





**ZURICH** 

#### Progress 2022

**BASE Collaboration** 

#### **Stefan Ulmer**

HHU Düsseldorf and RIKEN 2023 / 02 / 07



BASE uses single particles in advanced Penning trap systems, to study the fundamental properties of protons and antiprotons with high precision.

## **SE** BASE – Collaboration

 BASE-Mainz: Measurement of the magnetic moment of the proton, implementation of new technologies.



- **BASE-CERN:** Measurement of the magnetic moment of the antiproton and proton/antiproton q/m ratio
- **BASE-STEP:** transportable antiproton trap
- **BASE-Hannover:** QLEDS-laser cooling project, new technologies



C. Smorra et al., EPJ-Special Topics, The BASE Experiment, (2015)



**Institutes:** RIKEN, MPIK, CERN, University of Mainz, Tokyo University, GSI Darmstadt, University of Hannover, PTB Braunschweig, ETH Zuerich



Team at CERN

## SE BASE Annual Summary 2022



#### Quick Review – Article Published / QM

 $R_{\overline{p},p} = -1.000\ 000\ 000\ 003\ (16)$ 

• Constrain 10 coefficients of the Standard Model extension.

$$|\delta\omega_{\rm c}^{\overline{p}} - R_{\overline{p},p,\exp}\delta\omega_{\rm c}^{p} - 2R_{\overline{p},p,\exp}\delta\omega_{\rm c}^{e^{-}}| < 1.96 \times 10^{-27} \text{ GeV}$$



Non-minimal Standard Model Extension:

| Coefficient                                  | Previous Limit          | Improved Limit          | Factor |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| $ \tilde{c}_e^{XX} $                         | $< 3.23 \cdot 10^{-14}$ | $< 7.79 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | 4.14   |
| $      	ilde{c}_e^{YY}  $                    | $< 3.23 \cdot 10^{-14}$ | $< 7.79 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | 4.14   |
| $ \tilde{c}_e^{ZZ} $                         | $< 2.14 \cdot 10^{-14}$ | $< 4.96 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | 4.31   |
| $  \tilde{c}_p^{XX} ,  \tilde{c}_p^{*XX}  $  | $< 1.19 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $< 2.86 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | 4.14   |
| $    \tilde{c}_p^{YY} ,  \tilde{c}_p^{*YY} $ | $< 1.19 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $< 2.86 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | 4.14   |
| $  \tilde{c}_p^{ZZ} ,  \tilde{c}_p^{*ZZ} $   | $< 7.85 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | $< 1.82 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | 4.31   |

• Differential test of the weak equivalence principle comparing a matter and an antimatter clock

$$\frac{\Delta R(t)}{R_{\text{avg}}} = \frac{3GM_{\text{sun}}}{c^2} (\alpha_{\text{g},D} - 1) \left(\frac{1}{O(t)} - \frac{1}{O(t_0)}\right)$$

 Property
 Limit

  $\alpha_g - 1$  < 1.8 \* 10<sup>-7</sup>

  $\alpha_{g,D} - 1$  < 0.03</td>

#### Most Precise Test of CPT Invariance in the Baryon Sector

## **SE** Goal of the Current Run

• Improved measurement of the antiproton magnetic moment with a target precision of



• BASE has measured the antiproton magnetic moment with a fractional accuracy of 1.5 p.p.b.

$$\frac{g_{\bar{p}}}{2} = 2.792\ 847\ 344\ 1\ (42)$$

Are the mysteries of Dark Matter and Matter/Antimatter asymmetrie related?



# **B**SE Penning Trap Magnetic Moment Measurements



S. Ulmer, A. Mooser et al. PRL 107, 103002 (2011)

Determinations of the g-factor reduces to the measurement of a frequency ratio -> in principle very simple experiments -> full control, (almost) no theoretical corrections required.

A. Mooser, S. Ulmer, et al. PRL 106, 253001 (2011)

## Spin-Quantum-Transition Spectroscopy

Measurement based on continuous Stern Gerlach effect.

