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- Gravitational wave sources for LISA

- LISA science objectives
THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE SPECTRUM

**Sources**
- Quantum fluctuations in the very early Universe
- Binary supermassive black holes in galactic nuclei
- Phase transitions in the early universe
- Black holes, compact stars captured by supermassive holes in galactic nuclei
- Binary stars in the galaxy and beyond
- Merging binary neutron stars and stellar black holes in distant galaxies; fast pulsars with mountains

**Wave Period**
- **Age of the Universe**
  - Frequency (Hz)
    - $10^{-16}$
    - $10^{-14}$
    - $10^{-12}$
    - $10^{-10}$
  - **Detectors**
    - Inflation Probe
    - Precision timing of millisecond pulsars
    - LISA
    - Big Bang Obs
    - GEO, LIGO, Virgo, TAMA

**Detectors**
- Polarization map of cosmic microwave background
mHz band: 0.1 mHz to 1 Hz
Sensitivity to GWs

- Stochastic background
- Supermassive binaries
- Massive binaries
- Resolvable galactic binaries
- Extreme mass ratio inspirals
- Unresolvable galactic binaries
- LISA
- GW150914
- Compact binary inspirals
- AdV aLIGO
Sensitivity to GWs

Characteristic Strain vs Frequency/Hz graph showing categories such as Stochastic background, EPTA, IPTA, Supermassive binaries, Massive binaries, Resolvable galactic binaries, Extreme mass ratio inspirals, Unresolvable galactic binaries, and GW150914. The graph also highlights compact binary inspirals and AdV aLIGO.
LISA mission

- Laser Interferometer Space Antenna
- 3 spacecrafts on heliocentric orbits separated by 2.5 millions km
- Goal: detect strains of $10^{-21}$ by monitoring arm length changes at the few picometre level
LISA mission

- Measurement points must be shielded from fluctuating non-gravitational influences:
  - the spacecraft protects test-masses (TMs) from external forces and always adjusts itself on it using micro-thrusters
  - Readout:
    - interferometric (sensitive axis)
    - capacitive sensing
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LISAPathfinder final main results

- Successful demonstration of the ability to shield from fluctuating non-gravitational influences

M. Armano et al. PRL 120, 061101 (2018)
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LISA mission

- Several steps towards the required precision of measurement

MOSA: Moving Optical Sub-Assembly

- Inter-spacecraft Interferometer (ISI)
- Constellation Acquisition Sensor (CAS)
- Censor (CAS)
- Inter-spacecraft Interferometer (ISI)
- Reference Interferometer (RI)
- Test-mass Interferometer (TMI)
- Power Monitors
- Beam Alignment Mechanism (BAM)
- Transmitting Laser (TX)
- Point-ahead Angle Mechanism (PAAM)
- Fibre Switching Unit (FSU)
- Local Laser (LO)
- Beam Matching Optics
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LISA mission

Several steps towards the required precision of measurement

(TM2→SC2) + (SC2→SC3) + (SC3→TM3)
Interferometric measurements

- Exchange of laser beams to form several interferometers
- **Phasemeter measurements** on each of the 6 Optical Benches:
  - Distant OB vs local OB
  - Test-mass vs OB
  - Reference using adjacent OB
  - Transmission using sidebands
  - Distance between spacecrafts
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Interferometric measurements

- Measurements via exchange of beams:
  - **Heterodyne interferometry** with carrier for inter-spacecraft measurement
    \( \Rightarrow \) GWs
  - **Sideband** for transferring amplified clock jitter
    \( \Rightarrow \) correction of additional clock jitter
  - **Pseudo-Random Noise**
    \( \Rightarrow \) ranging (measure arm length)
  - **Laser locking**
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Mission Operation Center
(ESA)

Science Operation Center
(ESA)

‘Survey’ type observatory

Gravitational wave sources emitting between 0.02mHz

3 TDI channels with 2 “~independents”

Data Analysis of GWs

Catalogs of GWs sources with their waveform

DDPC:
Distributed
Data Processing
Center (ESA Member States)
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Data Analysis of GWs

Catalogs of GWs sources with their waveform
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LISA data

