Charge Collection in Single-Column 3D Detectors

Carlo Tosi, Mara Bruzzi INFN and University of Florence

Monica Scaringella, Hartmut F.-W. Sadrozinski, Alek Polyakov SCIPP, UC Santa Cruz

M. Boscardin, C. Piemonte, A. Pozza, S. Ronchin and N. Zorzi *ITC-irst*

> G.-F. Dalla Betta DIT, Università di Trento

Analysis of CCE - V on 3D sensors

Sensors P-type strip-like Sensors ~500μm thick, ~150 μm single columns (n+/p)

Depletion Pattern on 3D: C-V and Cint-V Consequences for CCE

2 CCE systems:

Beta source ⁹⁰Sr with scintillator trigger

- Analog DAQ (Firenze)
 2 µsec shaping time, pad sensor
- Binary DAQ (Santa Cruz)
 100 ns shaping time: strip sensor

Depletion Pattern on 3D SSD: C-V and Cint-V



Voltage Range 1: region between col. is not fully depleted \Rightarrow large capacitance

full dep. between columns ~ 7V

Voltage Range 2: region between col. is fully depleted ⇒ depletion proceeds only towards the back (almost like a planar diode)

full depletion ~200V depletion width of ~150+350µm

Depletion Pattern → CCE Pattern Mean collected charge & Inefficiency



Voltage Range 1 (bias < \sim 7V): there are lateral depleted regions near each column which are t_c in depth. Charges generated by traces like b are not collected. -> Charge collected = 4fC*(tc/300µm) ~ 2fC

-> Inefficiency = fraction of un-depleted area between columns



Voltage Range 2 (bias > \sim 7 V): region between columns is fully depleted Depletion depth = t_w

- -> Charge collected = $4fC^*(t_w/300\mu m)$
- -> No Inefficiency

Analog DAQ (Firenze) : Pad Sensor



Inefficiency = fraction of undepleted area Mean charge ~ depleted thickness

Pad Sensor Analysis

Analog DAQ (Firenze) with deconvolution software (NIKHEF)





Discrepancy between pulse height and simulated Landau is observed at high voltage. Most probable value of pulse height is determined by Landau deconvolution only in the range 0-60V. At 70-150V the most probable value taken is max of pulse height spectrum.



Binary DAQ (Santa Cruz) Strip Sensor



(We define the median as the collected charge)

At 6V:

Collected charge = 1.7 fC As expected from 140 μ m Inefficiency = 0 i.e. un-depleted area = 0

At 0V:

Collected charge ~ 1fC Inefficiency > 80%?

At 30V:

Collected charge = 3.0 fCAs expected from 280 μ m Inefficiency = 0 i.e. un-depleted area = 0

Reconstruction of Pulse Height Spectrum



Mean Charge and Inefficiency



Collected charge: Increases with bias voltage (Square root behavior beyond ~7V? Not yet saturated at 150 V)

Efficiency varies only below ~ 7V, ~ 100 % beyond.

Binary DAQ (Santa Cruz)



Collected charge: compares well with Ansatz Q = 1fC + const/C

Charge Collection Comparison: Pads vs. SSD, 100 ns vs. 2.4 µs





Charge Collection vs. voltage confirms simple picture of depletion in single-column 3D sensors:

- Rapid depletion between columns (< 10 V)
- Slow, planar-diode like depletion beyond that
- \bullet Voltage dependence of charge collection about same for SSD (100 ns) and pads (2.4 μs)
- Collected charge larger than predicted from 1/C at lower voltages

Ackgnowledgments:

ITC-irst team for production of 3D detectors Claudio Piemonte for the very nice graphics of depletion process