Performance of the ATLAS Inner Detector tracking and new Long-Lived Particle triggers in the LHC Run 3

Jonathan Long On behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration



University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

Oct 11th, 2023 CTD 2023



Introduction

- Run 3 ATLAS Inner Detector Trigger
- Run 3 ID trigger performance
- Unconventional tracking triggers for Run 3



44m



ATLAS Trigger Schematic



Trigger Software Tracking Overview

- Signatures that use tracking:
 - Electrons, muons, b-jets, taus, B-physics, long-lived particles
 - Isolation for leptons
 - Full Detector tracking for Jet and Missing Transverse Momentum enables particle flow
 - Closer to offline, better jet resolution, better pile-up rejection
- Crucial element of ATLAS trigger to find and save events needed for physics program
- Designed considering speed and efficiency with respect to offline tracking
 - Computationally challenging in high pile-up environment
- Full Detector (entire Inner Detector) and Region-of-Interest (small regions around calorimeter or muon spectrometer signature)

HLT Trigger Tracking Flow



Data Preparation

 Retrieve raw Pixel and SCT detector data within region of interest and cluster hits; space-point formation

• Fast Track Finder (FTF) (unique to trigger)

- First pass of tracking optimized for speed and efficiency
- Seeding and track formation (slow)
- **Optional 'Hypo'**, i.e. selection to reject event

Precision Tracking

- Offline-like tracking seeded by Fast Track Finder to increase purity and resolution of tracks
- Runs ambiguity removal between tracks
- Extension into Transition Radiation Tracker

Run 3 Performance of Trigger Lepton Tracking

- Exceptional performance of inner detector trigger continues
- New for Run 3: Gaussian Sum filter (GSF) for electrons (better for Brem.)



Jonathan D. Long (UIUC)

Efficiencies are with respect to offline tracks

ATL-COM-DAQ-2023-075

New for Run 3: Improved Electron p_T resolution with GSF

p_⊤ difference wrt offline tracks improved



Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

New For Run 3: Speeding up tracking for Muon Isolation

• Run in second step after finding muon

Rol η and Φ are wider for isolation tracking

- Better use of muon candidate to refine isolation tracking RoI to z_0 position of muon candidate with a 10 mm half-width
 - For Run 2, the z_0 width was not restricted
 - 5x reduction in execution time; efficiency remains high



•

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

New For Run 3: Full detector tracking in HLT

- Want full detector tracking to improve trigger performance of jets and ET^{miss}
- No new FPGA/GPU-based solution for Run 3
- Full detector tracking is slow (~1.3 seconds / evt) and expensive
 - Increase CPU farm performance
 - Optimize tracking (filtering seeds [see backup], only FTF)
 - Run tracking only when we really need it (~14 kHz, once per event)





Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

New For Run 3: Rol b-jet tracking + fast b-tagger for early rejection



 ϕ'

Unconventional Tracking for Long-Lived Particles (LLPs)

- Many unique, non-standard signatures that rely on tracking information for identification
- Only standard tracking was used in the Run 2 trigger, with coverage out to |d₀| < 5-10 mm
 - Not adequate for most LLPs
- Calorimeter and muon spectrometer based triggers generally have high thresholds to keep rates reasonable
- Directly triggering on displaced objects keeps rates low while improving trigger acceptance for LLP searches

New LLP triggers for Run 3

- Long-lived charged particles
 - Disappearing track triggers (see CTD2022/backup)
 - Large dE/dx
 - Isolated high p_T track
- Long-lived particle decaying into jets
 - Hit-based Displaced Vertex
 - Emerging jets
 - Displaced jets

Generally:

- Make use of full scan tracking
- Apply additional requirements to reduce Jet or MET thresholds

ATL-COM-DAO-2023-075

- Single jet threshold: 420 GeV
- *E_T^{miss} threshold: 90 GeV*
- Run Large Radius Tracking (LRT)

