



Particle therapy masterclass

THERAPY PLANNING OF TG119 & LIVER

UNIVERSITY OF PAVIA - PV INFN - CNAO

G.VAN DELFT, LICEO PEANO, TORTONA (AL) ON BEHALF OF PTMC-PAVIA



TABLE OF CONTENT



•ABSTRACT

•C PHANTOM

•LIVER

•CONCLUSION (for each case)



ABSTRACT

We have conducted different simulation modelling several treatment plans taking into account TG119 phantom and one clinical case (liver tumor).

We have planned treatments considering different particle beams made by photons, protons and carbons ions, changing the gantry angles.





C PHANTOM

We considered TG119 phantom.

First we planned photon treatments considering:

(1) one single beam and

(2) five equispaced beams.







As showed in the dose maps and in the DVHs, the second plan delivers better (more uniformly) the dose in the target plus it spares better (less physical dose) to one of the OAR (core)



We considered TG119 phantom.

We planned other three different treatment plans considering 5 equispaced beams. We took into account (i) photons, (ii) protons, (iii) carbon ions.

Both dose maps and DVH show an improvement in dose delivery in the target volume... despite it is quite modest (see table after) but...















C PHANTOM



List of all the (OAR) or targ	organs present get and the obta	in the C Phanto ined doses (me with 5 beams	m classified as (an, max, min) fo	organs at risk or the 3 plans
Organ	Classification	5 beams photons	5 beams protons	5 beams C-ions
Outer Target	TARGET	1.7, 1.8, 1.5	1.7, 1.8, 1.6	1.7, 1.7, 1.5
Core	OAR	0.6, 0.9, 0.2	0.4, 0.8, 0.0	0.5, 0.8, 0.0
Body	OAR	0.2, 1.8, 0	0.1, 1.8, 0	0.0, 1.7, 0

 ... protons and C-ions perform better ("less" doses) than photons in the OARs (core and/or body)

COMPARISON FOR PHOTONS EQUISPACED VERSUS 180°-360° FOR LIVER CASE







COMPARISON FOR PHOTONS EQUISPACED VERSUS 180°-360° FOR LIVER CASE

Equispaced (0° - 360°) photons treatment



	max	min	mean	std
GTV	1.5172	1.4871	1.5005	0.0044
Kidney_R	0	0	0	0
Kidney_L	0	0	0	0
Stomach	0.5919	0	0.0308	0.0836
SmallBowel	0	0	0	C
LargeBowel	0.0051	0	9.4490e-06	1.5622e-04
Celiac	0	0	0	C
Liver	1.5270	0	0.2438	0.4349
Heart	1.5090	0.0085	0.3399	0.2584
SpinalCord	0.5577	0	0.0851	0.1779
duodenum	0	0	0	C
CTV	1.5198	1.4840	1.5000	0.0049
Skin	1.5270	0	0.0569	0.1822

Equispaced (180°-360°) photons treatment



		max	min	mean		
GTV		1.5103	1.4874	1.4998		
Kidney_R		0	0	0		
Kidney_L		0	0	0		
Stomach		0.2166	0	0.0232		
SmallBowel		0	0	0		
LargeBowel		0.0097	0	1.3198e-04		
Celiac		0	0	0		
Liver		1.5206	0	0.2458		
Heart		1.5045	0.0082	0.2159		
SpinalCord		0.1910	0	0.0372		
duodenum		0	0	0		~
	<				>	

COMPARISON FOR PHOTONS EQUISPACED VERSUS 180°-360° FOR LIVER CASE

Due to the asimmetric anatomical position of the liver (and thus of the tumour), the second plan (5 beams on the right side of the patient) performs better compared to the first one in some OAR (more than on the TARGETs...) => better preservation of the normal tissues/reduction of the probability of secondary tumours