- What kind of data will we measure?
  - Fractional frequency deviations (relative doppler shifts) from 27 interferometers
  - Times series sampled at 4 Hz, observed over 4+ years with 82% duty cycle
  - Dominated by laser noise
  - After pre-processing, obtain 3 time-delay interferometry (TDI) data streams (X, Y, Z)
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Ground Segment

- Draft schematic of the LISA science data processing and data flow form the preliminary SMP:

Communication:
- 8h per day
- ~ 1 GBytes per day
Data Analysis

- Analysis of **all signals** and **noises** together
  => global analysis

- **Flexibility**: first data of this kind
  => novel analysis challenge:
  - Multiple approaches, multiple pipelines
  - Quick development from prototyping to production

- **Multiple pipelines** with multiple approaches

- **General approach** with multiple iterative steps (interconnection between products):
  1. Reduce dominant noises (Time Delay Interferometry) and partial correction on instrument artefacts => L1 data (TDI data)
  2. **GLOBAL FITS**: GW sources extraction + better understanding of noises and instrument with multiple pipelines => L2 data
  3. Cross-check, combination, merging of L2 data to produce catalogs + associated scientific products => L3 data

- All levels requires **continuous scientific interactions**: collaboration all over the mission
Low latency

‣ For "alerts", i.e.:
  • Detect new events
  • Update parameters of a known events (in the list of event to follow)

‣ During visibility phase 1 (0 to about 2h):
  • Low latency analysis on the Near Real Time Data:
    - 1) "Fast" L0-L1
    - 2.a) Low Latency for detection
    - 2.b) Low Latency for updating parameters
    - 3.a) If detection, issue of a new candidate alerts
    - 3.b) Update of parameters
  • Low latency analysis on the High Priority Data.
    - Idem as for Near Real Time Data

‣ During visibility phase 2 (about 2h to end of visibility):
  • Same as phase 1 but for Near Real Time Data only
L1 to L2: alerts

- Low Latency Alerts Pipeline: **automatic near-real** time analysis to release an alert as fast as possible
- Deep Analysis Alerts Pipeline: when an alert has been detected, analysis to:
  - Confirm the nature of the events
  - Refine the parameters
Global fit (deep analysis)

- Goal: GW sources extraction + better understanding of noises and instrument with multiple pipelines

- Challenge: large number of overlapping sources:
  - Multiple approaches

- Data
  - Available for the global fits:
    - Every day, 24h of new L1 data available
    - + every X days a refined version of L1 data
  - Data ingestions:
    - How to ingest this in the global fit? Depend on the global fit approaches ...
    - Few elements:
      - MBHBs: in order to provide alerts for low SNR sources probably need to ingest data daily,
      - For GBs, cadence of ingestion depends on the accumulated data,
      - ...
Observing from space

COSMIC OBSERVERS
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Timeline

- **1993:** first proposal ESA/NASA
- **20/06/2017:** LISA mission approved by ESA Science Program Committee
- **End 2021:** success of the ESA Mission Formulation Review
- **Now:** accelerated phase B1 with ESA Adoption 25/01/2024
- Long building phase of multiple MOSAs: 6 flight models + test models
- Building of some subsystem models already started
- **Launch 2035**
- 1.5 years of transfer, **4.5 years nominal mission**, 6.5 years extension
Timeline

- 1993: first proposal ESA/NASA
- 20/06/2017: LISA mission approved by ESA Science Program Committee
- End 2021: success of the ESA Mission Formulation Review
- Now: accelerated phase B1 with ESA
  - 25/01/2024
- Long building phase of multiple MOSAs: 6 flight models + test models
  - Building of some subsystem models already started
- Launch 2035
  - 1.5 years of transfer, 4.5 years nominal mission, 6.5 years extension

Phase A
Scope, 1st definition

Phase B1
Scope, definition, production, integration, tests, validation

Phase B2/C/D

Phase E

Adoption
Launch
Commissioning
Operations
Timeline

- End of phase B1 and adoption:
  - I-SRR: Instrument System Requirement Review \(\Rightarrow\) passed
  - MAR: Mission Adoption Review
  - Adoption
  - Selection of the prime (ITT)
Example of Data Release Scenario

