

# QCD Measurements in pp collisions

Sarah Porteboeuf Houssais UCA LPC CNRS

**On behalf of the LHC Collaborations** 





- > The quark model toward tetraquark and pentaquark
- $\succ$  The strong force: running of  $\alpha_s$  and v from FASER
- Factorization approach in QCD, measurements of PDFs and TMDs
- > Insight on multi-parton scattering with associated production
- > Jet fragmentation and substructure



Cambridge-Aachen declustering

/GeV)

In(1 / AR)

$$\frac{d\sigma^{pp\to h+X}}{dp_T d\eta} = \sum_{abc} f_{a/p} \otimes f_{b/p} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{ab\to 0}$$

 $\otimes f_{b/p} \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{ab \to c}(z,\mu) \otimes D_c^h(z,\mu)$ 





CMS Theory at NLO



### $\alpha_s$ with multi-jet

Azimuthal correlations among jets allow to probe  $\alpha_s$  running up to 2 TeV

$$R_{\Delta\phi}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = rac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{jet}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})} N_{\mathrm{nbr}}^{(i)}(\Delta\phi, p_{\mathrm{Tmin}}^{\mathrm{nbr}})}{N_{\mathrm{jet}}(p_{\mathrm{T}})},$$







#### NLO pQCD behaviour confirmed up to 2 TeV

More from D. Koeck

Tuesday 04/06 at 14:18

σ(E<sub>ν</sub>)/E<sub>ν</sub> [10<sup>-38</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> GeV<sup>-1</sup>] 0 1

 $10^{-2}$ 

10

Accelerator v

▼ IHEP-ITEP 79 & SKAT 79

 $10^{2}$ 

NOMAD 08 GGM-SPS 81 ♦

O BEBC 79



### Neutrino cross section with FASER & SND

**FASER Forward Search Experiment SND Scattering and Neutrino Detector** 480 m from ATLAS IP on both sides

Neutrinos coming from the decay of very forward hadrons light-flavour and charm

FASER arXiv:2403.12520

FASERν σ<sub>ν...</sub>

FASERv stat.+svst. unc

 $10^{3}$ 

Neutrino Energy  $E_{\nu}$  [GeV]

FASERv stat. und

Faser



Observation of  $\nu_{\mu}$  interactions the LHC by SND

First neutrino cross-section measurement at a collider, in an unexplored energy regime from FASER



### Factorization in QCD

#### Factorization of the perturbative and non perturbative component of the interaction

 $f_{a/p}$ one-dimensional parton distribution functions (PDFs) parameterize the longitudinal momentum fraction distributions of partons inside the proton





PDF from  $W^{\pm}$  and Z-bosons

### **Recent results on PDFs**

#### PDF from di-jet cross section



PDF from Z cross section

Also in pp 5 TeV CMS Submited to JHEP arXiv:2312.16669v1 arXiv:2401.11355

More from J. Roloff & Tim Martin Thursday 06/06



### Testing pQCD with jet cross section ratio

#### **Events with multiple jets**

Scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the leading two jets

 $H_{T2} = p_{T,1} + p_{T,2}$ 

 $H_{T2} \ge 250 \text{ GeV}$ 

#### Proxy for the energy scale of the hard-scattering interaction





NNLO computations better described 3-to-2 cross section ratio,  $R_{32}$ , than NLO (ratios reduce uncertainties from PDF)

Importance of the higher-order predictions in describing multijet production



### Di-J/ $\psi$ to access TMDs

#### **Transverse-Momentum Dependent Parton Distribution Functions**

3 dimensional imaging of hadrons including transverse momentum and polarization degrees of freedom



**Di-J/** $\psi$  produced with **one hard process** (Single Parton Scattering) as a **golden channel to probe gluon TMDs**  $f_1^g(x, k_T^2, \mu)$ 

No obvious broadening of the  $p_T$  spectrum can be seen in the TMD region



### Di-J/ $\psi$ to access TMDs

#### **Transverse-Momentum Dependent Parton Distribution Functions**

3 dimensional imaging of hadrons including transverse momentum and polarization degrees of freedom



The **azimuthal asymmetry of J/\psi pairs** is measured to probe the TMD function  $h_1^{\perp g}(x, k_T^2, \mu)$ 

$$\begin{split} &\langle\cos 2\phi_{\rm CS}\rangle = -0.029 \pm 0.050 ~({\rm stat}) \pm 0.009 ~({\rm syst}), \\ &\langle\cos 4\phi_{\rm CS}\rangle = -0.087 \pm 0.052 ~({\rm stat}) \pm 0.013 ~({\rm syst}), \\ & {}_{\rm LHCb~JHEP~03~(2024)~088~arXiv:2311.14085v2} \end{split}$$

