From collisions to offline analysis Stage 2 - Real Time Analysis

Marian Stahl

CERN

LHCb UK seminar

January 26, 2023





- There are *O* MHz of signal in the LHCb acceptance.
- We can only store \sim 50 kHz of that if we store the raw event.
- Need flexible trigger, also because LHCb's physics program has vastly expanded.







A lot of knobs to tune on this side too!

Offline Dataflow

- The HLT1 application is called Allen, after Frances Allen.
- Allen runs standalone or within the LHCb software stack GaudiAllen; single- or multithreaded; compiled for GPU and CPU.
- Online, GPUs in the event builder (EB) nodes are running Allen standalone with Multi-Event-Packet (MEP) as input [EDMS 2100937].
 - NB: afraid we're missing "From collisions to offline analysis data Stage 1.5" with everything happening between detector frontends and HLT1.
- Allen transposes MEPs to a detector-consolidated event-by-event format: MDF "Markus Data Format".

```
114:00:31 | n8190401 | mstahl | - | $ pcie40 mdfreader / hlt2/objects/LHCb/0000256047/Run 0000256047 HLT22738 20221222-065830-220.mdf | less -FX -p ODIN
Bank: 0x0002 (subsystem: 0 'ODIN', number: 2)
 Size: 48B (40B payload)
 Type: 16
           ODIN
  0x0000 | 2F E8 03 00 06 00 20 00 80 5F 96 2E 62 EE 05 00 00 00 00 00 9C 1F 00 00 0C 39 40 03 22 53 02 00
  0x0020 | 1E 9C 89 0A 00 00 00 00
 ODIN run number: 256047 (0x3E82F)
 ODIN step number: 32 (0x20)
 ODIN event type: 6 (0x6)
 ODIN gps time: Sat Nov 26 17:32:19 2022
 ODIN tck: 0x00000000
 ODIN
     partition_id: 8092 (0x1F9C)
 ODIN bunch id: 2316 (0x90C)
 ODIN bx type: 3 (0x3)
 ODIN nzs mode: 0
 ODIN tae central: 0
 ODIN tae window: 0 (0x0)
 ODIN step run enable: 1
 ODIN trigger type: 6 (0x6)
 ODIN tae first: (
 ODIN calib type: 0 (0x0)
 ODIN orbit id: 152354 (0x25322)
```



- The HLT1 application is called Allen, after Frances Allen.
- Allen runs standalone or within the LHCb software stack GaudiAllen; single- or multithreaded; compiled for GPU and CPU.
- Online, GPUs in the event builder (EB) nodes are running Allen standalone with Multi-Event-Packet (MEP) as input [EDMS 2100937].
 - NB: afraid we're missing "From collisions to offline analysis Stage 1.5" with everything happening between detector frontends and HLT1.
- Allen transposes MEPs to a detector-consolidated event-by-event format: MDF "Markus Data Format".
- Minimal information is added as **Raw Banks**: Routing Bits, DecReports and SelReports.
 - Routing bits define how the data will be processed (physics, monitoring, calibration, errors ...).
 - DecReports encode trigger line decisions yes/no on selection xyz.
 - SelReports store (at least) LHCbIDs e.g. tracker hits, calo cellIDs... for each selected object.



- HLT2 steered from **Moore**, hosting the configuration.
- Online: Run asynchronously on buffered data wait for alignment, free resources...
- HLT2 limited by *Bandwidth* = processing rate × event size.
 - "More physics" with faster code and/or less persisted information.
 - Eventually limited by disk/tape capacity.
- HLT2 adds DstData Raw Bank for reconstructed objects

and updates/adds routing bits, Dec-/Sel-Reports.

 Offline, Sprucing passes through Turbo data, and filters streams written to tape; using same framework as HLT2.



https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCb/RealTimeAnalysis







Management							
Institutional Board Chair	Monica Pepe-Altarelli						
Project Leaders	Carla Marin, Michel de Cian						
WP Coordinators							
WP1 (Data Structures)	Chris Jones & Daniel Campora						
WP2 (Reconstruction)	Dorothea vom Bruch & Maarten Van Veghel						
WP3 (Selections)	Mika Vesterinen & Anton Poluektov						
WP4 (Alignment & Calibration)	Sneha Malde & Marianna Fontana & Silvia Borgh						
WP5 (Quality Assurance)	Rosen Matev & Roel Aaij						
WP6 (Accelerators)	Conor Fitzpatrick						
Attaché.e.s							
Computing	Ben Couturier						
Simulation	Michal Kreps						
Online	Patrick Robbe						
Upgrade 2	Yasmine Amhis						
Monitoring	Suzanne Klaver						
Run1+2	Michael Alexander						
Talks &	Technical Paper Contacts						
Murilo Rangel							
Xavier Vilasis Cardona							

- Structure of LHCb software **stack**. Not all projects shown. Framework, Component library and Applications would say that Allen, Gauss, Boole are mixtures.
- Use GitLab service to host projects; deployed on cvmfs.
- Most developers use lb-stack-setup; quick developments with lb-run, lb-dev.