- Commissioning in 2 phases:
  - transfert
  - commissioning

- Current envisaged data policy:
  - DR1 (first data release) after 18 months for L0 to L3 of 6 months of data at least
  - Then Data Release every year

©ESA,CREMA
Mission configuration for adoption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payload</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lasers</strong></td>
<td>2 per spacecraft • 2 W output power at end-of-life • wavelength 1064 nm • frequency stability (pre-stabilised) 300 Hz/√Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optical Bench</strong></td>
<td>2 per spacecraft • double-sided use • low thermal expansion (Zerodur)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interferometry</strong></td>
<td>heterodyne interferometry • 15 pm/√Hz requirement • Inter-spacecraft ranging to ~1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gravitational Reference System</strong></td>
<td>46 mm × 46 mm × 46 mm test mass made from AuPt alloy • electrostatically controlled • optical readout • Faraday cage electrostatic shield housing • electrostatic actuation in 5 DOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telescope</strong></td>
<td>2 per spacecraft • 30 cm off-axis telescope</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
<td>4.5 years science orbit • ~6.25 years including transfer and commissioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Orbits</strong></td>
<td>Three drag-free satellites in heliocentric orbits • semimajor axis ~1 AU • eccentricity e ≈ 0.0096 • inclination i ≈ 0.96°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constellation</strong></td>
<td>Equilateral triangle • 2.5 × 10^6 km armlength • trailing Earth by ~20° • inclined by 60° with respect to the ecliptic • armlength variation &lt;1% • angular variation ±0.8° • relative velocity between spacecraft &lt;20 m/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Analysis</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noise Reductions</strong></td>
<td>Laser noise suppression with time-delay interferometry • Ranging processing and delay estimation • Spacecraft jitter suppression and reduction to 3 lasers • Tilt-to-length effect correction • Clock noise suppression • Clock synchronisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Levels</strong></td>
<td>Level 0 • Primary science telemetry, decommutated, time-stamped, unit-level calibrations applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 1 • <strong>Time-Delay Interferometry (TDI)</strong> variables (GW strain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 2 • Output from a global fit pipeline, posterior pdfs for all sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 3 • Catalogue of GW source candidates (detection confidence, estimated astrophys. parameters)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.3: Interferometric measurement on one LISA satellite, exemplarily explained for the horizontal OB. Light of a local laser (red) is used for transmission to the distant S/C and to sense the space-time variation between for GW interaction. Simultaneously, the light interferes on the local optical bench with the received weak light (wine red) to form the science interferometer beatnote. The test mass motion is read out in the TM interferometer using light (orange) from the adjacent optical bench transmitted through a back-link fibre. The reference IFO directly compares local laser and adjacent local laser. Moreover, the spacecraft is controlled by DFACS including TM position readout and thruster actuation such that the S/C follows the test masses. Its variation due to GW is combined from three interferometric measurements: TM-to-OB on the far spacecraft, OB-to-OB between sending and receiving S/C, and OB-to-TM on the receiving spacecraft. This concept is called 'split interferometry configuration' and we will come back to it in Sec. 2.5.

Laser light from the adjacent optical bench (orange) is used for the interferometric TM readout. Since the benches are not rigidly connected to provide the angular pointing flexibility of \( \pm 1^{\circ} \) (Sec. 2.1.2), the OB-to-OB connection is established by an extensile optical fibre. Laser light is transmitted through this so-called back-link fibre.
GW sources in the mHz band

- **Binaries**: large range of masses and mass ratios:
  - SuperMassive BH Binaries
  - Extreme Mass Ratio Inspiral
  - Stellar mass BH Binaries
  - Double White Dwarfs
  - Double Neutron Stars
  - Intermediate Mass Ratio Inspiral
  - Intermediate Mass BH Binaries

- **Stochastic backgrounds**:
  - First order phase transitions, cosmic string networks, ...

- **Bursts**: cosmic strings, ...

- **Unknown?**
The content shown in the next slides is based on the redbook, the main science document of the LISA mission which is almost finished.

The Redbook is written by the LISA ESA Science Study Team with scientists of the Consortium.