Measurement consistent with 0 Presence of an azimuthal asymmetry at a few percent level is allowed

### First experimental measurement of linear polarization of gluons inside unpolarized protons

### No obvious broadening of the $p_T$ spectrum can be seen in the TMD region



### Double parton scattering: $J/\psi + \psi(2S)$ or $\Upsilon(nS)$







### Double parton scattering: $J/\psi + \psi(2S)$ or $\Upsilon(nS)$







m=2 when A and B are distinguishable m=1 when indistinguishable

Assume PDF factorization Expected properties of  $\sigma_{eff}$ :

- collision energy independent
- process independent

### Double parton scattering: $J/\psi + \psi(2S)$ or $\Upsilon(ns)$



pp@13 TeV LHCb  $(J/\psi - \Upsilon(1S))$ LHCb  $(J/\psi - \Upsilon(2S))$ LHCb  $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$ pp@8 TeV ATLAS  $(J/\psi - Z^0)$ ATLAS  $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$ LHCb ( $\Upsilon(1S)$ - $D^0$ ) pp@7 TeV ATLAS  $(J/\psi - W^{\pm})$ -0-CMS  $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$ LHCb  $(J/\psi - D^0)$ LHCb  $(D^0 - D^0)$ ATLAS ( $W^{\pm}$ -2 jets) CMS ( $W^{\pm}$ -2 jets) pp@1.96 TeV D0  $(J/\psi - \Upsilon)$ D0  $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$ D0 ( $\gamma$ -3 jets) *pp@*1.8 TeV CDF (4 jets) CDF ( $\gamma$ -3 jets) 20 40 60 80 100 0  $\sigma_{\rm eff}$  [mb]

12

Boston

### Double parton scattering: $J/\psi + \psi(2S)$ or $\Upsilon(ns)$







m=2 when A and B are distinguishable m=1 when indistinguishable

Assume PDF factorization Expected properties of  $\sigma_{eff}$ :

- collision energy independent
- process independent

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{ALICE di-J/ψ} \\ \sigma_{eff} = 6.7 \pm 1.6(\text{stat}) \pm 2.7 \text{ (syst)mb} \\ & \text{ALICE Phys. Rev. C 108, 045203 (2023) arXiv:2303.13431} \end{aligned}$ 

Observed properties of σ<sub>eff</sub> Process dependent Kinematic dependent Energy dependent

	4) 239 al XIV. 2311. 1392 IVI
	<i>pp</i> @13 TeV
<b>———</b> ——	LHCb $(J/\psi - \Upsilon(1S))$
<b>———</b>	LHCb $(J/\psi - \Upsilon(2S))$
	LHCb $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$
	<i>pp</i> @8 TeV
-	ATLAS $(J/\psi - Z^0)$
	ATLAS $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$
	LHCb ( $\Upsilon(1S)$ - $D^0$ )
	<i>pp</i> @7 TeV
	ATLAS $(J/\psi - W^{\pm})$
	CMS $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$
	LHCb $(J/\psi - D^0)$
	LHCb $(D^0 - D^0)$
	ATLAS ( $W^{\pm}$ -2 jets)
	CMS ( $W^{\pm}$ -2 jets)
	<i>pp@</i> 1.96 TeV
	D0 $(J/\psi - Y)$
	D0 $(J/\psi - J/\psi)$
	D0 ( $\gamma$ -3 jets)
	<i>pp@</i> 1.8 TeV
	CDF (4 jets)
H.	CDF ( $\gamma$ -3 jets)
0 20 40	60 80 100
	$\sigma_{\rm eff}$ [mb]
	12



### Next orders: tri-J/ $\psi$ in pp and di-J/ $\psi$ in p-Pb

Confirm the dependence of the effective DPS cross<sup>™S:</sup> section on the relevant parton species and *x* fractions probed







