Low-energy effective theories for metals

Sung-Sik Lee

McMaster University Perimeter Institute



Shouvik Sur



Andres Schlief



Peter Lunts



Ashu Singh





Anton Borissov Francisco Borges



Shubham Kukreja

Emergent Phenomena







+..



Quark-gluon plasma



High temperature superconductor

Fractional quantum Hall state

From theories to observables

$$S(\phi,\psi;\{g_j\};\Lambda)$$
 $\Gamma_n(\{\omega_i,k_i\};\{g_j\};\Lambda)$

- The exact vertex function contains the full dynamical information
 - hard to extract
- Mapping the space of low-energy phases is easier due to simplicity that arises in the low-energy limit

Universality of low-energy physics

There exists a set of low-energy parameters defined through observables measured at energy scale $\mu \ll \Lambda$

$$\mathbf{g}^{(m)}(\mu) = \Gamma_m\left(\{\omega_i^{(m)}, k_i^{(m)}\}; \{g_j\}; \Lambda\right), \quad \omega_i^{(m)} \sim \mu$$

Those low-energy parameters determine all observables at that energy scale and below within errors that vanish in powers of μ/Λ

$$\Gamma_n\left(\{\omega_i, k_i\}; \{g_j\}; \Lambda\right) = f_n\left(\{\omega_i, k_i\}; \{\mathbf{g}^{(m)}(\mu)\}\right) + (\mu/\Lambda)^a$$

for $\omega_i \leq \mu$

*Extracting $f_n\left(\{\omega_i, k_i\}; \{\mathbf{g}^{(m)}(\mu)\}\right)$ is still highly non-trivial

For relativistic QFTs

- The space of low-energy parameters is composed of a finite number of marginal and relevant parameters that carry non-negative scaling dimensions
- RG flow within the finite-dimensional space

 $\mathbf{g}^{(m)}(\mu)$

• Fixed points of the renormalization group flow represent universality classes $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{g}^{(m)}(\mu)$

Metals

A non-zero density of fermions form a droplet of occupied states in the momentum space

Infinitely many gapless modes that describe soft shape deformations of the droplet (particle-hole excitations near Fermi surface)

Metals subject to strong quantum fluctuations remain poorly understood

- Metals with vector flavors can behave like matrix models (non-trivial large N limit)
- Dynamical kinematic energy quenching
- UV/IR mixing



Theoretical challenge : infinite-dimensional space of low-energy theories

 Low-energy theories are characterized by Fermi momentum, Fermi velocity, couplings, which are functions of angles around FS



- To chart the space of metallic universality classes, one needs to track a functional renormalization group flow for a minimal set of coupling functions
 - Field-theoretic functional RG

Borges, Borissov, Singh, Schlief, SL (2023)

Theoretical challenge : absence of scale invariance

- There is no scale invariance in metals due to Fermi momentum, which is a large momentum(UV) scale but a low-energy(IR) scale
- Fermi momentum, measured in the unit of μ , grows incessantly under scale transformations



Metallic Universality classes correspond to projective fixed points



- RG flow is attracted toward an onedimensional manifold in which k_F runs to infinity
- Low-energy observables are fixed by a set of marginal/relevant coupling functions and Fermi momentum
- In general, one can not set k_F to infinity up front because large Fermi momentum limit can be singular

[Kukreja, Besharat, SL (2024)]

Physical consequences of projective nature of fixed points

- Mismatches between scaling dimension of couplings and their relevance
 - Coupling functions with negative dimensions can become marginal/relevant
- A lack of unique dynamical critical exponent
 - The vertex function in different kinematic regimes exhibit scale invariance under $q \rightarrow sq$, $\omega \rightarrow s^z \omega$ with different z

Fermi liquids

[Landau]

[Benfatto, Gallavotti] [Shankar] [Polchinski]

- Marginal functions :
 - Fermi momentum, Fermi velocity, Landau function (the forward scattering)





