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The Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC)

- **Basic design**
  - As a Higgs (120 GeV), Z (45.6 GeV) & W (80 GeV) Factory
  - Upgradable to High Lumi Z & ttbar (175 GeV)
  - Compatible with SppC

- **Progress**
  - CDR released in 2018
  - TDR to be delivered in 2023
  - Beam polarization as a chapter in Appendix
    - Transverse polarization for resonant depolarization at Z & W
    - Longitudinally polarized colliding beams at Z-pole (and beyond)

[1] Slides of Beam Polarization Studies presented on CEPC Accelerator TDR Review Meeting 14/06/2023, Hong Kong
https://indico.ihep.ac.cn/event/19262/contributions/135019/attachments/69261/83123/CEPC_polarization_study_v5_uploaded.pptx
Beam polarization in the collider rings

- Non-colliding “pilot” bunches: decay mode
  - Self-polarization can be utilized for Resonant Depolarization (RD) measurements using pilot bunches
    - Employ asymmetric wigglers to reduce the polarization time @Z [1]
    - To achieve a high-level polarization for colliding bunches without significantly sacrificing luminosity [2]
      - Injection of polarized beams is mandatory

- Colliding bunches: top-up injection

  $\frac{1}{\tau_{DK}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{BKS}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{dep}}$

CEPC CDR parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>45.6 GeV (Z, 2T)</th>
<th>80 GeV (W)</th>
<th>120 GeV (Higgs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polarization build-up time w/o radiative depolarization $\tau_{BKS}$ (hour)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam lifetime $\tau_{b}$ (hour)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[2] Zhe Duan, talk on 2nd FCC EPOL Workshop, Sep 29, 2022
Modification of CEPC for RD measurements

- Self-polarization of >20% achievable in 10 min [1]
- Consistent with the requirements of RD measurements, but not sufficient for top-up injection of colliding bunches

- Polarized e-source can supply ~85% polarized e-bunches that satisfy the needs of CEPC (SLC/ILC/EIC)

Modification of CEPC for longitudinal polarization

- It is important to understand the depolarization effects at ultra-high beam energies.
  - Booster: depolarization due to spin resonance crossings during acceleration
  - Collider ring: radiative depolarization

W. Xia et al., RDTM (2022) doi: 10.1007/s41605-022-00344-2
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Spin-orbit coupling resonances in circular accelerators

- In a planar ring without solenoids, \( \hat{n}_0 \) could deviate from vertical near integer (imperfection) resonances \( \nu_0 = K \), characterized by

\[
\tilde{e}^{\text{imp}}_K \approx -\frac{R(1+K)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Delta B_x(\theta')}{B\rho} e^{iK\Phi(\theta')} d\theta'
\]

\[
\frac{\Delta B_x}{B\rho} \approx \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} y_c + \left( \frac{\Delta B_x}{B\rho} \right)_0
\]

- \( \hat{n} \) deviates from \( \hat{n}_0 \) near spin resonances

\[
\nu_s = k + k_x \nu_x + k_y \nu_y + k_z \nu_z, \quad k, k_x, k_y, k_z \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

First-order parent spin resonances: \( |k_x| + |k_y| + |k_z| = 1 \)

- For intrinsic resonances \( \nu_0 = K = k \pm \nu_Y \)

\[
\tilde{e}^{\text{intr}, \pm}_{K}(I_y) \approx \frac{R(1+K)}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} \sqrt{2I_y \beta_y} e^{i[K\Phi(\theta') \mp \nu_y \phi_y(\theta') \mp \nu_0]} d\theta'
\]

- Misalignments: quad \( \Delta Y \) & dipole roll
  - Random: zero mean
  - Systematic: “smoothed” vertical positioning, uneven settling, etc

- Orbital correctors
General lattice structure of CEPC booster & collider

- Approximately period-8
- Each arc contains hundreds of standard (FODO) cells
- Arc region covers ~ 80% of circumference in both rings