COMPARISON FOR PROTON AND CARBON



Proton beam at 315°



Carbon-ion beam at 315°



COMPARISON FOR PROTON AND CARBON IONS TREATMENT FOR LIVER CASE

Proton beam at 315°

Carbon-ion beam at 315°



	mean	std	max	min	D_2	D_5	D_50	D_95	D_98	V_0Gy	V_0.3Gy	V_0.6Gy
GTV	1.5052	0.0366	1.6905	1.4442	1.6291	1.5803	1.4979	1.4639	1.4580	1	1	1 📥
Stomach	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Liver	0.1748	0.4444	1.6941	0	1.5067	1.4763	0	0	0	1	0.1382	0.1243
Heart	0.0199	0.1182	1.4663	0	0.3591	0.0334	0	0	0	1	0.0226	0.0126
SpinalCord	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CTV	1.5095	0.0382	1.6941	1.2626	1.6274	1.5884	1.5016	1.4674	1.4581	1	1	1 🔮
Skin	0.0184	0.1468	1.6941	0	0.0502	0	0	0	0	1	0.0159	0.0135
PTV	1.4914	0.0674	1.6941	0.8962	1.6164	1.5774	1.4996	1.3702	1.2922	1	1	1 🛞
cord + 5 mm	2.3330e-19	9.8035e-18	5.9013e-16	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
entrance	0.0184	0.1468	1.6941	0	0.0502	0	0	0	0	1	0.0159	0.0135
Liver-CTV	0.1276	0.3731	1.6685	0	1.4773	1.2943	0	0	0	1	0.1079	0.0934 💌
	•								35335			•

	mean	std	max	min	D_2	D_5	D_50	D_95	D_98	V_0Gy	V_0.3Gy	V_0.7
GTV	1.5016	0.0395	1.7073	1.3667	1.5976	1.5695	1.5004	1.4424	1.4184	1	1	
Stomach	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Liver	0.1684	0.4231	1.7864	0	1.5092	1.4675	0	0	0	1	0.1436	
Heart	0.0322	0.1467	1.5490	0	0.5593	0.1326	0	0	0	1	0.0324	
SpinalCord	0.0076	0.0178	0.0721	0	0.0635	0.0549	0	0	0	1	0	
CTV	1.5049	0.0421	1.7864	1.2166	1.6054	1.5735	1.5027	1.4405	1.4150	1	1	
Skin	0.0173	0.1313	1.7864	0	0.1463	6.4058e-04	0	0	0	1	0.0163	
PTV	1.4953	0.0559	1.7864	1.0893	1.6077	1.5738	1.4998	1.4063	1.3479	1	1	
cord+5mm	0.0080	0.0186	0.0862	0	0.0688	0.0568	0	0	0	1	0	
entrance	0.0173	0.1313	1.7864	0	0.1463	6.4058e-04	0	0	0	1	0.0163	
	4 0000000000000000000000000000000000000								•			

GTV

Stomack

SpinalCorc

cord+5mr

entrance

Liver-CTV

2

CT Referenc

ISOCENTER

Liver

CTV

Skin

PTV

1.8

Heart

Both protons and C-ions deliver a very homogeneous dose in the TARGETs

COMPARISON FOR PROTON AND CARBON IONS TREATMENT FOR LIVER CASE

Proton beam at 315°

Carbon-ion beam at 315°



Due to the fragmentation, C-ions leads to a measurable dose to the spinal cord, completely absent in the proton plan

'SOLUTION' FOR THE C-ION TAILING AFFECTING SPINAL CORD: 300° BEAM

Carbon-ion beam at 300°



Zero dose to spinal cord, but dose increment (nonetheless, absolute values very very low) affecting a bigger volume of the heart (another important OAR, radiosensitive).



	max	min	mean	std
GTV	1.7545	1.4139	1.5064	0.0344
Kidney_R	0	0	0	0
Kidney_L	0	0	0	0
Stomach	0.0597	0 1	L.6637e	0.0020
SmallBowel	0	0	0	0
LargeBowel	0	0	0	0
Celiac	0	0	0	0
Liver	1.7545	0	0.1546	0.4107
Heart	1.4842	0	0.0418	0.1559
SpinalCord	0	0	0	0
duodenum	0	0	0	0
CTV	1.7752	1.2432	1.5075	0.0442
Skin	1.7752	0	0.0158	0.1260
PTV	1.7752	0.9625	1.4852	0.0805

Thank you for your attention