The Standard Model of particle physics

CERN summer student lectures 2023

Lecture 4/5

Ch!"*o*#*e Grojean e Grojean*

DESY (Hamburg) Humboldt University (Berlin)

([christophe.grojean@desy.de](mailto:christophe.grojean@desy.ch))

Outline

Monday: symmetry

- Lagrangians
- Lorentz symmetry scalars, fermions, gauge bosons
- Gauge/local symmetry as dynamical principle Example: U(1) electromagnetism

Tuesday: SM symmetries

- Nuclear decay, Fermi theory and weak interactions: SU(2)
- Dimensional analysis: cross-sections and life-time computations made simple
- o Strong interactions: SU(3)

Wednesday: chirality of weak interactions

- Chirality of weak interactions
- o Pion decay

Thursday: Higgs mechanism

- More about QCD
	- o Spontaneous symmetry breaking and Higgs mechanism
	- Lepton and quark masses, quark mixings
	- Neutrino masses

Friday: quantum effects

- Running couplings
- Asymptotic freedom of QCD
- Anomalies cancelation

57

modern version of the plot... modern version of the plot…

CG SSLP2023

 η

Experiments in the 60's revealed the internal structure of the neutrons and protons Gell-Mann and others proposed that they are made of "**quarks**"

> Up quarks (up, charm, top): spin-1/2, Q=2/3 Down quarks (down, strange, bottom): spin-1/2, Q=-1/3

SU(2) weak symmetry that changes neutrino into electron also changes up-quark into down-quark (to explain neutron decay)

Figure 8.3 *R* **is plotted against electron energy (in GeV).** *(Source:* **F. Halzen and A. D.** This experiment counts the number of quarks and gives their electric charges. Another remarkable feature: at high energy, the quarks behave like muons, i.e., not sensitive to strong interactions.

Asymptotic freedom of QCD!

(consequence of non-abelian nature of strong interaction - see tomorrow lecture)

Experiments in the 60's revealed the internal structure of the neutrons and protons Gell-Mann and others proposed that they are made of "**quarks**"

> Up quarks (up, charm, top): spin-1/2, Q=2/3 Down quarks (down, strange, bottom): spin-1/2, Q=-1/3

SU(2) weak symmetry that changes neutrino into electron also changes up-quark into down-quark (to explain neutron decay)

Experiments in the 60's revealed the internal structure of the neutrons and protons Gell-Mann and others proposed that they are made of "**quarks**"

> Up quarks (up, charm, top): spin-1/2, Q=2/3 Down quarks (down, strange, bottom): spin-1/2, Q=-1/3

SU(2) weak symmetry that changes neutrino into electron also changes up-quark into down-quark (to explain neutron decay)

Q**uarks** carry yet another quantum number: "**colour**"

There are 3 possible colours and Nature is colour-blind, i.e, Lagrangian should remain the same when the colours of the quarks are changed, i.e., when we perform a rotation in the colour-space of quarks.

> $Q^a \rightarrow U^a{}_b Q^b$ U: 3x3 matrix satisfying $U^{\dagger} U = 1_3$ such that the quark kinetic term is invar such that the quark kinetic term is invariant SU(3)

hadrons (spin-1/2, #hadronic=1): $p = uud$ $n = udd$ $\pi^0 =$ $u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$ mesons (spin-0, #hadronic=0): $\pi^0 = \frac{du + du}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\pi^+ = u\bar{d}$ $\pi^- = d\bar{u}$

(Each quark carries a baryon number =1/3)

There are (heavier) quarks and hence other baryons and mesons

All the interactions of the SM preserve baryon and lepton numbers

 $\mu \to e \nu_{\mu} \bar{\nu}_e$ $n \to p e \bar{\nu}_e$ $\pi^- \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$ $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ $p \times \pi^0 \bar{e}$

Inside Hadrons

One can break matter into pieces to learn what it is made of. But this is not always possible (not sharp enough knife, not enough energy…). Fortunately, remember the boiled egg experiment:

<https://youtu.be/r1ygKQbcqh4>

The way the egg is spinning can tell if it is boiled (one piece) or raw (internal structure with different components moving independently from each others)

