanski<sup>11</sup>, A. Kusoglu<sup>11</sup>, G.Rainovski<sup>12</sup>, K. Gladnishki<sup>12</sup>, D. Kocheva<sup>12</sup>, K. Stoychev<sup>7</sup>, Y. Hirayama<sup>13</sup>, M. Mukai<sup>14</sup>, J. Reilly<sup>6</sup>, T. Niwase<sup>13</sup>, Y. Watanabe<sup>13</sup>, J.Wessolek<sup>6</sup>, A.Algora<sup>15</sup>, J.Jolie<sup>16</sup>, A.Blazhev<sup>16</sup>, N.Warr<sup>16</sup>, Z. Podolyak<sup>17</sup>, L.Gaffney<sup>18</sup>, A. Korgul<sup>19</sup>, A. Illana<sup>20</sup>, Y. Litvinov<sup>21</sup>, L.Nies<sup>5,22</sup>, C. Schweiger<sup>23</sup>, D. Lange<sup>23</sup>, A. Morales<sup>24</sup>+IDS Collaboration +ISOLTRAP/MR-ToF Collaboration

<sup>1</sup>University of York, U.K., <sup>2</sup>Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia, <sup>3</sup>Department of Nuclear Physics and Biophysics, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia, 4IKS-KULeuven, Belgium, <sup>5</sup>CERN-ISOLDE, Switzerland, <sup>6</sup>University of Manchester, UK, <sup>7</sup>IJCLab/IN2P3/CNRS, Orsay, France, ILL, <sup>8</sup>Grenoble, France, <sup>9</sup>IFIN-HH, Romania, <sup>10</sup>Université Constantine 1, Algeria, <sup>11</sup>ELI-NP, Bucharest, Romania, <sup>12</sup>Sophia University, Bulgaria, <sup>13</sup>WNSC, IPNS, KEK, Japan, <sup>14</sup>RIKEN, Japan, <sup>15</sup>University of Valencia, Spain, <sup>16</sup>IKP, University of Cologne, Germany, <sup>17</sup>University of Surrey, UK, <sup>18</sup>University of Liverpool, UK, <sup>19</sup>Warsaw University, Poland, <sup>20</sup>Universidad Complutens de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, <sup>21</sup>GSI (Germany), <sup>22</sup>Universität Greifswald, Germany, <sup>23</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>24</sup>IFIC, CSIC-University of Valencia, Spain

The CRIS team will provide and set-up the "injection-seeded" laser to obtain the "narrow-band" mode.

## **The Method: In-source laser spectroscopy+IDS+MR-ToF**



# **Selected latest results on Bi isotopes (IS608+IS650)**

**IS608** A.E. Barzakh et al., Shape staggering in gs of 187-189Bi Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 192501 (2021)

**IS608** B. Andel et al., <sup>188</sup>Bi beta-delayed fission Phys. Rev. C 102, 014319 (2020)

**IS65**0 B. Andel et al., New isomer in <sup>214</sup>Bi Phys. Rev. C 104, 054301 (2021)



**IS650.** fast timing, 8<sup>+</sup> isomers: R. Lica in preparation Also provided yield measurements up to <sup>218</sup>Bi **unpublished, needs confirmation – requested now**



**IS608**, Anomaly of the gs 9/2<sup>-</sup> magnetic moments in <sup>215,217</sup>Bi



#### **Goal 1: High-spin isomers 212m1,m2,213mBi and the N=126 kink problem**

Goal 1. Properties of the high-spin isomers <sup>212m1,m2,213m</sup>Bi and their link to the Bi gs charge radii kink at N=126: is the position and occupation of the i11/2 neutron orbital relative to g9/2 a real culprit for the N=126 kink?



It seems the models in which **the i11/2 neutron orbital is below g9/2** (or very close to it) reproduce the kink better**, due to enhanced population of the i11/2 orbital. In particular, this is a common property of relativistic approaches.**

### **Goal 1: High-spin isomers 212m1,m2,213mBi and the N=126 kink problem**

Goal 1. Properties of the high-spin isomers <sup>212m1, m2,213m</sup>Bi and their link to the kink in Bi gs charge radii at N=126: is the position and occupation of the i11/2 neutron orbital a real culprit for the N=126 kink?



This effect can be probed by charge radii of high-spin isomers in <sup>212m2,213m</sup>Bi, whose configuration does include an i11/2 neutron: 212m2Bi [πh9/2×((νg9/2)<sup>2</sup>×**νi11/2**)]18– , 213mBi [πh9/2× (νg9/2×**νi11/2**)]25/2– ,

relative to their gs's or <sup>212m1</sup>Bi [πh9/2×vg9/2)]8<sup>–</sup>,9<sup>–</sup>, which have less/no i11/2 neutrons.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ **Task 1**: We will perform **hfs scanning for 212m2,213mBi** with LIST in narrowband mode (procedure confirmed for Po/Ac's in our 2022 campaigns. If yields allows, can also try PI-LIST). Some scanning can be done with MR-ToF (for longest-lived cases, if IDS is not enough). Deduced magnetic moments will help to confirm/establish the configurations.

