8<sup>th</sup> International CTD Workshop Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France 10-13 October 2023

**CEPC tracking performance with ACTS** 

Xiaocong Ai<sup>1</sup>, Xingtao Huang<sup>2</sup>, Mengyao Liu<sup>2</sup>, Zuyin Qin<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Zhengzhou University, <sup>2</sup>Shandong University

Connecting

he Dots 2023

Connecting The Dots 2023, Toulouse, Oct 10, 2023





# The CEPC Tracking system and tracking requirements

#### Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) physics program

Operation mode		ZH	z	W+W-	tī	
$\sqrt{s}$ [GeV]		240	91	160	360	
Run time [years]		7	2	1	-	
CDR (30 MW)		L / IP [×10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	3	32	10	-
		∫ <i>L dt</i> [ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs]	5.6	16	2.6	-
		Event yields [2 IPs] 1×10 <sup>6</sup> 7×10 <sup>11</sup> 2×10		2×107	-	
Run Time [years]		10	2	1	5	
	30 MW	L / IP [×10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	5.0	115	16	0.5
st )		∫ <i>L dt</i> [ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs]	13	60	4.2	0.65
ate		Event yields [2 IPs]	2.6×10 <sup>6</sup>	2.5×10 <sup>12</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>8</sup>	4×10 <sup>5</sup>
S (L	50 MW	L / IP [×10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	8.3	192	26.7	0.8
ID		∫ <i>L dt</i> [ab <sup>-1</sup> , 2 IPs]	21.6	100	6.9	1.0
		Event yields [2 IPs]	4.3×10 <sup>6</sup>	4.1×10 <sup>12</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>8</sup>	6×10 <sup>5</sup>

- Precision measurements of Higgs boson properties
- SM measurements: electroweak physics, QCD, flavor physics...
- Search for exotic decays of H, Z, B and T, and BSM

Far more than a Higgs factory !

#### **CEPC Detector Conceptual Designs**

CEPC CDR Baseline Design (Particle Flow Approach)



#### CEPC Detector Conceptual Designs

#### Alternative designs



#### Tracking system of CEPC 4th concept



Coverage hermiticity down to  $|\cos\theta| < 0.992$ 

Silicon (VXD, SIT, SET, FTD) + Drift chamber (optimized for particle identification)

#### Tracking detector design

Tracker	Number of layers	Radius/  z  (mm)	σ <sub>x</sub> (μm)	σ <sub>y</sub> (μm)	Technology
VXD	3 double layers	16-58	2.8/6/4/4/4/4	2.8/6/4/4/4/4	
SIT	4 layers	230-770	7.2	86	Silioon
SET	1 layer	1815	7.2	86	(pixel/strip)
FTD	5/7 layers at each endcap	467-2991	(2.8)/(2.8)/7.2/ 7.2/7.2/7.2/7.2	7.2/7.2/7.2/7.2 /7.2	
DC	100 layers	805-1795	110		Drift Chamber

#### Track multiplicity

Mostly >20 tracks per event
 Op to 100 tracks per event



A ZH event with 56 particles in detector detection region

A ttbar event with 30 particles in detector detection region

From CEPC CDR Physics&Detector (arXiv: 1811.10545)

#### Tracking requirements

- >99% tracking efficiency for  $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}$
- Impact track parameter resolution at ~ 5 um
- Momentum resolution reaches per mille level in the range [ 10, 100] GeV



# **ACTS implementation**

## A Common Tracking Software



- A modern, open-source, common tracking reconstruction software for particle and nuclear physics experiments
  - Validated and being validated by various experiment applications
- And is going beyond a tracking software
  - Heterogeneous computing, ML...

