Higgs and New Physics

LianTao Wang Univ. of Chicago

LHCP 2024. Northeastern U. Boston. June 6, 2024

Outline

* Why is Higgs important? (brief)

* What can the (HL)-LHC contribute?

Highlight some promising directions.

Why focusing on the Higgs

Why focusing on Higgs?

Higgs is simple.



Why focusing on Higgs?

Yet, Higgs is confusing.

Sure, the math is simple. It does not give us clues for a deeper understanding.

Different from other SM particles:

gauge boson (gauge symmetry), fermion (chiral symmetry)

Why focusing on Higgs?

Yet, Higgs is confusing.

Sure, the math is simple. It does not give us clues for a deeper understanding.

Different from other SM particles: gauge boson (gauge symmetry), fermion (chiral symmetry)

Maybe not as simple as it seems?

Is it elementary (like electron) or composite (like proton or pion)? Is the Higgs the only spin-0 particle, or there are similar ones? Where does the electroweak scale come from?

Higgs and everything else



Higgs and everything else



Higgs is likely to play a role in many of these, but how?

What do we know?

Higgs coupling other SM particles:



Other electroweak couplings known to much better precision $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

What do we know?

Higgs potential?

 $V(\phi)$



What do we know?

Higgs potential?







We need to know better!

What can (HL)-LHC do?

What can (HL)-LHC do?

Precision

Are we really sure the SM is as simple as it appears to be?

This is the "bread and butter".

Higgs coupling

Higgs coupling other SM particles:







1. Self-coupling



Unique kind of coupling. Important to observe it!

Is this the best place to look for new physics?



1. Self-coupling





hZZ vs Higgs self-coupling

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (H^{\dagger} \partial H)^2$



 $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (H^{\dagger} H)^3$



Modify H-Z coupling $\Rightarrow \delta_{Zh}$

Modify Higgs self-coupling $\Rightarrow \delta_{\lambda_3}$

hZZ vs Higgs self-coupling



No special symmetry, both will generally be there. All dim-6 operator \Rightarrow similar size of modification

H-Z coupling much better measured, should be the place to first discover such a modification.

hZZ vs Higgs self-coupling



$$\mathscr{L} \supset V(H) + V(S) + \lambda H^{\dagger} H S^{2}$$

For $m_s > m_h$, integrating out singlet

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (H^{\dagger} \partial H)^2 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (H^{\dagger} H)^3$$

EW phase transition



Models with 1st order EWSB, need large self-interaction

EW phase transition



Models with 1st order EWSB, need large self-interaction

Self-coupling: bottom line

- Unique coupling, never seen before, good to see it.
- Generically, H-Z coupling (better measured) more sensitive to new physics.
- If we are lucky (e.g. 1st order EWPT), may see large deviation in self-coupling.

What can (HL)-LHC do?

Rare processes



Unlikely, but seeing one can teach us a lot.

Large luminosity leads to big improvements.

HL-LHC as particle factories



Windows into dark sector: portals

 Any known (SM) particle can in principle have small couplings to dark sector.



Windows into dark sector: portals

 Any known (SM) particle can in principle have small couplings to dark sector.



Higgs to dark sector



Higgs portal $\lambda H^{\dagger} H \mathcal{O}_{dark}$

 $\mathcal{O}_{dark} = SM singlet$

Higgs portal $\lambda H^{\dagger}H \mathcal{O}_{dark}$ $\mathcal{O}_{dark} = SM singlet$ Example 1: $\lambda H^{\dagger}HS^2$ to avoid fine-tuning, $\lambda \sim m_S^2/v^2$ $BR(h \rightarrow SS) \sim m_S^4 / (m_h^2 m_h^2) \sim a \text{ few \%}$ if $m_S \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$

Higgs portal
$$\lambda H^{\dagger} H \mathcal{O}_{dark}$$
 $\mathcal{O}_{dark} = SM \text{ singlet}$ Example 1: $\lambda H^{\dagger} H S^2$ to avoid fine-tuning, $\lambda \sim m_S^2 / v^2$ $BR(h \to SS) \sim m_S^4 / (m_h^2 m_b^2) \sim a \text{ few \%}$ if $m_S \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$ Example 2: $\frac{H^2}{\Lambda^2} F_D^{\mu\nu} F_{D\mu\nu}$ $BR(h \to \gamma_D \gamma_D) \sim (v/\Lambda)^4 (m_h^2 / m_b^2) \sim a \text{ few \%}$ if $\Lambda \sim \text{TeVs}$

Higgs portal
$$\lambda H^{\dagger} H \mathcal{O}_{dark}$$
 $\mathcal{O}_{dark} = SM \text{ singlet}$ Example 1: $\lambda H^{\dagger} H S^2$ to avoid fine-tuning, $\lambda \sim m_S^2 / v^2$ $BR(h \to SS) \sim m_S^4 / (m_h^2 m_b^2) \sim a \text{ few \%}$ if $m_S \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$ Example 2: $\frac{H^2}{\Lambda^2} F_D^{\mu\nu} F_{D\mu\nu}$ $BR(h \to \gamma_D \gamma_D) \sim (v/\Lambda)^4 (m_h^2 / m_b^2) \sim a \text{ few \%}$ if $\Lambda \sim \text{TeVs}$

