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## What are we made of?



Atom structureProtonNeutron
© Electron
"If we consider protons and neutrons as elementary particles, we would have three kinds of elementary particles [p,n,e].... This number may seem large but, from that point of view, two is already a large number."

Paul Dirac 1933 Solvay Conference

## The Standard Model

12 particles +4 forces + Higgs boson

## The Standard Model



Higgs

## The Standard Model



Hypercharge


Strong

Higgs

## Our First Unification: Fields



- Particles are excitations of underlying quantum fields
- Forces are also due to fields and have associated particles
- Electromagnetism $=$ photon
- Strong = gluon
- Weak $=\mathrm{W}$ and Z bosons
- Gravity $=$ graviton


## Intrinsic Angular Momentum $=$ Spin



These are fermions.

The Pauli exclusion principle applies to fermions.

Spin 2


These are all (gauge) bosons


## A Remarkable Fact

All spin $1 / 2$ particles are described by the same equation, discovered by Dirac


Here $m$ is the mass.

Consequence: all matter particles come with anti-particles

## Mass



An aside: In the Standard Model, the masses of all particles are determined by the strength of interaction with the Higgs field.

## Units for Mass

We measure mass in terms of energy, using $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2}$. The unit of choice is the electronvolt

$$
1 \mathrm{eV} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}
$$

Or $\mathrm{MeV}=10^{6} \mathrm{eV}$ or $\mathrm{GeV}=10^{9} \mathrm{eV}$ or $\mathrm{TeV}=10^{12} \mathrm{eV}$.

More confusingly, we also measure distance in terms of inverse energy, using

$$
\lambda=\frac{\hbar c}{E}
$$

For a particle of mass $E$, this is the "Compton wavelength", or the size of the particle.
Note: heavier particles are smaller!

## The Masses of Particles



Compton wavelength of electron $\lambda_{e} \approx 2 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}$

## The Masses of Particles



Note: photon and graviton both massless.
(The gluon is a little subtle...see later.)

## The Masses of Particles



The biggest

$$
\begin{gathered}
H \approx 2 \times 10^{-33} \mathrm{eV} \\
L_{\text {universe }} \approx 9 \times 10^{26} \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

The smallest

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mathrm{pl}}=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{8 \pi G}} \approx 2 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{GeV} \\
& L_{\mathrm{pl}}=\sqrt{\frac{8 \pi \hbar G}{c^{3}}} \approx 8 \times 10^{-35} \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Electric Charge

## Charge $=$

-1

$-1 / 3$

$+2 / 3$


The electric charge characterizes the (relative) strength of the electromagnetic interaction

## Electromagnetism (or QED)

The Maxwell Equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}=\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_{0}} & , \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E}=-\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0 & , \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B}=\mu_{0}\left(\mathbf{J}+\epsilon_{0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}\right)
\end{array}
$$



This implies the Coulomb force which, in natural units, reads

$$
F=\alpha \frac{Q_{1} Q_{2}}{r^{2}}
$$

with the fine structure constant

$$
\alpha=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0} \hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137}
$$

## Feynman Diagrams

An important fact: quantum field theory is hard!

We are saved in QED because $\alpha \approx \frac{1}{137} \ll 1$. This allows us to write down an approximate solution
e.g. what is the probability for a photon to scatter off an electron in some direction?


More complicated diagrams, like


## Renormalisation

Look close at the electron.


$$
\mathbf{E}=\frac{e}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0} r^{2}} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad \square
$$

Large energy density near electron, This allows for the creation of particle-anti-particle pairs


This is the physics behind the increasingly complicated diagrams like this


## Renormalisation

As you look more closely, the charge of an electron gets bigger!


Constants of nature are not constant!

## The Strong and Weak Force

Both nuclear forces have associated "electric" and "magnetic" fields

$$
\mathbf{E}=\left(E_{x}, E_{y}, E_{z}\right) \quad \mathbf{B}=\left(B_{x}, B_{y}, B_{z}\right)
$$

But each component is now itself a matrix.

- $1 \times 1$ matrixElectromagnetism
- $2 \times 2$ matrix
 Weak force
or $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$
- $3 \times 3$ matrix $\square$ Strong force

These fields are governed by the Yang-Mills equations


## The Strong Force (or QCD)



Each quark comes in three colours, which we take to be red, green and blue.
(Note: a better counting is that each generation contains $1+3+3+1=8$ particles.)

## Why is the Strong Force Strong?

strong coupling constant

energy $=1 /$ distance

At high energy, say $\mathrm{E}=100 \mathrm{GeV}$, we have $\alpha_{s} \approx 0.1$. But the strong force gets stronger as we go to larger distances. (Asymptotic freedom.)

Taken naively, $\alpha_{s} \rightarrow \infty$ at the energy scale:

$$
\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} \approx 200 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

This corresponds to a distance scale $R_{\mathrm{QCD}}=\frac{1}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}$

## Confinement

At short distances, $F(r) \sim \frac{\alpha_{s}}{r^{2}}$ but at long distances $F(r)$ becomes constant.

In terms of the potential energy, $V(r) \sim-\frac{\alpha_{s}}{r}$ at short distances, but at long distances

$$
V(r) \sim \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2} r
$$

This is confinement. We don't see isolated quarks.
Also, the force carrying field is not massless. The gluons stick together to form glueballs, with mass around $m_{\text {gluon }} \approx \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$. This is the "mass gap" problem.


## Hadrons (Stuff Made of Quarks)

- Baryons: three quarks. For example

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(d d u) & m_{n} \approx 939.57 \mathrm{MeV} \\
p(u u d) & m_{p} \approx 938.28 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$



A puzzle: $\mathrm{m}_{\text {down }}=5 \mathrm{meV}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\text {up }}=2 \mathrm{MeV}$. Where does the mass come from?