#### More about ACTS from talks:

- → J. Couthures: Flash Talk: Seeding with Machine Learning in ACTS
- → L-G Gagnon: On-the-fly measurement calibration with ACTS
- → A. Stefl: Reconstruction performance with ACTS and the Open Data Detector
- → L. Coelho: Seed finding in the Acts Software Package: Algorithms and Optimizations
- → L. AlSarayra: Studying a new Primary Vertex (PV) identification algorithm within ACTS framewo

#### https://github.com/acts-project/acts

Experiment-independent toolkit for (charged) particle track reconstruction in (high energy) physics experiments implemented in modern C++

#### 

simulation reconstruction particle-track-reconstruction physics-experiment

🛱 Readme

- MPL-2.0 license
- Code of conduct
- Cite this repository ▼
- Activity
- ☆ 90 stars
- 12 watching
- **೪ 132** forks

Report repository





#### ACTS application strategies



#### CEPC tracker geometry in ACTS format



#### CEPC drift chamber in ACTS

- A layer-based geometry model is implemented for the drift chamber so far
  - 100 layers, each layer with hundreds to thousands of Acts::LineSurface
    - -> Plan: indexed grid navigation model

		hX local helper frame
	٨	hY ThZ
5	Î	lock
	global frame	
		Transformation
	x	ACTS line surface

Half length	2980 mm		
Inner and outer radius	800mm to 1800 <i>mm</i>		
# of Layers	100/55		
Cell size	~10mmx10mm/18mmx18mm		
Gas	He:iC <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> =90:10		
Single cell resolution	0.11 mm		
Sense to field wire ratio	1:3		
Total # of sense wire	81631/24931		
Stereo angle	1.64~3.64 <i>deg</i>		
Sense wire	Gold plated Tungsten $\phi$ =0.02mm		
Field wire	Silver plated Aluminum $\varphi \text{=} 0.04 \text{mm}$		
Walls	Carbon fiber 0.2 mm(inner) and 2.8 mm(outer)		



CEPC drift chamber cell structure

#### Tracking strategies with ACTS

- SpacePoint (SP) Creation + Seeding + Combinatorial Kalman Filter (CKF)
  - Seeding: using SPs from 1th, 3th, 5th layers of VXD and 1th, 2th, 3th layers of FTD (far from being optimized selection)
  - CKF for track following starting from track parameters from the seeds
  - No ambiguity resolving yet



#### CKF for CEPC

- Progressingly associate compatible hits to tracks based on prediction  $\chi_2:\chi_2 = r^T (HCHT + V)^{-1}r$ 
  - r:residual
  - H: projection from track parameters to measurement
  - V: measurement covariance
- Currently, left/right sign of drift circle is taken to be the same as the predicted track parameters
  - Explosive combinatorics if considering two measurements with opposite signs for each drift distance
  - Plan: Implementation of ML-based predictor for the drift sign



# **Tracking performance**

#### Seeding performance

- >97% seeding efficiency for  $p_T > 1$  GeV in benchmark physics processes
  - With 1% duplicate seeds



#### Tracking performance

- >=95% tracking efficiency for pT > 1 GeV in benchmark physics processes
  - With 1-2% fake tracks and 10% duplicate tracks



### Tracking performance

- >99% tracking efficiency is achieved for  $p_T$  > 1 GeV, if more SPs from more pixel layers are used
  - Can introduce far more duplicate tracks (up to 70%)



#### Tracking resolution

- Fitted track parameters are obtained from CKF and compared with truth track parameters
- At  $p_T = 10$  GeV, central region ( $|\cos\theta| < 0.8$ ):
  - $\circ$  σ(do) = 3 μm, σ(zo) = 3.5 μm, σ(p<sub>T</sub>)/p<sub>T</sub> = 0.16%



#### Preliminary comparison with CEPCSW tracking performance

- CKF-based tracking finding + GenFit track fitting transcribed from Belle-II tracking software are available in CEPCSW
  - >95% tracking efficiency for single particle with p > 10 GeV based on full simulation (~100% with ACTS based on Fatras simulation and much looser track quality requirements)