The document is in an advanced draft version so all content is preliminary.
Compact solar mass binaries

- Large number of stars are in binary system.
- Evolution in white dwarf (WD) and neutron stars (NS).
  => existence of WD-WD, NS-WD and NS-NS binaries
- Estimation for the Galaxy: 30 millions.
- Gravitational waves:
  - most part in the slow inspiral regime (quasi-monochromatic): GW at mHz
  - coalescence at $f > 10$ Hz
- Several known system emitting around the mHz
  => guaranteed sources
Galactic binaries

- **GW waveform:**
  - Approx.: quasi-monochromatic

- **Duration:** permanent

- **Signal to noise ratio:**
  - detected sources: 7 - 1000
  - confusion noise from non-detected sources

- **Event rate:**
  - 25 000 detected sources (over 30 millions sources)
  - more than 17 guarantied sources (verification binaries)
Super Massive Black Hole Binaries

- Mass > $10^5 \text{ M}_{\text{Sun}}$
- GW waveform:
  - Inspiral: Post-Newtonian
  - Merger: Numerical relativity, Ringdown: Oscillation of the resulting MBH.
- Inspiral at frequency < 10 mHz (depend on the mass)
- Merger and ringdown around mHz
- 10 - 100 events per year
Super Massive Black Hole Binaries

- Duration: hours to months
- SMBHB from $10^4$ à $10^7$ solar masses in “all” Universe
EMRIs

- Capture of a “small” object by massive black hole \((10 - 10^6 \, M_{\text{Sun}})\): Extreme Mass Ratio Inspiral
  - Mass ratio > 1000
  - GW gives information on the geometry around the black hole.
  - Test General Relativity in strong field
  - Frequency: 0.1 mHz to 0.1 Hz
  - Large number of source could be observed by LISA
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- Capture of a “small” object by massive black hole ($10 - 10^6 \text{ M}_{\text{Sun}}$): Extreme Mass Ratio Inspiral
  - Mass ratio $> 1000$
  - GW gives information on the geometry around the black hole.
  - Test General Relativity in strong field
  - Frequency: $0.1 \text{ mHz}$ to $0.1 \text{ Hz}$
  - Large number of source could be observed by LISA
EMRIs

- **GW waveform:**
  - Very complex waveform
  - Multiple harmonics
  - No long & precise simulation at the moment

- **Duration:** about 1 year

- **Signal to Noise Ratio:** from tens to few hundreds

- **Event rate:** from few events per year to few hundreds
Stellar mass Black Hole Binaries

- Binaries with 2 black holes of masses between few M_{\text{Sun}} and 100 M_{\text{Sun}}, so called “Stellar mass BH Binaries”

- **Inspiral**: emission in the mHz band

- **Merger**: powerful emission around few tens Hz
  \Rightarrow many sources already observed

- **Fast evolution**: few years
  from tens mHz to tens Hz
  \Rightarrow multi-observatories
  observations
Binaries observed by LISA


ESA Redbook (preliminary)
Cosmological backgrounds

- Variety of cosmological sources for stochastic backgrounds:
  - First order phase transition in the very early Universe;
  - Cosmic strings network;
  - Primordial Black Holes;
  - ...
**Stochastic backgrounds**

- Large uncertainties on the prediction but huge potential of discovery

![Graph showing signal, noise, and foreground reconstruction](image1)

![Graph showing h^2 \Omega_{GW} vs. frequency](image2)

- ESA Redbook (preliminary)
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- SO1: Study the formation and evolution of compact binary stars in the Milky Way Galaxy:
  - Formation and evolution pathways of dark compact binary stars in the Milky Way and in neighbouring galaxies;

- How do binary stars evolve into close double compact systems?
- What is the current merger rate of white dwarfs, neutron stars and stellar-mass black holes in the Milky Way?

SI 1.1 aims at detecting GWs from the population of compact binaries in the Milky Way to study the evolution leading up to the formation of close double compact objects, including common envelopes, NS and BH formation and kicks. The measurements can be used to directly infer the merger rates of WDs, NSs and BHs in our Galaxy and thus better constrain the explosive events associated with these mergers.
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- SO1: Study the formation and evolution of compact binary stars in the Milky Way Galaxy:
  - What is the spatial distribution of ultra-compact binaries detected by LISA that are too dim for detection with EM telescopes?
  - What can we learn from double WDs about the structure of the Milky Way as a whole?