- Mesons: quark-anti-quark pair. For example, pions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi^{+}(\bar{d} u) & m \approx 139 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\pi^{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{u} u-\bar{d} d) & m \approx 135 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\pi^{-}(\bar{u} d) & m \approx 139 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{array}
$$



Note: Pions have spin 0 and so should be thought of as "force carrying" particles! So ...

## Decay

All hadrons other than the proton are unstable. They decay.

- Strong decay: $\sim 10^{-22}$ to $10^{-24}$ seconds.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta^{++}(u u u) \rightarrow p+\pi^{+} \\
\Sigma^{0}(d u s) \rightarrow \Lambda^{0}+\gamma \text { with } \Lambda^{0}(d u s) \\
\pi^{+}(u d) \rightarrow \mu^{+}+\nu_{\mu}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Weak decay: $\sim 10^{-7}$ to $10^{-13}$ seconds.

The most famous weak decay is how we first discovered the weak force

$$
n \rightarrow p+e^{-}+\bar{\nu}_{e}
$$

Or, if you look more closely,


## Particles vs Resonances

- Strong decay: $\sim 10^{-22}$ to $10^{-24}$ seconds.
- Electromagnetic decay: $\sim 10^{-16}$ to $10^{-21}$ seconds.
- Weak decay: $\sim 10^{-7}$ to $10^{-13}$ seconds.

If a particle decays through the weak force, we can take a photograph of it!


If it decays through the strong force, or EM, then we see it more indirectly


## The Weak Force

half of each particle!


## Parity Violation



$$
{ }^{60} \mathrm{Co} \rightarrow{ }^{60} \mathrm{Ni}+e^{-}+\bar{\nu}_{e}+2 \gamma
$$

## Chiral Fermions


left-handed fermion

right-handed fermion

Left-handed particles experience the weak force, right-handed do not.

## The Forces of the Standard Model

Each generation splits into $8 \times 2$ sets of particles


Note: We don't yet know if the right-handed neutrino exists.

## The Structure of the Standard Model



| Particles |  | Strong | Weak | Hypercharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left-handed | quarks | yes | yes | $+1 / 6$ |
|  | leptons | no | yes | $-1 / 2$ |
| Right-handed | up quark | yes | no | $+2 / 3$ |
|  | down quark | yes | no | $-1 / 3$ |
|  | electron | no | no | -1 |
|  | neutrino | no | no | 0 |

A perfect jigsaw: Anomaly cancellation means that it could hardly be any other way!

## The Higgs Field

This is both the simplest and most complicated field in the Standard Model!

| Particle | Strong | Weak | Hypercharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higgs | no | yes | $+1 / 2$ |



Two relevant scales: - Mass $m_{H} \approx 125 \mathrm{GeV}$

- Condensate $\langle\phi\rangle \approx 246 \mathrm{GeV}$

It is the condensate that gives the Higgs its Midas touch: everything that it touches gets a mass

## How Particles Get a Mass

In the Standard Model, all fermions and gauge bosons are obliged to be fundamentally massless

They get a mass by interaction with the Higgs.

some dimensionless coupling $\quad\langle\phi\rangle \approx 246 \mathrm{GeV}$


- The Higgs gives mass to the W-boson and Z-boson and all fermions.
- The photon remains massless: it is the one that got away!
- Recall: the mass of the proton and neutron do not come from the Higgs!


## One Last Thing: Quark Mixing

There is a misalignment between the interactions with the Higgs and the interaction with the weak force.

It turns out that you can choose to have the up-sector aligned. But then the down sector is not. The result is a superposition of particles.

These particles interact with weak force



These particles interact with Higgs, and so definite mass.

This is how, for example, mesons with strange quarks decay


## One Last Thing: and Lepton Mixing

There is a similar statement for neutrinos

These particles interact with weak force and are produced in, say, beta decay

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\nu_{e} \\
\nu_{\mu} \\
\nu_{\tau}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
U_{e 1} & U_{e 2} & U_{e 3} \\
U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\
U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\nu_{1} \\
\nu_{2} \\
\nu_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

These particles have definite mass. These are energy eigenstates that travel unchanged through space.

## The Mixing Matrices

For quarks, we have the CKM matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left|V_{u d}\right|\left|V_{u s}\right|\left|V_{u b}\right| \\
\left|V_{c d}\right|\left|V_{c s}\right|\left|V_{c b}\right| \\
\left|V_{t d}\right|\left|V_{t s}\right|\left|V_{t b}\right|
\end{array}\right) \approx\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.97 & 0.22 & 0.004 \\
0.22 & 0.97 & 0.04 \\
0.009 & 0.04 & 0.999
\end{array}\right)
$$

For neutrinos, we have the PMNS matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left|U_{e 1}\right|\left|U_{e 2}\right|\left|U_{e 3}\right| \\
\left|U_{\mu 1}\right|\left|U_{\mu 2}\right|\left|U_{\mu 3}\right| \\
\left|U_{\tau 1}\right|\left|U_{\tau 2}\right|\left|U_{\tau 3}\right|
\end{array}\right) \approx\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.8 & 0.5 & 0.1 \\
0.3 & 0.5 & 0.7 \\
0.4 & 0.6 & 0.6
\end{array}\right)
$$

We only know these parameters by experimental measurement. Why do they take these values? Why are the matrices so different?

## Summary: The Greatest Theory of All Time

$$
G=S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)
$$


$\times$ three generations
with all complications coming from interactions with Higgs!