Table 2: Parameters relevant for spin resonance structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_y$</td>
<td>353.28</td>
<td>261.2</td>
<td>365.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{arc}$</td>
<td>140/142</td>
<td>97/99</td>
<td>145/147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_B$</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PM$</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>1160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_B/\eta_{arc}$</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $\nu_B$ is the total $\nu_y$ in all standard arc cells
- $\eta_{arc}$ is the fraction of total bending angle from arc standard cells over $2\pi$
Spin resonance structure: Intrinsic resonance strength

\[ \varepsilon^{\text{intr.} \pm}(I_y) \approx \frac{R(1 + K)}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial y} \sqrt{2I_y} B_y e^{i(K\Phi(\theta') \mp i\nu_y \phi_y(\theta') \pm \psi_{y0})} d\theta' \]

|\varepsilon| \approx |\zeta P| |\varepsilon_{\text{arc}} + \varepsilon_{ss} + \varepsilon_{\text{DOM}}|

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{arc}} = |\varepsilon_{\text{FODO}}| |\zeta_M| \]

\[ \varepsilon_{ss} = 0 \text{ for integer vertical phase advance & identical cells} \]

- Near super strong resonances, \( \varepsilon_{\text{arc}} \) dominates
- Away from super strong resonances, \( \varepsilon_{ss} + \varepsilon_{\text{DOM}} \) becomes more important
  - For small \( K \ll \nu_y \), the phasor includes a slow wave \( K\Phi \) and a fast wave \( \nu_y \phi_y \), leading to effective cancellation among all cells (arc, SS and DOM)
- Symmetry breaking leads to relatively weak resonances.

Intrinsic resonances: \( \nu_0 = K = k \pm \nu_y \)

Super strong resonances: \( K = nP \pm \nu_y, n \in \mathbb{Z} \) closest to \( (mPM \pm \nu_y)/\eta_{\text{arc}}, m \in \mathbb{Z} \)

CEPC booster as an example

I. Koop, Intrinsic resonances in FCC-ee, EPOL Meeting 15/12/2022.

Strong intrinsic spin resonances $\nu_z \pm \nu_0 = 4k$ are spaced with a period $\Delta k = 1$ due to 4-fold symmetry of FCC-ee lattice!

“Giant” resonance bump in a region $\nu_0 = 186 \pm 7$ due to synchronism of the spin rotation in arcs with the vertical kicks there from quads.

All points at fractional tunes: $\nu_0 = 0.41 \text{ and } 0.61$ – near 0.4, 0.6.

At $W$ the intrinsic resonances are much stronger than at $Z$: $\omega_k \approx 1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$.

Conclusion for $W$ energy region: a gap between the spin tune $\nu_0$ and the vertical betatron tune $\nu_z$ needs to be chosen as large as:

$\nu_0 - \nu_z = \pm 0.25$.

Super strong intrinsic resonances near $\nu_0 = 8 \times 90 \times \frac{1}{4} \times 92/90 = 184$
Spin resonance structure: Imperfection resonance strength

For a specified $k$, its contribution follows a similar behavior of intrinsic resonance.

- Spectrum of $f_k$ depends on the error sources & closed-orbit correction scheme.
- Most important terms are near $k = [\nu_y]$ leading to super strong resonances.
- There tends to a wider plateau around each peak as a result of contributions from different $k$.

Imperfection resonances: $\nu_0 = K$

Super strong resonances: $K = nP \pm [\nu_y], n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $K = [(mPM \pm [\nu_y]\nu)\eta_{ave}]$.

---
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Depolarization in the booster

- The spin tune \( v_s \approx v_0 \approx a\gamma \) changes and could cross spin resonances \( v_s = k + k_x v_x + k_y v_y + k_z v_z \)
  - The spin resonances \( v_0 = k \) are spaced by 440 MeV for e+/e-.
- The non-adiabatic crossing could vary \( J_s = \vec{S} \cdot \vec{n} \) and lead to depolarization [1]
  - Spin resonance strength \( \varepsilon \)
  - Acceleration rate \( \alpha \sim 10^{-6} \frac{dE}{dt} \) [GeV/s]C[km]
- \( \Delta |P| < 1\% \) in the regimes of fast crossing & slow crossing
- Previous studies suggested using Siberian snakes to maintain polarization for future 100km-scale boosters[7]

Setup of CEPC booster lattice

- 60 imperfection lattice seeds
  - Misalignment error & field error, scan BPM offset: 30μm ~ 180 μm
  - Closed orbit correction & tune correction
- Multi-particle tracking in Bmad
  - Energy and RF ramping in the whole process
  - Element-by-element tracking with radiation damping & quantum excitation