Energy of magnetic dipole in magnetic field

$$\Phi_M = -(\overrightarrow{\mu_p} \cdot \overrightarrow{B})$$

Leading order magnetic field correction

$$B_z = B_0 + B_2 \left( z^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{2} \right)$$

This term adds a spin dependent quadratic axial potential -> Axial frequency becomes a function of the spin state

$$\Delta v_z \sim \frac{\mu_p B_2}{m_p v_z} := \alpha_p \frac{B_2}{v_z}$$

- Very difficult for the proton/antiproton system.

 $B_2 \sim 300000 T/m^2$ 

- Most extreme magnetic conditions ever applied to single particle.

 $\Delta v_z \sim 170 \ mHz$ 

#### Single Penning trap method is limited to the p.p.m. level









frequenc)

axial



This experiment is only possible with a particle at a radial temperature <200mK, which needs to be
prepared with a cooling device at cryogenic temperatures. This cooling is eating up a considerable amount
of the experiment time budget (previously 15h per preparation cycle).</li>



• Managed to apply this sequence for about 80 cycles until new particle had to be prepared.

## SE Towards an Improved Measurement

#### • Systematic Limitations:

- Magnetic field fluctuations: 15ppb width
- Magnetic field homogeneity: 0.980 ppb unc.
- Measurement stability: 6ppb unc.
- Limited data accumulation rate
- Noise sensitivity of the spin-state detection trap
- Transport heating rates



Goal: Develop a running 4-Penning-trap system which includes all these features.

#### • Reaction:

- Better magnetic shielding: factor 50 to 250
- Multi-coil shimming system: eliminates
- Improved frequency measurement methods
- Cooling trap for faster prep. cycles
- Improved cryogenic filter systems
- Improved particle shuttling techniques





#### New 2022-Multi Trap Stack

#### Simulated Magnetic Field **Analysis Trap** 2.0 **Precision Trap Reservoir Trap** (spin state analysis) (antiproton catching) (frequency measurements) 1.8 Ē N 1. **Elongated Transport Section** 1.4 (gradient supression) 1.2 -0.05 Position relative to AT (m) 1 1 1 1 **Cooling Trap** High particle-to-detector coupling due to small electrode geometry. Reduces 2016 cooling time constant by a factor of 25!!! signal (dB) 2022 New highly shielded and highly optimized high performance resonant detection resistor for more efficient cooling and $2.96 \times 10^{7}$ 2.97 × 10 reduced temperature. $2.95 \times 10^{10}$ $2.98 \times 10^{-10}$ frequency (Hz)

### SE Analysis Trap Upgrades / Commissioning



Generally better shielding of all the trap supply lines, multitude of cryogenic switches, cryogenic bandpass filters, decoupling of spin flip and radial manipulation lines.

Commissioning of the trap by parametric resonance



 Optimization of axial frequency stability



Already two times better than in previous experiments

## Heating Rates

• BASE magnetic moment measurements can only be performed, once we cool particles to low cyclotron energy.

heating rates ever

Lowest

 Magnetic bottle does not only couple spin-magnetic moment to the axial frequency but also cyclotron magnetic moment. Cyclotron transitions are driven by background rf-noise, inducing heating rates:





Borchert, PRL **122**, 043201 (2019), https://journals.aps.org/prl/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.043201



sub-thermal cooling is EXTREMELY time consuming

#### Antiproton Cooling Trap

- Implementation of a dedicated cooling trap with strong particle detector coupling, reduced detector temperature, high-performance detection resistor.
- Optimize transport and readout time in single-particle temperature measurements.





| Parameter                 | 2016 measurement (PT) | 2022 measurement (CT) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| detector temperature      | 12.8 K                | 4.2 K                 |
| detection Q               | 450                   | 1250                  |
| R <sub>p</sub>            | 75.000 Ω              | 360.000 Ω             |
| pickup length $(D_{eff})$ | 21.5 mm               | 4.8 mm                |
| thermalization time $	au$ | 600 s                 | 4.2 s                 |



$$\tau = \frac{m}{R_p} * \left(\frac{D_{eff}}{q}\right)^2$$

Plan: More rapid cold particle preparation by stronger particle/detector coupling (smaller trap design) and improved detector performance (thermalization resistor/temperature )

