

## Precision Electroweak and top quark measurements

on behalf of the ATLAS, CMS and LHCb Collaborations

LHCP 2024 Boston | 5 June 2024







Andrew Gilbert

## Motivation for precision EW & top measurements at the LHC

- Test the self-consistency of the SM
  - -



[1]

Electroweak sector over-constrained  $\Rightarrow$  identify tension between direct & indirect constraints on observables

← and compare to measurements



## W and Z measurements



- - eff.







# Measurement of $\sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{\ell}$

- Fundamental EW parameter:  $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell} = (1 m_W^2 / m_Z^2) \kappa^{\ell}$
- Recent CMS measurement at 13 TeV
  - $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$  measured via A<sub>FB</sub> (simila
  - New: unfolded A4 (for future rei
- Strong dependence on PDFs
  - Profile in  $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell}$  fits
- Adds reconstruction of electrons outside tracker acceptance for increased A<sub>FB</sub> sensitivity
  - e: |η| < 2.5
  - g:  $2.5 < |\eta| < 2.87$  (fwd. ECAL)
  - h:  $3.14 < |\eta| < 4.36$  (fwd. HCAL)



## Measurement of $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell}$



- Consistent results for  $A_{FB}$ ,  $A_4$  and direct  $\cos\theta$  fits
  - PDF profiling reduces differences between PDF sets
  - CT18Z chosen (pre-unblinding) for nominal result best coverage of other PDF central values



Best hadron collider measurement, approaching LEP and SLD:

 $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\ell} = 0.23157 \pm 0.00010 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.00015 (\text{syst}) \pm 0.00009 (\text{theo}) \pm 0.00027 (\text{pdf})$ 





- - with SM prediction and other experimental results

Unc. [MeV]	Total	Stat.	Syst.	PDF	$A_i$	Backg.	EW	е	μ	$u_{\mathrm{T}}$	Lun
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^\ell$	16.2	11.1	11.8	4.9	3.5	1.7	5.6	5.9	5.4	0.9	1.1
$m_{\mathrm{T}}$	24.4	11.4	21.6	11.7	4.7	4.1	4.9	6.7	6.0	11.4	2.5
Combined	15.9	9.8	12.5	5.7	3.7	2.0	5.4	6.0	5.4	2.3	1.3



### arXiv:2403.15085 (submitted to EPJC)

## W boson width



- First  $\Gamma_W$  measurement at the LHC and most precise single measurement to date (w/m<sub>w</sub> constrained to prediction)
  - Similar strategy to  $m_W$ : fit to  $p_T^{\ell}$  and  $m_T$  (more sensitive)
- Modelling (shower tune variations) and recoil dominate uncertainty
- Simultaneous fit for  $m_W$  and  $\Gamma_W$  reveals interplay:



### arXiv:2403.15085 (submitted to EPJC)



	•		. •	
12		n		ΔС
La				CJ

V ]	Total	Stat.	Syst.	PDF	$A_i$	Backg.	EW	е	$\mu$	<i>u</i> <sub>T</sub>	Lumi	$m_W$
	72	27	66	21	14	10	5	13	12	12	10	6
	48	36	32	5	7	10	3	13	9	18	9	6
1	47	32	34	7	8	9	3	13	9	17	9	6



## Momentum calibration

- Crucial to control muon momentum scale to high precision for  $m_W$  and other precision EW measurements
- New calibration from LHCb to correct for charge-dependent curvature biases:
- Method: measure "pseudomass" variable
  - Extract via asymmetry in peak positions



### JINST 19 (2024) P03010

LHCD

$$\frac{q}{p} \to \frac{q}{p'} = \frac{q}{\alpha p} + \delta,$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{\pm} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{p_{\rm T}^{\pm}}{p_{\rm T}^{\mp}}} M = \sqrt{2p^{+}p^{-}\frac{p_{\rm T}^{\pm}}{p_{\rm T}^{\mp}}} \left(1 - \cos\theta\right) = \sqrt{2p^{\pm}p_{\rm T}^{\pm}\frac{p^{\mp}}{p_{\rm T}^{\mp}}} \left(1 - \cos\theta\right),$$



## Differential p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> + jets

- **ATLAS** EXPERIMENT
- **Aim**: precise detector-corrected p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> + jet measurement
  - Inclusive, minimize model dependence
- Plus auxiliary  $p_T^{recoil}$  in  $\ell$  + jet and  $\gamma$  + jet systems
  - Uncertainties cancel in ratios
  - BSM contributions (e.g. dark matter) would not cancel