CMS,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =8.16 TeV, J/ $\psi$ +J/ $\psi$ CMS,  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV, J/ $\psi$ +J/ $\psi$ +J/ $\psi$  Nat. Phys. 19 (2023) 338 CMS\*, √s=7 TeV, J/ψ+J/ψ Phys. Rept. 889 (2020) 1 ATLAS, √s=8 TeV, J/ψ+J/ψ Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 76 **D0**, √s=1.96 TeV, J/ψ+J/ψ Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 111101 **D0\***, √s=1.96 TeV, J/ψ+Y Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 062001 ATLAS\*, Vs=7 TeV, W+J/ψ Phys. Lett. B 781 (2018) 485 ATLAS\*, √s=8 TeV, Z+J/ψ Phys. Rept. 889 (2020) 1 ATLAS\*, √s=8 TeV, Z+b→J/ψ Nucl. Phys. B 916 (2017) 132 D0, √s=1.96 TeV, γ+b/c+2-jet Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 072006 D0, vs=1.96 TeV, v+3-iet Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 072006 D0, √s=1.96 TeV, 2-y+2-jet Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 052008 D0. vs=1.96 TeV. v+3-iet Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 052012 CDF, √s=1.8 TeV, γ+3-jet Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 3811 UA2, vs=640 GeV, 4-jet Phys. Lett. B 268 (1991) 145 CDF, √s=1.8 TeV, 4-jet Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 4857 ATLAS. Vs=7 TeV. 4-iet JHEP 11 (2016) 110 CMS, √s=7 TeV, 4-jet Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 155 CMS, vs=13 TeV, 4-jet JHEP 01 (2022) 177 CMS, vs=7 TeV, W+2-jet JHEP 03 (2014) 032 ATLAS, vs=7 TeV, W+2-jet New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 033038 CMS, vs=13 TeV, WW Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 091803

CMS PAS HIN-23-013

#### Also extracted from p-Pb collisions

g

q



### Jet Fragmentation Function of charged hadrons

Extraction of the **double differential JFFs** in  $j_T$  and z, in 3 jet  $p_T$  intervals for unidentified charged hadrons

**Probe the longitudinal and transverse profiles** of identified charged pions, kaons, and protons inside predominantly light-quark-initiated jets



#### Probe the 3D picture of FF in the collinear and transverse dimension with respect to the jet axis



More from I. Chahrour Thursday 06/06 at 14:36



### Two-dimensional representation of the phase space of emissions inside a jet ...





More from I. Chahrour Thursday 06/06 at 14:36

### Lund sub-jet multiplicities

Two-dimensional representation of the phase space of emissions inside a jet ...



#### Running of $\alpha_s$ in the jet shower

dominant mechanism responsible for the rise of the LJP density at low  $k_T$ ( $k_T$  characteristic energy scale in  $\alpha_s$  evolution)



#### **Testing QCD with jet substructure**



More from I. Chahrour Thursday 06/06 at 14:36

### Lund sub-jet multiplicities

Two-dimensional representation of the phase space of emissions inside a jet ...



#### Running of $\alpha_s$ in the jet shower

dominant mechanism responsible for the rise of the LJP density at low  $k_T$ ( $k_T$  characteristic energy scale in  $\alpha_s$  evolution)

CMS

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

#### Measurement of average number of Lund subject multiplicities to constrained models



#### **Testing QCD with jet substructure**

#### QCD measurements in pp collisions



### Substructure of D<sub>0</sub> tagged jets





#### Direct experimental constraint of the splitting function of heavy-flavour quarks



### Substructure of D<sub>0</sub> tagged jets



> Charm quarks  $R_g$  distribution: reduction at large-angles. Inclusive sample with larger-angle perturbative emissions (gluon)

#### **Direct experimental constraint of the splitting function of heavy-flavour quarks**



### Substructure of D<sub>0</sub> tagged jets



- > Charm quarks R<sub>g</sub> distribution: reduction at large-angles. Inclusive sample with larger-angle perturbative emissions (gluon)
- *n*<sub>sD</sub> distribution (number of emissions of the charm quark satisfying the Soft Drop condition): shift to smaller values for the charm-tagged jets. Charm quarks on average emit fewer gluons. Consistent with dead cone effect for charm quark.
- Different characteristics of heavy-quark emissions vs. light quarks and gluons: constrain the roles of quark mass and Casimir colour factors in the parton shower.

#### Direct experimental constraint of the splitting function of heavy-flavour quarks



 $\Lambda_c^+$ -baryon yields much higher than predicted (general-mass variable-flavor-number scheme with FF from OPAL and Belle fits) Breakdown of the universality of charm quark fragmentation



More from V. Feuillard & P. Das Wednesday 05/06 & Thursday 06/06

#### Charm hadronization is different in hadronic environment and in $e^+e^-$



 $\Lambda_c^+$ -baryon yields much higher than predicted (general-mass variable-flavor-number scheme with FF from OPAL and Belle fits) Breakdown of the universality of charm quark fragmentation



ALICE measured several charm hadron species Prompt  $\Lambda_c^+$ -baryon fragmentation fraction in pp is ~3x larger than in  $e^+e^-$  and ep

