### Synchrotron Radiation Background @ FCC-ee K.D.J. André for the MDI study group





#### Outline

- FCC-ee lattice, aperture profile, masks and collimators
- Simulation tool, physics and field map
- Synchrotron radiation collimation scheme
  - □ At the Z operation mode
- Other on-going studies
  - Top-up injection
    HFD lattice

#### FCC-ee lattice | IR design



The lattice design upstream the IP is based on weak dipoles and long straight sections. There is a **30 mrad crossing angle** at the IP. The central beam pipe radius is **10mm** over **18cm** along the Z axis and is tapered to 15mm in QC1.



#### Simulation tool, field map and physics

BDSIM simulation tool (ref & website) that is based on GEANT4.

Use of the synchrotron radiation (*G4SynchrotronRadiation*) and low-energy electromagnetic physics (*G4EmPenelopePhysics*) from GEANT4.

Production energy cut at 990 eV (default in GEANT4) to prevent infrared divergence.

Implementation of the solenoid and anti-solenoid field map.

Implementation of a realistic central beam pipe in a GDML format.

The beam pipe is made of Copper.

The collimators (10cm) and masks (2cm) are made of Tungsten.

The MAD-X sequences (link) are converted as input files for BDSIM.

The beam parameters can be found in (<u>ref</u>).



# Synchrotron radiation collimation scheme and beam halo collimation

Name	s [m]	half-gap [m]	plane
BWL.H	-144.69	0.018	Н
QC3L.H	-112.05	0.014	Н
QT1L.H	-39.75	0.015	Н
PQC2LE.H	-8.64	0.011	н
MSK.QC2L	-5.56	R = 0.015	H&V
MSK.QC1L	-2.12	0.0085*	Н

#### Synchrotron radiation collimation scheme

**15 sigmas** corresponds to the aperture of the **primary** collimators, **17 sigmas** corresponds to the aperture of the **secondary** collimators.  $\rightarrow$  See A. Abramov talk for more details.



#### Synchrotron radiation collimation scheme

Name	s [m]	half-gap [mm]	plane
BWL.H	-144.69	18	Н
QC3L.H	-112.05	14	Н
QT1L. <b>H</b>	-39.75	15	Н
QT1L. <b>V</b>	-39.65	15	V
PQC2LE. <b>H</b>	-8.64	11→12	Н
PQC2LE.V	-8.54	11	V
MSK.QC2L	-5.56	R = 15→11	H&V
MSK.QC1L	-2.12	8.5→7.0	Н

The collimators closer to the IP need wider apertures from tt to Z. One could adapt the aperture of the mask MSK.QC2L set to 15mm (18.0  $\sigma_x @Z$ ) could be decrease to 11mm (13.3  $\sigma_x @Z$ ). There are no issues in the vertical plane.

### Z operation mode

## Synchrotron radiation collimation at the **Z mode** - Core and tails



Simulations made with a Gaussian positron beam and 4 to 10 sigmas uniform distribution for the tail without the synchrotron radiation from the solenoid as it produce radiation deposited downstream the IP.

Name	s [m]	nsigma	half-gap [m]	plane
bwl.h	-144.692	14.8	0.018	Н
qc3l.h	-112.054	13.3	0.014	Н
qt1l.h	-39.747	11.6	0.015	Н
qt1l.v	-39.647	199.2	0.015	V
pqc2le.h	-8.64	11.3	0.012	Н
pqc2le.v	-8.54	156.3	0.012	V
msk.qc2l	-5.56	18.0/161	R = 0.015	Radial
msk.qc1l	-2.12	47.5(39.1)	0.0085(7)	Н

COLL.BWL is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **76W** COLL.QC3L is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **82W** COLL.QT1L is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **46W** COLL.PQC2LE is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **19W** MSK.QC2L is 2cm of tungsten absorbing **37mW** MSK.QC1L is 2cm of tungsten absorbing **56W(143W)** 



Simulations made with a Gaussian positron beam and 4 to 10 sigmas uniform distribution for the tail without the synchrotron radiation from the solenoid as it produce radiation deposited downstream the IP.

Name	s [m]	nsigma	half-gap [m]	plane
bwl.h	-144.692	14.8	0.018	Н
qc3l.h	-112.054	13.3	0.014	Н
qt1l.h	-39.747	11.6	0.015	Н
qt1l.v	-39.647	199.2	0.015	V
pqc2le.h	-8.64	11.3	0.012	Н
pqc2le.v	-8.54	156.3	0.012	V
msk.qc2l	-5.56	18.0/161	R = 0.015	Radial
msk.qc1l	-2.12	47.5(39.1)	0.0085(7)	Н

COLL.BWL is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **76W** COLL.QC3L is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **82W** COLL.QT1L is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **46W** COLL.PQC2LE is 10cm of tungsten absorbing **19W** MSK.QC2L is 2cm of tungsten absorbing **37mW** MSK.QC1L is 2cm of tungsten absorbing **56W(143W)** 

#### Comparison with wakefield heat load

The synchrotron radiation heat load on the beam pipe is smaller than the heat load due to the wakefields. It depends a lot on the transverse tail distribution.