- The Milky Way mass distribution;
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- SO1: Study the formation and evolution of compact binary stars in the Milky Way Galaxy:
  - Formation and evolution pathways of compact binary stars in the Milky Way and in neighbouring galaxies;
  - The Milky Way mass distribution;
  - The interplay between gravitational waves and tidal dissipation.

- What fraction of detached ultra-compact binaries evolve into interacting binaries and avoid merger?
- What is the role of mass transfer, tides and GWs in the merger of systems, and what does it tell us about the explosion mechanism of type Ia supernovae?

SI 1.3 aims to determine the branching ratio between mergers and transition into stable mass transfer and at providing insight into the physics of tides in white dwarfs.
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‣ SO2: Trace the origin, growth and merger history of massive black holes across cosmic ages:
  • Discover seed black holes at cosmic dawn;

- How were MBHs born and how did they grow?
- What is the nature of the seed masses and how and when did they form?
- Are sBHs the only elementary building blocks of MBHs?

SI 2.1 aims to detect the GW signals from the earliest MBHBs in the mass interval between about $5 \times 10^3 \, M_\odot$ and $5 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot$, as measured in the source-frame, and at formation redshifts between $10 \leq z \leq 15$, to inform us about the physics producing the seeds and their early growth and assembly, providing knowledge of this pristine population that will anchor the initial conditions of MBH cosmic evolution.
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‣ SO2: Trace the origin, growth and merger history of massive black holes across cosmic ages:
  • Discover seed black holes at cosmic dawn;
  • Study the growth mechanism and merger history of massive black holes from the epoch of the earliest quasars;

- How do MBHs grow in mass?
- How do MBH spins evolve?
- How do MBHs assemble inside the cosmic web?
- How efficiently do MBHs merge and when?

SI 2.2 aims to detect the inspiral, merger, and ringdown signal from MBHB between a few $10^4 \text{M}_\odot$ up to $\sim 10^7 \text{M}_\odot$, at redshift $z \lesssim 8$. 
Science Objectives

‣ SO2: Trace the origin, growth and merger history of **massive black holes** across cosmic ages:

- Discover seed black holes at cosmic dawn;
- Study the growth mechanism and merger history of massive black holes from the epoch of the earliest quasars;
- Identify the electromagnetic counterparts of massive black hole binary coalescences.
Science Objectives

‣ SO2: Trace the origin, growth and merger history of massive black holes across cosmic ages:

- How does accretion proceed in the violently changing spacetime of a merger?
- Which are the EM signatures of the precursor and post-merger emission?
- Can we identify the host galaxy?

SI 2.3 aims to detect joint GW and EM signals from MBHB mergers with source-frame masses between $10^5$ M$_\odot$ and $\lesssim 10^7$ M$_\odot$ below $z \lesssim 3$.

‣ Identify the electromagnetic counterparts of massive black hole binary coalescences.
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  • Discover seed black holes at cosmic dawn;
  • Study the growth mechanism and merger history of massive black holes from the epoch of the earliest quasars;
  • Identify the electromagnetic counterparts of massive black hole binary coalescences.
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  - Study the properties and immediate environment of Milky Way-like MBHs using EMRIs;
Science Objectives

- **SO3**: Probe the dynamics of dense nuclear clusters using EMRIs:
  - Study the properties and immediate environment of Milky Way-like MBHs using EMRIs;

- **What are the mass and spin distributions of MBHs?**
- **What stellar and gaseous environments do these MBHs live in?**
- **Which physical process dominate stellar dynamics near to these MBHs?**

**SI 3.1** aims at detecting the GW signals from EMRIs with MBH masses between a few times $10^4 \, M_\odot$ and a few times $10^6 \, M_\odot$ at redshifts up to $z \sim 3$ for inspiraling objects of $m \gtrsim 10 \, M_\odot$. 
Science Objectives

- SO3 : Probe the dynamics of dense nuclear clusters using EMRIs:
  - Study the properties and immediate environment of Milky Way-like MBHs using EMRIs;
  - Study the IMBH population using IMRI.

- How readily do IMBHs form in stellar clusters and galactic nuclei?
- How do these IMBHs subsequently grow and what are there properties?
- How often do these IMBHs merge with MBHs?

