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## Gaussian beam of laser radiation

$\sigma(z)$ is the radius where intensity $I(z, r)$ falls to $1 /$ e of its axial value:

$$
\sigma(z)=\sigma_{0} \sqrt{1+\left(z / z_{R}\right)^{2}}=\theta_{0} \sqrt{z^{2}+z_{R}^{2}}
$$

- $\sigma_{0}$ is the beam radius at waist,
$>z_{R}=\frac{4 \pi \sigma_{0}^{2}}{\lambda_{0}}$ is the Rayleigh length,
- $\theta_{0}=\frac{\sigma_{0}}{z_{R}}$ is the far field divergence.

$$
\sigma(z)=\sqrt{\epsilon \beta(z)}
$$

- $\epsilon=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{4 \pi}$ is the beam emittance,
- $\beta(z)=\beta_{0}+\frac{z^{2}}{\beta_{0}}$ is the $\beta$-function,
- $\beta_{0} \equiv z_{R}, \sigma_{0}=\sqrt{\epsilon \beta_{0}}, \theta_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\beta_{0}}}$.

$$
I(z, r)=\frac{P}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}(z)} \exp \left(-\frac{r^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}(z)}\right)\left[\mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right]
$$

$$
\text { For } \lambda_{0}=532 \mathrm{~nm} \epsilon=42 \mathrm{~nm} . \quad \text { For FCCee } \epsilon_{x} \simeq 0.5 \mathrm{~nm}, \epsilon_{y} \simeq 1 \mathrm{pm} .
$$

## IP with CW laser: $\alpha / \theta_{0}=3$



## Backscattering of CW laser radiation

For $\alpha=0$ the probability of electron scattering depends on laser power $P$ and the $\pm L$ segment, centered at $z=0$, on which the electron trajectory coincides with the $z$-axis:

$$
W=\frac{P}{P_{c}} \cdot \frac{\arctan \left(L / z_{R}\right)}{\pi / 2}, \text { where } P_{c}=\frac{h c^{2}}{4 \pi \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}} \simeq 0.7 \cdot 10^{11}[\mathbf{W}] .
$$

Interaction angle $\alpha$ affects the following scattering parameters:

- In the lab frame the frequency of laser photon, seen by relativistic electron, will be smaller: $\omega_{0}^{*}=\omega_{0} \cos ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$.
- Decrease in reference frequency accuracy: $\frac{\Delta \omega_{0}^{*}}{\omega_{0}^{*}}=\frac{\Delta \omega_{0}}{\omega_{0}} \oplus \Delta \alpha \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}$.
- Overlap with laser target (could be improved by short laser pulses).
- Photon target density in the lab frame: $\rho^{*}=2 \rho \cos ^{2}(\alpha / 2)$.


## IP with pulsed laser: $\alpha / \theta_{0}=3, c \tau / z_{R}=1$



## Backscattering of pulsed laser radiation

For $\alpha=0$ and laser pulse length $\sigma_{z}=c \tau \ll z_{R}$ the probability of electron scattering is determined by the pulse energy $E$ and the Rayleigh length $z_{R}$ :

$$
W_{0}=\frac{E / z_{R}}{U_{c}}, \text { where } U_{c}=\frac{h c}{2 \sigma_{T}} \simeq 1.5\left[\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right] .
$$