Vanishing pairing interaction at the projective fixed point

Non-Fermi liquids at Quantum Critical Points



- Gapless collective boson coupled with particle-hole excitations creates qualitative deviations from Fermi liquids
- Strongly interacting metals realized in 2+1 dimension the focus of this talk

Ising-Nematic QCP



Theory of the Ising-nematic quantum critical metal $S = \int d_f^3 \mathbf{k} \; \psi_j^\dagger(k_0, \delta, heta) \Big[ik_0 + v_{F, heta} \delta \Big] \psi_j(k_0, \delta, heta) + rac{1}{2} \int d_b^3 \mathbf{q} \; |\mathbf{q}|^2 \phi(-\mathbf{q}) \phi(\mathbf{q}) \; .$ $+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int d_f^3 \mathbf{k} \ d_b^3 \mathbf{q} \ \boldsymbol{e}_{\Theta(\theta,\vec{q})}_{,\theta} \phi(q_0,\vec{q}) \psi_j^{\dagger} \left(k_0 + q_0, \Delta(\delta,\theta,\vec{q}), \Theta(\theta,\vec{q})\right) \psi_j(k_0,\delta,\theta)$ $+ \int d_f^3 \mathbf{k} \ d_f^3 \mathbf{k'} \ d_b^3 \mathbf{q} \frac{\lambda}{\begin{pmatrix} \Theta(\theta, \vec{q}) & \theta' \\ \theta & \Theta(\theta', \vec{q}) \end{pmatrix}} \Big)$ $\psi_{j_1}^{\dagger}\left(k_0+q_0,\Delta(\delta,\theta,\vec{q}),\Theta(\theta,\vec{q})\right)\psi_{j_1}(k_0,\delta,\theta)\psi_{j_2}^{\dagger}(k_0',\delta',\theta')\psi_{j_2}\left(k_0'+q_0,\Delta(\delta',\theta',\vec{q}),\Theta(\theta',\vec{q})\right)$



A dimensional regularization : an analytic continuation of the 2d metal to a semi-metal with line node in 3d

[D. Dalidovich, SL (2013)]



• Upper critical dimension : $d_c = 5/2$



• SC fluctuations are intrinsic parts of NFLs

 λ_{θ_1}

Universal pairing interaction (d > d_{sc})

Dimensionless pairing interaction for Cooper pairs with center of mass momentum \vec{q} and energy ω



- z : a dynamical critical exponent
- Δ : minus the scaling dimension of the four-fermion coupling function

UV/IR mixing

$$\Gamma_{\theta_1,\theta_2}^{(4)}(\vec{q}=0,\omega) \sim \left| \left(\frac{\omega^{1/z}}{K_F}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\theta_1 - \theta_2} \right|^{2\Delta}$$





- Four-fermion coupling has a negative scaling dimension and *naively* irrelevant for $\Delta > 0$
- The pairing interaction becomes marginal for $\Delta = 1/2$
 - The growth of the number of patches compensates the decay of the interaction strength

Universal pairing interaction for $\vec{q} \neq 0$

Crossover function

$$\Gamma_{\theta_1,\theta_2}^{(4)}(\vec{q},\omega) \sim \left| \left(\frac{\omega^{1/z}}{K_F} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\theta_1 - \theta_2} \right|^{2\Delta} \mathcal{V}_{\theta_1}(\vec{q}) \mathcal{V}_{\theta_2}(\vec{q})$$



For large q,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\theta}(\vec{q}) \sim \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\omega^{1/z}}{q}\right)^{\frac{\eta_d}{2}}, & \vec{q} \text{ not parallel to FS at } \theta \\ \left(\frac{\omega^{1/z}K_F}{q^2}\right)^{\frac{\eta_d}{2}}, & \vec{q} \text{ almost parallel to FS at } \theta \end{cases}$$

No unique dynamical critical exponent that sets the relative scaling between q and ω



Summary

- The space of metallic universality classes is infinite dimensional
- Due to Fermi momentum, fixed points of metals are defined only projectively
 - Mismatch between scaling dimensions and relevancy of couplings
 - No unique dynamical critical exponent
- Universality classes of non-Fermi liquids being mapped out