**It seems the model in which is the model is below the interesting in the interest of the interest of the interest of its interest.** mostly some 40-50 years ago. We can now do it much better with the versatile IDS system, e.g. to search for the IT decay from 18<sup>-</sup> to 8/9<sup>-</sup> (or even to the gs) in <sup>212m2</sup>Bi, **Task 2: Decay properties of some of these isomers are poorly known**, studied and/or to measure for the 1<sup>st</sup> time the half-life of <sup>213m</sup>Bi.

#### **Examples of narrow-band scanning for 212m,217Po (April 2022) and simulations for 212g,m1,m2Bi**





#### NB Simulations for 212g,m1,m2Bi (A. Barzakh)



#### **Goal 2: Anomalous 9/2– gs magnetic moment systematics in 215,217Bi: evidence for deformation/configuration mixing?**





PES for 209,215,221Bi calculated in HFB approach with Gogny forces D1S. A clear change of the PES minimum can be noticed by moving to heavier isotopes – deformation effects due to configuration mixing in the gs, via occupation of the high-j neutron orbitals?



The neutron shells occupancies for the 9/2<sup>-</sup> gs of the even-N Bi isotopes. Black dashed lines correspond to artificial situation with sequential g9/2 shell filling, while red/blue/green lines correspond to the inclusion of the effective interaction. (H. Naïdja)

The shell-model wave function components for the 9/2– gs of the even-N Bi isotopes. Only components with the weight larger than 10% are shown (H. Naïdja)

#### **Goal 2: Anomalous 9/2– gs magnetic moment systematics in 215,217Bi: evidence for deformation/configuration mixing?**





PES for 209,215,221Bi calculated in HFB approach with Gogny forces D1S. A clear change of the PES minimum can be noticed by moving to heavier isotopes – deformation effects due to configuration mixing in the gs, via occupation of the high-j neutron orbitals?

Task 3: To be able to publish these data, we need to confirm the observed deviation and improve uncertainties.



The shell-model wave function components for the 9/2– gs of the even-N Bi isotopes. Only components with the weight larger than 10% are shown (H. Naïdja)



The neutron shells occupancies for the 9/2<sup>-</sup> gs of the even-N Bi isotopes. Black dashed lines correspond to artificial situation with sequential g9/2 shell filling, while red/blue/green lines correspond to the inclusion of the effective interaction. (H. Naïdja)

### **Goal 3: First hfs measurements and "first" nuclear spectroscopic data for 219,220Bi (only half-lives are known)**



# **Beam request**

**Table 1.** Measured (red, IS608/IS650) and calculated (black) yields and the shifts request for Bi nuclei based on the 2 µA proton beam intensity, see text for details. The number of shifts account for half-lives, measurement procedure and respective yields.



<sup>a</sup>Scans of both isomers will be done simultaneously and require in total approximately 2 shifts; this also includes time needed for the search of unknown gamma lines and determination of the scanning range. Very broad hfs scanning with many steps will be required, by analogy with <sup>212</sup>Po, measured in 2022.

<sup>b</sup>1 shift will be used for decay spectroscopy.

<sup>c</sup>Isomer ratio was determined during IS608 campaign from the ratio of the MR-ToF hfs maxima

#### **In total, 16 shifts requested for hfs/IS, nuclear spectroscopy and reference measurements**

# **TAC comments-1**

• The requested yields are based on a previous RILIS run. A factor of 20 is applied for LIST losses which, for some cases, is on the optimistic side.

- Agree, but for most of cases, e.g. at least for <sup>213-218</sup>Bi, we are still at a good level, even with a larger "suppression factor" of, say, 50: e.g. 2 cps for <sup>218</sup>Bi instead of 8 cps, see previous slide. Our measurement limit is ~0.01 cps (depends on the decay mode/background)

- It should be noted that running in PI-LIST will have much higher losses, up to a factor of 1000, but the sensitivity of IDS should still allow for successful measurements.
	- We might not need to use PI-LIST at all, especially for the most difficult cases, and will just use a 'narrow-band' laser from CRIS, this will avoid extra losses, still keeping a suitable hfs resolution (was proven in Po/Ac LIST runs in 2022). See also the simulated spectrum for <sup>209</sup>Bi
- The suppression of Fr can vary along the isotopic chain, fluctuations are to be expected. - Noted, and we have large experience with those Fr's, should be ok with IDS. Also, in some cases we can use MR-ToF scanning
- The yields of  $213-215$ Bi seem credible. For  $216$ Bi, there are no data in the ABRABLA database: where did this figure come from?
	- Yields for 214-218Bi were measured in IS650 (previous slide); also earlier in our ISOLDE experiments some 15 years ago, during our first measurements up to <sup>218</sup>Bi (nuclear spectroscopy with RILIS), thus we are fully confident for reaching up to <sup>218,219</sup>Bi

