Performance of the ATLAS Inner Detector tracking and new Long-Lived Particle triggers in the LHC Run 3

## Jonathan Long On behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration



University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

Oct 11<sup>th</sup>, 2023 CTD 2023



#### Introduction

- Run 3 ATLAS Inner Detector Trigger
- Run 3 ID trigger performance
- Unconventional tracking triggers for Run 3



44m



#### ATLAS Trigger Schematic



#### Trigger Software Tracking Overview

- Signatures that use tracking:
	- Electrons, muons, b-jets, taus, B-physics, long-lived particles
	- Isolation for leptons
	- Full Detector tracking for Jet and Missing Transverse Momentum enables particle flow
		- Closer to offline, better jet resolution, better pile-up rejection
- Crucial element of ATLAS trigger to find and save events needed for physics program
- Designed considering speed and efficiency with respect to offline tracking
	- Computationally challenging in high pile-up environment
- **Full Detector** (entire Inner Detector) and **Region-of-Interest** (small regions around calorimeter or muon spectrometer signature)

#### HLT Trigger Tracking Flow



#### ● **Data Preparation**

– Retrieve raw Pixel and SCT detector data within region of interest and cluster hits; space-point formation

#### ● **Fast Track Finder (FTF) (unique to trigger)**

- First pass of tracking optimized for speed and efficiency
- *Seeding and track formation (slow)*
- **Optional 'Hypo', i.e. selection to reject event**

#### **Precision Tracking**

- Offline-like tracking seeded by Fast Track Finder to increase purity and resolution of tracks
- Runs ambiguity removal between tracks
- Extension into Transition Radiation Tracker

Run 3 Performance of Trigger Lepton Tracking

- Exceptional performance of inner detector trigger continues
- New for Run 3: Gaussian Sum filter (GSF) for electrons (better for Brem.)



Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023) Jonathan D. Long (UIUC) 7

*Efficiencies are with respect to offline tracks*

[ATL-COM-DAQ-](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2023_075_ID_Trigger)

[2023-075](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2023_075_ID_Trigger)

#### New for Run 3: Improved Electron  $p_T$  resolution with GSF

 $p_T$  difference wrt offline tracks improved



#### New For Run 3: Speeding up tracking for Muon Isolation *RoI η and Φ are*

• Run in second step after finding muon **by a set of the contract of the contr** 

*wider for isolation* 

- Better use of muon candidate to refine isolation tracking RoI to  $z_0$  position of muon candidate with a 10 mm half-width
	- For Run 2, the  $z_0$  width was not restricted
- 5x reduction in execution time; efficiency remains high



Execution time [ms]

#### New For Run 3: Full detector tracking in HLT

- Want full detector tracking to improve trigger performance of jets and  $E_{T}^{miss}$
- No new FPGA/GPU-based solution for Run 3
- Full detector tracking is slow  $(-1.3$  seconds / evt) and expensive
	- Increase CPU farm performance
	- Optimize tracking (filtering seeds [see backup], only FTF)
	- Run tracking only when we really need it  $(\sim)14$  kHz, once per event)



#### New For Run 3: RoI b-jet tracking + fast b-tagger for early rejection



#### Unconventional Tracking for Long-Lived Particles (LLPs)

- Many unique, non-standard signatures that **rely on tracking information for identification**
- Only standard tracking was used in the Run 2 trigger, with coverage out to  $|d_0|$  < 5-10 mm
	- Not adequate for most LLPs
- Calorimeter and muon spectrometer based triggers generally have **high thresholds** to keep rates reasonable
- Directly triggering on displaced objects keeps rates low while improving trigger acceptance for LLP searches



#### New LLP triggers for Run 3

- Long-lived charged particles
	- Disappearing track triggers (see [CTD2022](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1103637/contributions/4825735/)/backup)
	- Large dE/dx
	- $-$  Isolated high  $p_T$  track
- Long-lived particle decaying into jets
	- Hit-based Displaced Vertex
	- Emerging jets
	- Displaced jets

*Generally:*

- Make use of full scan tracking
- *Apply additional requirements to reduce Jet or MET thresholds*

[ATL-COM-DAQ-2023-075](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2023_075_ID_Trigger)

