# Searches for leptoquarks in CMS LHCP 2024

Arne Reimers on behalf of the CMS Collaboration



## Introduction

- Flavor anomalies in  $b \rightarrow c l \nu$  transitions and other observables
  - ► 3- $\sigma$ -level tension with SM in  $\mathscr{R}(D^{(\star)})$  for over a decade HFLAV
- Muon g-2 <u>PRL 131, 161802 (2023)</u>







LQ searches in CMS



## Introduction

- Flavor anomalies in  $b \rightarrow c l \nu$  transitions and other observables
  - ► 3- $\sigma$ -level tension with SM in  $\mathscr{R}(D^{(\star)})$  for over a decade HFLAV
- Muon g-2 <u>PRL 131, 161802 (2023)</u>











## Introduction

- Flavor anomalies in  $b \rightarrow c l \nu$  transitions and other observables
  - ► 3- $\sigma$ -level tension with SM in  $\mathscr{R}(D^{(\star)})$  for over a decade HFLAV
- Muon g-2 <u>PRL 131, 161802 (2023)</u>









4 June 2024

# Leptoquarks at the LHC

### QCD pair production

- Depends on  $M_{LO}$
- Model-independent

Single production

- Depends on  $M_{LO}$ and  $\lambda^2$
- Model-dependent







#### t-channel

- Depends on  $M_{LO}$ and  $\lambda^4$
- Model-dependent



#### **Resonant s-channel**

- Depends on  $M_{LO}$ and  $\lambda^4$
- $\gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$  splitting













#### Strategy

- BDT trained for each LQ mass hypothesis
  - 11 high-level input variables with little correlation (e.g. invariant masses)
  - Cut on BDT score to maximize Punzi significance







#### Strategy

- BDT trained for each LQ mass hypothesis
  - 11 high-level input variables with little correlation (e.g. invariant masses)
  - Cut on BDT score to maximize Punzi significance
- Yield of dominant backgrounds corrected using data in CRs





LQ searches in CMS

95









#### Results

- Cut-and-count for each LQ mass
- No excess observed
- LQ masses below 1.8 / 2.5 (scalar / vector [κ=1]) excluded









LQ searches in CMS





#### Results

- Cut-and-count for each LQ mass
- No excess observed
- LQ masses below 1.8 / 2.5 (scalar / vector [κ=1]) excluded
- Limits also placed vs.  $\beta = \mathscr{B}(LQ \rightarrow b\ell)$ :  $m_{LO} > 1.5$  TeV at  $\beta = 0.5$









LQ searches in CMS







#### Strategy

- Assumes exclusive bτ couplings
- 2 hard τ leptons + varying number of hard (b-)jets
- No hard jet:
  - 3 categories in  $m_{\tau\tau}$ , fit  $\chi = e^{\Delta \eta}$
  - Sensitive to t-channel process
- $\geq$  1 hard jet:
  - 2 categories in  $N_{b-jets}$ , fit  $S_T^{MET} = 2$
  - Sensitive to all three processes

Arne Reimers (UZH)

#### arXiv:2308.07826 (acc. by JHEP)







- Consider different τ decay modes





[%]

tance



arXiv:2308.07826 (acc. by JHEP)

138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)  $\underline{\tau_{h}} \overline{\tau_{h}}$ , 0b Events / GeV  $\label{eq:cms} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{CMS} \ \ \text{LQ}, 2000 \ \text{GeV}, \ \lambda = 2.5, \ \beta = 1, \ \kappa = 1 \\ \hline \textbf{Wector}, \ \sigma_{\text{fit}} = 48^{+25}_{-22} \ \text{fb} \end{array}$ Observed 10<sup>4</sup>  $\Box j \rightarrow \tau_h$  Signal regions after 10<sup>3</sup> DY + jets tt + single t combined fit  $10^{2}$ Diboson Other Bkg. unc. **10**<sup>-1</sup> • Vector LQ signal: 10<sup>-2</sup>  $10^{-3}$ 2 TeV 10 Obs. / Bkg.  $\lambda = 2.5$ .5 All 3 processes 0.5 combined 2000 1500 2500 1000 500 S<sup>MET</sup> [GeV] 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)  $e\tau_h + \mu \tau_h$ , 0b Events / GeV 10<sup>5</sup> **CMS** LQ, 2000 GeV,  $\lambda$ =2.5,  $\beta$ =1,  $\kappa$ =1 Observed Local disagreement - Vector,  $\sigma_{fit} = 48^{+25}_{-22}$  fb  $\Box j \rightarrow \tau_h$ 10<sup>4</sup> 🔲 tīt + single t 10<sup>°</sup> DY + jets with SM Diboson Other Bkg. unc. • Most significant: 10<sup>-1</sup> •  $e\tau_h + \mu\tau_h$ , Ob 10<sup>-2</sup>  $10^{-3}$  Not explained by  $10^{-4}$ this LQ signal 1.5⊢ Obs. / Bkc . + + + ..... 0.5 1500 500 2000 1000 2500 S<sup>MET</sup> [GeV]





