







Energy and Sustainable Economic Development

Intro

- Liquid lead: overview
- Liquid target options
 - 1 Pipe
 - 2 Curtain
 - 3 Jet
- Outcome



-1- -





EREA Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development





- Exploring solutions for 2-4 MW class target
 - *p*⁺ beam: 2 ns, 5 Hz, 2 MW_{AVE}
 - Available space: R < 170mm</p>
 - 20 T magnetic field
- Extensive experience with heavy liquid metals in Gen IV fast reactors



Liquid Lead - Overview



Density: 10660~9000 kg m⁻³

- Melts: 600 K
- Boils: ~2020 K



Technological aspects:

- Steel corrosion at T > 450°C (slow process, 10³ hrs)
- Stagnation areas in loop to be avoided (O₂ accumulation, local freezing)
- Ambient pressure operation

Radiological aspects (nuclear fission technology):

- Pb is neutron multiplier: n 2n
- ²¹⁰Po production under neutron irradiation in pure lead is ~10⁴ less than in LBE¹
- Studies are being conducted for MHYRRA ADS reactor to verify Polonium production under proton irradiation²

¹Toshinsky et al, 2020

²Choudhury et al, 2018



Inlet temperature: 400°C

Target volume: D30 x L509 mm

Lead flowing in cylindrical vessel

Lead temperatures locally close to boiling point

Liquid target options – 1 (pipe)

Ö.



Italian National Agency for New Technologies, **Energy and Sustainable Economic Development**







Liquid target options – 1 (pipe)

Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development

922.701 Max wall 895.357 868.014 temperature 840.671 813.328 785 984 758.641 731.298 703,954 676.611 649 268 Temperature Wall Max window 1126 389 temperature 1119.342 on hold 1112.295 1105.248 1098.200 1091.153 1084.106 1077.059 1070.011 1062.964 1055.917 ³Winder et al. 2021

- Shockwaves and cavitation expected
- Significant MHD losses
- Vessel wall temperatures OK..ish
- Back window temperature not OK

- Challenging to simulate high-speed, multi-phase flow dynamics
- Previous experience on liquid Hg targets show severe cavitation-induced erosion³ on metallic containments when operating with MW-class beams



Liquid target options – 2 (curtain)



300 mm

Currently under investigation Lead curtain in Ar cover gas





Liquid target options – 2 (curtain)



0.333 0 167 0.667 0.500 0.333 0,167

- Pros
 - Decouple liquid Pb from walls and windows
 - Transverse cross-section can be optimized to reduce overall mass flow rate and maximize pion/muon yield
 - Target segmentation possible
- Cons
 - Two-phase increase simulation complexity
 - Curtain stability after each pulse
 - Space constraints



Liquid target options – 2 (curtain)

Under investigation:

- Inlet geometry → plenum for axial-to-radial flow transition
- Outlet geometry → sloped sump to help drainage / outlet suction
- Lead velocity and m
 → curtain vertical velocity > 1.5 ms⁻¹

Next steps:

- High-speed dynamics simulation (SIMMER III, LS-DYNA)
- Vessel material (coated metal, ceramics)
- MHD losses evaluation







Liquid target options – 3 (jet)

Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development

- High-velocity liquid jet:
 - Explored with Hg (SNS, Mucol)
 - Stabilizing MHD effect
 - No segmentation
 - Issue with windows
 - To be investigated...



FIG. 3. The mercury jet target geometry. The proton beam and mercury jet cross at z = -37.5 cm.



Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the target system.



Outcome



• Opportunities:

- Known liquid-Pb / LBE thermohydraulics
- Cooling outside vacuum chamber
- Species mostly retained
- No degradation of target material

- Challenges:
 - Liquid-Pb containment vessel and windows (material, temperatures, DPA)
 - MHD interaction
 - Temperature management







Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development

Thank you