Image courtesy of CERN



The 12<sup>th</sup> Large Hadron Collider Physics Annual Conference June 3-7, 2024 @ Northeastern University http://lhcp2024.cos.northeastern.edu



#### **Paolo Gandini** INFN - Sezione di Milano

On behalf of the LHCb collaboration + results from CMS, ATLAS and ALICE



# Outline

- This talk was originally assigned to Liupan An (LHCb), but unfortunately she was not able to attend
- This is the outline of the talk  $\rightarrow$  as usual the shopping list is too long  $\rightarrow$  I will cover only a small subset
- Hope to make justice to the good work by all the experiments in this rich field!



•  $\Xi b \rightarrow \Psi(2S)\Xi \& \Xi b^* \rightarrow \Xi b\pi$  Accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. D https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/BPH-23-002/index.html

• f0(980) hadron in proton-lead collisions and evidence for its quark-antiquark composition https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/publications/HIN-20-002/index.html

Submitted to Nature Physics



CMS

## **Parallel sessions**

More results and detailed presentations can be found in today's parallel session Dedicated talks for each experiment + interesting theoretical insights





Image taken from PDG Review of Particle Physics

## Introduction: QCD



- QCD dilemma: understanding the non-perturbative property of QCD at low-energy scale
- Hadron spectroscopy: a main tool to probe QCD at low-energy regime
- Heavy quarks bring advances both experimentally and theoretically

## New hadrons at LHC

- Spectroscopy is a super-active field at LHC and all the experiments are contributing!
- So far 72 hadrons have been discovered at the LHC, of which 64 by LHCb
- The list is growing... All sectors represented



LHCb collaboration, P. Koppenburg, List of hadrons observed at the LHC, <u>LHCb-FIGURE-2021-001</u>, 2021, and <u>2023 updates</u>.



LHCP2024 – 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2024 – Boston, USA

## New hadrons at LHC

- In 2024, no new hadrons yet!
- But summer conferences have just started...
- And Run3 data taking is in full steam...



LHCb collaboration, P. Koppenburg, List of hadrons observed at the LHC, <u>LHCb-FIGURE-2021-001</u>, 2021, and <u>2023 updates</u>.



Paolo Gandini

LHCP2024 – 3rd June 2024 – Boston, USA

## New hadrons at LHC



LHCb collaboration, P. Koppenburg, List of hadrons observed at the LHC, <u>LHCb-FIGURE-2021-001</u>, 2021, and <u>2023 updates</u>.



Paolo Gandini

LHCP2024 – 3rd June 2024 – Boston, USA

## Selected results by LHCb





## Observation of new charmonium(-like) states in $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*\pm}D^{\mp}K^+$

```
B^+ \to D^{*+}D^-K^+ \qquad B^+ \to D^{*-}D^+K^+
```



NEW

- A simultaneous amplitude fit performed to two channels
- Include contributions from resonances decaying to D\*-D+ and D\*+D- (states linked by C parity)
- Determine the C parity of any new states



## Observation of new charmonium(-like) states in $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+}D^{+}K^+$



Figure 3: Difference between the  $M(D^*D)$  distributions of the two channels  $(B^+ \to D^{*+}D^-K^+$ and  $B^+ \to D^{*-}D^+K^+)$ . Only interference between states with the same  $J^P$  but different *C*-parities, and reflections from  $T^*_{\bar{c}\bar{s}0,1}(2900)^0$  resonances, have significant contributions. The reference fit where  $h_c(4000)$ ,  $\chi_{c1}(4010)$  and  $h_c(4300)$  are not included is shown as green dashed line.