Reasonable to have a small but still sizable BR



/	Decay Topologies	Decay mode \mathcal{F}_i	_	Decay Topologies	Decay mode \mathcal{F}_i
	h ightarrow 2	$h \to \not\!\!\!E_{\mathrm{T}}$	=	$h \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4$	$h ightarrow (b\bar{b})(b\bar{b})$
\backslash	h ightarrow 2 ightarrow 3	$h \to \gamma + \not\!\!\!E_{\mathrm{T}}$	_		$h ightarrow (bar{b})(au^+ au^-)$
		$h ightarrow (bar{b}) + ot\!$			$h ightarrow (bar{b})(\mu^+\mu^-)$
		$h ightarrow (jj) + ot\!$		\langle	$h ightarrow (au^+ au^-)(au^+ au^-)$
		$h ightarrow (au^+ au^-) + ot\!$		-	$h ightarrow(au^+ au^-)(\mu^+\mu^-)$
		$h ightarrow (\gamma \gamma) + ot\!$			$h \rightarrow (jj)(jj)$
		$h ightarrow (\ell^+ \ell^-) + E_{ m T}$	_		$h ightarrow (jj)(\gamma\gamma)$
	$h \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4$	$h ightarrow (bb) + E_{ m T}$			$h ightarrow (jj)(\mu^+\mu^-)$
	\langle	$h \rightarrow (jj) + \not\!$			$h ightarrow (\ell^+ \ell^-) (\ell^+ \ell^-)$
	$\langle \rangle$	$h \rightarrow (\tau^+ \tau^-) + \not\!$			$h ightarrow (\ell^+ \ell^-)(\mu^+ \mu^-)$
	$ \longrightarrow $	$h \rightarrow (\gamma \gamma) + p_{\rm T}$			$h ightarrow(\mu^+\mu^-)(\mu^+\mu^-)$
		$h \rightarrow (\ell^+ \ell^-) + \not\!$			$h ightarrow (\gamma \gamma) (\gamma \gamma)$
	$b \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow (1 \pm 3)$	$n \rightarrow (\mu \cdot \mu) + \mu_{\rm T}$ $h \rightarrow b\bar{b} + F_{\rm T}$	\langle		$h ightarrow \gamma \gamma + ot\!$
	$n \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow (1+3)$	$h \rightarrow ii + E_{\rm T}$		$h \to 2 \to 4 \to 6$	$h \rightarrow (\ell^+ \ell^-)(\ell^+ \ell^-) + E_T$
	\leftarrow	$h \rightarrow jj + pT$ $h \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- + E_T$			$h ightarrow (\ell^+ \ell^-) + E_{ m T} + X$
		$h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma + E_T$	\sim	$h \to 2 \to 6$	$h ightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \ell^+ \ell^- + E_{ m T}$
		$h \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- + E_T$		\leftarrow	$h \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- + E_T + X$
				\searrow	

$\mathcal{L} \supset V(H) + V(S) + \lambda H^{\dagger} H S^2$

For $m_s < 0.5 \times m_h$

After EWSB, $\Gamma(h \rightarrow ss) \propto (\lambda v)^2$.

Can be significant since $\Gamma_h^{\text{SM,tot}}$ is very narrow.

If $\langle S \rangle = 0$, missing energy

If $\langle S \rangle \neq 0$, singlet mixes with Higgs, prefers to decay to heavy fermion

A lot of room to improve!

Interesting target: 1st order EW phase transition

Extra scalar wants to be light, with sizable coupling to the Higgs

Carena, Liu, Wang, 1911.10206

Kozaczuk, Ramsey-Musolf, Shelton, 1911.10210

Interesting target: 1st order EW phase transition

Interesting target: 1st order EW phase transition

Toy model of a landscape, N scalars S_{i} .

If each scalar has two vacua $\Rightarrow 2^{N}$ vacua

Can be a large landscape for N >> 1 (e.g. N \sim 10²)

Toy model of a landscape, N scalars S_{i} .

If each scalar has two vacua $\Rightarrow 2^{N}$ vacua

Can be a large landscape for N >> 1 (e.g. N \sim 10²)

Connection to the Higgs mass, Higgs couples to the scalars

$$\mathscr{L} \supset H^{\dagger}H \sum_{i,j}^{N} \lambda_{ij}S_iS_j + \dots, \qquad N \gg 1$$

If m_S not too far away from weak scale, some of them with have $m_{S_i} < 0.5 \times m_h$

Rate into a particular final decay chain $\propto \lambda^2 \sim 1/N^2$, tiny.

However, many possible channels, total $h \rightarrow$ scalars can be sizable!

If m_S not too far away from weak scale, some of them with have $m_{S_i} < 0.5 \times m_h$

Rate into a particular final decay chain $\propto \lambda^2 \sim 1/N^2$, tiny.

However, many possible channels, total $h \rightarrow$ scalars can be sizable!

 \Rightarrow b/c/ τ rich states, but not recontructing particular resonances.

Are we ready for this?

New ideas to trigger and tag on this kind of final states?

Long lived particle (LLP)

Higgs portal long lived particles

Potential to do better, $BR(h \rightarrow XX) < 10^{-5}$

HL-LHC as particle factories

Similarly: top rare decay

H. Bahl, S. Koren, LTW 2307.11154

Similarly: top rare decay

Can have LLPs

Can use the other top as trigger

HL-LHC as particle factories

Aside: comment on Higgs final state

Useful, however:

Aside: comment on Higgs final state

Useful, however:

From Goldstone equivalence theorem, for heavy X, we expect to have channels with $h \leftrightarrow Z_L$, may also have channels with W_L

Need to be careful whether the Higgs final state is the most sensitive channel.

Summary

* Higgs boson is there. It is important, and yet mysterious.

- * Need a better picture to understand it!
- Higgs boson is also an obvious place to look for new physics.

In particular, exotic decays can benefit a lot from higher statistics.

A lot to look forward to ...

Extra

Higgs self-coupling

Higgs+singlet

Higgs portal dark matter $\mathcal{O} = H^{\dagger} H X_{dm} X_{dm} \implies h \to X_{dm} X_{dm}$

Windows into dark sector: portals

 Any known (SM) particle can in principle have small couplings to dark matter/dark sector.