Inside Hadrons

One can break matter into pieces to learn what it is made of. But this is not always possible (not sharp enough knife, not enough energy…). Fortunately, remember the boiled egg experiment:

electron-proton scattering (1960's) reveals the proton intimate structure that become feeble at large energies — asymptotic freedom). (3 elementary spin-1/2 quarks that exist in 3 colours bounded by strong interactions

The Standard Model: Interactions

LOCALGAUGE INVARIANCE **Litary** Communication. **SM Summary**

vedoraxid.ve Fermionicus of the Fermionic unit is a state of the fermionic currents of the fermionic of the fe
Although particular model in the fermionic current of the fermionic current of the fermionic current of the fe

Are we done?

 $m_W^2 W^+ \mu W^-_\nu$

is not gauge invariant $A_{\mu} \rightarrow UA_{\mu}U^{-1} +$ *i g* $(\partial_{\mu}U)U^{-1}$

Remember May 1, 2003:

"Mission accomplished" speech by G.W. Bush.

That was certainly not the end of the story and there were (are) still a lot things to do!

Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

Short-distance interactions \neq Long-distance interactions The masses are emergent due to a non-trivial structure of the vacuum

Figure 2. The Mexican-hat potential energy density considered by
Jeffers: Caldetana in his consisti 1961 noneg² The consent density is a Jeffrey Goldstone in his seminal 1961 paper.2 The energy density is a

function of the real (Re) and imaginary (Im) values of a spinless field *ϕ*. In the context of the electroweak theory developed later in the decade, \boldsymbol{I} the yellow ball at the top of the hat would represent the symmetric solution for the potential, in which the photon, \mathcal{A} \mathbf{I} are all massless. The trough represents the solution after t symmetry breaking. In the W and Z bosons are massive \mathcal{L} $V(X)$

 e

nptly

edia-

d) ex-

s not with compf the irtual ange ticle, rce is nedie, the decay into two photons. The image on pages 28 and 29 shows a visualization of the data produced by a visualiz \cup candidate at the four decay of \cup products are muons or antimuons—a pair of each whose tracks are depicted as red lines. The experimental results so far suggest that the particle observed at the LHC is indeed a Higgs

boson, though not necessarily possessing exactly
 Joseph Rychard Lyke etandard-model the properties postulated by the standard model. The discovery itself is based on large excesses of Higgs-like events in the two decay channels described above, supported by less conclusive but compatible excesses observed in other channels. Figure 4 displays CMS data for the four-lepton channel. The measured mass is about 126 GeV/*c*² , intermediate between the mass of the Z boson and the mass of the top quark.

 $\sqrt{2}$ $\log u$ in the set of $\log u$ \mathcal{N} muon–antimuon pair. The \mathcal{N}

 \mathbf{t} the mass of the Higgs boson.

 ϵ admixture if there exists a new source of matter-
 ϵ col-

antimatter asymmetry related to the Higgs. The pronechd the ıbout nade inter-HC's o deecon s^{11} ticles e collider searches involve several different decay signa-The new particle cannot be a spin-1 particle because the decay of such an object into two photons is forbidden by a general result known as the Landau– Yang theorem. Its wavefunction does not change sign when operated on by *CP* (a product of the discrete symmetries of charge conjugation and coordinate inversion, or parity), as the pion wavefunction does. So the new particle is either unchanged by *CP*, as a Higgs boson is, or it could be a *CP*-violating admixture if there exists a new source of matter– antimatter asymmetry related to the Higgs. The production rate of the particle and the particle and the degree to which the particle and the degree to which the α

Oxford English **vacuum** = a space entirely devoid of matter $\frac{1}{2}$

vacuum $=$ a space filled with BEH substance Physics English \bigotimes short \bigotimes short \bigotimes is summer.