## Observation of new charmonium(-like) states in $B^+ \rightarrow D^{*+}D^{+}K^+$

Preliminary PAPER-2023-047to be submitted to arXiv NEW



Property	This work	Previous work		
$T^*_{\bar{c}\bar{s}0}(2900)^0$ mass (MeV)	$2914 \pm 11 \pm 15$	$2866\pm7$		
$T^*_{\overline{cs0}}(2900)^0$ width (MeV)	$128\pm22\pm23$	$57\pm13$		
$T^*_{\bar{c}\bar{s}1}(2900)^0 \text{ mass (MeV)}$	$2887\pm8\pm6$	$2904\pm5$		
$T^*_{\overline{cs1}}(2900)^0$ width (MeV)	$92\pm16\pm16$	$110 \pm 12$		
$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to T^*_{\bar{c}\bar{s}0}(2900)^0 D^{(*)+})$	$(4.5^{+0.6}_{-0.8}{}^{+0.9}_{-1.0}\pm 0.4) imes 10^{-5}$	$(1.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5}$		
$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to T^*_{\bar{c}\bar{s}1}(2900)^0 D^{(*)+})$	$(3.8^{+0.7}_{-1.0}{}^{+1.6}_{-1.1}\pm0.3) imes10^{-5}$	$(6.7 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$		
$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to T^*_{c\bar{s}0}(2900)^0 D^{(*)+})}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to T^*_{c\bar{s}1}(2900)^0 D^{(*)+})}$	$1.17 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.48$	$0.18\pm0.05$		



- Four charmonium(-like) states are observed: at least 3 are new
- Existence of 2 tetraquark resonances in  $D^-K^+$  confirmed (different channel, already observed  $B^+ \rightarrow D^+D^-K^+$ )

# Observation of exotic J/ $\psi \Phi$ resonances in CEP

- Central Exclusive Production can be done at LHCb  $\rightarrow$  What do we look for?
- $pp \rightarrow p + X + p$  (rapidity gaps and protons intact)
- Colourless objects in QCD, Very low PT objects, Clean experimental environment
- Rich Physics: Photon-Pomeron, Double-Pomeron, Photoproduction, Glueballs, Exotica





NEW

Preliminary

PAPER-2023-043 in preparation

## Observation of exotic J/ $\psi \Phi$ resonances in CEP



## Observation of exotic J/ $\psi \Phi$ resonances in CEP



#### First exotic measurement in CEP

LHCP2024 - 3rd June 2024 - Boston, USA

## Search for Pc in open charm modes

- Inclusive search performed using 5.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> data from 2016-2018
- Reconstruction of several different modes & combinations:
  - $\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+, D^- \to K^+ \pi^- \pi^-, D^0 \to K \pi$
  - $\Sigma_c^{++(0)} \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^{+(-)}, D^{(*-)} \to D^{(-0)} \pi^-$