### 5/6/24



![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

A. Gilbert (LLR)

12

## From vector bosons to quarks to leptons

A. Gilbert (LLR)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

13

## W/Z + heavy flavour

- ATLAS
- ATLAS analysis studies  $Z + \ge 1b$ ,  $\ge 1c$ ,  $\ge 2b$  jet topologies
- Wide range of differential distributions

E.g. m<sub>bb</sub> useful input for MC modelling for H(bb) -

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

- 3FS significantly underestimates rate
- Largest improvement with

### arXiv:2403.15093 (sub. to EPJC)

### • Study of intrinsic charm

BHPS model (2.1% IC)

![](_page_13_Figure_12.jpeg)

## W/Z + heavy flavour

ATLAS

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

- ATLAS analysis studies  $Z + \ge 1b$ ,  $\ge 1c$ ,  $\ge 2b$  jet topologies
- Wide range of differential distributions

E.g. m<sub>bb</sub> useful input for MC modelling for H(bb) -

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

5/6/24

### arXiv:2403.15093 (sub. to EPJC)

### • Study of intrinsic charm

- 3FS significantly underestimates rate
- Largest improvement with BHPS model (2.1% IC)

![](_page_14_Figure_13.jpeg)

### $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and constraints on tau g-2

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

**SMP-23-005** To be submitted to ROPP

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

A. Gilbert (LLR)

m<sub>vis</sub> (GeV)

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

### $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and constraints on tau g-2

• Select events with  $N_{tracks} \leq 1$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

SMP-23-005 To be submitted to ROPP

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

• Fiducial cross section Matching closely expt. selection ( $N_{tracks} = 0$  only)

• Prediction from gamma-UPC (elastic only) rescaled for dissociative

$$\sigma_{\text{obs}}^{\text{fid}} = 12.4^{+3.8}_{-3.1} \,\text{fb}$$
  
 $\sigma_{\text{pred}}^{\text{fid}} = 16.5 \pm 1.5 \,\text{fb}$ 

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Top quark properties

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

### **Discussed in other plenary talks:**

- Lepton flavor violation and rare heavy flavor decays - Monday evening - Top cross-section measurements and rare ttX processes - tomorrow morning

![](_page_17_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_16.jpeg)

### ATLAS + CMS direct m<sub>t</sub> combin

- Legacy combination of Run-1:
  - 6 (ATLAS) + 9 (CMS) measurements, detailed study of systematic correlations

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

### arXiv:2402.08713 (PRL accepted)

<b>~</b> ~+	
Idl	

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

### CMS

### Uncertainty in b-jet JES dominates combination

### • Requires detailed understanding of correlations

tegory	ρ	Scan range	$\Delta m_{\rm t}/2$ [MeV]	$\Delta \sigma_{m_{\rm t}}/2$ [MeV]
	0			
	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	8	7
	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	1	<1
	0.85	[+0.5, +1]	26	5
	0.85	[+0.5, +1]	2	<1

### $mt = 172.52 \pm 0.33 GeV$ (±0.14 stat) (±0.30 syst)

• Most precise to date: < 2 per mille

I nortainty atagany	Uncertainty impact			
Uncertainty category	LHC	ATLAS		
b-JES	0.18	0.17		
b tagging	0.09	0.16		
ME generator	0.08	0.13		
JES 1	0.08	0.18		
JES 2	0.08	0.11		
Method	0.07	0.06		
CMS b hadron ${\cal B}$	0.07			
QCD radiation	0.06	0.07		
Leptons	0.05	0.08		
JER	0.05	0.09		
CMS top quark $p_{\rm T}$	0.05	—		
Background (data)	0.05	0.04		
Color reconnection	0.04	0.08		
Underlying event	0.04	0.03		
g-JES	0.03	0.02		
Background (MC)	0.03	0.07		
Other	0.03	0.06		
1-JES	0.03	0.01		
CMS JES 1	0.03			
Pileup	0.03	0.07		
JES 3	0.02	0.07		
Hadronization	0.02	0.01		
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	0.02	0.04		
PDF	0.02	0.06	<	
Trigger	0.01	0.01		
Total systematic	0.30	0.41		
Statistical	0.14	0.25		
Total	0.33	0.48		

![](_page_18_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_18.jpeg)

