The Worldwide LHC Computing Grid:

A little bit of history, current challenges in the preparations for HL-LHC and the contribution of the Czech Tier-2 computing center to the overall WLCG performance



www.shutterstock.com · 328577225

<u>Disclaimer</u>

Since I lack the information how much is a knowledge of computing infrastructures for data intensive Physics experiments distributed among the audience, I tried to keep the presentation simple, without much technical details.

I apologize if someone will find it a bit boring.

OUTLINE

History and development of the Computing Grid for LHC Exascale computing for HL-LHC Czech contribution to the WLCG operations



History and development of the Computing Grid for LHC



LHC 27 km

HCh

CERN Prévessin



ATLAS

ALICE



CMS

SUISSI



The LHC tunnel view

E.

and white the first the first first

Collisions in the LHC



Proton - Proton	2808 bunch/beam
Protons/bunch	10 ¹¹
Beam energy	7 TeV (7x10 ¹² eV)
Luminosity	10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹

Crossing rate

40 MHz

Collision rate ≈ 10⁷-10⁹

New physics rate ≈ .00001 Hz

Event selection: 1 in 10,000,000,000,000

2022 Accelerator performance (Mike Lamont)

2022 GPD records (courtesy ATLAS)

2022 Beam Energy: 6.8 TeV

Peak Stable Luminosity Delivered	1.98x10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	Fill 8230	22/10/05 20:53
Maximum Average Events per Bunch Crossing	65.6	Fill 8301	22/10/21 18:53
Maximum Stable Luminosity Delivered in one fill	775.8 pb ⁻¹	Fill 8274	22/10/15 22:23
Maximum Stable Luminosity Delivered in one day	1133.8 pb ⁻¹	Sunday 23 October, 2022	
Maximum Stable Luminosity Delivered for 7 days	4.167 fb ⁻¹	Monday 10 October, 2022 - S	unday 16 October, 2022
Longest Time in Stable Beams for one fill	2 days, 9 hrs, 23 min	Fill 8178	22/09/24 00:21
Longest Time in Stable Beams for one day	1 day, 0 min	Sunday 25 September, 2022	
Longest Time in Stable Beams for 7 days	3 days, 14 hrs, 1 min	Thursday 29 September, 202	2 - Wednesday 05 October, 2022
Fastest ATLAS Ready from Stable Beams	0 min	Fill 7966	22/07/11 19:19
Fastest Turnaround to Stable Beams	1 hr, 51 min	Fill 8112	22/08/09 04:54
Maximum Colliding Bunches	2450	Fill 8267	22/10/14 22:28
Maximum Charge per Bunch Colliding	1.38x10 ¹¹	Fill 8299	22/10/21 13:12
Maximum Charge per Beam Colliding	3.33x10 ¹⁴	Fill 8306	22/10/23 17:35
Maximum Total Charge per Beam	-	Fill 7920	22/07/05 16:47
Average Specific Luminosity	6.96x10 ³⁰ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ (10 ¹¹ p) ⁻²	Fill 8216	22/09/30 22:47

Stunning performance!

Run 3 data taking (2022) (CERN Grafana)

Data written in the CERN tape storage per month (since 2008)



More than 26 PB of data written in November 2022 by the LHC experiments.

Putting things in context

An extremely large unit of digital data, **one Petabyte** is equal to 1,000 Terabytes. Some estimates hold that a Petabyte is the **equivalent of** 20 million tall filing cabinets or **500 billion pages of standard printed text**.

-The average **4k movie is 100GB of data**. This would mean **1 Petabyte of storage could hold 11,000** 4k movies. With an average run time of 2 hours, it would - - take you **over 2.5 years of nonstop watching** to get through a petabyte's worth of 4k movies.

- The Library of Congress contains over 20 Petabytes of data.

- If you took a **Petabyte's worth of 1GB flash drives** and lined them up end to end, they would **stretch over 92 football fields**.

-If you stacked a **Petabyte's worth of 1TB SSD drives** on top of each other **in Madison Square Garden, they would reach from the court floor to the base of the score board Over two and a half times**.

- 1 petabyte's worth of data is equal to taking over 4000 digital photos every day for the rest of your life.

HOW BIG IS A PETABYTE?