hidden-charm pentaquarks

doubly-charmed pentaquarks & excited  $\Xi_{cc}$ 

Hadron 1	Hadron 2	Charge	$I_3$	Y	С	Limit Set	Hadron 1	Hadron 2	Charge	$I_3$	Y	С	Limit Set
$\Lambda_c^+$	$\overline{D}{}^{0}$	+1	$1/_{2}$	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Lambda_c^+$	$D^0$	+1	-1/2	3	2	$\checkmark$
$\Lambda_c^+$	$D^{-}$	0	-1/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Lambda_c^+$	$D^+$	+2	1/2	3	2	$\checkmark$
$\Lambda_c^+$	$D^{*-}$	0	-1/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Lambda_c^+$	$D^{*+}$	+2	$1/_{2}$	3	2	$\checkmark$
$\Sigma_c^{++}$	$\overline{D}{}^{0}$	+2	$^{3/2}$	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{++}$	$D^0$	+2	1/2	3	2	$\times$
$\Sigma_{c}^{++}$	$D^-$	+1	$1/_{2}$	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{++}$	$D^+$	+3	$^{3/2}$	3	2	×
$\Sigma_c^{++}$	$D^{*-}$	+1	$1/_{2}$	1	0	×	$\Sigma_c^{++}$	$D^{*+}$	+3	$^{3/2}$	3	2	×
$\Sigma_c^0$	$\overline{D}{}^{0}$	0	-1/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^0$	$D^0$	0	-3/2	3	2	$\times$
$\Sigma_c^{0}$	$D^{-}$	-1	-3/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^0$	$D^+$	+1	-1/2	3	2	×
$\Sigma_c^{0}$	$D^{*-}$	-1	-3/2	1	0	×	$\Sigma_c^0$	$D^{*+}$	+1	-1/2	3	2	$\times$
$\Sigma_c^{*++}$	$\overline{D}{}^{0}$	+2	$^{3/2}$	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{*++}$	$D^0$	+2	$^{1/2}$	3	2	$\checkmark$
$\Sigma_{c}^{*++}$	$D^{-}$	+1	$1/_{2}$	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{*++}$	$D^+$	+3	$^{3/2}$	3	2	$\checkmark$
$\Sigma_{c}^{*++}$	$D^{*-}$	+1	1/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{*++}$	$D^{*+}$	+3	$^{3/2}$	3	2	×
$\Sigma_{c}^{*0}$	$\overline{D}{}^{0}$	0	-1/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{*0}$	$D^0$	0	-3/2	3	2	$\checkmark$
$\Sigma_c^{*0}$	$D^-$	-1	-3/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{*0}$	$D^+$	+1	-1/2	3	2	$\checkmark$
$\Sigma_{c}^{*0}$	$D^{*-}$	-1	-3/2	1	0	$\checkmark$	$\Sigma_c^{*0}$	$D^{*+}$	+1	-1/2	3	2	×

10 modes too statistically limited to set up upper limits

arXiv: 2404.07131 submitted to PRD

## Search for Pc in open charm modes

- Every combination investigated (complete list in the paper)
- No significant signal found
- Upper Limits set for all combinations

$$R = \frac{N_{P_c}}{N_{\Lambda_c^+}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{\Lambda_c^+}}{\varepsilon_{P_c}} \to \frac{\sigma(P_c) \times \mathcal{B}(P_c \to \Lambda_c^+ D(\pi)) \times \mathcal{B}(D)}{\sigma(\Lambda_c^+)}$$

Decay Mode	Width	Signif	icance $(\sigma)$	Q-value	Signal Viold	UL (× $10^{-3}$ )		
	$(MeV/c^2)$	Local	Corrected	$(MeV/c^2)$	Signal Tleid	90% CL	95% CL	
$\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ D^-$	0	3.59	2.21	225	$41.6 \pm 12.6$	3.95	4.19	
	5	4.01	2.89	225	$64.7 \pm 17.4$	4.43	4.69	
	10	4.30	3.32	225	$87.1\pm21.6$	4.64	4.85	
	15	4.50	3.62	225	$108.2\pm25.3$	4.72	4.90	
$\Lambda_c^+\pi^-D^-$	0	3.36	1.90	257	$38.1 \pm 12.4$	4.28	4.56	
	5	3.86	2.71	253	$62.1 \pm 17.1$	4.62	4.83	
	10	4.18	3.20	249	$83.7\pm21.2$	4.72	4.88	
	15	4.44	3.56	249	$103.5\pm24.6$	4.77	4.92	
$\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \overline{D}{}^0$	0	3.18	1.58	245	$41.9 \pm 13.7$	2.87	3.06	
	5	3.73	2.53	245	$67.6 \pm 19.2$	3.22	3.35	
	10	4.06	3.06	245	$91.6\pm24.1$	3.29	3.39	
	15	4.30	3.42	245	$115.0\pm28.5$	3.30	3.40	





- Pseudo-experiments indicate average number of channels fluctuate above  $3\sigma$  is 7±5, so we conclude the results are consistent with background-only
- Known Pc states tested and yields all agree with zero

 $\begin{array}{c|c} P_c(4312)^+ & M = 4311.9 \, \text{MeV}, \Gamma = 10 \, \text{MeV} \\ P_c(4440)^+ & M = 4440 \, \text{MeV}, \Gamma = 21 \, \text{MeV} \\ P_c(4457)^+ & M = 4457.3 \, \text{MeV}, \Gamma = 6.4 \, \text{MeV} \end{array}$ 