LQ searches in CMS

- Small couplings ( $\lambda = 1$ ): signal dominated by pair production
  - Vector LQs excluded below 1.8 1.9 TeV
- Large couplings ( $\lambda = 2.5$ ): high-mass signal dominated by nonresonant process
  - Local excess of 2.5 / 2.8σ (vector / scalar) at 2 TeV









### Search for $q\tau \rightarrow LQ \rightarrow q\tau$

#### Strategy

- First search for τ lepton-induced LQ production
- Select high-p<sub>T</sub> **τ** (e, μ, had.) and jet (b-tagged or untagged)
- Train BDTs to discriminate signal from and a some and a some a signal from a some W+jets and tt ( $e/\mu$  channel) or **Events** jet  $\rightarrow \tau_h$  mis-ID (hadronic channel)
  - Define categories with different signal purity
- Fit collinear mass ( $p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$  assumed to come from  $\tau$  decay)
- Normalization of W+b jet from CR data





#### PRL 132 (2024) 061801







# Search for $q\tau \rightarrow LQ \rightarrow q\tau$

#### Results

- No significant excess observed
- Limits for bτ and qτ couplings



#### PRL 132 (2024) 061801



LQ searches in CMS

4 June 2024



# Search for $q\tau \rightarrow LQ \rightarrow q\tau$

#### Results

- No significant excess observed
- Limits for bτ and qτ couplings
- Sensitivity to  $\lambda$ : limits on  $M_{LQ}$  vs.  $\lambda$ 
  - bt cross section suppressed by b PDFs



#### PRL 132 (2024) 061801





LQ searches in CMS

4 June 2024



# Conclusion

- Leptoquarks could explain B anomalies and muon g-2
- Search for LQ  $\rightarrow$  bµ pair production: strongest limits on LQ  $\rightarrow$  bµ to date
- Search for LQ  $\rightarrow$  bt: excess in  $\ell \tau_h$  events with un-tagged jets
- Search for  $q\tau \rightarrow LQ \rightarrow q\tau$ : first search for  $\tau$  lepton-induced LQ production





# Additional material

- Dominant SM backgrounds:
  - $e\tau_h \& \mu \tau_h$ : **tt**

• 
$$\tau_h \tau_h$$
: **DY**  $\rightarrow$  **TT**

- Constrained by including control regions in simultaneous fit
  - tt in eµ region
  - **DY**  $\rightarrow$  **TT** in µµ region
- Useful for both experimental and theoretical uncertainties
- Excellent agreement after fit





#### arXiv:2308.07826 (acc. by JHEP)

LQ searches in CMS

4 June 2024

- Jets likely to be misidentified as  $\tau_h$
- Background with  $j \rightarrow \tau_h$  fakes derived from data
- Invert  $\tau_h$  identification: enriched in mis-IDed  $\tau_h$
- Transfer factor (TF) measured in dedicated control regions C&D vs.  $\tau_h p_T$
- j  $\rightarrow \tau_h$  probability depends on jet flavor
- Separate TFs measured for dominant processes:
  - ► tt
  - ► W + jets
  - QCD
- Application as weighted average

arXiv:2308.07826 (acc. by JHEP)



#### measure

LQ searches in CMS