## **Observation of tt entanglement**

- Unique probe of entanglement via spin correlations
  - Both experiments analyses dilepton final state
  - $\Rightarrow$  measure angle between  $\ell^{\pm}$  in tt rest frame
- Focus on narrow range around tt production threshold
  - 80% cross section for spin-singlet state (rotational invariance needed for observation) -
- Cross section:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{+}d\Omega_{-}} = \frac{1 + \mathbf{B}^{+} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{+} - \mathbf{B}^{-} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{-} - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{+} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{-}}{(4\pi)^{2}}$$

$$D = \operatorname{tr}[\mathbf{C}]/3 = -3 \cdot \langle \cos \varphi \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{D} < -1/3 \text{ implies entanglement}$$

$$\mathbf{CMS includes Toponium effects}$$

$$- \operatorname{Maximally entangled particles}$$

$$- \operatorname{Via a colour singlet single pseudoscalar}_{[PRD 104 (2021) 034023]}$$

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_15.jpeg)

## Observation of tt entanglement

- Both experiments observe entanglement with  $> 5\sigma$  significance
  - Good agreement with theory predictions -
  - Systematics limited with full Run 2 data set -

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

Particle-level Invariant Mass Range [GeV]

 $D = -0.547 \pm 0.002(stat.) \pm 0.021(syst.)$ 

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_11.jpeg)

 $D = -0.478 \pm 0.017(\text{stat.})^{+0.018}_{-0.021}(\text{syst.})$ 

![](_page_20_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_15.jpeg)

## tt spin correlation and entanglement in $\ell$ +jets

- All polarization vector & spin correlation matrix coefficients extracted simultaneously
  - In bins of  $m_{t\bar{t}}$ ,  $p_T(t)$ . and  $|\cos\theta|$
- Entanglement observed for the first time at high  $m_{t\bar{t}}$ 
  - Addition criterion based on classical information exchange at  $v \le c$

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

5/6/24

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

Covered in R. Demina's <u>talk</u> in top parallel session today

![](_page_21_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_14.jpeg)

### Reviews

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

## ATLAS: EW, QCD & flavour

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Extensive summary of precision single & mutiboson measurements using Run 2 13 TeV data

Also c	overs:		Dib
- Low - Higl	<pre>/ energy strong particle production h pT jet &amp; QCD studies</pre>		γγ Wγ- Ζγ→
- EFT	constraints on new physics		Zγ→
- + m	iore		\\/\\/
_ 2	250	Status: October 2022	
tion [nb]	Theory (NNLO) <b>ATLAS</b> Measurement	Preliminary	WZ
ross sec	$200 \qquad $		ZZ
roduction c	<b>150</b> 2.76 TeV, 4 pb <sup>-1</sup> , EPJC 79 (2019) 901 5 TeV, 25 pb <sup>-1</sup> , EPJC 79 (2019) 128 7 TeV, 4.6 fb <sup>-1</sup> , EPJC 77 (2017) 367 8 TeV, 20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup> , JHEP 02, 117 (2017) (for <i>Z</i> ) 8 TeV, 20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup> , EPJC 79 (2019) 760 (for <i>W</i> ) 13 TeV, 81 pb <sup>-1</sup> , PLB 759 (2016) 601 (for <i>W</i> ) 13 TeV, 3.2 fb <sup>-1</sup> , JHEP 02, 117 (2017) (for <i>Z</i> )		
otal p	100		
Ĕ			-WW
	50		VH _ _
	0 <sup>2</sup> 46810	 12 14 √s [TeV]	