- <sup>◽</sup> *Single jet threshold: 420 GeV*
- <sup>◽</sup> *E<sup>T</sup> miss threshold: 90 GeV*
- *Run Large Radius Tracking (LRT)*

*Most of the following slides are MCbased performance*

• LLPs decaying into SM leptons (see [CTD2022](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1103637/contributions/4825735/)/backup for more)

#### High dE/dx dE/dx: [JHEP 06 \(2023\) 158](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/JHEP06(2023)158)

- Signature: long-lived massive charged particle
	- Relatively large energy deposits in silicon sensors on track
- Run 2 analysis:
	- Trigger **E<sup>T</sup> miss > 110 GeV**
- $\cdot$  3.3 $\sigma$  excess in Run 2 dE/dx analysis



• Full detector tracking after  $E_T$ <sup>miss</sup> > 80 GeV  $p_T > 50$  GeV and dE/dx  $> 1.7$  MeV/cm  $cm<sup>2</sup>/g$ ] **ATLAS** Preliminar  $4.5$ Data 2022,  $\sqrt{s} = 13.6 \text{ TeV}$ Numbe *Offline v Trigger*  Offline track  $\overline{30}$  $p_T > 12$  GeV<br>dE/dx > 1.25 MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g *dE/dx*  20  $10$  GeV 10 dE/dx > 1.25 MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g 2.5 Offline track dE/dx [MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g] **ATLAS** Preliminary Data 2022,  $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$  TeV Number of  $10$ Trigger track  $p_r > 10$  GeV,  $dE/dx > 1.25$  MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g *Trigger dE/dx*   $10^5$ 

 $10<sup>4</sup>$ 

1.5

2.5

З

 $3.5$ 

4

Track dE/dx [MeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g]

Directly trigger on high dE/dx tracks

#### **Isolated high**  $p<sub>T</sub>$  **tracks**

- Signature: long-lived massive charged  $\bullet$   $\bullet$   $p_T > 120$  GeV + track-based isolation particle with detector (ID) stable lifetime
	- Isolated track
- Also motivated by dE/dx search
	- Would like to lower  $\mathsf{E}_{\textsf{T}}^{\textsf{miss}}$ requirement for Run 3 searches



- Directly trigger on isolated high  $p<sub>T</sub>$  tracks
- Use full scan tracking after  $E_T$ <sup>miss</sup> > 80 GeV
- 



#### Hit-based Displaced Vertex

- Signature: long-lived neutral particle decaying into jet/displaced vertex in the ID volume
- Run standard full-detector tracking and find left-over hits around jet
	- Large number of hits on outer layer compared to inner layer signature of displaced vertices that are not reconstructed
- BDT uses fraction of hits-per-layer to identify this signature
- 1)  $E_T$ <sup>miss</sup> preselection and Jet with  $p_T > 200$ GeV and |η| < 1 passing BDT
- 2) Jet with  $p_T > 260$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 1$ passing BDT



## Emerging jets

- Signature: semi-visible jets often in models with dark sector
	- Displaced tracks and displaced vertices in semi-visible jets
- Use standard full-detector tracking to compute fraction of jet momentum associated with prompt tracks (PTF)
	- Expect low fraction for emerging jets



- 2) 45 GeV Photon seeded with 2 Large R jets with  $p_T > 55$  GeV and PTF  $\leq 0.1$
- Overall efficiency depends on PTF acceptance





#### Displaced jets

- Signature: displaced jet
	- Jets without many prompt tracks
- Requires HLT jet with  $p_T > 180$  GeV
	- Single jet threshold is 420 GeV
- Count prompt and displaced tracks with  $p_T > 1$  GeV around  $\Delta R < 0.4$  of jets
	- Threshold at  $|d_0|$  = 3 mm
- Run LRT on remaining hits in RoI around jets that pass preselection  $n_{\text{prompt}}$  ≤ 2



- Leading 180 GeV jet (ISR Jet) +
- 1) 140 GeV jet with  $n_{\text{promp}} \le 1$  and  $n_{\text{disp}} \ge 3$
- 2) Two 50 GeV jets with  $n_{\text{promp}} \leq 2$  and  $n_{\text{diss}} \geq 3$ 
	- − 2<sup>nd</sup> jet may have n<sub>disp</sub> ≥ 0 if n<sub>promp</sub> ≤ 1