Example 2 M vs QFT [\(courtesy of J. Lykken@Aspen2014\)](https://indico.cern.ch/event/276476/session/1/contribution/0/material/slides/0.pdf) $q = \frac{1}{2}$

[pictures: courtesy of D.E. Kaplan

not so

Christophe Grojean Higgs Physics ¹³ Ibarra, March. 10-12, 2o15 Ground state of QM double well potential is a superposition of two states each localised on one minimum, and this superposition preserves the Z_2 symmetry of the potential

> superposition that preserves the In QFT, it is more difficult to transition between degenerate vacua and spontaneous symmetry breaking can occur

(or more correctly, the symmetry is non-linearly realised in Hilbert space)

 T_{chance bat T_{chance} bath vacuum of the SM breaks SU(2)xU(1) to U(1)_{em} via the dynamics of an elementary scalar field **The Brout-Englert-Higgs Boson** (postulated in 1964 — discovered in 2012)

Spontaneous Symmetry

 $V(H) = \lambda (|H|^2 - v^2/2)^2$ Most general Higgs (renormalisable) potential

 v^2 >0 EW symmetry breaking, v^2 <0 no breaking Why Nature has decided that v²>0? No dynamics explains it.

vacuum invariant under $U(1)_{EM}$

$$
\delta_{SU(2)}\langle H\rangle=\frac{i}{2}\left(\theta^1\left(\begin{array}{cc}1\\1\end{array}\right)+\theta^2\left(\begin{array}{cc}&-I\\I\end{array}\right)+\theta^3\left(\begin{array}{cc}1\\&-1\end{array}\right)\right)\langle H\rangle\neq0
$$

$$
\delta_Y \langle H \rangle = i \theta_Y \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1/2 & \\ & 1/2 \end{array} \right) \langle H \rangle \neq 0
$$

$$
\delta_Q \langle H \rangle = i \theta_{QED} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \langle H \rangle = 0 \qquad \qquad \theta_{QED} = \theta_Y = \theta_3 \qquad \qquad Q = Y + T_{3L}
$$

Higgs Boson

Before EW symmetry breaking

- 4 massless gauge bosons for $SU(2)x(1)$: $4 \times 2 = 8$ dofs
- Complex scalar doublet: 4 dofs

After EW symmetry breaking

- I massless gauge boson, photon: 2 dofs
- 3 massive gauge bosons, W^{\pm} and Z: 3 \times 3 = 9 dofs
- 1 real scalar: 1 dof

$$
H = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{v + h(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array}\right)
$$

h(x) describes the Higgs boson (the fluctuation above the VEV). The other components of the Higgs doublet H become the longitudinal polarisations of the W^{\pm} and Z

The 2012 Scalar Discovery ²⁶⁰ The main production mechanisms at the Tevatron collider and the LHC are gluon fusion (ggF), ²⁶¹ weak-boson fusion (VBF), associated production with a gauge boson (*V H*), and associated pro-ABIBK I NICARWAKY L ogigi bijvev vel y couplings given in eq. (2.2) which, as discussed in the beginning of this section, correspond ²⁵⁹ 11.2.4.1 *Production mechanisms at hadron colliders* ²⁶⁰ The main production mechanisms at the Tevatron collider and the LHC are gluon fusion (ggF), ²⁶¹ weak-boson fusion (VBF), associated production with a gauge boson (*V H*), and associated pro- M_{\odot} contains and Higgs boson. The H \sim charged fermions loops, while the Hgg coupling is mediated only by quark loops; Fig. 2.14. \bullet avia top \bullet top \bullet to a less 2.3 Loop in the $\overline{2.01}$

 $2-4$ modes but the "cleanest" ones ²⁶⁵ summarized in Table 11.1. Not the most obundant REH modes but the "clean ²⁶⁵ summarized in Table 11.1. and the higher–order QCD corrections will be postponed to the next subsection. Not the most abundant BEH modes, but the "cleanest" ones

The 2012 Scalar Discovery ²⁶⁰ The main production mechanisms at the Tevatron collider and the LHC are gluon fusion (ggF), ²⁶¹ weak-boson fusion (VBF), associated production with a gauge boson (*V H*), and associated pro-ABIBK I NICARWAKY L ogigi bijvev vel y couplings given in eq. (2.2) which, as discussed in the beginning of this section, corresponding ²⁵⁹ 11.2.4.1 *Production mechanisms at hadron colliders* ²⁶⁰ The main production mechanisms at the Tevatron collider and the LHC are gluon fusion (ggF), ²⁶¹ weak-boson fusion (VBF), associated production with a gauge boson (*V H*), and associated pro- M_{\odot} contains and Higgs boson. The H \sim charged fermions loops, while the Hgg coupling is mediated only by quark loops; Fig. 2.14. \bullet avia top \bullet top \bullet to a less **The 2012 Scalar Discovery**

The coronal cross sections for the production of \mathbf{C} section of \mathbf{C}

July 4th, 2012

²⁶⁵ summarized in Table 11.1.