TABLE II. Magnet error settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Δx (μm)</th>
<th>Δy (μm)</th>
<th>Δz (μm)</th>
<th>Δθ_z (μrad)</th>
<th>Field error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrupole</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sextupole</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depolarization effects: simulation vs. estimation

In the acceleration to Z & W
- The spin resonances are generally weak
- Polarization is mostly maintained
- Estimations agree fairly well with simulations

In the acceleration to H
- The spin resonances become stronger at higher energies
- Severe depolarization occurs
- Mitigation methods to be explored

This study supports injecting highly polarized beams into the collider rings as a very attractive solution, for applications @ Z & W.
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Setup of CEPC collider ring imperfect lattice

- W/ alignment and field errors, w/o BPM errors
- Closed orbit & optics correction in SAD & AT.
- The vertical emittance is adjusted to the design value
  - w/o solenoid fields
  - Quadrupoles in straight sections are artificially rotated
  - Skew quads inserted next to Q1 & Q2

![Diagram of CEPC collider ring]

**TABLE 1. CEPC magnets’ errors.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Misalignment error</th>
<th>Field error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta x (\mu m)$</td>
<td>$\Delta y (\mu m)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc quadrupole</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR quadrupole</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sextupole</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- rms closed orbit are 37μm/28μm
- rms β-beat are 0.36% and 3.4%
Radiative depolarization in electron storage rings

• \( P_{DK} \approx \frac{P_\infty}{1 + \frac{\tau_{BKS}}{\tau_{dep}}} \), \( \frac{1}{\tau_{DK}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{BKS}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{dep}} \), radiative depolarization characterized by \( \frac{\tau_{BKS}}{\tau_{dep}} \)

• More difficult to achieve a high polarization at higher energies

Electron polarization measurements in different machines [1]

Radiative depolarization in electron storage rings

• $P_{DK} \approx \frac{P_\infty}{1+\tau_{BKS}/\tau_{dep}}$, $\frac{1}{\tau_{DK}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{BKS}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{dep}}$, radiative depolarization characterized by $\tau_{BKS}/\tau_{dep}$

• More difficult to achieve a high polarization at higher energies
  • Stronger first-order spin resonances $\nu_0 = K = k \pm \nu_z$

  \[
  \frac{\tau_{BKS}}{\tau_{dep}} \approx \frac{11}{18} \sum_{k=n-l}^{n+l} \frac{\nu_0^2 |\vec{z}_k|^2}{(\nu_0 - k)^2 - \nu_z^2}^2
  \]

• Agree well with SLIM simulations, can be alleviated w/ harmonic spin matching (Yi Wu’s talk)

Electron polarization measurements in different machines [1]

Radiative depolarization in electron storage rings

- $P_{DK} \approx \frac{P_\infty}{1+\tau_{BKS}/\tau_{dep}}$, $\frac{1}{\tau_{DK}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{BKS}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{dep}}$, radiative depolarization characterized by $\tau_{BKS}/\tau_{dep}$

- More difficult to achieve a high polarization at higher energies
  - Stronger first-order spin resonances

$$\frac{\tau_{BKS}}{\tau_{dep}} \approx \frac{11}{18} \sum_{k=n-l}^{n+l} \frac{\nu_0^2 |\tilde{e}_k|^2}{[(\nu_0 - k)^2 - \nu_z^2]^2}$$

- Enhanced higher-order synchrotron-sideband resonances

$$\frac{\tau_{BKS}}{\tau_{dep}} \approx \frac{11}{18} \sum_{k=n-l}^{n+l} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_0^2 |\tilde{e}_k|^2 e^{-\sigma^2 I_m(\sigma^2)}}{[\nu_0 - k - m\nu_z]^2 - \nu_z^2]^2}$$

- Size of safe region in $\nu_0$ shrinks
- What about even higher energies?

