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## Main goals

(1) Reconstruct the spin density matrix, $\rho_{Z Z}$, from angular observables in the decay $H \rightarrow Z Z^{*} \rightarrow \ell_{1}^{+} \ell_{1}^{-} \ell_{2}^{+} \ell_{2}^{-}$(Quantum Tomography).
(2) State a necessary and sufficient condition for entanglement in $\rho_{Z Z}$ by taking into account symmetries of the system.
(3) Give a novel optimization method for the violation of Bell inequalities for $\rho_{Z Z}$.
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## Preliminaries

- Bipartite quantum system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=\sum_{i} p_{i}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|, \quad p_{i} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i} p_{i}=1 . \\
& \left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B}, \text { with } \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{A(B)}=d_{A(B)}
\end{aligned}
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- Expectation values of $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to $\rho$ :

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle_{\rho}=\operatorname{Tr}\{\rho \mathcal{O}\}
$$

- CGLMP Bell inequality $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right.$ and $B_{1}, B_{2}$ observables acting respectively on $\mathcal{H}_{A}$ and $\left.\mathcal{H}_{B}\right)$ :

$$
I_{3}\left(P\left(A_{i}=k, B_{j}=l\right)\right)=\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\text {Bell }}\left(A_{i}, B_{j}\right)\right\rangle_{\rho} \leq 2
$$

## Quantum Tomography

- Spin density matrix $\rho_{Z Z}$ ?

In our case, $\mathcal{H}_{A}=\mathcal{H}_{B}=\mathcal{H}_{\text {Spin }}\left(s_{A}=s_{B}=1 \Rightarrow d_{A}=d_{B}=3\right)$.
We use the irreducible tensor operators $\left\{T_{M_{1}}^{L_{1}} \otimes T_{M_{2}}^{L_{2}}\right\}$ (transforming under rotations as the spherical harmonics) as a basis:

$$
T_{M_{1}}^{L_{1}}, T_{M_{2}}^{L_{2}} \in\left\{\mathbb{I}_{3} ; T_{1}^{1}, T_{0}^{1}, T_{-1}^{1} ; T_{2}^{2}, T_{1}^{2}, T_{0}^{2}, T_{-1}^{2}, T_{-2}^{2}\right\}
$$
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Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{Z Z} & =\frac{1}{9} \sum C_{L_{1}, M_{1}, L_{2}, M_{2}} T_{M_{1}}^{L_{1}} \otimes T_{M_{2}}^{L_{2}} \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left\{T_{M}^{L}\left(T_{M}^{L}\right)^{\dagger}\right\} & =3 \Longrightarrow C_{L_{1}, M_{1}, L_{2}, M_{2}}=\left\langle\left(T_{M_{1}}^{L_{1}} \otimes T_{M_{2}}^{L_{2}}\right)^{\dagger}\right\rangle_{\rho_{Z Z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To extract the coefficients, we use the cross section of $Z Z^{*} \rightarrow \ell_{1}^{+} \ell_{1}^{-} \ell_{2}^{+} \ell_{2}^{-}$:

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega_{1} d \Omega_{2}}=\left(\frac{3}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left\langle\Gamma_{1}^{T}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \otimes \Gamma_{2}^{T}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{\rho_{Z Z}}
$$

where $\Gamma_{j}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)=\Gamma_{j}\left(\theta_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right)$ are the decay density matrices of each $Z$ boson.
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Finally,

## Quantum Tomography of $\rho_{Z Z}$

$$
\int \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega_{1} d \Omega_{2}} Y_{L_{1}}^{M_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)^{*} Y_{L_{2}}^{M_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)^{*} d \Omega_{1} d \Omega_{2}=\frac{B_{L_{1}} B_{L_{2}}}{(4 \pi)^{2}} C_{L_{1} M_{1} L_{2} M_{2}}
$$
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In particular,

$$
\left|\psi_{\beta=1}\right\rangle=\left|\psi_{s}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|+-\rangle-|00\rangle+|-+\rangle) \text { and } \rho_{\beta=1}=\rho_{s}
$$

Singlet State (Maximally Entangled)

On the other hand, from the Lorentz structure of the HZZ SM vertex, $\propto \eta_{\mu \nu} H Z^{\mu} Z^{\nu}$,

$$
\left|\psi_{\beta}\right\rangle=\eta_{\mu \nu} e_{\sigma}^{\mu}\left(m_{Z_{1}}, \vec{k}\right) e_{\lambda}^{\nu}\left(m_{Z_{2}},-\vec{k}\right)|\vec{k}, \sigma\rangle_{A}|-\vec{k}, \lambda\rangle_{B}
$$

where $\sigma, \lambda$ represent spin states and

$$
e_{\sigma}^{\mu}(m, \vec{k})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{|\vec{k}|}{m} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{|\vec{k}|^{2}+m^{2}}}{m} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

On the other hand, from the Lorentz structure of the HZZ SM vertex, $\propto \eta_{\mu \nu} H Z^{\mu} Z^{\nu}$,

$$
\left|\psi_{\beta}\right\rangle=\eta_{\mu \nu} e_{\sigma}^{\mu}\left(m_{Z_{1}}, \vec{k}\right) e_{\lambda}^{\nu}\left(m_{Z_{2}},-\vec{k}\right)|\vec{k}, \sigma\rangle_{A}|-\vec{k}, \lambda\rangle_{B}
$$

where $\sigma, \lambda$ represent spin states and

$$
e_{\sigma}^{\mu}(m, \vec{k})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{|\vec{k}|}{m} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{|\vec{k}|^{2}+m^{2}}}{m} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Comparing both results for $\left|\psi_{\beta}\right\rangle$, an analytical expression for $\beta$ is obtained:

$$
\beta=1+\frac{m_{H}^{2}-\left(m_{Z_{1}}+m_{Z_{2}}\right)^{2}}{2 m_{Z_{1}} m_{Z_{2}}}, \quad \beta \geq 1
$$