Most of the following slides are MCbased performance

LLPs decaying into SM leptons (see CTD2022/backup for more)

dE/dx: JHEP 06 (2023) 158 High dE/dx

- Signature: long-lived massive charged particle
 - Relatively large energy deposits in silicon sensors on track
- Run 2 analysis:
 - Trigger E_T^{miss} > 110 GeV
 - Track $p_T > 120 \text{ GeV}$
- 3.3 o excess in Run 2 dE/dx analysis •

- Directly trigger on high dE/dx tracks
- Full detector tracking after E_T^{miss} > 80 GeV
- $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and dE/dx > 1.7 MeV/cm

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Isolated high p_⊤ tracks

- Signature: long-lived massive charged particle with detector (ID) stable lifetime
 - Isolated track
- Also motivated by dE/dx search
 - Would like to lower E_T^{miss} requirement for Run 3 searches

- Directly trigger on isolated high p_T tracks
- Use full scan tracking after E_T^{miss} > 80 GeV
- p_T > 120 GeV + track-based isolation

Hit-based Displaced Vertex

- Signature: long-lived neutral particle decaying into jet/displaced vertex in the ID volume
- Run standard full-detector tracking and find left-over hits around jet
 - Large number of hits on outer layer compared to inner layer signature of displaced vertices that are not reconstructed
- BDT uses fraction of hits-per-layer to identify this signature

- 1) E_T^{miss} preselection and Jet with $p_T > 200$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1$ passing BDT
- 2) Jet with $p_T > 260$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1$ passing BDT

Emerging jets

- Signature: semi-visible jets often in models with dark sector
 - Displaced tracks and displaced vertices in semi-visible jets
- Use standard full-detector tracking to compute fraction of jet momentum associated with prompt tracks (PTF)
 - Expect low fraction for emerging jets

- 2) 45 GeV Photon seeded with 2 Large R jets with p_T > 55 GeV and PTF < 0.1
- Overall efficiency depends on PTF acceptance

Displaced jets

- Signature: displaced jet
 - Jets without many prompt tracks
- Requires HLT jet with $p_T > 180 \text{ GeV}$
 - Single jet threshold is 420 GeV
- Count prompt and displaced tracks with $p_T > 1$ GeV around $\Delta R < 0.4$ of jets
 - Threshold at |d₀| = 3 mm
- Run LRT on remaining hits in Rol around jets that pass preselection n_{prompt} ≤ 2

- Leading 180 GeV jet (ISR Jet) +
- 1) 140 GeV jet with $n_{promp} \le 1$ and $n_{disp} \ge 3$
- 2) Two 50 GeV jets with n_{promp} ≤ 2 and n_{disp} ≥ 3
 - 2^{nd} jet may have $n_{disp} \ge 0$ if $n_{promp} \le 1$

Displaced Taus

- Signature: displaced tau
 - Hadronically decaying taus with large d₀
- Standard single tau threshold of 160 GeV
- Retrained tau RNN to select displaced taus based on standard tracking
- Additional trigger running LRT in Rol around tau under development

- Single tau: p_T > 200 GeV
- Di-Tau: $p_T > 80$ GeV and $p_T > 60$ GeV
- Tau+X, seeded by X, with lower thresholds

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Displaced Electrons: Trigger Tracking Performance

- Signature: displaced electron •
 - Electrons with large d_0
- Run LRT in Rol around Calo candidate •
- Performance measured with respect to • offline electron tracks

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Displaced Muons: Trigger Tracking Performance