#### Measurement trap sub-thermal cooling



#### Temperature Measurement

- Sequence:
  - Measure axial frequency in AT
  - Shuttle AT CT
  - Thermalize in CT
  - Shuttle CT AT





#### • Conclusion:

- The 2022 temperature performance of the cooling resistor increased by a factor of 3.
- Decreases amount of required cooling attempts for low temperature threshold by a factor of 3



- **2016** used particle readout time of 60s in several screenshots.
- **2022** optimized single shot particle readout time for high identification efficiency:
  - 16s at 0.5K detection bandwidth and 95.8% detection efficiency.
  - 16s at 0.25K detection bandwidth and 99.8% detection efficiency.

#### **E** Thermalization Time Optimization

- Use correlation estimates to determine optimum particle / detector coupling time.
  - Measure frequency scatter as a function of particle / detector interaction time





| Item         | 2016                     | 2022         |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Spec AVG     | 64s                      | 16s          |
| TP from AT   | 78 s                     | 5 s          |
| Thermalize   | 600 s                    | 5 s          |
| TP To AT     | 78 s                     | 5 s          |
| Single Cycle | 820 s                    | 31 s         |
| Improvemer   | nt Factor: 26 (* 3 times | T reduction) |

- Three-fold temperature reduction gives additional factor of three in time reduction for particle preparation at given threshold.
- **Explicitly demonstrated:** robust 200mK particle preparation in 8 minutes compared to previously 15h.

#### Applications – Phase Space Reduction

• Thermal initial conditions of a particle determine energy resolution and phase resolution of particle excitation

 $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{qE_0}{m} * t + \rho_{0,th}$ 

- Resonant Radius
- Resulting Energy

$$E(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{qE_0}{m} * t + \rho_{0,th}\right)^2 = E_{exc} + 2\sqrt{E_{th}}\sqrt{E_{exc}} + E_{th}$$



• Resulting Energy  
Scatter 
$$\sigma(E_{+}) = \sqrt{2E_{\rm th}E_{\rm exc}} \times \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{E_{\rm th}}{E_{\rm exc}}} \approx \sqrt{2E_{\rm th}E_{\rm exc}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\frac{E_{\rm th}}{E_{\rm exc}}\right)$$



#### • Enables:

Measurements at lower temperature and reduced systematic shifts

- V

ω

- Measurements at better defined particle energy
- Measurements at robust signal to noise ratio
- Measurement at higher phase resolution

Full potential for future frequency measurments under investigation



and the

#### Summary – CT Performance

|                  | Parameter                 | 2016 measurement (PT) | 2022 measurement (CT) |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| -193<br>- 396    | detector temperature      | 12.8 K                | 4.2 K                 |
| and and a second | detection Q               | 450                   | 1250                  |
|                  | R <sub>p</sub>            | 75.000 Ω              | 360.000 Ω             |
|                  | pickup length $(D_{eff})$ | 21.5 mm               | 4.8 mm                |
|                  | thermalization time $	au$ | 370 s (P4)            | 4.2 s (C5)            |
|                  |                           |                       |                       |
|                  | Transport time            | 2 x 78 s              | 2 x 4.6 s             |
| _                | Readout time              | 64 s                  | 16 s                  |
|                  |                           |                       |                       |
|                  | 200 mK preparation        | 15 h                  | 8 min                 |



frequency (Hz)

| Item         | 2016  | 2022 |
|--------------|-------|------|
| Spec AVG     | 64s   | 16s  |
| TP from AT   | 78 s  | 5 s  |
| Thermalize   | 600 s | 5 s  |
| TP To AT     | 78 s  | 5 s  |
| Single Cycle | 820 s | 31 s |
|              |       |      |











# **B**SE Flipping Spins

• Recording statistical spin flips and sampling of a Larmor resonance curve

Observed frequency scatter:

$$\Xi = \sqrt{\Xi_B^2 + P_{SF} \Delta v_{z,SF}^2}$$

Further optimization of background noise and averaging time ->

Heating rates further reduced by tweaking many parameters like

- Particle temperature
- Rf-noise amplitudes
- Detection signal-to-noise ratio
- Detection signal width



trap electrode distance ( $\mu$ m)



...with highest detection fidelity ever reported...:

...observation of Single Spin Flips....







| Parameter           | Value       | Uncertainty | Width     | Events  |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Left Distribution   | -0.17403    | 0.00185674  | 0.0218117 | 70      |
| Center Distribution | -0.00378045 | 0.00146963  | 0.023697  | 131     |
| Right Distribution  | 0.172828    | 0.00213892  | 0.0249438 | 69      |
| Spin Flip Prob.     |             |             |           | 1.06107 |

Total **game changer** in magnetic moment experiments

- no threshold detection required.
- Saves considerable amount of particle identification time.