#### Displaced Taus

- Signature: displaced tau
	- Hadronically decaying taus with large  $d_0$
- Standard single tau threshold of 160 GeV
- Retrained tau RNN to select displaced taus based on standard tracking
- Additional trigger running LRT in RoI around tau under development



- Single tau:  $p_T > 200$  GeV
- Di-Tau:  $p_T > 80$  GeV and  $p_T > 60$  GeV
- Tau+X, seeded by X, with lower thresholds



#### Displaced Electrons: Trigger Tracking Performance

- Signature: displaced electron
	- Electrons with large  $d_0$
- Run LRT in RoI around Calo candidate
- Performance measured with respect to offline electron tracks





#### Displaced Muons: Trigger Tracking Performance



#### Full Detector Trigger LRT Tracking Performance with K<sup>o</sup>s

- Use offline K<sup>o</sup>s vertices to measure trigger LRT performance
	- $p_T > 1$  GeV,  $d_0 > 5$  mm, opp. charge, 25 MeV mass window
	- Match offline (STD+LRT) tracks to *standard trigger tracks* (and remove)
	- Remaining offline tracks used as denominator
- Reprocessed special dataset to run trigger full detector LRT
- Per track efficiency and efficiency of matching both tracks in vertex



#### **Conclusion**

- Greatly expanded use of tracking in the HLT for Run 3
	- $-$  Running full detector tracking for all  $E_T$ <sup>miss</sup> and Jet signatures
	- New triggers targeting a wide variety of LLP signatures
- Tracking is the most CPU intensive part of the HLT, requiring selective use and clever optimization
- Tracking continues to be a key element of the ATLAS Trigger
	- Exciting prospects with new LLP triggers
- Excellent performance in Run 3 so far and continues to improve

## Backup

#### dE/dx Run 2 search

• Follow-up using calorimeter timing. Events not compatible with slow moving particle dE/dx+ToF: [ATLAS-CONF-2023-044](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2023-044/)



# Improvements for Run 3

- Unconventional tracking signatures
- Full detector tracking for Jet and Missing Transverse Momentum signatures

## Disappearing Track Trigger **[ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-011](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#High_Level_Trigger_Run_3_Disappe)**

- Modify tracking algorithm to save failed tracklets
	- Run in full scan instances (MET)
- Categorize tracklets based on number of Pixel and SCT hits
- Train BDT based on various track-related quantities to reject fake tracklets (parameters,  $\chi^2$ ,..)



Long-lived chargino



Large Radius Tracking (LRT)

- See J. Burzynski's talk from [CTD2022](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1103637/timetable/?view=standard#4-improved-track-reconstructio) for information on improved ATLAS LRT for Run 3
- **Key improvements**
	- Reduced number of fake tracks
	- Improved processing time
- Run 2 LLP searches generally relied on calorimeter or muon-spectrometer based triggers with **high thresholds** (~60 GeV for two objects)
	- Impacted acceptance of interesting models such as light displaced staus, which have relatively low momentum decay products



Large Radius Tracking for Leptons in RoIs

- **SCT only seeding**, without ordering by impact parameter; tighter track selection than for prompt
- **Single pass of tracking, unlike offline tracking that** runs on remaining hits after standard tracking
	- **Reconstructs pT>1 GeV and |d0|>2mm**
	- Timing and performance acceptable without extra complexity of two steps



**Region-of-Interest** midpoint  $s$ ector sector  $+1$  $sector -1$ inner middle outer Number of layers required and size of RoI in  $\phi$  limit reach to large displacements [ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-023](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2022_023_Expected_Hi) [ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-026](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2022_026_Expected_Ti)

#### Expected LRT Lepton Trigger Performance

- Target displaced electrons and muons from a **few mm out to 300 mm**
- Efficiency with respect to offline tracks truth-matched to signal leptons







#### Full Scan Large Radius Tracking

- Useful for signatures without obvious RoI or adding LRT to jets
- Runs as second pass after standard tracking, otherwise similar to RoI LRT
	- 1.7x mean processing time compared to standard tracking
- Optimizations for processing time reduce efficiency compared to offline tracking



 $\mathbf{\Xi}$ 

—<br>ი O

Μ−<br>D

 $\blacktriangleright$ [Q-2](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2022_026_Expected_Ti)  $\mathbf \circ$  $\overline{N}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  $\overline{\text{C}}$  $\sigma$ 