In practice, we have a probability distribution $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$ for the parameter $\beta$ :
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Thus, the final density matrix is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{Z Z}=\int d \beta \mathcal{P}(\beta) \rho_{\beta}=\rho_{Z Z}\left(C_{2,0,0,0}, C_{2,1,2,-1}, C_{2,2,2,-2}\right) . \\
& \mathcal{P}(\beta) \text { peaked in } \beta \approx 1 \Longrightarrow \rho_{Z Z} \backsim \rho_{\beta} \backsim \rho_{s} \rightarrow \text { Max. Ent. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Testing Entanglement

- Peres-Horodecki criterion

Given a density matrix $\rho$ describing a bipartite system, if the matrix $\rho^{\prime}=\left(\mathbb{I} \otimes T_{B}\right) \rho$ has at least one negative eigenvalue, then $\rho$ is entangled.
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This criterion is only a sufficient condition for:

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{B}=d \geq 3 \text { (our case) }
$$

However, due to the symmetries mentioned and the structure of $\rho_{Z Z}$ :

$$
\rho_{Z Z} \text { entangled } \Longleftrightarrow C_{2,1,2,-1} \neq 0 \text { or } C_{2,2,2,-2} \neq 0
$$
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We denote the known optimal Bell operator for the singlet state as $\mathcal{O}_{\text {Bell }}^{s}$, and in the $\left\{T_{M_{1}}^{L_{1}} \otimes T_{M_{2}}^{L_{2}}\right\}$ basis is given by:
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When $\beta \approx 1$, a good analytical approximation is given by deforming $\mathcal{O}_{\text {Bell }}^{s}$ in the broken part of the initial symmetry group:

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\text {Bell }}^{\beta}\right\rangle_{\rho_{\beta}} \approx \max _{U \in U(3) /(U(2) \otimes U(1))}\left\langle\left(U \otimes U^{*}\right)^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_{\text {Bell }}^{s}\left(U \otimes U^{*}\right)\right\rangle_{\rho_{\beta}} .
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The final optimal matrix is $U_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$.
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Taking this value of $U_{0}$ we evaluate the violation of the Bell Ineq. for $\rho_{Z Z}$ :

$$
I_{3}=I_{3}\left(C_{2,0,0,0}, C_{2,1,2,-1}, C_{2,2,2,-2}\right)
$$

## Numerical Resuts

## - LHC Run 2+3

|  | $\min m_{Z_{2}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 10 GeV | 20 GeV | 30 GeV |
| $N$ | 450 | 418 | 312 | 129 |
| $C_{2,1,2,-1}$ | $-0.98 \pm 0.31$ | $-0.97 \pm 0.33$ | $-1.05 \pm 0.38$ | $-1.06 \pm 0.61$ |
| $C_{2,2,2,-2}$ | $0.60 \pm 0.37$ | $0.64 \pm 0.38$ | $0.74 \pm 0.43$ | $0.82 \pm 0.63$ |
| $I_{3}$ | $2.66 \pm 0.46$ | $2.67 \pm 0.49$ | $2.82 \pm 0.57$ | $2.88 \pm 0.89$ |

Table 1: Values $C_{2,1,2,-1}, C_{2,2,2,-2}$ and $I_{3}$ obtained from 1000 pseudo experiments with $L=300 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$.

- HL-LHC

|  | $\min m_{Z_{2}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 10 GeV | 20 GeV | 30 GeV |
| $N$ | 4500 | 4180 | 3120 | 1290 |
| $C_{2,1,2,-1}$ | $-0.95 \pm 0.10$ | $-1.00 \pm 0.10$ | $-1.04 \pm 0.12$ | $-1.04 \pm 0.19$ |
| $C_{2,2,2,-2}$ | $0.60 \pm 0.12$ | $0.64 \pm 0.12$ | $0.74 \pm 0.14$ | $0.83 \pm 0.20$ |
| $I_{3}$ | $2.63 \pm 0.15$ | $2.71 \pm 0.16$ | $2.81 \pm 0.18$ | $2.84 \pm 0.28$ |

Table 2: Same as Table 1, for $L=3 \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$.

## Conclusions

- The decay channel $H \rightarrow Z Z^{*} \rightarrow \ell_{1}^{+} \ell_{1}^{-} \ell_{2}^{+} \ell_{2}^{-}$is an excellent way to probe the quantum nature of high energy physics:
- Run 2+3: $\rho_{Z Z}$ entangled in more than $2 \sigma$ and $I_{3}>2$ in more than $1 \sigma$.
- HL-LHC: $\rho_{Z Z}$ entangled in more than $5 \sigma$ and $I_{3}>2$ in more than $3 \sigma$.
- The quantum tomography formalism developed is practical and generalizable for other kinds of processes.
- Entanglement criteria as well as optimal violations of Bell inequalities can be extracted and implemented taking into account the symmetries of the system.
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