

Topical Workshop on Electronics for Particle Physics 2023

# Anomaly Detection at the CMS Level-1 Trigger

Noah Zipper on behalf of the CMS Collaboration





# The CMS Level-1 Trigger

- CMS produces more data than we can handle
	- Terabytes per second from front-end electronics
	- Need to reduce by >99%
	- **Trigger's challenge is to keep interesting physics**
- Real-time decisions for what to keep
	- Built on Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) hardware chain
	- Collisions every 25 nanoseconds mean microsecond latency constraints
- Stability is crucial
	- Errors lead to trigger "dead time" ⇒ lost data
- Experimentation is encouraged
	- Phase-1 flexibility allowed early adoption of new trigger ideas
	- 6 Global Trigger production boards + **6 for testing**







## Why Anomaly Detection?

#### **Problem:**

Traditional trigger strategies rely on a priori knowledge of signal or generic kinematic selections.

What if we miss new physics because we don't have the right trigger?

#### **Solution:**

Triggering on "anomalousness" offers an answer that is both

- Signal agnostic Applicable to signatures that we have not had the foresight or person-power to target specifically
- 2. Highly sensitive Can boost signal efficiency to signatures limited by L1 trigger bandwidth





#### What is  $AXOLTTL$ ? **A**nomaly e**X**traction **O**nline **L**evel-**1 T**rigger a**L**gorithm

- Variational autoencoder (VAE) trained on real unbiased data to detect outliers
- Information bottleneck created by small-dimensional latent space enforces efficient encoding  $\Rightarrow$  learning
- Calculated from standard Global Trigger (μGT) quantities
	- (pT, η, ɸ) hardware integer inputs from: 1  $p_T^{miss}$ , 4 e/γ, 4 μ, and 10 jets





### Model Design

### Level-1 Trigger constraints informed design





### Model Design

### Level-1 Trigger constraints informed design



- Remove decoder network
	- Significant latency & resource savings, minimal performance degradation







### Model Design

### Level-1 Trigger constraints informed design



$$
Loss = \boxed{1 - \beta + \kappa - x\mathbb{I}^2 + \beta\frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + \sigma^2) + \log \sigma^2}
$$

Reconstruction term

Full regularization term

- Remove decoder network
	- Significant latency & resource savings, minimal performance degradation
- Remove latent σ term from loss calculation
	- Saves even more on timing, negligible performance degradation







### Model Performance

- AXOL1TL is trained with unbiased data collected by the CMS Experiment during 2023 with √s=13.6 TeV
	- 10.5 million events used 50% for training, 50% for setting thresholds
- Dotted lines represent the score thresholds implemented in the Global Trigger Test Crate
- Significant performance improvement on various SM and BSM signals by adding AXOL1TL to the 2023 trigger menu
	- Signal samples are Monte-Carlo generated
	- Table shows performance improvement for a Higgs decaying to 2 (pseudo-) scalars to bottom quarks

h->a(bb)a(bb)











### Firmware Development

- Anomaly detection algorithms integrated into Global Trigger firmware structure
	- Anomaly score calculated in concert with other global trigger quantities & output via same links
- High-Level Synthesis (HLS) implementation of Global Trigger firmware synthesizes hardware code (VHDL) for FPGAs
	- CERN Gitlab repository for HLS dependencies
	- Generate bitfiles for for MP7 boards
- AD firmware performance:
	- Fits 2 clock cycles @ 40 MHz latency requirement
	- Resources usage small
- hls4ml simulation of Virtex-7 FPGA chip on MP7  $\mu$ GT board shows MP7 firmware payload , MP7 infrastructure, and the AXOL1TL network





**Schematic the CMS Global Trigger** 







### Level-1 Menu Validation

- New Level-1 Trigger Menu is built from μGT anomaly score output link and defined thresholds
- Test vector files are generated with data and MC
	- Trigger objects & detector conditions formatted as bitstring inputs
	- Reference decision (pass or fail) for AXOL1TL made with HLS emulator
- Standard Level-1 ModelSim environment used for validating menu builds
	- Reads μGT VHDL code and simulates decisions from test vector inputs
- Perfect trigger decision bit agreement



Test vectors generated from Run 368566







### Test Crate Implementation

- CMS Global Trigger Test Crate
	- Identical copy of μGT board, used as backup & testing
	- Bit readout connected to data acquisition system but not configured to select events
- Prometheus monitoring tool answers real-time queries of trigger metrics
	- Used to monitor AXOL1TL rates during 2023 physics data-taking
- Test Crate model is trained on 2018 data with 4 score thresholds used to test rate boundaries
	- Used for firmware testing, not realistic proposal for trigger paths
- Consistent trigger performance shown for fill cycle
	- Single muon trigger ( $p_T > 22$  GeV) shown for reference
	- Dips in rate due to LHC ramp-up and luminositylevelling scheme







### Test Crate Validation

- For certain runs, Test Crate decisions are recorded in 2023 data files
	- Use these bits to validate emulation and show rate agreement
- Minimal (~1%) mismatches between trigger hardware and emulation
	- Mismatches clustered near decision boundaries, most likely due to rounding issue









### Summary

#### So far, we have shown

- A signal-agnostic trigger model sensitive to interesting physics
- A firmware implementation successfully integrated into the CMS Level-1 Trigger architecture
- A hardware trigger active on the CMS Global Trigger Test Crate that performed consistently during 2023 collisions
- Validation for all steps using HLS emulation

What's left to do

- Implement plans for downstream trigger logic
- Pending approval, integrate into production trigger and begin taking data!





# **Thank You!**

From 2023 ZeroBias dataset, an anomalous event not triggered by standard L1 Menu.

This event features the maximal number of L1 jets (12), of which 11 have  $E_T > 20$  GeV. It also features a 3 GeV L1 muon. Offline reconstruction identifies 7 jets (reconstructed with the PUPPI algorithm) with  $p_T > 15$  GeV, and 1 muon.

The event is also characterized by a very unlikely large number of reconstructed vertices (75), given the pile up profile of the data taken in Run 2 and Run 3.





### References

- CMS Collaboration. "CMS Technical Design Report for the Phase 1 Upgrade of the Hadron Calorimeter". CERN-LHCC-2012-015, CMS-TDR-10 (2012). <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1481837>.
- M. Jeitler, et al."The level-1 global trigger for the CMS experiment at LHC". JINST 2, P01006 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/2/01/P01006>.
- E. Govorkova, et al. "Autoencoders on field-programmable gate arrays for real-time, unsupervised new physics detection at 40 MHz at the Large Hadron Collider". Nat. Mach Intell. 4, 154 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-022-00441-3>.
- FastML Team. hls4ml (Version v0.7.1) [Computer software]. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1201549>.
- J. Duarte, et al. "Fast inference of deep neural networks in FPGAs for particle physics". JINST 13**,** P07027 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/07/P07027.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/07/P07027)
- Xilinx Virtex-7 FPGA. <https://www.xilinx.com/products/silicon-devices/fpga/virtex-7.html>.
- L1 Menu Repository. <https://github.com/herbberg/l1menus.>