Gauss	Boole	DaVinci	Alignment	MooreOnline				
Simulation	– Digitization	Moore – Trigger App			Online			
		Rec – Reconstructio	n algorithms	Allen	-			
	Lbcom			HLI1 APP also standalone				
LHCb – Framework software for the LHCb experiment								
Gaudi – Framework software for event processing in HEP								

Conference plots



[LHCB-TDR-021]

Muon decoding

Muon ID

Find sec-

ondary vertices

Select events

Selected events

Row data HIT1 selections based on tracking and lepton ID. Global Event Cut UT decoding • By now also ECAL reco. 2nd GPU to be installed Velo decoding UT tracking \rightarrow remove GEC, downstream-tracking, and clustering loosen reconstruction ...? Velo tracking HLT2: offline reconstruction guality, SciFi decoding dedicated reconstruction algorithms diverse selections Straight line fit SciFi tracking *i.e.* lots of branching. Find pri-Still. mostly vectorized (SIMD). Parameterized mary vertices Kalman filter

Тір

Use IDEs, like VSCode, and follow the configuration; e.g. HLT1, HLT2 to understand which algorithms run under what conditions. When developing, it's often helpful to search existing tests and follow them.

- Limited bandwidth \rightsquigarrow compact data format.
 - Data contains **encoded** information of hits, the event, and other information.
 - Further reduction by optional zero-suppression and/or clustering before HLT1.
- Example: UT hit encoding. 64 bit header defines *payload*. Here: hits grouped in lanes, each hit encodes (local) stripID in 11 bits, ADC value in 5 bits. For comparison: int has at least 16 bits, usually 32.



- Decoding translates local information to global reference frame using raw-bank data, detector description (geometry), and conditions (alignment).
- Each detector with specialized format, but similar concept.

- Challenge: combinatorics with O(100) hits per plane (P): \exists 26 planes, 2 modules each.
- GPU and CPU algorithms similar. Operates on a 4 plane sliding window.
- Seeding step: build hit triplets (use φ proximity).
- Extend step: Search hit aligned with previous two hits. Stop if no hit found in 2 consecutive planes.

v

7



Straight line fit of output tracks.



- Use fitted Velo tracks (forward and backward).
- Fill 1D histogram with track density along beamline.
- Peak finding gives PV seed position.
- Vertex fit gets actual position.

- Will nominally run at 5 times higher PV multiplicity in Run 3.
 PV mis-association a problem?
- Have 3D PV finder as backup.



- Two algorithms: Forward and Seeding & Matching.
- Either or in HLT1; HLT2: first Seeding & Matching, then Forward on residual Velo tracks and SciFi hits.
 - Similar combination also under study for HLT1.
 - Can easily switch between configurations; Flexibility for running with and without UT.



- Start from Velo(-UT) seed; define hit search window.
- Treat magnet as optical lens to simplify track and hit projection.
- Hough-like transform: project hits to reference plane; count SciFi layers in histogram.
- Polynomial track fit; get momentum estimate.



- Search hit doublets in two of four x-layers in SciFi's T1 and T3, assuming minimal momentum and track origin at (0, 0, 0).
- Look for T2 x hits using momentum estimate from the 2 hits and (0, 0, 0)-constraint.



one of three T-stations

- Get remaining two x hits from parabolic fit; remove outliers; flag hits used for next iteration.
- Run three iterations relaxing momentum search window and alternating *x*-layers.
- Hits in SciFi stereo layers added by search for Hough clusters.
- Final recovery step for downstream-, low-momentum tracks; fitting and clone removal.
- Matching to Velo- or upstream-track parametric (HLT1) or assisted by neural network (HLT2).
- Downstream tracks from extrapolating T-tracks to UT.

- **Cherenkov light** emitted along particle trajectory, if particle faster than speed of light in traversed medium.
- Mirror geometry in RICH such that Cherenkov light forms ring.
- For each track state and type hypothesis, the RICH reconstruction generates & traces Cherenkov photons and simulates their detector response.
- Start with pion hypothesis compute global likelihood; change hypothesis iteratively.



Finally, compute likelihood differences for each particle.