Signature: displaced muon • $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ and $d_0 > 2 \text{ mm}$ Muons with large d_0 100 GeV, Run LRT in Rol around MS candidate • 1 ns di-smuon 1.6 Efficiency Performance measured with respect to ttbar (1 lep) • **ATLAS** Preliminary 1.4 Data 2022, √s = 13.6 TeV offline muons LRT muon trigger, Offline muon $p_{-}>10$ GeV, $d_{o}>2$ mm 30 Mean execution time per call [ms] **ATLAS** Preliminary muon LRT MC di-smuon, mass = 100 GeV, lifetime = 1 ns Data $\sqrt{s} = 13.6 \text{ TeV}$, October 2022 MC ttbar 1 lepton Fast tracking Data Precision tracking Total Si data preparation 0.8 20 Total TRT extension 0.6 15 I 0.4 10 0.2 -150 -100 -200 50 150 200 -50n 100 25 30 35 40 50 45 55 Offline muon d_o [mm] Data prep slower, FTF faster Pile-up <µ> than standard muon tracking

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Full Detector Trigger LRT Tracking Performance with K⁰s

- Use offline K⁰s vertices to measure trigger LRT performance
 - p_T > 1 GeV, d_0 > 5 mm, opp. charge, 25 MeV mass window
 - Match offline (STD+LRT) tracks to standard trigger tracks (and remove)
 - Remaining offline tracks used as denominator
- Reprocessed special dataset to run trigger full detector LRT
- Per track efficiency and efficiency of matching both tracks in vertex

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Conclusion

- Greatly expanded use of tracking in the HLT for Run 3
 - Running full detector tracking for all E_T^{miss} and Jet signatures
 - New triggers targeting a wide variety of LLP signatures
- Tracking is the most CPU intensive part of the HLT, requiring selective use and clever optimization

- Tracking continues to be a key element of the ATLAS Trigger
 - Exciting prospects with new LLP triggers
- Excellent performance in Run 3 so far and continues to improve

Backup

dE/dx Run 2 search

• Follow-up using calorimeter timing. Events not compatible with slow moving particle dE/dx+ToF: ATLAS-CONF-2023-044

Improvements for Run 3

- Unconventional tracking signatures
- Full detector tracking for Jet and Missing Transverse Momentum signatures

Disappearing Track Trigger

- Modify tracking algorithm to save failed tracklets
 - Run in full scan instances (MET)
- Categorize tracklets based on number of Pixel and SCT hits
- Train BDT based on various track-related quantities to reject fake tracklets (parameters, χ²,..)

ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-011

Long-lived chargino

Large Radius Tracking (LRT)

- See J. Burzynski's talk from CTD2022 for information on improved ATLAS LRT for Run 3
- Key improvements
 - Reduced number of fake tracks
 - Improved processing time
- Run 2 LLP searches generally relied on calorimeter or muon-spectrometer based triggers with high thresholds (~60 GeV for two objects)
 - Impacted acceptance of interesting models such as light displaced staus, which have relatively low momentum decay products

Large Radius Tracking for Leptons in Rols

- **SCT only seeding**, without ordering by impact parameter; tighter track selection than for prompt
- **Single pass of tracking**, unlike offline tracking that runs on remaining hits after standard tracking
 - Reconstructs p_T>1 GeV and |d₀|>2mm
 - Timing and performance acceptable without extra complexity of two steps

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Expected LRT Lepton Trigger Performance

- ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-023
- Target displaced electrons and muons from a few mm out to 300 mm
- Efficiency with respect to offline tracks truth-matched to signal leptons

Full Scan Large Radius Tracking

- Useful for signatures without obvious Rol or adding LRT to jets
- Runs as second pass after standard tracking, otherwise similar to RoI LRT
 - 1.7x mean processing time compared to standard tracking
- Optimizations for processing time reduce efficiency compared to offline tracking

ÖM-

Ċ

20

22-02

0

LRT Lepton Eff vs Prod Radius

Additional LRT Full Scan Eff

ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-023

Speeding up Full Scan tracking

Speeding up Track Seeding

- Full scan tracking is time consuming
- Seeding, forming of triplets, first step in combining hits into tracks
- Number of seeds increases rapidly with number of hits (e.g. larger pileup)
- Number of seeds also impacts time needed for later steps of tracking