11,000 4k movies



It would take you over 2.5 years of nonstop binge watching to get through a petabyte's worth of 4k movies



If you took a petabyte's worth of 1GB flash drives and lined them up end to end, they would stretch over





4,000 digital photos every day for the rest of your life

https://info.cobaltiron.com/blog/petabyte-how-much-information-could-it-actually-hold

1998: beginning of work on computing grid for LHC data

- **LHC** Construction had been approved in 1995 with a target date for first beams of 2005, (then 2007).
 - The four experiment collaborations had already prepared initial estimates of the data rates, storage requirements and computing capacity that would be needed

LHC detectors would produce much more data with more complex events than ever before

The LHC project budget had no line for computing at CERN Most of the computing capacity required would have to come from outside of CERN



Solution: (Worldwide) LHC Computing Grid

Computing Grid is a group of networked computing clusters/centers that work together as a virtual supercomputer to perform large tasks, such as analyzing huge sets of data. The system operations are managed with the GRID middleware. *1998: beginning of work on computing grid for LHC data.*

The first campaign: LCG-1 Service Challenge in September 2003

- Agreement reached on principles for registration and security
- Certification and distribution process established and tested June
- Rutherford Lab (UK) to provide the initial Grid Operations Centre
- FZK (Karlsruhe) to operate the Call Centre
- Pre-release middleware deployed to the initial 13 centres July
- 13 PARTICIPANTS:
 Taiwan, Brookhaven, CERN, Bologna, Fermilab, Karlsruhe, Lyon, Budapest, Moscow,
 Prague, Barcelona,

Rutherford UK, Tokyo



LHC Computing Grid development

- Between the first Service Challenge and the start of the LHC operations in 2009/2010, there were several Data and Service challenges to exercise all aspects of the service not just for data transfers, but workloads, support structures etc.
- e.g. DC04 (ALICE, CMS, LHCb) and DC2 (ATLAS) in 2004
- Ever since the start of the LHC operations in 2009/2010, the LHC Computing Grid provided processing, management and storage of the LHC data while expanding and undergoing upgrades. The infrastructure name is now Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG).
- WLCG Home Page: "WLCG is a global computing infrastructure whose mission is to provide computing resources to store, distribute and analyze the data generated by the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), making the data equally available to all partners, regardless of their physical location.
- WLCG is the world's largest computing grid. It is supported by many associated national and international grids across the world."

Worldwide LHC Computing Grid topology

Worldwide LHC Computing Grid resources

> 1.5 ExaByte on disk and tape ~ 1.5 million computer cores Global transfe rate > 260 Gb/s 50 million files/week transferrd

WLCG Tier structure

The WLCG ecosystem consists of sites ranked as Tier-0, Tier-1 and Tier-2. Tier-0 is CERN, 15 Tier-1s are large computing centers and 150 Tier-2s are smaller size centers..

LHC Optical Private Network

LHCONE – LHC Open Network Environment topology

- Computing: mostly Intel or AMD CPUs with x86 instruction sets at multi-core servers. Some GPUs available at the main Grid sites and at HPC centers.
 - **Storage:** mixture of tape and disk. Since 2018, the "Data Organization, Management and Access" (DOMA) project is active. R&D for "Data Lakes".
- Network: connection between CERN and T1s provided by a system of P2P connections of capacity of 100 to 400 Gb/s, so called LHC Optical Private Network (LHCOPN). Most T0/1/2 sites interconnected via LHC Open Network Environment (LHCONE). L3VPN service over research and education networks.
 - **Software:** complex system of experiments' dedicated frameworks written in a mixture of C++ and Python. Rely on many external packages from within and outside the field. Many millions of lines of code. Generally written for x86, increasingly multi-threaded. Being ported e.g. to GPUs.

-

Analysis : software quite various, but moving towards the Python ecosystem and particularly to notebooks.

Exascale computing for HL-LHC

WLCG resources

- Every institute member of the LHC experiments is obliged to provide a contribution to computing and storage resources of WLCG
- Two times a year the institutes deliver pledges to the WLCG management board.
- The basic condition is so called flat budget contribution which should ensure a 10% 20% increase in the resource performance.
- The flat budget policy was basically sufficient for covering the needs of Run-1 and Run-2, during Run-3 experiments already relied partly on external non-WLCG resources.
 - With the High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) campaigns approaching, the experiments evaluated their future needs and a large discrepancy occured.
 - A number of R&D projects are in progress to evolve the WLCG infrastructure into a high performance ecosystem adequate for HL-LHC.