AlBeMet

uncooled

40 W

Main SR

heat load.

AlBeMet

uncooled

40 W

Gold

30 W

Cu

30 W

AlBeMet

cooled

130 W

Cu 150 W

Cu

140 W

workshop (24/10) ref

from the MDI



### Effects of (anti-)solenoid at Z energy

## Effects of solenoid

Energy deposited via positrons in the central chamber.

- 20 to 30 W in the CC.
- Positrons ?



#### Effects of (anti-)solenoid



Low-energy positrons from photoelectric effects upstream the IP in a strong solenoid field

# On-going studies: off-axis top-up injection (ref)

## Parameters for the SR background study due to off-axis beam injection

The emittance of the injected beam is **0.235 nm.rad** (<u>ref</u>) as opposed to 0.71 nm.rad for the circulating beam.

The injected beam has **10%** of the circulating beam current.

The horizontal damping time is **2336 turns**; 120 turns  $\rightarrow$  <u>5%</u>, 520 turns  $\rightarrow$  <u>20%</u>.

Injected beam centroid evolves along a **5**  $\sigma^{core}$  + **5**  $\sigma^{inj}$  + septum (0.2  $\sigma^{core}$ ) = **8**  $\sigma^{core}$  trajectory in the horizontal phase space.

Tracking from QC2L onwards with the latest 4 IPs lattice (V22); 2 masks available to protect the FF quads and central chamber.

The injected beam is **perfectly aligned** and **Gaussian** *i.e.* **no tails**.

#### Top up injection in the horizontal phase space



#### Top up injection in the normalised horizontal phase space



44th MDI Meeting - February 20th 2023 - Kevin André

#### Top up injection in the horizontal phase space





#### Positive horizontal displacement (away from the mask)



#### Positive horizontal displacement (away from the mask)



#### Negative horizontal displacement (towards from the mask)



#### Negative horizontal displacement (towards from the mask)



### On-going studies: HFD lattice (ref)

#### Comparison with the HFD lattice



Asymmetric interaction region beam optics to accommodate a long straight section with the last dipole (100keV critical energy) **150m** from the IP.

Optics design at the IP:  $\beta_x$ \*=10cm,  $\beta_v$ \*=0.8mm



Symmetric interaction region beam optics to accommodate a short straight section with the last dipole (200keV critical energy) **48m** from the IP.

Optics design at the IP:  $\beta_x^*=13$  cm,  $\beta_v^*=0.5$  mm

#### Beam parameters comparison

Beam energy	[GeV]	45.6	80	120	182.5
Layout		PA31-1.0			
# of IPs		4			
Circumference	[km]	91.174117 91.174107			74107
Bending radius of arc dipole	[km]		9.9	37	
Energy loss / turn	[GeV]	0.0391	0.370	1.869	10.0
SR power / beam	[MW]		5	)	
Beam current	[mA]	1280	135	26.7	5.00
Bunches / beam		10000	880	248	40
Bunch population	$[10^{11}]$	2.43	2.91	2.04	2.37
Horizontal emittance $\varepsilon_x$	[nm]	0.71	2.16	0.64	1.49
Vertical emittance $\varepsilon_y$	[pm]	1.42	4.32	1.29	2.98
Arc cell		Long	90/90	90/90	
Momentum compaction $\alpha_p$	$[10^{-6}]$	28.5		7.33	
Arc sextupole families		75		146	
$\beta_{x/y}^*$	[mm]	100 / 0.8	200 / 1.0	300 / 1.0	1000 / 1.6
Transverse tunes/IP $Q_{x/y}$		53.563 /	53.600	100.565	/ 98.595
Energy spread (SR/BS) $\sigma_{\delta}$	[%]	0.038 / 0.132	0.069 / 0.154	0.103 / 0.185	0.157 / 0.221
Bunch length (SR/BS) $\sigma_z$	[mm]	4.38 / 15.4	3.55 / 8.01	3.34 / 6.00	1.95 / 2.75
RF voltage 400/800 MHz	[GV]	0.120 / 0	1.0 / 0	2.08 / 0	2.5 / 8.8
Harmonic number for 400 MHz			121	548	111 - CT
RF freuquency (400 MHz)	MHz	399.99	04581	399.994627	
Synchrotron tune $Q_s$		0.0370	0.0801	0.0328	0.0826
Long. damping time	[turns]	1168	217	64.5	18.5
RF acceptance	[%]	1.6	3.4	1.9	3.0
Energy acceptance (DA)	[%]	$\pm 1.3$	$\pm 1.3$	$\pm 1.7$	-2.8 + 2.5
Beam-beam $\xi_x/\xi_y^a$		0.0023 / 0.135	0.011 / 0.125	0.014 / 0.131	0.093 / 0.140
Luminosity / IP	$[10^{34}/cm^2s]$	182	19.4	7.26	1.25
Lifetime $(q + BS)$	[sec]	-		1065	4062
Lifetime (lum)	[sec]	1129	1070	596	744
		4		eung - Februa	<u>17 20° 2023 </u>