 Speed up tracking by rejecting bad seeds from the start

ML based filtering

- Train classifier on **cluster width in n** and • doublet inclination angle with respect to the z-axis
- Train for pixel-barrel and pixel-endcap doublets • and standard/long pixel combinations (banding)

up table

•

Optimizing Full Scan Tracking for Jet and MET Signatures

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Other backup material Run 2 / Rol details

Timing for Muon Trigger

- Clustering and spacepoint formation is fast: 4-10 ms
- Fast Tracking mean of 40 ms (tail up to 300 ms), precision tracking 7 ms
- Tracking for isolation in wider Rol is slower around 116 ms
- Extension to TRT is also fast, under 10 ms
- Sum of mean times < 200 ms

Lepton Tracking Efficiency and Resolution vs Offline Tracks

Two Stage Tracking

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

- Allows for updating Rol after first pass to optimize CPU performance and efficiency-improvement over single stage strategy
- Used for **tau** and **b-jet** triggers
 - Run first pass to find luminous / vertex region in Z with narrow eta and phi
 - Second pass with restricted Z region, but full eta and phi

Two Stage Tracking (taus and b-jets)

b-jet Trigger track multiplicity

Offline vertex track multiplicity per Rol

Merging Regions-of-Interest: 'Super Rols'

- B-Jet triggers are most costly in terms of CPU resources
- Jet triggers may have multiple Rols per event used to seed b-jet trigger
 - Merging the Rols into a single event wide Rol reduces the overhead from overlapping regions, e.g. data preparation
- Two stage tracking strategy to first find luminous region and then for b-jet vertexing
 - Primary vertex cached per event from most expensive step (vertex fast tracking)
 - Vertexing itself is fast <10ms [O(1) ms for lepton Rols]

Fast Primary Vertex Finding Vormalised entries b-jet vertex finding $\langle t \rangle = 1.184 \pm 0.006$ ms --- Histogram vertex finding $< t > = 0.287 \pm 0.003$ ms ATLAS Extrapolate tracks back to beamline • Data Vs = 13 TeV, September 2018 Pile-up $\langle u \rangle = 52$ 10^{-1} Histogram count of tracks compatible with . 10^{-2} windows in 7 10 High efficiency vs pile-up and faster • 10^{-4} 2 З Processing time per Rol [ms] Illustration of concept using seeds 1.05 lertex finding efficiency ZFinder weight multiplicity 000000000 ATLAS Preliminary 60 Peaks 0.95F Data 2017 pp vs = 13 TeV µ ~ 2, single event correspond to 50 0.9 40 vertex 0.85 ATLAS Data 2018 √s = 13 TeV candidates • 55 GeV b-jet trigger : Histogram vertex 0.8 20 o 110 GeV b-jet trigger : Histogram vertex 0.75 □ 55 GeV b-jet trigger : Offline based vertex 10 △ 110 GeV b-jet trigger : Offline based vertex 0.7 10 20 30 60 50 40 ATL-COM-DAQ-2022-010 z [mm] Pile-up <u>

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Π

5

Phy

S

 ∞

Ň

202

N

206

Electron and b-jet Timing

Oct 11, 2023 (CTD 2023)

Electron precision tracking resolution worse than FTF at low pT

clusters cluster ATLAS ATLAS 10.5 10.5 Data 2018 vs = 13 TeV Data 2018 √s = 13 TeV Offline tight electrons, E_ > 15 GeV Offline tight electrons, E_ > 15 GeV SCT SCT 10 15 GeV electron trigge 15 GeV electron triage Trigger (Fast tracking 9.5 Trigger Precision tracking Precision tracking 8.5 8.5 7.5 10^{2} 2×10² 4 5 6 7 10 20 30 Offline electron track p_ [GeV] Offline electron track n

SCT Hit Multiplicity

- These are likely electrons that have radiated (track pT<<threshold)
- FTF likely rejecting outermost SCT hit
 - Track not getting pulled by outer hit
 - Better resolution for p_T based on inner hits

Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 206

Vertexing Resolution