The cross sections for the production of a SM Higgs boson as a function of Ô ²⁶⁶ *s*, the center of mass

challenging conditions of the conditions o

The LHC Scalar Harvest

(8M Brout-Englert-Higgs bosons produced so far)

Table courtesy to M. Kado

Fermion Masses

SM is a **chiral** theory $(\neq QED$ that is vector-like)

The SM Lagrangian cannot contain fermion mass term. Fermion masses are **emergent** quantities that originate from **interactions with Higgs VEV**

H = ✓ 0 *v* p +*h* 2 ◆ *L* = *y^e* ✓ ⌫¯*^L e*¯*L* ◆ *·* ✓ *H*⁺ *H*⁰ ◆ *e^R* = *y^e v* p2 ✓ *e*¯*Le^R* + 1 *v e*¯*Le^R h* ◆ Y=1/2 Y=1/2 Y=-1 Higgs Boson

Higgs couplings proportional to the mass of particles

"It has to do whit the EWSB" "It looks like a do **The Higgs PR plot**

Already first data gave evidence of:

$$
\lambda_{\psi} \propto \frac{m_{\psi}}{v} , \qquad \lambda_{V}^{2} \equiv \frac{g_{VVh}}{2v} \propto \frac{m_{V}^{2}}{v^{2}}
$$

True in the SM:

$$
\lambda_{\psi} = \frac{m_{\psi}}{v} , \qquad \lambda_{V} = \frac{m_{V}}{v}
$$

Scaling coupling∝mass follows naturally if the new boson is part of the sector that breaks the EW symmetry

 \pm necessarily imply that the \pm boson is part of an SU(2)L doublet It does *not* necessarily imply that the new

For a non-doublet one naively expects:

 cc

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\lambda - \lambda^{SM}$ λ^{SM} $= O(1)$

 \geq \log i (gg \approx v) $^{1/2}$ cto upplingo 1

> $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 \\ 10 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\frac{66}{10}$

 10^{-7}

 $R_{\rm eff}$ is the eWSB overall compatible with $R_{\rm eff}$ over \sim

Fermion Masses

In SM, the Yukawa interactions are the only source of the fermion masses

Not true anymore if the SM fermions mix with vector-like partners or for non-SM Yukawa

$$
y_{ij}\left(1+c_{ij}\frac{|H|^2}{f^2}\right)\bar{f}_{L_i}Hf_{R_j} = \frac{y_{ij}v}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1+c_{ij}\frac{v^2}{2f^2}\right)\bar{f}_{L_i}f_{R_j} + \left(1+3c_{ij}\frac{v^2}{2f^2}\right)\frac{y_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}}h\bar{f}_{L_i}f_{R_j}
$$

Look for SM forbidden Flavour Violating decays $h \rightarrow \mu\tau$ and $h \rightarrow e\tau$ (look also at $t \rightarrow$ hc)

- weak indirect constrained by flavour data $(\mu \rightarrow e \gamma)$: BR<10%
- ATLAS and CMS have the sensitivity to set bounds O(1%)
- ILC/CLIC/FCC-ee can certainly do much better

Fermion Masses: Quark Mixings 12. Communication Matrix

In SM, the Yukawa interactions are the only source of the fermion masses R evised March 2020 by A. Ceccucci (CERN), Z. Ligeti (LBNL) and Y. Ligeti (LBNL) and Y. Sakai (CERN) and Y. Sakai (KEK). **12.1 Introduction**