- Photons and electrons shower in ECAL. Their $E_{deposit}/p$ is close to 1; unlike hadrons.
- Electrons are IDed as tracks pointing to ECAL cluster. Recover Bremsstrahlung.
- Neutral reconstruction by clustering energy deposits (digits) in calorimeter cells.
- Start with seed cell: local maximum $E_{deposit}$ above a configurable threshold in 3 \times 3 cell window.
- Represent digits as graph: Seed is sink, bi-directional nodes are shared between clusters.
- Cluster-shape used to identify merged $\pi^{
 m o}/\eta...$ and isolated $\gamma.$

			10	5
	10	50	200	30
50	100	80	70	50
	40			



- Define IsMuon as coincidence of hits in M2 to M5 in x-y field around track extrapolation.
 - Require 2, 3, 4 hits depending on track momentum 3 to 6, 6 to 10, above 10 GeV.
- New Muon ID variable: correlated sum of spatial residuals of hits δx , δy w.r.t. extrapolation

 $\chi^{2}_{\rm corr} = \delta \mathbf{x}^{\rm T} \mathbf{V}_{\rm x} \delta \mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{y}^{\rm T} \mathbf{V}_{\rm y} \delta \mathbf{y}.$

• Multiple scattering in calorimeters and absorbers, as well as hit correlation taken into account in off-diagonal elements of **V**.



- Determine most accurate estimates of track parameters and covariances.
- Track as collection of lines tangent to trajectory: State $\vec{x} = (x, y, t_x, t_y, q/p)^T$ and its covariance.
- State + measurement in detector: node k used in Kalman filter.
- Measurements added node-by-node; Minimize χ^2 of measurements on track in 3 steps:
 - **Predict** state in next node \vec{x}_k^{k-1} from *filtered* state \vec{x}_{k-1} ; add noise Q_k to covariance.
 - Filter step adds information of measurement m_k .
 - **Smoother** gives best estimates of states at all previous nodes. Result is track χ^2 .



- Detectors not *exactly* where expected by description database. Can also be rotated/tilted or moving with time.
- **Data-driven** alignment corrects for that in quasi-real-time.
- Tracker velo, UT, SciFi, Muon alignment finds at most 3 constants for translations $T_{x,y,z}$, 3 for rotations $R_{x,y,z}$ for each "alignable" element; exported to database.
- Insert alignment constants in track fit; iterate smoothing step with global covariance of track states [NIMA 600, 471]; use constraints [NIMA 712 48]



- Velo closes once LHC declares STABLE BEAM.
- Align translation constants of Velo halves.
- Check with PVs reconstructed independently in both halves.



Velo Position

Position Onen

Parked YES Moving

Velo Position Monitor

- Different detector calibrations/alignments happen at different timescales.
- Dedicated streaming and resources online.



- Bandwidth constrains impose tighter and more exclusive selections \rightsquigarrow Flexibility is key.
- Much branching in selections \rightsquigarrow especially challenging on GPUs.
 - Allen builds all combinations, filters later; Moore usually faster when breaking early.
- Currently \sim 40 HLT1 physics lines, \sim 1500 in HLT2.
 - Much of *b* physics covered by Hlt1(Two)TrackMVA and Topo lines; They use novel monotonic neural net [arXiv:2112.00038]
- Throughput constraints don't allow track and vertex fit in HLT1; HLT2 uses PrKalmanFilter and ParticleVertexFit [Wouter's note].
- HLT1 selections CUDA-based; HLT2 uses python interface to underlying SIMD (ThOr-) Functors and Combiners in C++.
- Consult Allen, Moore docs, current hackathon, starterkit etc. for writing your selection.
- Technically reduce bandwidth by packing and optimized streaming.
- However, most optimization clean selection, custom persistency has to come from analysts discussion at A&S week.
 - Great way to contribute to 2023 commissioning.

- Upgraded SMOG2 System for Measuring the Overlap with Gas will allow LHCb to record fixed-target collisions concurrently with p p physics.
- LHCb holds record as highest-energy fixed-target experiment.



- LHCb participates in LHC's heavy ion program: *pPb*, *Pbp* and *PbPb* configurations.
- Requires dedicated configuration of the software.
- High occupancy in the detector; Only 2 fills in 2022. Much more expected this year.



- Basis of LHCb's test driven development are Q(uality)M(anagment)Tests,
 e.g. run PV reco on reference simulation sample and compare (efficiency) counters.
- Each merge request tested against stack; On demand webhooks in GitLab or in nightlies.



- Several flavors of nightly builds platform, git branches, compiler like clang sanitizer etc.
- Periodic weekly Performance- and regression (PR) tests on larger input samples.

- Scheduled or on demand tests in controlled conditions.
- Have throughput, rate/bandwidth or physics performance tests very close to online plots Monet



- Dedicated monitoring farm. Tasks run their own sequence even their own stack.
- Usually \sim 10 kHz of raw data; Histograms saved every 10 mins in root files (Savesets).
- Flexibility run dedicated stream of events select what you want to monitor.



- With the upgraded detector, LHCb faces exceptional technical challenge.
- RTA responds with full software trigger on heterogeneous architectures to provide flexibility needed to cover increasingly broad physics program.
- There is a big overlap with detector, simulation, computing, online and offline projects.
- This year's commissioning will be a fun and rewarding challenge.

I look forward to meeting many of you again in the control room!



Support material