### arXiv:2404.06829 (submitted Phys Rept)

### **Electroweak, QCD and flavour physics studies with** ATLAS data from Run 2 of the LHC

The ATLAS Collaboration

### oson Cross Section Measurements ∫**£** dt Status: October 2023 Reference [fb<sup>-1</sup>] = $31.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 2.4$ pb (data) NNLOjet (NNLO) (theory) 139 JHEP 11 (2021) 169 $\sigma = 16.82 \pm 0.07 + 0.75 - 0.78 \text{ pb (data)}$ $2\gamma \text{NNLO} + \text{CT10 (theory)}$ 20.2 PRD 95 (2017) 112005 $\sigma = 44 + 3.2 - 4.2 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ 2 $\gamma$ NNLO (theory) 4.9 JHEP 01, 086 (2013) **ATLAS** Preliminary $\sigma = 2.77 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.36$ pb (data) NNLO (theory) PRD 87, 112003 (2013 →ℓvγ 4.6 arXiv:1407.1618 $\sigma = 533.7 \pm 2.1 \pm 15.4 \text{ fb (data)}$ Matrix NNLO QCD + NLO EW (theory) JHEP 03 (2020) 054 36.1 PRD 93, 112002 (2016) arXiv:1407.1618 PRD 87, 112003 (2013) γl $\sigma = 1.507 \pm 0.01 + 0.083 - 0.078$ pb (data) NNLO (theory) $\sqrt{s} = 7,8,13,13.6$ TeV 20.3 $\sigma = 1.31 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.12 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NNLO (theory)} \\ \sigma = 83.7 + 3.6 - 3.5 + 7.1 - 6.5 \text{ fb (data)} \\ \text{MCFM (NNLO) (theory)} \\ \Omega = 0.000 \text{ (theory)}$ 4.6 Ō arXiv:1407.1618 36.1 JHEP 12 (2018) 010 $\sigma = 68 \pm 4 + 33 - 32 \text{ fb (data)}$ NNLO (theory) ννγ 20.3 PRD 93, 112002 (2016) $= 0.133 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.021$ pb (data) MCFM NLO (theory) 0 4.6 PRD 87, 112003 (2013) NLO QCD $\sigma = 130.04 \pm 1.7 \pm 10.6 \text{ pb (data)}$ NNLO (theory) $\sigma = 68.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 4.6 \text{ pb (data)}$ NNLO (theory) 36.1 EPJC 79 (2019) 884 PLB 763, 114 (2016) 20.3 NNLO QCD $r = 51.9 \pm 2 \pm 4.4$ pb (data) NNLO (theory) PRD 87 (2013) 11200<sup>-</sup> PRL 113 (2014) 21200 4.6 0 LHC pp $\sqrt{s}$ = 13.6 TeV $\sigma = 51 \pm 0.8 \pm 2.3 \text{ pb (data)}$ MATRIX (NNLO) (theory) 36.1 EPJC 79 (2019) 535 Data $\sigma = 24.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9$ pb (data) MATRIX (NNLO) (theory) PRD 93, 092004 (2016) 20.3 stat $r = 19 + 1.4 - 1.3 \pm 1$ pb (data) MATRIX (NNLO) (theory) 4.6 EPJC 72 (2012) 2173 stat ⊕ syst $= 255 \pm 1 \pm 11$ fb (data) MATRIX (NNLO) (theory 36.1 EPJC 79 (2019) 535 $WZ \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \ell$ $\tau = 140.4 \pm 3.8 \pm 4.6 \text{ fb (data)}$ MCFM NLO (theory) PRD 93 (2016) 092004 20.3 LHC pp $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV = $16.9 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.7$ pb (data) Matrix (NNLO) & Sherpa (NLO) (theory) 29.0 ATLAS-CONF-2023-062 Data $= 17.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.8$ pb (data) X PRD 97 (2018) 032005 stat 36.1 Matrix (NNLO) & Sherpa (NLO) (theory) $r = 7.3 \pm 0.4 + 0.4 - 0.3$ pb (data) NNLO (theory) stat ⊕ syst 20.3 JHEP 01, 099 (2017) $r = 6.7 \pm 0.7 + 0.5 - 0.4$ pb (data) NNLO (theory) JHEP 03, 128 (2013 PLB 735 (2014) 311 4.6 $\sigma = 49.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 1.1 \text{ fb (data)}$ Sherpa (NLO) (theory) $\sigma = 25.4 + 3.3 - 3 + 1.6 - 1.4 \text{ fb (data)}$ PowhegBox & gg2ZZ (theory) LHC pp $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV 139 JHEP 07 (2021) 005 **4***l* inclusive (60 GeV <m4*l* < 200 GeV) Data 4.6 JHEP 03 (2013) 128 stat $= 25.4 \pm 1.4 \pm 1 \text{ fb (data)}$ Matrix (NNLO) & Sherpa (NLO) (theory) 36.1 JHEP 10 (2019) 127 stat ⊕ syst = 9.7 + 1.5 - 1.4 + 1 - 0.8 fb (data) PowhegBox & gg2ZZ (theory) $ZZ \rightarrow \ell \ell \nu \nu$ JHEP 10 (2019) 127 20.3 $= 12.7 + 3.1 - 2.9 \pm 1.8 \text{ fb (data)}$ PowhegBox & gg2ZZ (theory) = 88.9 \pm 1.1 \pm 2.74 \text{ fb (data)} JHEP 03 (2013) 128 4.6 LHC pp $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV 139 JHEP 07 (2021) 005 Sherpa (NLO) (theory) Data = $73 \pm 4 \pm 5$ fb (data) PowhegBox norm. to NNLO & gg2ZZ (theory) $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ PLB 753 (2016) 552-572 stat 20.3 = 29.8 + 3.8 - 3.5 + 2.1 - 1.9 fb (data) PowhegBox & gg2ZZ (theory) stat $\oplus$ syst JHEP 03 (2013) 128 4.6 $= 209 \pm 28 \pm 45 \text{ fb (data)}$ MC@NLO (theory) EPJC 77 (2017) 563 20.2 →ℓvjj $= 1.37 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.37$ pb (data) MC@NLO (theory) JHEP 01, 049 (2015) 4.6 $t = 30 \pm 11 \pm 22$ fb (data) MC@NLO (theory) WV→ℓvJ EPJC 77 (2017) 563 20.2 $\sigma = 2719 + 947 - 810 \text{ fb (data)}$ NNLO(QCD)+NLO(EW) (theory 36.1 JHEP 12 (2017) 024 r = 1.03 + 0.37 - 0.36 + 0.26 - 0.21 pb (data) NNLO(QCD)+NLO(EW) (theory) $- H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ 20.3 JHEP 12 (2017) 024 $1100 \pm 130 \pm 160 = 140$ fb (dat 139 ATLAS-CONF-2020-02 Powheg Box NLO(QCD) (theory) = 6 + 1.3 - 1.4 + 0.4 - 0.5 fb (data) Powheg Box NLO(QCD) (theory) Nature 607, pages 52-59 (2022) 139