#### This is several 1000 times harder than observing the same for the electron/positron

## **BSE** Single Spin Flips in the PT

- Key ingredient to multi-trap magnetic moment measurements:
  - Identify spin quantum state in the AT
  - Transport to PT
  - Induce spin transition in the PT
  - Transport back to AT
  - Detect in AT whether spin has flipped or not

### Have executed 480 cycles with one single particle (median 80 in 2016)





- Under these conditions:
  - No AT spin flipping required anymore
  - Reduces cycle from 17min to 5min.
  - Some stability issues under investigation at the moment.

# **B**SE Penning Trap Magnetic Moment Measurements



S. Ulmer, A. Mooser et al. PRL 107, 103002 (2011)

Determinations of the g-factor reduces to the measurement of a frequency ratio -> in principle very simple experiments -> full control, (almost) no theoretical corrections required.

A. Mooser, S. Ulmer, et al. PRL 106, 253001 (2011)

### **SE** Cyclotron Frequency Measurements

• Recent cyclotron frequency measurements (recorded this weekend)



- Frequency scatter of this measurement is at a median of 520p.p.t., 3 times better than in our recently published charge-tomass ratio measurement.
- Measured here 25 p.p.t. in 28h of measurement time -> 16p.p.t. in four days of averaging time.
- Resonance is about three times narrower than 300 p.p.t. Mainz proton magnetic moment measurement.
- In case we get our systematics under control -> potential to improve the proton moment by a factor of 3 to 5, and the pbar moment by a factor of 15 to 25.

#### • Still a lot to investigate.

- Relaxation drifts in cyclotron frequency measurements to be understood.
- B1 improved from 72mT/m to 16mT/m, but still considerable.
- Some higher order pseudo-harmonic cross talk to be understood B1/C3 and C1/B3.
- Magnetic bottle RF heating?

#### **E** Summary of exp. achievements made in 2022



#### **SE** Summary and next steps

- Excellent progress towards a measurement of the proton/antiproton magnetic moment, with a considerably improved apparatus, which is fully operational.
- All experimental upgrades successful, implemented an instrument with «world record magnetic moment resolution», with excellent frequency stability, and compensated systematics.
- Issues with antiproton catching, to be resolved during YETS and in the next run.
- Next steps:
  - Further investigate PT spin flipping.
  - Investigate residual systematics.
  - Measure the proton magnetic moment at improved fractional accuracy.
  - Measure the antiproton magnetic moment at imporved fractional accuracy.

# **B**SE End of the physics run 2022 for STEP



#### Progress at CERN in 2022:

- Installation of the experiment support structures
- Installation of the vertical beamline and the deflector chamber
- Cryogenic stage for the transportable magnet is assembled and placed in the experiment area
- Commissioning of the electrostatic deflector chamber
- Operation of two beam monitors tested
- Detection of antiprotons behind the electrostatic deflector



#### **SE** First antiprotons in the BASE-STEP zone

The 90 degree deflector and the beam monitors were commissioned with antiprotons at the end of the run 2022.

After only 2 hours of operation, we observe antiprotons on the beam monitor in front and behind the deflector chamber.

Optimization for injection into BASE-STEP will take place in 2023.



Representative response of beam monitor 1

First signal on beam monitor 2



### **BSE** Thanks for your attention



## SE Heating Rates and Improved MCP limits



trap electrode distance (µm)

### **SE** Quick Review – Article Published / MCP

- Uses heating rate measurements in the BASE-analysis trap to set limits on millicharged particles.
- Derives model dependent MCP constraints in a broad parameter region.