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\rm Yuk} = y^U_{ij} \bar{Q}^i_L H^\star u^i_R + y^D_{ij} \bar{Q}^i_L H d^i_R
$$

$$
\mathcal{U}_{L}^{\dagger} \left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} y_{ij}^{U} \right) \mathcal{U}_{R} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{u} \\ & m_{c} \\ & & m_{t} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{D}_{L}^{\dagger} \left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} y_{ij}^{D} \right) \mathcal{D}_{R} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{d} \\ & m_{s} \\ & m_{b} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = (\bar{u}_{L} \bar{c}_{L} \bar{t}_{L}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{u} \\ & m_{c} \\ & m_{t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{R} \\ c_{R} \\ t_{R} \end{pmatrix} + (\bar{d}_{L} \bar{s}_{L} \bar{b}_{L}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{d} \\ & m_{s} \\ & m_{b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{R} \\ s_{R} \\ b_{R} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{e}{\sqrt{2}\sin\theta_w} \bigg[W^+_\mu \bar{u} V \gamma^\mu \left(\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} \right) d \ + W^-_\mu \bar{d} V^\dagger \gamma^\mu \left(\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} \right) u \bigg] \qquad \qquad V = \mathcal{D}_L^\dagger \mathcal{U}_L
$$

$$
\label{eq:CKM} \underbrace{V_{\text{CKM}}}_{\text{O}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

so a complex CNOTE: OI *s k complex phase* → *CP violatior s s complex phase* \rightarrow C*r violation* Note: one complex phase \rightarrow CP violation

Neutrino Masses

The same construction doesn't work for neutrinos since in the SM there are only Left Handed neutrinos

For an uncharged particle, it is possible to write a Majorana mass another Lorentz-invariant quadratic term in the Lagrangian (it involves the charge-conjugate spinor, see lecture #3-technical slides)

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Majorana}} = m \bar{\psi}_C \, \psi = m \left(\bar{\psi}_{L_C} \psi_L + \bar{\psi}_{R_C} \psi_R \right)$

can build such a term with LH field only!

In SM, such neutrino Majorana mass can be obtained from dim-5 operator:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \frac{y_{\nu}}{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}_C \cdot \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ H^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ H^0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{y_{\nu} v^2}{\Lambda} \nu_{LC} \nu_L
$$

mass³/8 mass mass³/8 mass

Seesaw:
$$
m_{\nu} = \frac{y_{\nu}v^2}{\Lambda}
$$
 for $y_{\nu} \sim 1$ and $\Lambda \sim 10^{14} \text{GeV}$

Note that such an operator breaks Lepton Number by 2 units

CG SSLP2023 23

Higgs Mechanism

- Gauge boson spectrum
	- electrically charged bosons
	- electrically neutral bosons

The Brout-Englert-Higgs Boson is Special

The scalar discovery in 2012 has been an important milestone for HEP. Many of us are still excited about it. Others should be too.

BEH = **new forces** of different nature than the interactions known so far

- No underlying local symmetry
- No quantised charges
- Deeply connected to the space-time vacuum structure

The knowledge of the values of the **BEH couplings** is essential to understand the deep structure of matter/Universe

The Brout-Englert-Higgs Boson is Special

LHC will make remarkable about it. Others should be too. BEH = **new forces** of different nature than the interactions known so far ered to but it won't be a simple to but it won't be a simple to be a simple to be a simple to be a simple to b A new collider will be needed! **progress but it won't be enough**

The knowledge of the values of the **BEH couplings** is essential to understand the deep structure of matter/Universe

Technical Details for Advanced Students

The longitudinal polarisation of massive W, Z

Why do we need a Higgs ? **The longitudinal polarisation of massive W, Z**

Indeed a massive spin 1 particle has 3 physical polarizations: with 2 transverse: 1 longitudinal: $\epsilon_\parallel^\mu = (\frac{k}{M},0,0,\frac{E}{M}) \approx \frac{k^\mu}{M} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{E}{M})^2$ (in the R-*ξ* gauge, the time-like polarization ($\epsilon^\mu\epsilon_\mu=1$ $k^\mu\epsilon_\mu=M$) is arbitrarily massive and decouple) $A_\mu = \epsilon_\mu e^{ik_\mu x^\mu}$ $\epsilon^{\mu} \epsilon_{\mu} = -1$ $k^{\mu} \epsilon_{\mu} = 0$ $k^{\mu} = (E, 0, 0, k)$ $k_{\mu}k^{\mu} = E^2 - k^2 = M^2$ $\int f_1^{\mu}$ $\frac{\mu}{1} = (0,1,0,0)$ ϵ $\boldsymbol{\bar{\mu}}$ $\frac{\mu}{2} = (0, 0, 1, 0)$

in the particle rest-frame, no distinction between L and T polarisations in a frame wher the L polarisation "dominates" in a frame where the particle carries a lot of kinetic energy,