## Scattering efficiency $W / W_{0}$ :



## Use of above considerations

1. Approximate transverse $e^{ \pm}$bunch sizes are $\sigma_{x} \simeq 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{y} \simeq 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$.
2. Assume a bunch of $10^{10} e^{-} / e^{+}$circulating with frequency 3 kHz .
3. Assume we want $\simeq 100$ scattering events per turn ( $3 \cdot 10^{5}$ per second).
4. The scattering probability $W \simeq 10^{-8}$ per turn ( $\simeq 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ per second).
5. The beam lifetime due to scattering is about $3.3 \cdot 10^{4}$ seconds ( $\simeq 10$ hours).
6. Assume we have a laser with $E=1 \mathrm{~mJ}$ pulse energy.
7. With "zero" pulse length we need $z_{R}=10^{-3} / 1.5 \cdot 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mm} \simeq 67 \mathrm{~m}$.
8. Green laser light with $\lambda_{0}=532 \mathrm{~nm}$ has emittance $\epsilon=42 \mathrm{~nm}$.
9. Corresponding waist size $\sigma_{0}=\sqrt{\epsilon z_{R}} \simeq 1.7 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\simeq 3 \times \sigma_{x}\right)$.
10. Far field divergence $\theta_{0}=\sqrt{\epsilon / z_{R}} \simeq 0.025 \mathrm{mrad}$ (misprint in TUPBB03'18).
11. Pulse length $\tau=1 \mathrm{~ns}\left(\sigma_{z}=c \tau=30 \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ gives $\sigma_{z} / z_{R} \simeq 0.005$.
12. With $\alpha=100 \cdot \theta_{0} \simeq 2.5 \mathrm{mrad} W / W_{0}>90 \%$.

## Use of above considerations: continue

13. "Zero beam size" approximation is satisfied to a sufficient extent.
14. Compton cross section at $E=45 \mathrm{GeV}$ is $\simeq 50 \%$ from $\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}$ :

We obtain 50 scattered photons per pulse out of the planned 100.
15. Perhaps we want to have not 100 but 500 ( 2 hours beam lifetime)?
16. Can we increase efficiency by 10 times?
17. Possible solutions:

- Increase pulse energy.
- Improve focusing i.e. decrease $z_{R}$.
- Use elliptical optics: keep horizontal $z_{R}$ and make vertical $z_{R} / 100\left(\sigma_{0} / 10\right)$.

$$
W_{0}=\frac{E / U_{c}}{\sqrt{R_{x} R_{y}}}, \text { where } U_{c} \simeq 1.5\left[\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right] .
$$

18. $R_{x} \simeq 70 \mathrm{~m}, R_{y} \simeq 0.7 \mathrm{~m}$ gives factor $\times 10$ and $\theta_{0}^{y}=10 \cdot \theta_{0}^{x}=0.25 \mathrm{mrad}$.

## Use of above considerations: continue

19. Assume the vacuum mirror installed 50 m downstream IP:

- laser spot sizes: $\sigma_{x}=2.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{y}=4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.
- mirror center-to-beam separation required $\Delta_{x}=2.5 \cdot 50=125 \mathrm{~mm}$.

20. Transverse dimensions at IP:

- electron: $\sigma_{x}=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{y}=0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$.
- photon: $\sigma_{x}=1.7 \mathrm{~mm}, \sigma_{y}=0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$.



## Summary

- Few mrads interaction angle was considered by a simple method for the scattering efficiency estimation.
- The required scattering rate could be achieved with $\simeq 1 \mathrm{~mJ}$ pulse energy.
- Pulse shorter than 1 ns do not increase the efficiency with $\alpha \simeq 2.5 \mathrm{mrad}$.
- Aurélien's suggestion: pulse RMS width $\tau=10 \mathrm{ps}$.

Spectrum width for head-on electron: $\frac{\sigma_{\omega_{0}}}{\omega_{0}}=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\pi c \tau} \simeq 56 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\lambda_{0}=532 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$. Absolute scale $\frac{\Delta \lambda_{0}}{\left\langle\lambda_{0}\right\rangle} \simeq 1 \mathrm{ppm}$. These parameters are quite acceptable.

- With $\alpha=1^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \alpha=0.1^{\circ}$ one has $\frac{\Delta \lambda_{0}}{\left\langle\lambda_{0}\right\rangle} \simeq 8 \mathrm{ppm}$, so $\Delta \alpha=0.01^{\circ}$ is OK.
- Q-switched laser is a simple solution for 1 bunch ( 3 kHz ) operation, but it is definitely not suitable for colliding bunch polarization control.