Radiative depolarization in ultra-high-energy storage rings

- Two distinct spin diffusion mechanisms were proposed in [1] in 1970s, regarding the regimes of spin resonance crossing, in the combined effects of synchrotron oscillation and synchrotron radiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regime</th>
<th>Correlated regime (consistent with existing measurements)</th>
<th>Uncorrelated regime (not yet confirmed by experiments)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>$\kappa = \frac{v_0^2 \lambda_p}{v_z^3} \ll 1$</td>
<td>$\kappa = \frac{v_0^2 \lambda_p}{v_z^3} \ll 1$ is violated and $\frac{v_0 \sigma \delta}{v_z} \gg 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>Non-resonant spin diffusion &amp; perturbative treatment of $\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \delta}$</td>
<td>Resonant spin diffusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depolarization effect</td>
<td>Higher-order synchrotron sideband spin resonances</td>
<td>No dependence on $v_z$, weaker depolarization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Monte-Carlo simulations were compared with these theories in the energy range of CEPC [2], showing a gradual evolution from the correlated regime to the uncorrelated regime in parameter scan

- This study suggests existing theories are incomplete, requiring further development

Case A: dependence on beam energy

Case B: influence of harmonic RF cavity

---

Uncorrelated regime of spin resonance crossing

- Prediction of the theory of resonant spin diffusion [1]
  - Assume the adjacent two integer resonances have the same strength
  - Influence of first-order betatron spin resonances are not included

\[
\frac{\tau_{BKS}}{\tau_{dep}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\lambda_{BKS}}{\sigma_0} \sum_{k=n-l}^{n+l} \frac{|\tilde{\varepsilon}_k|^2}{\sigma_0} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\nu_0 - k)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \right]
\]

Is it possible to have a few percent polarization at Higgs or even ttbar energies?
- In collaboration with Yi Wu on spin bumps for ultra-high energies.

Spin resonance structure featured in highly periodic lattices
- Enable polarization maintenance in the booster
- Helpful to avoid super strong resonances in the collider ring for working beam energies

Comparison between simulations with the theories of (radiative) depolarization at ultra-high energies.

Better understanding of the strengths of integer spin resonances is needed
- Lattice error sensitivity (S. Liuzzo) in terms of spin resonance strength?
- Influence of “systematic” alignment errors & consequent corrector patterns?
- How well can harmonic spin matching work -> percent-level self-polarization at H & ttbar?

Collaboration on these aspects are welcome!
Your comments and suggestions are highly appreciated!
Cancellation at small $K$

Intrinsic resonances: $v_0 = K = k \pm u_y$

\[
\tilde{\epsilon}_{K}^{\text{intr}, \pm}(I_y) \approx \frac{R(1 + K)}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} \sqrt{2I_y} \beta_y \times e^{i[K\Phi(\theta') \mp \psi_y]} d\theta',
\]

\[\approx \frac{R(1 + K)}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_y^2 f_k}{\nu_y^2 - k^2} \int \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} \beta_y^{1/2} e^{i(k\Phi + K\Phi)} d\theta'.
\] (24)

from DOM sections more significant. Additionally, there can be cancelations between the contributions from the arc sections and the DOM sections, depending on the lattice parameters. In particular, when $\nu_y$ is large and $K \ll \nu_y$, the exponential factor $e^{i[K\Phi(\theta') \mp \psi_y]}$ in Eq. (24) includes a fast wave with a phase $\nu_y\Phi(\theta')$ modulated by the slow wave with a phase $K\Phi(\theta')$ so that the contributions from all FODO cells in each superperiod tend to cancel out. Such a cancelation generally becomes more incomplete as $K$ increases.

Imperfection resonances: $v_0 = K$

\[
\tilde{\epsilon}_{K}^{\text{imp}} \approx -\frac{R(1 + K)}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_y^2 f_k}{\nu_y^2 - k^2} \int \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} \beta_y^{1/2} e^{i(k\phi_y + K\Phi)} d\theta'.
\] (34)

To summarize, the structure of imperfection resonances, with the contribution from only one harmonic $k$, is quite similar to the structure of the intrinsic resonances. Besides the peaks when the contributions from all arc FODO cells add up coherently, there is also cancelation among all FODO cells if $k \gg 1$ and $K \ll k$. Nevertheless, the strength of an imperfection resonance is the sum of various harmonics $k$ modulated by $\frac{\nu_y^2 f_k}{\nu_y^2 - k^2}$ with varying locations of enhancement and thus strongly depends on the spectrum of $f_k$. In general, after the closed-orbit correction, the $f_k$ terms with $|k|$ near $\nu_y$ become weaker, the terms with $|k|$ further away from $\nu_y$ are less reduced, forming a plateau around the original peak. Generally, we expect that the strength of imperfection resonances increases with $K$ until reaching the plateau near the first superstrong imperfection resonance, after which it oscillates as adjacent superstrong imperfection resonances are approached and left behind.