SI 3.2 aims at detecting gravitational waves from IMRIs at low redshift, $z \lesssim 2$, in which the IMBH has mass in the range $10^3 M_\odot$ to $10^4 M_\odot$. 
Science Objectives

- SO3 : Probe the dynamics of dense nuclear clusters using EMRIs:
  - Study the properties and immediate environment of Milky Way-like MBHs using EMRIs;
  - Study the IMBH population using IMRI.
Science Objectives

[Graph showing frequency and SNR with different color and symbol legends indicating various time periods]
Science Objectives

- SO4 : Understand the astrophysics of stellar origin black holes :
Science Objectives

‣ **SO4**: Understand the astrophysics of stellar origin black holes:

- Study the statistical properties of sBHs far from merger;

- How are sBHB born?
- Are there multiple formation channels? To what extent does each channel contribute to the overall population?

**SI 4.1** aims at the individual detection of several sBHBs. The sub-percent measurement of their eccentricity will inform us about the physical mechanisms producing the bulk of their population, thus constraining the physics of binary stellar evolution and dynamics in dense environments.
Science Objectives

» SO4: Understand the astrophysics of stellar origin black holes:

• Study the statistical properties of sBHs far from merger;
• Detecting high mass sBHBs and probing their environment;
Science Objectives

- SO4: Understand the astrophysics of stellar origin black holes:
  - Study the statistical properties of sBHs far from merger;
  - Detecting high mass sBHBs and probing their environment;

- How do BHs within and beyond the pair instability gap form?
- Do sBHBs efficiently form in AGN disks?
- Do hierarchical mergers of sBHBs occur in nature?

**SI 4.2** aims at the detection of GW190521-like binaries. The measurement of their Center of Mass (CoM) acceleration and other environmental effects will help assessing their origin.
Science Objectives

• SO4: Understand the astrophysics of stellar origin black holes:
  • Study the statistical properties of sBHs far from merger;
  • Detecting high mass sBHBs and probing their environment;
  • Enabling multiband and multimessenger observations at the time of coalescence.

Are there any associated EM counterparts to merging sBHBs?

SI 4.3 aims to detect GW150914-like binaries a few years prior to their coalescence. The inferred sky position and time to coalescence will inform ground-based GW detectors and EM observatories to enable the first GW multiband and multimessenger observations in astronomy.
Science Objectives

‣ **SO4 : Understand the astrophysics of stellar origin black holes :**

- Study the statistical properties of sBHs far from merger;
- Detecting high mass sBHBs and probing their environment;
- Enabling multiband and multimessenger observations at the time of coalescence.
Science Objectives
Science Objectives

- SO5: Explore the **fundamental nature of gravity and black holes**:

  - Are the massive objects observed at centres of galaxies consistent with being rotating Kerr MBHs? Are they MBHs or horizonless ultracompact objects?
  - Are there new fundamental fields, extra gravitational degrees of freedom and extra polarisations, as predicted by some extensions of the standard model and of GR?
  - Does the fundamental theory of gravity respect Lorentz symmetry and parity invariance?
  - How do GWs propagate over cosmological scales?
Science Objectives

‣ SO5 : Explore the **fundamental nature of gravity and black holes**:
  
  • Use ringdown characteristics observed in MBHB coalescences to test whether the post-merger objects are the MBHs predicted by GR;

**SI 5.1** By detecting multiple ringdown “spectral lines” in the post-merger signal of MBHBs, LISA can test if merger remnants are indeed Kerr BHs, and place constraints on modifications of GR and on the properties of horizonless massive compact objects.
Science Objectives

- **SO5**: Explore the fundamental nature of gravity and black holes:
  - Use ringdown characteristics observed in MBHB coalescences to test whether the post-merger objects are the MBHs predicted by GR;
  - Use EMRIs to explore the multipolar structure of MBHs and search for the presence of new light fields;

**SI 5.2** LISA aims to observe small objects spiralling into putative MBHs for thousands of cycles, with SNR in excess of 50, thus testing the structure of the spacetime around these objects, probing the presence of dark matter, and potentially measuring charges on the orbiting body associated with new fundamental fields.
Science Objectives