22

#### Summary

- ACTS is preliminarily implemented for tracking at CEPC
  - CEPC 4th concept tracker geometry (silicon + drift chamber) has been successfully implemented with ACTS
  - Connection with CEPCSW simulation is still not available
- Promising tracking performance is achieved
  - >99% tracking efficiency achieved for benchmark processes e.g. HZ, Z,
     WW, but with bunches of duplicate tracks
- Much remains to be optimized
  - Try ACTS ML ambiguity resolver to remove fake/duplicate tracks
  - Performance validation with CEPC full simulation (W/ beam backgrounds)
  - More solid comparison with other tracking strategies for CEPC

#### BACKUP

6	Higgs	W	Z (3T)	Z (2T)
Number of IPs	2			
Beam energy (GeV)	120	80	4:	5.5
Circumference (km)	100			
Synchrotron radiation loss/turn (GeV)	1.73	0.34	0.036	
Crossing angle at IP (mrad)		16.	$5 \times 2$	8
Piwinski angle	3.48	7	23	3.8
Bunch number	242	1524	12000 (	10% gap)
Bunch spacing (ns)	680	210	2	25
No. of particles/bunch $N_e(10^{10})$	15	12		8
Beam current (mA)	17.4	87.9	4	61
Synch. radiation power (MW)	30	30	10	5.5
Bending radius (km)	10.7			
$\beta$ function at IP: $\beta_x^*$ (m)	0.36	0.36	0.2	0.2
$eta_y^*$ (m)	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.001
Emittance: x (nm)	1.21	0.54	0.18	0.18
<i>y</i> (nm)	0.0024	0.0016	0.004	0.0016
Beam size at IP: $\sigma_x$ (µm)	20.9	13.9	6.0	6.0
$\sigma_y$ ( $\mu { m m}$ )	0.06	0.049	0.078	0.04
Beam-beam parameters: $\xi_x$	0.018	0.013	0.004	0.004
$\xi_y$	0.109	0.123	0.06	0.079
RF voltage $V_{RF}$ (GV)	2.17	0.47	47 0.1	
RF frequency $f_{RF}$ (MHz)	650			
Natural bunch length $\sigma_z$ (mm)	2.72	2.98	2.42	
Bunch length $\sigma_z$ (mm)	4.4	5.9	8.5	
Natural energy spread (%)	0.1	0.066	0.038	
Energy spread (%)	0.134	0.098	0.08	
Photon number due to beamstrahlung	0.082	0.05	0.023	
Lifetime (hour)	0.43	1.4	4.6	2.5
F (hour glass)	0.89	0.94	0.99	
Luminosity/IP $(10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$	3	10	17	32

 Table 3.1: Main beam parameters for the CEPC operation at three center-of-mass energies. The detector solenoid magnetic field affects the beam quality in the Z-factory operation mode. The last two columns compare the beam parameters for the case of a 2 or 3 Tesla detector solenoid.



Concept	ILD	CEPC baseline	IDEA	
Tracker	TPC/Silicon	TPC/Silicon	Drift Chamber/Silicon	
		or FST		
Solenoid B-Field (T)	3.5	3	2	
Solenoid Inner Radius (m)	3.4	3.2	2.1	
Solenoid Length (m)	8.0	7.8	6.0	
L* (m)	3.5	2.2	2.2	
VTX Inner Radius (mm)	16	16	16	
Tracker Outer Radius (m)	1.81	1.81	2.05	
Calorimeter	PFA	PFA	Dual readout	
Calorimeter $\lambda_I$	6.6	5.6	7.5	
ECAL Cell Size (mm)	5	10	-	
ECAL Time resolution (ps)	-	200	<del></del> 0	
ECAL $X_0$	24	24	. <del></del>	
HCAL Layer Number	48	40	-	
HCAL Absorber	Fe	Fe	- 1	
HCAL $\lambda_I$	5.9	4.9	-	
DRCAL Cell Size (mm)	2	20	6.0	
DRCAL Time resolution (ps)	-	-	100	
DRCAL Absorber	-	8 <del>7</del> 8	Pb or Cu or Fe	
Overall Height (m)	14.0	14.5	11.0	
Overall Length (m)	13.2	14.0	13.0	



Figure 3.10: Schematic layout of the IDEA detector.