0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 data/theory

![](_page_23_Picture_12.jpeg)

### CMS cross section measurements

• Review of hadronic, EW, top and Higgs sector cross section measurements

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

## ATLAS top quark review

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Extensive review of top (+X) cross section, m<sub>t</sub> measurements, entanglement, LFU tests, and more

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

arXiv:2404.10674 (submitted Phys Rept)

### **Climbing to the Top of the ATLAS 13 TeV data**

The ATLAS Collaboration

### **Top asymmetry summary**

![](_page_25_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

## CMS top quark mass review

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Current status**

Overview of all measurement approaches

Pole mass from cross section Inclusive tt 7 TeV, NNLO  $\otimes$  CT10 Inclusive tt 7+8 TeV, NNLO @ CT14 Inclusive tt 13 TeV. NNLO ⊗ CT14 Dilepton 7+8 TeV, ATLAS+CMS cross section Differential tt+jet 13 TeV, NLO @ CT18

MS mass from cross section 

Full reconstruction Dilepton 7 TeV, KINb and AMWT Lepton+jets 7 TeV, 2D ideogram Dilepton 7 TeV, AMWT All-jets 7 TeV, 2D ideogram Lepton+jets 8 TeV, Hybrid ideogram All-jets 8 TeV, Hybrid ideogram Dilepton 8 TeV, AMWT Single top quark 8 TeV, Template fit Dilepton 8 TeV,  $M_{\rm bl} + M_{\rm T2}^{\rm bb}$  Hybrid fit Lepton+jets 13 TeV, Hybrid ideogram All-jets 13 TeV, Hybrid ideogram Dilepton 13 TeV,  $m_{\rm bl}$  fit Single top quark 13 TeV,  $\ln (m_t / 1 \text{ GeV})$  fit Lepton+jets 13 TeV, Profile likelihood Combination 7+8 TeV

### Boosted measurements

Boosted 8 TeV, C/A jet mass unfolded Boosted 13 TeV, XCone jet mass unfolded Boosted 13 TeV, XCone jet mass unfolded

![](_page_26_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_13.jpeg)

### Past improvements Consistent reduction in both statistical and systematic uncertainties

![](_page_26_Figure_16.jpeg)

### arXiv:2403.01313 (submitted Phys Rept)

### Review of top quark mass measurements in CMS

The CMS Collaboration\*

### **Review of projection studies**

NB: not always taking into account detector improvements!

![](_page_26_Figure_25.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_27.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_28.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_29.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

- The LHC has proved more than capable as a precision physic machine
  - In many cases challenging or exceeding e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider constrain

- Future improvements may come from:
  - Better understanding / in-situ constraint of PDFs
  - Improved signal & background modelling
  - Refined detector calibrations
  - Dedicated low pileup LHC runs
  - Inter-experiment combinations

### See parallel talks for more detail on these expt. topics:

CS	Precision electroweak measurements in CMS	Yongbin Feng	<u>Tuesday</u>
nts	Precision electroweak measurements in ATLAS	Alexander Bachiu	<u>Tuesday</u>
	Recent electroweak precision measurements in LHCb	Miguel Ramos Pernas	<u>Tuesday</u>
	Rare decays of electroweak bosons at CMS and ATLAS	Keith Ulmer	<u>Tuesday</u>
	ATLAS results on top spin and entanglement	Baptiste Ravina	<u>Wednesd</u>
	CMS results on top spin correlations and entanglement	Regina Demina	<u>Wednesd</u>
	ATLAS top quark mass measurements	Thomas Mclachlan	<u>Friday</u>

![](_page_27_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_15.jpeg)