Imply an overall reduction of the relative D-meson abundance (charm fragmentation function sum up to 1)



More from V. Feuillard & P. Das Wednesday 05/06 & Thursday 06/06



 $\Lambda_c^+$ -baryon yields much higher than predicted (general-mass variable-flavor-number scheme with FF from OPAL and Belle fits) Breakdown of the universality of charm quark fragmentation functions



ALICE measured several charm hadron species Prompt  $\Lambda_c^+$ -baryon fragmentation fraction in pp is ~3x larger than in  $e^+e^-$  and ep

Imply an overall reduction of the relative D-meson abundance (charm fragmentation function sum up to 1)



More from V. Feuillard & P. Das Wednesday 05/06 & Thursday 06/06

#### Charm hadronization is different in hadronic environment and in $e^+e^-$



- > First measurement of the  $\Sigma_c^{0,++}(2520)$  relative production at the LHC
- > ALICE measurement in  $p_T$  range 6-14 GeV/c compatible with  $e^+e^- p_T$  integrated within uncertainties
- > SHMc reproduces the ratio  $p_{T}$  integrated
- PYTHIA 8 (Monash + Mode 0/2/3) and Statistical Hadronization Model + RQM do not describe the data (feed-down from higher states under discussion)



ALI-PREL-574270



Charm abundance in pp under study



### Beauty, charm, and strange hadrons show a similar trend as a function of $p_T$





### Beauty, charm, and strange hadrons show a similar trend as a function of $p_T$





### Beauty, charm, and strange hadrons show a similar trend as a function of $p_T$

#### Lowest multiplicity bins: pp data ~ $e^+e^-$ data at LEP => fragmentation in vacuum





### Beauty, charm, and strange hadrons show a similar trend as a function of $p_T$

#### Rise of the baryon fraction with multiplicity, plateaus for collisions > 2x average number of VELO tracks





### Hadronization in and out of jets

#### Strange baryon-to-meson and baryon-to baryon ratios suppressed by a factor ~ 2 in jets w.r.t inclusive measurements





#### Hadronic environment (in jet vs. out of jet) impact hadronization



### Hadronization in and out of jets

#### Strange baryon-to-meson and baryon-to baryon ratios suppressed by a factor ~ 2 in jets w.r.t inclusive measurements

#### Deuteron coalescence probability in jets x 10 vs. underlying event

#### Nucleons have a smaller average phase-space distance





#### Hadronic environment (in jet vs. out of jet) impact hadronization

### Underlying event study with strangeness production

Phase space divided in 3 regions

- > Toward the leading jet: dominated by jet fragmentation
- Away from the leading jet (back-to-back)
- > Transverse region: dominated by underlying event, MPI and soft processes



Boston

### Underlying event study with strangeness production

Phase space divided in 3 regions

- > Toward the leading jet: dominated by jet fragmentation
- Away from the leading jet (back-to-back)
- > Transverse region: dominated by underlying event, MPI and soft processes





Boston

### Underlying event study with strangeness production

- Phase space divided in 3 regions
  - > Toward the leading jet: dominated by jet fragmentation
  - > Away from the leading jet (back-to-back)
  - > Transverse region: dominated by underlying event, MPI and soft processes





Boston

 A and K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> production in 3 regions allow to understand modelling of underlying event from event generators
None tested can reproduce all aspects
Strangeness production to study underlying event dynamic



### **Event shape modeling**

Strangeness production Suppressed in events with jet-like topologies Slightly enhanced in softer, isotropic event topologies





#### Event shape modeling to understand strangeness production in pp collisions



### **Event shape modeling**

The tensor S

$$S^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i} p_{i}^{\alpha} p_{i}^{\beta}}{\sum_{i} |\overrightarrow{p_{i}}|^{2}}$$

 $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \{x, y, z\}$  cartesian coordinates *i* is the index for the final-state charged particles that passed the selections based on the detector acceptance

Sphericity *S* from the two eigenvalues



#### Data more isotropic than the modeling in event generators



### Conclusions

#### > The strong force: running of $\alpha_s$ and v from FASER

- > Running of  $\alpha_s$  up to 2 TeV
- First v cross section at collider

#### Factorization approach in QCD, measurements of PDFs and TMDs

- Precision measurement with electroweak bosons
- > Jet cross section ration highlight the importance of NNLO computations
- Accessing the transverse-Momentum Dependent Parton Distribution Function

#### Insight on multi-parton scattering with associated production

- $\succ$  DPS with charm and beauty show non universal  $\sigma_{eff}$
- > Next orders : Tri-J/ $\psi$  in pp and di-J/ $\psi$  in p-Pb