HL-LHC luminosity, current planning (Run 4 and beyond)

2027: commissioning year Run-4 production years: 2028 to 2030 LS4: 2031 Run-5 production years: 2032 to 2034

-The data volumes anticipated in HL-LHC time span will be dramatically larger than those currently managed, supposed to reach the multi-Exabyte scale.

-The estimated amount of resources needed for the management of this data will be about 6 - 10 times larger than allowed by the flat budget policy.

CMS: Anticipated growth in CPU resources needed towards HL-LHC. Factor ~6 difference between "flat budget" and Physics needs.

Use of non-Grid, external, "opportunistic resources"

- To match the needs for computing power, experiments employ additional, non-Grid external heterogenous resources: HPCs, (commercial) clouds, HLT farms.

- In the future this heterogeneity will expand.
- BUT: one cannot rely on such resources: the possibility they disappear cannot be ignored
- Pledged Grid resources remain vital to the experiments.

In different strategy documents, a number of R&D projects is proposed that will contribute to the development of a high performance ecosystem for HL-LHC.

Ever growing exploit of HPCs – not without obstacles, e.g.: No network access from the worker nodes No persistent storage HEP software is viewed as poor standard and un-trusted

So, why bother?

- A great untapped rapidly growing resource
 - More than 100x WLCG (1 million 3GHz cores x 10 Flops/cycle = 30Pflop/s)
- A substantial part of national computing infrastructure investment now and in the future
- Potential for allocations or "free" opportunistic computing
- Interesting and motivating R&D and PR
- Improving flexibility of experiment workloads and services

Pre-AA-36 Uniteration uses a photoseparate of data termina - data <u>and data</u> and a <u>Exemption (b.d.</u>) - and a period to determine any and addets. Now some name provide back have consenting transformed to a data data spectrum of the Addet transform, that addets gains we data to one gains of the detector data the manageable termine spectrum gains to be patiented.

2119

Warne Calls dan landaat aanaad han poor org taansid, KUM nesember, in taa oolaray taa aanaad ah tagi terkinoo bargadag awo oloosi ett anaach teroora of patopoolaad, kapabogad patopoolaad aanaad aalaan aa oo aanaatigo oloo aalaa aalaada

ATLAS briefing on Vega HPC, June 2022

The European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (EuroHPC JU)

The European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (EuroHPC JU) is a legal and funding entity, created in 2018 and located in Luxembourg to lead the way in European supercomputing.

The EuroHPC JU allows the European Union and the EuroHPC JU participating countries to coordinate their efforts and pool their resources to make Europe a world leader in supercomputing. This boosts Europe's scientific excellence and industrial strength, support the digital transformation of its economy while ensuring its technological sovereignty.

The EuroHPC JU was created in 2018 and recently reviewed by means of Council Regulation (EU).

- WLCG infrastructure has been running smoothly during Run 3 and before
- COVID-19 has had only little impact on operations
- Russia continues providing compute resources to the LHC experiments and those are efficiently used
- Data transfers decreased by a factor ~x2 in the last months
- The HEP community has a number of challenges to address with respect to computing and software before the HL-LHC era: Computation, Portability, Storage and Data Delivery, Analysis.
- Funding agencies and institutes must realize that *computing and software are as important for physics as detector development and construction*.
- A policy for the future: WLCG engaged with other HEP experiments (DUNE, Belle
 2) and communities (astronomy) to collaborate on development of the infrastructure to be shared and covering for the challenges ahead.

Czech contribution to the WLCG operations

Czech republic Tier-2 center - geographical layout

Nuclear Physics Institute AS CR (NPI) A large ALICE group, no ATLAS involvement Operates ALICE XRootD storage servers

Extended geographical layout

Other participating institutions – in Prague Charles University (CU), Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Czech Technical University (CTU), Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering CESNET MetaCentrum

external resource for the ATLAS experiment.

HEP Computing in Prague: WLCG site praguelcg2 (a.k.a. the farm GOLIAS)

- A national computing center for processing data from various HEP experiments
- Distributed resources with all central services and most of hardware at FZU
- Basic infrastructure already in 2002
- One of the 13 sites participating in the first LCG campaign in 2003
- April 2008, WLCG MoU signed by Czech Republic (ALICE+ATLAS)
- Certified as a Tier2 center of LHC Computing Grid (praguelcg2)
- Collaboration with various other Grid projects
- *Very good network connectivity* provided by CESNET / e INFRA CZ
- Multiple dedicated 10 100 Gb/s connections to collaborating institutions, 100 Gb/s connection to LHCONE, to be upgraded to 2*100 Gb/s soon.
- Provides computing services for ATLAS + ALICE, Auger, NOVA, Fermilab, Astrophysics ...