#### arXiv: 2404.07131 submitted to PRD



# Selected results by CMS





 $\Xi_b \rightarrow \Psi(2S)\Xi$  and  $\Xi_b^* \rightarrow \Xi_b\pi$ 

- Integrated luminosity of 140 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Muon final states and different final states (different topologies)
- Several measurements in one paper (BFs, Production and competitive mass measure)





$$\begin{split} R_{\Xi_{b}^{*0}} &= \frac{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \Xi_{b}^{*0}X) \,\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{b}^{*0} \to \Xi_{b}^{-}\pi^{+})}{\sigma(\mathrm{pp} \to \Xi_{b}^{-}X)} = 0.23 \pm 0.04 \,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.02 \,(\mathrm{syst}) \\ R &= \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to \psi(2S)\Xi^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{b}^{-} \to J/\psi\Xi^{-})} = 0.84^{+0.21}_{-0.19} \,(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.10 \,(\mathrm{syst}) \pm 0.02 \,(\mathcal{B}) \\ M(\Xi_{b}^{*0}) &= 5952.4 \pm 0.1 \,(\mathrm{stat+syst}) \pm 0.6 \,(m_{\Xi_{b}^{-}}) \,\mathrm{MeV} \end{split}$$

Thus, we can conclude that about a third of the  $\Xi_b^-$  baryons are produced from  $\Xi_b^*$  decays



LHCP2024 – 3rd June 2024 – Boston, USA

Accepted in Phys. Rev. D CMS-BPH-23-002 CERN-EP-2024-038

# $f_0(980)$ hadron in p-Pb collisions

- $f_o(980)$  hadron discovered half a century ago, but...
- Its quark content has not been settled:
  - Ordinary meson  $q\overline{q}$ ?
  - Tetraquark qqqq?
  - Exotic state?
  - Kaon-Antikaon KK molecule ?
  - Glue qqg hybrid ?
- Strong evidence that  $f_0(980)$  is an ordinary meson
- Inferred from scaling of elliptic anisotropies  $(v_2)$  with the number of constituent quarks  $(n_q)$
- Empirically established using conventional hadrons in relativistic heavy ion collisions
- Other hypothesis on exotic nature ruled out



The argument of the function,  $KE_T/n_q$ , is related to the kinetic energy per constituent quark





LHCP2024 - 3rd June 2024 - Boston, USA



## Selected results by ALICE





# Suppression of fo(980) production in p-Pb collisions

- Similar study to CMS
- Nuclear modification factor  $Q_{pPb}$  of  $f_o(980)$  measured in various multiplicity ranges
- A lot of interesting results:
  - $f_0(980)$  nuclear modification factor is lower than unity: suppression
  - For p<sub>T</sub><4GeV
    - Lower than charged hadrons
    - Difference increases with multiplicity
    - Suppression of the  $f_o(980)/\pi$  and  $f_o(980)/K^*(892)^o$  depends on  $p_T$
- The results on the particle yield ratios may help to understand the nature of the internal structure of  $f_0(980)$  particle
- No enhancement at intermediate pt hints at 2-quark vs 4-quark structure



Phys. Lett. B 853

(2024) 138665

# Strong interaction of 3-body systems at the LHC



Only a full 3-body calculation that accounts for the internal structure of the deuteron can explain the data (Av18+UIX full) published results from Run 2

# Strong interaction of 3-body systems at the LHC

• Measure the correlation functions of 3-body systems with femtoscopic techniques



arXiv:2308.16120

## Conclusions

- LHC is a wonderful playground for hadrons physics!
- Unprecedented & probably unique opportunity for these type of studies
- Upgrade era started: higher statistics + access to states with lower production rates
- Summer conferences are just starting  $\rightarrow$  plenty of new results expected!





# **Backup Slides**