(Bold: computed values)		FCCee Z	FCCee W	FCCee H	FCCee ttbar
Parameter	Units				
LUMINOSITY	cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	1.83E+36	1.95E+35	6.93E+34	1.21E+34
Pinch effect Shatilov		1.93E+36	2.05E+35	7.27E+34	1.27E+34
Energy	GeV	45.6	80	120	182.5
Circumference	m	91170	91170	91170	91170
X-Angle (full)	mrad	30	30	30	30
β <sub>x</sub> @ IP	m	0.13	0.16	0.2	0.52
β <sub>v</sub> @ IP	mm	0.5	0.8	1.1	1.6
Coupling (full current)	%	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Emittance x (without IBS)	nm	0.19	0.57	1.24	2.83
Emittance x (with IBS)	nm	0.19	0.57	1.24	2.83
Emittance y	pm	0.188	0.57	1.24	2.83
Momentum compac	mm	1.78E-05	1.78E-05	1.78E-05	1.78E-05
Bunch length (zero current)	mm	3.7	3.9	4.2	4.7
Bunch length (in collision)	mm	10.9	7.02	6.1	5.3
Beam current	mA	720	70	23	4
Number of bunches	#	16000	1000	200	20
RF frequency	Hz	4.00E+08	4.00E+08	4.00E+08	4.00E+08
Revolution frequency	Hz	3.29E+03	3.29E+03	3.29E+03	3.29E+03
Harmonic number	#	121644	121644	121644	121644
N. Particle/bunch	#	8.553E+10	1.330E+11	2.186E+11	3.801E+11
σ <sub>x</sub> @ IP	microns	4.94	9.55	15.75	38.36
σ <sub>y</sub> @ IP	nm	9.70	21.35	36.93	67.29
σ <sub>x</sub> @ IP	cm	0.000494	0.000955	0.001575	0.003836
	cm	0.0000097	0.00000214	0.0000369	0.00000673
σ <sub>x'</sub> @ IP	microrad	38.0	59.7	78.7	73.8
	microrad	0.019	0.027	0.034	0.042
Piwinski angle	rad	33.07	11.03	5.81	2.07
$\sigma_x$ effective	microns	163.59	105.74	92.85	88.28
σ <sub>x</sub> effective	cm	0.0164	0.0106	0.0093	0.0088
Hourglass reduction factor		0.950	0.950	0.950	0.950
Tune shift x		0.0021	0.0055	0.0100	0.0392
Tune shift y	Contractor.	0.1354	0.1354	0.1356	0.1426
Energy Loss/turn	MeV	31.8	300	1530	8150
SR power loss	MW	22.90	21.00	35.19	32.60
RF Wall Plug Power (SR only)	MW	45.79	42.00	70.38	65.20

W/ FOOss II FOOs

And a second second second

X-Ar

#### Comparison with the HFD lattice





44th MDI Meeting - February 20th 2023 - Kevin André

#### SR power deposition at Z energy 45.6 GeV



- Only one mask present in the design at s=-2.1m
- Peaks of SR power deposition present at aperture reductions.
- High power deposited in the mask because there are no collimators upstream.
- Power deposited in the CC around 20 to 30W due to the solenoid.

#### SR power deposition at Z energy 45.6 GeV



Similar order of magnitude in terms of SR losses. The HFD lattice needs SR collimators to have a conclusive comparison.



#### SR power deposition at tt energy 182.5 GeV



#### SR power deposition at tt energy 182.5 GeV



Similar order of magnitude in terms of SR losses. The HFD lattice needs SR collimators to have a conclusive comparison.

#### Photon energy spectrum at the mask





#### Simulations settings

10 runs of 100,000 primary positrons (or 10 runs of 50,000 primary positrons) Beam distribution: **Gaussian distribution** from Twiss parameters to represent **the core** or **Halo uniform distribution** 4 to 10 (or more)  $\sigma_x$  (and  $\sigma_y$ ) to represent **the tails** 

Physics list : *Synchrotron\_radiation* physics and *em\_peneloppe* (particle-matter interaction) Energy / Range cuts:

e+/e- **1 mm** ~ 990 eV (air/vacuum), 2.3 MeV in W, 1.4 MeV in Cu e+/e- **5 um** ~ 53 keV in W, 60 keV in Cu gamma **1 mm** ~ 990 eV (air/vacuum), 1 keV in W, 1 keV in Cu gamma **5 um** ~ 4.8 keV in W, 2.2 keV in Cu