Current resources

- Dedicated server room 62 m² (2015)
- 1 batch system (HTCondor)
- 2 main WLCG VOs: ALICE, ATLAS
- ~ 11000 job slots on site + ~1500 job slots at Charles University
- ~ 11 PB in total on disk storage on site and at NPI (dCache, XRootD) plus ~1PB
- on NFS servers
- Regular upscale of resources on the basis of various financial supports,
- mainly the academic grants.
- Monitoring: Grafana
- Configuration management by Puppet
- Provisioning and SW management by Foreman
- ALICE XRootD storage at NPI 3.8 PB of disk space

Performance monitoring examples

Running jobs profile for the period 1/2021 – 6/2022, by the local monitoring at the central site in Prague. *Main CPU consumers are ALICE and ATLAS.*

Network traffic between the main site of praguelcg2 and NPI storage cluster during last 6 months. *Maximum throughput 39.2 Gb/s.*

CPU delivery - ALICE Tier-2 share: 1/2021 – 8/2022

Czech republic share during 1/2021 – 8/2022 was ~ 5.5%.

Use of the resources of the HPC center in Ostrava (IT4I), by ATLAS

Contributions from the external resources to the praguelcg2 ATLAS operations In 2021: HPC: 84%, Grid:12%, BOINC:4%. In 2020: HPC: 47%, Grid:52%, BONIC:1%.

	Value	Percent	
— hpc	7 Tri	84%	
- GRID	1 Tri	12%	
 cloud_special 	309 Bil	4%	

Use of the resources of IT4I, current status, by ATLAS

- 2023: Standard project with highest allocation this year
- 25.6M corehours
- allocation already almost used up within 2 months
- equivalent to 66000 FZU cores used for 2 months

Conclusions (CR computing)

- Czech Tier-2 center is successfully delivering CPU and storage resources to the Grid and local users with minimal outage.
- Czech republic has delivered in excess the pledged computing resources and participates in various WLCG infrastructure projects
- Keeps operating cost reasonable with periodic hardware refresh cycle
- Estimated requirements for LHC experiments in 2023 2026: main funding contribution for LHC computing reduced to nearly half
- But, we will do our best to keep up the reliability and performance level of the services and deliver the maximum we can.

BACKUP

Jobs

Actions required to be prepared for HL-LHC

- Computation: need to have enough compute power to process raw and provide enough simulated data. Necessary to make the best of available technology. Need to be able to use concurrency. This means portability, to allow for HEP code to run on different kind of resources without being re-written. Need to be able to use external facilities which were not built just for HEP, e.g. HPC centers.
- **Storage:** need to find enough storage capacity and deliver processed data fast to analysts. Control demand e.g. by reducing the size of the analysis formats.
- **Network:** not a real problem these days. With the explosion of the network traffic due to social media, the global network infrastructure became very robust and the global throughput continues to rise.
- Software: need to provide portability and work on shooting up performance.

Run 3 data taking (2022) (CERN Grafana)

Data written in the CERN tape storage per month since 2008

More than 15 PB of data written in August 2022 by the LHC experiments

Run-3 data taking

Data written in the CERN tape storage per month (since 2008)

More than 15 PB of data written on tape by the LHC experiments in August 2022

To put this talk in context ...

Work on the LHC computing grid started 24 years ago – Remember 1998?

- Bill Clinton was impeached over his affair with Monica Google was launched in September
- The Nokia 6110 was the best mobile phone available it would be another 9 years before the iPhone appeared
- A good home network connection was 64 kbits/sec A high-speed inter-site network was 622 Mbits/sec (if available)
- A big hard disk held 12GB in a hefty 5.25" pr An IBM tape cartridge held 20GB .0.

– less than an Apple Watch today

Amazon had been selling books online for time ars but it would be a further 8 years before Amazon Web Services announced the S2 (storage) and EC2 (Elastic Computing) services – the first cloud

1998: beginning of work on computing grid for LHC data

LHC –

- Construction had been approved in 1995 with a target date for first beams of 2005
- The four experiment collaborations had already prepared initial estimates of the data rates, storage requirements and computing capacity that would be needed

HEP Computing –

- PC clusters with Linux was the "standard"
 With remote job submission via the internet
- Major sites had mass storage management systems using tape robots
- There was very good TCP/IP network expertise for efficient and reliable data access