#### LRT Lepton Eff vs Prod Radius **[ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-023](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2022_023_Expected_Hi)**



#### Additional LRT Full Scan Eff [ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-023](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2022_023_Expected_Hi)



# Speeding up Full Scan tracking

## Speeding up Track Seeding

- Full scan tracking is time consuming
- Seeding, forming of triplets, first step in combining hits into tracks
- Number of seeds increases rapidly with number of hits (e.g. larger pileup)
- Number of seeds also impacts time needed for later steps of tracking

**Speed up tracking by rejecting bad seeds from the start** 



## ML based filtering

- Train classifier on **cluster width in η** and **doublet inclination angle** with respect to the z-axis
- Train for pixel-barrel and pixel-endcap doublets and standard/long pixel combinations (banding)



cot(e)I  $\mathbf p$ **ATLAS** Simulation Preliminary **Predicted correct hit association** L-C Monte Carlo 13 TeV  $t\bar{t}$  <u> = 80 O M-D  $\blacktriangleright$ [Q-2](https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HLTTrackingPublicResults#ATL_COM_DAQ_2021_003_Machine_Lea)  $\mathbf{\circ}$ 2 1-0  $2.5$  $1.5$  $\overline{\omega}$ Pixel cluster width  $w_n$  [mm] bin(lcot(e)I) 40 **ATLAS** Simulation Preliminary Monte Carlo 13 TeV  $t\bar{t}$  <u> = 80 35  $30<sup>2</sup>$  $25<sup>1</sup>$  $20<sup>2</sup>$ ● Turn acceptance region of doublets into **look**  Ooublet acceptance region "0  $\mathcal{P}$ 8 10  $12$  $14$ 16 18 20 22 24 26  $bin(w_n [mm])$ 

**up table**



#### Optimizing Full Scan Tracking for Jet and MET Signatures



# Other backup material Run 2 / RoI details

#### Timing for Muon Trigger



- Clustering and spacepoint formation is fast: 4-10 ms
- Fast Tracking mean of 40 ms (tail up to 300 ms), precision tracking 7 ms
- Tracking for isolation in wider RoI is slower around 116 ms
- Extension to TRT is also fast, under 10 ms
- Sum of mean times  $\leq$  200 ms

[Eur. Phys. J. C 82 \(2022\) 206](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09920-0)

#### Lepton Tracking Efficiency and Resolution vs Offline Tracks



E

#### Two Stage Tracking



- Allows for updating RoI after first pass to optimize CPU performance and efficiency—**improvement** over single stage strategy
- - Run first pass to find luminous / vertex region in Z with narrow eta and phi
	- Second pass with restricted Z region, but full eta and phi



#### Two Stage Tracking (taus and b-jets)



 $\blacksquare$ د<br>ا  $\overline{\mathtt{U}}$  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  $\prec$ s. ے.  $\bigcap$  $\infty$  $\overline{N}$  $\mathbf{v}$  $\subset$  $\bar{\mathcal{N}}$  $\bar{\nu}$  $\mathbb{N}$  $\mathbf{\Omega}$  $\overline{O}$ 

b-jet Trigger track multiplicity

#### Merging Regions-of-Interest: 'Super RoIs'

- B-Jet triggers are most costly in terms of CPU resources
- Jet triggers may have multiple RoIs per event used to seed b-jet trigger
	- **Merging the RoIs** into a single event wide RoI reduces the overhead from overlapping regions, e.g. data preparation
- Two stage tracking strategy to first find luminous region and then for b-jet vertexing
	- Primary vertex cached per event from most expensive step (vertex fast tracking)
	- Vertexing itself is fast <10ms  $[O(1)$  ms for lepton RoIs  $]$





#### Electron and b-jet Timing



#### Electron precision tracking resolution worse than FTF at low pT





- These are likely electrons that have radiated (track) pT<<threshold)
- FTF likely rejecting outermost SCT hit
	- Track not getting pulled by outer hit
	- Better resolution for  $p_T$  based on inner hits

#### [Eur. Phys. J. C 82 \(2022\) 206](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09920-0)

#### Vertexing Resolution **EUR. 20022** 20022) 200