**Do we really need PI-LIST mode?** 

**Simulations for <sup>209</sup>Bi (R. Heinke)**



•**Blue:** Standard in-source spectroscopy + dual etalon laser (~2.9GHz)

•**Red:** LIST collinear mode + dual etalon laser (~2.2GHz) – The better resolution comes from the fact that the LIST only probes atoms flying towards the laser into the LIST. There will be a shift against the other modes. •**Green: LIST collinear mode + CRIS narrowband laser (~1.4GHz) – our preferred mode of operation here** •**Black:** PI-LIST mode + CRIS narrowband laser (~0.5GHz)

Conclusion: no significant improvement with PI-LIST, thus we might not use it at all (TAC asked on PI-LIST intensity reduction)

# **TAC comments-1**

• The requested yields are based on a previous RILIS run. A factor of 20 is applied for LIST losses which, for some cases, is on the optimistic side.

- Agree, but for most of cases, e.g. at least for <sup>213-218</sup>Bi, we are still at a good level, even with a larger "suppression factor" of, say, 50: e.g. 2 cps for <sup>218</sup>Bi instead of 8 cps, see previous slide. Our measurement limit is ~0.01 cps (depends on the decay mode/background)

- It should be noted that running in PI-LIST will have much higher losses, up to a factor of 1000, but the sensitivity of IDS should still allow for successful measurements.
	- We might not need to use PI-LIST at all, especially for the most difficult cases, and will just use a 'narrow-band' laser from CRIS, this will avoid extra losses, still keeping a suitable hfs resolution (was proven in Po/Ac LIST runs in 2022). See also the simulate spectrum for <sup>209</sup>Bi
- The suppression of Fr can vary along the isotopic chain, fluctuations are to be expected. - Noted, and we have large experience with those Fr's, should be ok with IDS. Also, in some cases we can use MR-ToF scanning
- The yields of  $213-215$ Bi seem credible. For  $216$ Bi, there are no data in the ABRABLA database: where did this figure come from?
	- Yields for 214-218Bi were measured in IS650 (previous slide); also earlier in our ISOLDE experiments some 15 years ago, during our first measurements up to <sup>218</sup>Bi (nuclear spectroscopy with RILIS), thus we are fully confident for reaching up to <sup>218</sup>Bi

# **TAC comments- 2**

- It should be noted that the **yields of 219-220Bi could be very low** and can't be guaranteed. In the case of low to no yields on these isotopes, are the physics goals of the experiment still reachable?
- - Fully agree on the comment on 'very low' yields for  $219,220$ Bi, but our previous IDS studies showed that we can reliably measure down to 0.01 cps, which is still a factor of 5 below the rate we expect for 219,220Bi (see previous slide).

-While we are pretty confident to reach <sup>219</sup>Bi, <sup>220</sup>Bi might indeed be not possible, and might have to be abandoned from our program if nothing/too few is seen. However, while not endangering the core objectives of this proposal, the <sup>220</sup>Bi measurement represents a high-risk, high-gain component that should be investigated.

• RILIS with LIST requires considerable input from the RILIS team, and additional setting up time, but feasible.

-Yes, that's why we requested 2 extra shifts for the LIST setup, also for the setup of narrowband CRIS laser. If <sup>220</sup>Bi is not possible, we could spend more time on better LIST tuning/exploration of its modes (and also do more in the PI-LIST mode if find suitable). We also requested a week "off-line" (before the run) for setting up of this narrow-band laser (it is mentioned on the safety form).



## **Isomer separation in 190m1,m2Bi (IRIS@PNPI, Gatchina)**



Based on expected similarity of configurations in <sup>188m1, m2</sup>Bi and in 190m1,m2Bi, isomerically-pure beams of <sup>188m1, m2</sup>Bi should be obtained for  $\beta$ DF study





#### **16 Shifts Requested for** b**DF measurements of <sup>188</sup>Bi at ISOLDE Measurements to be performed with the Windmill setup**

# **Physics Motivation and goals of the proposal Goal 1: The N=126 kink problem**

Goal 1. Properties of the high-spin isomers <sup>212m1, m2,213m</sup>Bi and their possible link to the kink in Bi ground state charge radii at N=126: is the population of the i11/2 neutron orbital a real culprit for the N=126 kink? T. Naito et al., RIKEN, arXiv:2209.028572v2



It seems the models in which **the i11/2 neutron orbital is below g9/2** (or very close to it) reproduce the kink better**, due to enhanced population of the former orbital.** If so, this effect can be probed by charge radii of high-spin isomers in <sup>212,213</sup>Bi, whose configuration does include an i11/2 neutron: <sup>212m2</sup>Bi [πh9/2×((vg9/2)<sup>2</sup>×vi11/2)]18–, <sup>213m</sup>Bi [πh9/2× (vg9/2×vi11/2)]25/2–, relative to their gs's or  $212m1Bi$ , with less or no i11/2 neutrons (e.g.  $212m1Bi$  [ $\pi h/2xvg/2$ ]8–,9–).