‣ SO5: Explore the **fundamental nature of gravity and black holes**:

- Use ringdown characteristics observed in MBHB coalescences to test whether the post-merger objects are the MBHs predicted by GR;
- Use EMRIs to explore the multipolar structure of MBHs and search for the presence of new light fields;
- Test the presence of beyond-GR emission channels;

**SI 5.3** LISA aims to probe the existence of dynamical fields by searching for additional radiation channels and polarisations that would be a smoking gun for non-GR theories.
Science Objectives

- SO5: Explore the fundamental nature of gravity and black holes:
  - Use ringdown characteristics observed in MBHB coalescences to test whether the post-merger objects are the MBHs predicted by GR;
  - Use EMRIs to explore the multipolar structure of MBHs and search for the presence of new light fields;
  - Test the presence of beyond-GR emission channels;
  - Test the propagation properties of GW.

**SI 5.4** By detecting GWs from coalescences of golden MBHBs coalescences or/and from EMRIs, all with SNR > 200, LISA can probe the propagation of GWs over very large distances by imposing new stringent constraints on dark energy models, modified graviton dispersion relations, and theories of gravity beyond GR.
Science Objectives

- SO6: Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe:
  - Estimation of cosmological parameters via the observation of standard sirens: observations of binaries:
  - GWs ⇔ “luminosity distance”, D
  - Electromagnetic observations ⇔ redshift, z
    ⇔ constraint on the relation D(z) depending on the geometry of the Universe ⇔ measurement of cosmological parameters

GW ⇔ D

Photons ⇔ z
Science objectives
Science objectives

- SO6: Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe:
Science objectives

‣ SO6: Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe:

![Graph showing distance modulus vs. redshift](image)

- EMRIs (dark sirens)
- MBHBs (bright sirens)

**ESA Redbook (preliminary)**
Science objectives

- SO6: Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe:
  - Cosmology from bright sirens: massive black hole binaries;

![Graph showing distance vs. redshift with labels EMRIs (dark sirens) and MBHBs (bright sirens).](image)

Source: ESA Redbook (preliminary)
Science objectives

- SO6: Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe:
  - Cosmology from bright sirens: massive black hole binaries;

- How fast did the Universe expand beyond $z \sim 2$?
- Is there any deviation from $\Lambda$CDM at high-redshift?

SI 6.1 aims at constraining the expansion rate of the Universe by combining multimessenger GW and EM observations from MBHB mergers in the range of $10^5$ $M_\odot$ to $10^6$ $M_\odot$ range at $z \lesssim 6$. 
Science objectives

‣ SO6: Probe the rate of **expansion** of the Universe:
  
  - Cosmology from bright sirens: massive black hole binaries;
  - Cosmology from dark sirens: extreme mass ratio inspirals and stellar-origin black hole binaries;
Science objectives

- **SO6** : Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe:
  - Cosmology from bright sirens: massive black hole binaries;
  - Cosmology from dark sirens: extreme mass ratio inspirals and stellar-origin black hole binaries;

---

- What is the Hubble constant $H_0$ as determined by GW?
- What is the equation of state of dark energy?
- How does the expansion of the Universe look like around the matter to dark energy transition ($z \sim 0.7$)?

**SI 6.2** aims to constrain the expansion rate of the Universe with EMRIs out to $z \lesssim 1$. 
Science objectives

- **SO6**: Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe:
  - Cosmology from bright sirens: massive black hole binaries;
  - Cosmology from dark sirens: extreme mass ratio inspirals and stellar-origin black hole binaries;
  - Cosmology at all redshift: combining local and high-redshift LISA standard sirens measurements.
Science Objectives

ESA Redbook (preliminary)
Science Objectives

‣ SO7: Understand stochastic GW backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics:

![Graph showing stochastic GW backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics.](Graph.png)

*ESA Redbook (preliminary)*
Science Objectives

- SO7: Understand stochastic GW backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics:
  - Characterise the astrophysical SGWB;

[Graph showing h^2\Omega_{gw}(f) vs. f [Hz] with various lines representing different sources like PT, sBHB, CS, Noise AA, Noise TT, PBH, Noise TT, GB, and PLS Eff.]

