ML-assisted reconstruction of hadron-collider events with mini-jets

Josef Murnauer, Daniel Britzger, Stefan Kluth, Roman Kogler

ML4Jets, DESY, Hamburg 6.11.2023

1

Introduction

- At hadron colliders, a critical task is the reconstruction of intermediate resonances from Higgs-, W- or Z-bosons or from a top quark decay
- Decays into quarks (hadronic decays) are particularly difficult (but also leptonic decays are challenging when neutrinos are involved)
- Due to colour confinement, quarks cannot be observed directly in experiment
- Instead, they form jets of particles
- Jet algorithms are applied as a proxy for a single quark, or to reconstruct an intermediate resonance directly (H,W,Z,t)

Measurement of decay products

- Final state particles:
	- charged leptons, neutrinos, photons, charged and neutral hadrons
- Hadron -Collider:
	- Easy measurement of charged leptons and photons (single tracks, muon hits, isolated clusters)
	- Missing energy is representative for neutrino
	- Hadrons are collected into (jets)
	- H, W, Z-bosons or top-quarks can only be measured indirectly

ATLAS collaboration

Jet Reconstruction

- Many different approaches for jet-analysis
- Most commonly used: Recursive clustering algorithms
- Quantity to describe the size of the jets

 $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \phi)^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2}$

- Typical values at the LHC:
	- Large-R jets $R = 0.8$
	- Small-R jets R=0.4
- New approach:
	- **Mini-jets – R=0.1**
	- Idea: parton-jet duality for
sufficiently hard partons from matrix element o'r hard radiation in parton shower, but insensitive to soft radiation and hadronization effects
	- Challenge: reconstruct H, W, Z-bosons or tops from mini-jets

Jets at hadron colliders (2); Gavin Salam

Underlying Physics and Data

- Pair of top quarks decays into two W -bosons and two bottom quarks
- Centre of mass energy: 13 TeV
- W-bosons decay semi leptonically
	- Leptonic W-boson \rightarrow lepton and neutrino
	- Hadronic W-boson \rightarrow quark anti-quark
- Monte Carlo Simulation:
	- Particle-level analysis
	- Anti-kt algorithm (different R-values)
	- ME, parton-shower and hadronization from Pythia 8.3

What We Measure

- Jet clustering is performed at particle level
- All particles, except neutrinos, are input to jet algorithm
	- jets are the only representation of an event
	- also prompt leptons form a jet
	- only MET will be considered separately
- Re-combine multiple mini-jets later to form a H/W/Z/t-jet

Mini -Jets

- Idea of jet algorithms in common LHC analyses:
	- Define a suitable jet algorithm and size (R=0.4, 0.8, etc.)
	- Assumption: All information of the hard process is contained in the selected jets
- Idea of mini -jets:
	- Use many very small jets and collect all information
	- Sensible jet multiplicity of $\langle n \rangle \simeq 15$
	- But: Difficult to handle combinatorics to reconstruct underlying hard physics
	- \rightarrow Solution: Let a neural network handle the excessive information

Reconstruction of Particle Properties

Using p_x instead of ϕ to avoid problems with rotational symmetry

Network Architecture

• Jet Features:

- 25 mini-jets à 8 features $(p_T, \eta, \phi, p_x, p_y, p_z, E, m)$
- Number of jets vary through different events zero padding used to make uniform input set

• Global Features (Lepton & Neutrino)

- 3 lepton features (p_T, η, ϕ)
- 3 neutrino features (p_T, p_x, p_y)

• Global Pooling for jets & concatenation of jets and global features

Core layer:

• Multiple dense layers with all relevant information of the event

• Output (Regression Branch):

• Extracting information for a specific resonance via dense layer

Training the Model

- Start with training one output particle
	- Easiest particle for the model in this case: W-boson decaying leptonically
- Get to more difficult particles step by step
	- Use weights from leptonic W-boson to train top quarks and the invariant mass of top quark pair
	- Use weights from these two models to train bottom quarks and hadronic W-boson

Performance of the p_T **- Reconstruction**

- Ratio of reconstructed and true transverse momentum of hadronically decaying top quark
- Classical Reconstruction for small-R jets:
	- Select 4 leading jets and combine 3 of them. Combination with closest mass to top quark is selected
- Classical Reconstruction for large-R jets:
	- Select events with τ_{32} < 0.54
	- Softdropmass: 110 GeV < SDM < 210 GeV
	- Efficiency ~30%
- Small-R and Large-R reconstruction algorithms suffer from efficiency losses due to additional cuts
- Machine Learning Model outperforms classical reconstruction with small-R and large-R jets

*p***_r-Reconstruction for different** p_T -ranges of the top quark

- Low p_T (<350 GeV):
	- Machine Learning model performs best
	- Classical reconstruction methods have problems in low p_T -Regions due to non-boosted decay topology
- Medium $p_T(350 \text{ GeV} 650 \text{ GeV})$:
	- Better description of physics of classical methods due to more boosted topology
- High p_T (>650 GeV):
	- Large-R reconstruction very good due to highly boosted topology
	- Large-R jets with efficiency of (only) ~40%

Mass-Reconstruction

- A precise measurement of the top quark mass is an important physics goal. However, direct regression of m_{top} not possible in order to avoid a bias towards the MC mass
- Instead, reconstruct m_{top} via energy and total momentum: $M^2 = E^2 p^2$
- ML reconstruction with mini-jets results in significantly higher efficiency, and furthermore achieves higher resolution than small-R or large-R jets

Summary

- We have studied a new reconstruction methodology for collider events using mini-jets and a deep neural network
- Mini-Jets
	- anti-kt jets with radius of R=0.1
	- Goal: preserve physics of hard interaction, as well as hard emissions in the parton shower
	- Little sensitivity to soft or collinear emissions or hadronization effects
	- reasonable multiplicity of $\langle n \rangle$ =15 in semi-leptonic ttbar events at \sqrt{s} =13TeV
- Mini-jets are input to a ML-based reconstruction of target observables

 \rightarrow W/Z-boson, top-quark, Higgs-boson quantities

- ML-based reconstruction outperforms classical reconstruction algorithms over a large kinematic range
	- top-quark, W-boson and b-quark properties were studied
	- Algorithm can handle different event topologies at different scales in a single algorithm: e.g. resolved and boosted top-quarks

Backup

Outlook:

- Inclusion of b-tagging information will further improve the performance
- ML based pile-up mitigation will be an inherent part of the algorithm
- Paper in prep. and to be submitted to arXiv soon