#### Jet fragmentation and substructure

- > Direct experimental constraint of the splitting function of heavy-flavour quarks
- > Testing QCD with jet substructure

#### Hadronization in hadronic environment

- $\succ$  Charm and beauty hadronization are different in hadronic environment and in  $e^+e^-$
- > Hadronic environment (in jet vs. out of jet) impact hadronization
- Underlying event dynamic and event shape modeling under study







### Backup



### Exploring the strong interaction of 3-body systems

#### Measuring correlation functions of 3-body systems with femtoscopic techniques in high multiplicity pp collisions at 13 TeV



 $p - p - p / \overline{p}$  and  $p - p - \Lambda$ 



# Only a full 3-body calculation that accounts for the internal structure of the deuteron can explain the data (Av18+UIX full)

#### Non zero 3-particle cumulant hints for 3-body forces





### Exploring the strong interaction of 3-body systems

#### Measuring correlation functions of 3-body systems with femtoscopic techniques in high multiplicity pp collisions at 13 TeV



## Only a full 3-body calculation that accounts for the internal structure of the deuteron can explain the data (Av18+UIX full)

#### Non zero 3-particle cumulant hints for 3-body forces





### **Testing L-QCD with strangeness**

- Measurement of two-particle correlations as a function of the relative momentum to test the strong interaction among hadrons with strange quarks
- Comparisons with theoretical models:
  - > including leading-order and next-to-leading-order chiral Effective Field Theory calculations
  - > a meson exchange model
  - > Lattice QCD calculations close to the physical point for systems rich in strangeness



ALICE Phys. Lett. B 844 (2023) 137223 arXiv:2204.10258

### Data more compatible with predictions of small scattering parameters and hence a weak $\Lambda - \Xi^-$ interaction

sarah.porteboeuf@clermont.in2p3.fr

41

More from D.L. Mihaylov

### Tetraquark with di-J/ $\psi$ spectrum

- Tetraquark candidate X(6900) first observed by LHCb in 2020 Sci. Bull. 65, 1983 (2020)  $\succ$
- ≻ X(6900) confirmed by CMS and ATLAS in channel  $T_{ccccc} \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi$  and  $T_{ccccc} \rightarrow J/\psi \psi(2s)$
- Structure observed by CMS in  $J/\psi J/\psi$  spectrum, hint for X(6600) and X(7100)  $\succ$







#### **New charmed Tetraquark candidates**

2[-

1.5<sup>†</sup> 0

1.5<sup>‡</sup> 0

0.5

ALI-PUB-565665

0.5

о

ALICE

f<sub>o</sub>(980)

p–Pb,  $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$  = 5.02 TeV, -0.5 < y <

h<sup>ch</sup> PRC 91(2015) 064905

 $p_{_{\rm T}}$  (GeV/c)

o|○|○|∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞∞

6

### f<sub>0</sub>(980) : hint at a 2-quark structure with p-Pb collisions

> Is the f<sub>0</sub>(980) a  $q\bar{q}$  meson, a tetraquark state, a K $\overline{K}$  molecule or a  $q\bar{q}$ -gluon hybrid state ?

20-40%

60-80%

6

> Study of  $f_0(980)$  production and dynamic in p-Pb collisions

**ZNA** Multiplicity

2

Scaling Uncertainty

 $p_{_{\mathbf{T}}}(\mathbf{\bar{GeV}}/c)$ 

0 - 20%

ALICE arXiv:2311.11786



63



f0(980) is found to be a  $q\overline{q}$  meson (number-of-constituent-quarks scaling hypothesis) Other hypothesis ruled out



Presented by P. Gandini Monday 03/06 at 17:36 PBoston 2024

### Search for Pentaquark

 $\succ$  First observation of  $Λ_b^0 → D^+D^-Λ$ 

▶ First observation of 
$$\Lambda_b^0 o J / \psi \Xi^- K^+$$





**Opens the possibility to search for doubly-strange hidden-charm pentaquarks** 

### $J/\psi \& \psi(2S)$ (non-)prompt production cross section

- Cross sections measured up to 100 GeV
- > Similar  $p_{T}$  dependences for the prompt and non-prompt differential cross-sections
- > Non-prompt fractions nearly constant for both  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi$ (2S) states



ATLAS Eur. Phys. J. C 84 (2024) 169 arXiv:2309.17177



#### Charmonia cross sections up to 100 GeV

Boston







#### Charm fragmentation is different in hadronic environment and in $e^+e^-$