ESA Redbook (preliminary)
Science Objectives

‣ **SO7:** Understand **stochastic GW backgrounds** and their implications for the **early Universe** and TeV-scale particle physics:

• Characterise the astrophysical SGWB;

Can we identify the presence of a SGWB from sBHBs in LISA data?
Can we measure the amplitude and spectral tilt of the sBHB background?

**SI 7.1** demonstrates that LISA can detect and characterise the SGWB from sBHBs, if their population obeys current LVK modelling, together with the GB SGWB and the instrument noise.
Science Objectives

- SO7: Understand stochastic GW backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics:
  - Characterise the astrophysical SGWB;
  - Measure, or set upper limits on, the spectral shape of the cosmological SGWB;

[Graph showing various contributions to $h^2\Omega_{gw}$ as a function of frequency.]
Science Objectives

- **SO7**: Understand stochastic GW backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics:
  - Characterise the astrophysical SGWB;
  - Measure, or set upper limits on, the spectral shape of the cosmological SGWB;

- Can we identify the presence of a SGWB of cosmological origin in LISA data?
- Can we agnostically reconstruct the cosmological SGWB spectral shape, to gather information about the process generating it?

**SI 7.2** demonstrates that cosmological SGWBs can be identified in LISA data under minimal assumptions on the instrument noise, thereby allowing the application of agnostic searches aimed at reconstructing the signal spectral shape.
Science Objectives

- SO7: Understand stochastic GW backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics:
  - Characterise the astrophysical SGWB;
  - Measure, or set upper limits on, the spectral shape of the cosmological SGWB;
  - Characterise the large-scale anisotropy of the SGWB.
Science Objectives

‣ **SO7: Understand stochastic GW backgrounds** and their implications for the **early Universe** and TeV-scale particle physics:

- Characterise the astrophysical SGWB;
- Measure, or set upper limits on, the spectral shape of the cosmological SGWB;
- Characterise the large-scale anisotropy of the SGWB.

- Is the SGWB frame the same as the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) one?
- What are the host galaxies of sBHBs?
Science Objectives

- SO8: Search for GW bursts and unforeseen sources:
  - Search for cusps and kinks of cosmic strings;
  - Search for unmodelled sources.
Science Objectives

Defined in the Science Requirements Doc.:

‣ **SO1**: Study the formation and evolution of compact binary stars in the Milky Way Galaxy.

‣ **SO2**: Trace the origin, growth and merger history of massive black holes across cosmic ages.

‣ **SO3**: Probe the dynamics of dense nuclear clusters using EMRIIs.

‣ **SO4**: Understand the astrophysics of stellar origin black holes.

‣ **SO5**: Explore the fundamental nature of gravity and black holes.

‣ **SO6**: Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe.

‣ **SO7**: Understand stochastic GW backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics.

‣ **SO8**: Search for GW bursts and unforeseen sources.
Conclusion

- LISA is a space-based interferometer to observe the Universe with Gravitational Waves
- ESA large mission at ESA to be adopted in January 2024 and launch in 2035 for 4.5 to 10 years of operations
- Complex and very integrated mission but no technological showstopper.

- Challenges:
  - Data analysis with very large number of overlapping sources
  - High precision metrology in space

- Huge science case for astrophysics, cosmology and fundamental physics organised in 8 science objectives
Merci
Data availability during operations

- Data available from MOC for SOC assuming 8h visibility per day every 24h:
  
  - **During visibility** phase 1 (0 to about 2h):
    - Stream of **Near Real Time Data by chunk of 5mn** (TBC) every 5mn (TBC).
    - Stream of **High Priority Data by chunk of 2x5mn** (TBC) every 5mn (TBC).
  
  - **During visibility** phase 2 (about 2h to end of visibility):
    - Stream of **Near Real Time Data by chunk of 5mn** (TBC) every 5mn (TBC).
  
  - **End of visibility + 8h** (TBD) for consolidation:
    - All data acquired from 16h before the start of visibility to end of visibility, 1 day of data consolidated.
Low latency

- For each candidate detection, dedicated pipelines are started in order to
  - confirm,
  - consolidate,
  - refine the alerts

- using
  - data used for the detection
  - + new Near Real Time Data
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