The BEH mechanism: "V_L=Goldstone bosons"

At high energy, the physics of the gauge bosons becomes simple

Call for extra degrees of freedom

NO LOSE THEOREM

Bad high-energy behaviour for the scattering of the longitudinal polarisations

$$
\mathcal{A} = \epsilon_{\parallel}^{\mu}(k)\epsilon_{\parallel}^{\nu}(l)g^{2} (2\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho}) \epsilon_{\parallel}^{\rho}(p)\epsilon_{\parallel}^{\sigma}(q)
$$

violations of perturbative unitarity around $E \sim M/\sqrt{g}$ (actually M/g)

Extra degrees of freedom are needed to have a good description of the W and Z masses at higher energies

numerically: $E \sim 3$ TeV \bigodot the LHC was sure to discover something!

2
2
Anni **Call for extra degrees of freedom**

 W_7^{\dagger} \sim W^{\dagger}

 W^{-1} W^{-1}

W-

W-

 W_{γ}^* \sim W^*

γ, Z0

The Higgs boson unitarizes the W scattering (if its mass is below \sim 1 TeV)

 W_L scattering = pion scattering Goldstone equivalence theorem

 \sim $\frac{1}{2}$ \sqrt{E} $\frac{E}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\mathcal{A}=-g^2$ $\left(E\right)$ M_W \setminus^2

 $\left(E\right)$

 M_W

 $\left\langle M_{W}\right\rangle$

 $\sqrt{M_W}$

 \setminus^2

 $\mathcal{A}=g^2$

W-

[Lewellyn Smith '73](http://inspirebeta.net/record/83747) [Dicus, Mathur '73](http://inspirebeta.net/record/334983) [Cornwall, Levin, Tiktopoulos '73](http://inspirebeta.net/record/89348) [Lee, Quigg, Thacker '77](http://inspirebeta.net/record/119348) nith '7<mark>3</mark>

What is the SM Higgs?

A single scalar degree of freedom that couples to the mass of the particles

What is the Higgs the name of?

A single scalar degree of freedom that couples to the mass of the particles

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{EWSB}} = m_W^2 W^+_\mu W^+_\mu \left(1 + 2a \frac{h}{v} + b \frac{h^2}{v^2} \right) - m_\psi \bar{\psi}_L \psi_R \left(1 + c \frac{h}{v} \right)
$$

'a', 'b' and 'c' are arbitrary free couplings
For a=1: perturbative unitarity in elastic channels WW \rightarrow WW
For b = a²: perturbative unitarity in inelastic channels WW \rightarrow hh

[Cornwall, Levin, Tiktopoulos '73](http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/V30/P1268) [Contino, Grojean, Moretti, Piccinini, Rattazzi '10](http://arXiv.org/abs/1002.1011)

C

What is the Higgs the name of?

A single scalar degree of freedom that couples to the mass of the particles

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{EWSB}} = m_W^2 W^+_\mu W^+_\mu \left(1 + 2a \frac{h}{v} + b \frac{h^2}{v^2} \right) - m_\psi \bar{\psi}_L \psi_R \left(1 + c \frac{h}{v} \right)
$$

"a', b' and 'c' are arbitrary free couplings
For a=1: perturbative unitarity in elastic channels WW \rightarrow WW
For b = a²: perturbative unitarity in inelastic channels WW \rightarrow hh
For ac=1: perturbative unitarity in inelastic WW \rightarrow ψ ψ

[Cornwall, Levin, Tiktopoulos '73](http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/V30/P1268) [Contino, Grojean, Moretti, Piccinini, Rattazzi '10](http://arXiv.org/abs/1002.1011)

What is the Higgs the name of?

WHILE "It looks like a dou i
des "It has to do with the \mathbb{F}_{H} is \mathbb{F}_{H} A single scalar degree of freedom that couples to the mass of the particles

