

Study of η/s through high- p_{\perp} tomography

Bithika Karmakar
Institute of Physics Belgrade

Collaborators: Dusan Zigic, Igor Salom, Jussi Auvinen, Pasi Huovinen, Marko Djordjevic and
Magdalena Djordjevic

ISMD 2023



23 August 2023

European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Outline

- Introduction
- η/s of the medium : Soft-to-hard boundary
- High- p_{\perp} energy loss: Generalized DREENA-A
- Phenomenological approach to constrain η/s
- Theoretical approach to evaluate η/s
- Conclusion

Introduction

- Low- p_{\perp} observables are used to explore the bulk properties of the QGP created in heavy-ion collisions.
- High- p_{\perp} probes also become powerful tomography tools; Sensitive to global QGP features, e.g., different temperature profiles or initial conditions.
- The near perfect fluidity of QGP has been investigated extensively in heavy-ion collision experiments.
- η/s is well constrained by Bayesian analysis in low- p_{\perp} sector in the temperature range $T_c \lesssim T \lesssim 1.5T_c$ and weakly constrained at larger temperatures.
- We try to put constraints on η/s by analyzing high- p_{\perp} observables.

η/s of the medium : Soft-to-hard boundary

- QGP is expected to behave as weakly interacting gas: Weakly coupled
- Fluid dynamics predicts the η/s to be very low: Strongly coupled
- QGP may behave as perfect fluid near T_c (soft regime) and η/s may increase at high temperature (hard regime).
- Testing the soft-to-hard hypothesis is difficult: Anisotropy is weakly affected by the η/s at high temperature.
- High- p_{\perp} data/theory can serve as complementary tool.

High- p_{\perp} energy loss : Generalized DREENA-A

- **Dynamical Radiative and Elastic ENergy loss Approach**

- Based on finite temperature field theory and generalized HTL approach

- M. Djordjevic, PRC 74, 064907, (2006) ; PRC 80, 064909 (2009), M. Djordjevic and U. Heinz, PRL 101, 022302

- Finite size dynamical QCD medium is considered

- Takes into account both radiative and collisional energy losses

- Generalized to the case of magnetic mass and running coupling

- No fitting parameter in the theory

- Takes arbitrary temperature profile as input.

- D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic Front.in Phys. 10 (2022) 957019

- Optimized to incorporate any arbitrary event-by-event fluctuating temperature profile.

- D. Zigic, J. Auvinen, I. Salom, M. Djordjevic, P. Huovinen Phys.Rev.C 106 (2022) 4, 044909

- DREENA-A is available on <http://github.com/DusanZigic/DREENA-A> (Details in talk by Dusan Zigic, tomorrow)

Phenomenological approach

- Three different $(\eta/s)(T)$ parametrizations have been considered.
- Parameters are adjusted to reproduce low- p_{\perp} data.
- Temperature profile is generated for each case.
- High- p_{\perp} predictions found using generalized DREENA-A.
- Compared with high- p_{\perp} data.

Modeling the bulk evolution


- Initial entropy profiles are generated using TRENTo model.
- 10^4 events for Pb+Pb ($\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV) and Au+Au ($\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV) collisions.
- Events are sorted in centrality classes.
- Initial free streaming is not preferred by high- p_{\perp} data.
S. Stojku, J. Auvinen, M. Djordjevic, P. Huovinen and M. Djordjevic, Phys. Rev. C 105 (2022) 2, L021901
- Onset time for hydrodynamics: $\tau_0 = 1fm$.
S. Stojku, J. Auvinen, M. Djordjevic, P. Huovinen and M. Djordjevic, Phys. Rev. C 105 (2022) 2, L021901
- (2+1)-dimensional fluid dynamical model (VISHNew) used to simulate the medium evolution.

Temperature dependence of η/s

$$(\eta/s)(T) = \begin{cases} (\eta/s)_{\min}, & T < T_c, \\ (\eta/s)_{\min} + (\eta/s)_{\text{slope}}(T - T_c) \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{(\eta/s)_{\text{crv}}}, & T > T_c \end{cases}$$

Nature: Nature Phys. 15, no. 11, 1113-1117 (2019)


LHHQ: Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 212302 (2011)



- Pion, kaon, proton multiplicities and $v_2\{4\}$ are reproduced by varying the TRENTo normalization factor for three η/s parametrizations.

Results


BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318



Pb + Pb ($\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV)

Results


BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318




Au + Au ($\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV)

Results

BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318



Pb + Pb ($\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$)



Au + Au ($\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}$)

Theoretical approach:

Transport coefficient from dynamical energy loss formalism

- Transport coefficient (\hat{q}) \equiv Squared average transverse momentum exchange between the medium and the fast parton per unit length
- Interaction between the parton and medium is characterized by the HTL resummed elastic collision rate:

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{el}}{d^2q} = \frac{C_A T \alpha(ET)}{\pi} \frac{\mu_E^2 - \mu_M^2}{(q^2 + \mu_E^2)(q^2 + \mu_M^2)}$$

- In fluid rest frame:

$$\hat{q} = \int_0^{\sqrt{6ET}} d^2q q^2 \cdot \frac{d\Gamma_{el}}{d^2q} = C_A T \frac{4\pi}{(11 - \frac{2}{3}n_f)} \frac{\left(\mu_E^2 \ln \left[\frac{6ET + \mu_E^2}{\mu E^2} \right] - \mu_M^2 \ln \left[\frac{6ET + \mu_M^2}{\mu_M^2} \right] \right)}{\ln\left(\frac{ET}{\Lambda^2}\right)}$$

- In weakly coupled limit:



$$\eta/s \approx 1.25 T^3 / \hat{q}$$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 192301 (2007), Phys. Rev. D 104, L071501 (2021)

η/s from the transport coefficient

BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318



- \hat{q} quantifies the parton coupling strength in the medium
- \hat{q}/T^3 must rise rapidly near T_c from above.
- Our formalism valid in weakly coupled regime.
- T^3/\hat{q} and η/s should agree in the weak coupling regime.
- Soft-to-hard boundary



η/s from the transport coefficient

BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318

- \hat{q}/T^3 shows expected behavior.
- Enhanced quenching near T_c .
- η/s is surprisingly close to the constraints from Bayesian analysis.




η/s from the transport coefficient

BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318

- Uncertainty due to initial jet energy is very small
- Surprisingly close to the parametrization inspired by the Bayesian analysis.
- Does not drop significantly below the inferred η/s values near T_c .
- No soft-to-hard boundary.

Blue → Nature Phys. 15, no. 11, 1113-1117 (2019)

Black → Phys. Rev. C 102, 044911 (2020)



Conclusion


- We use generalized DREENA-A to compute high- p_{\perp} energy loss.
- In the phenomenological approach:
 - Three different $(\eta/s)(T)$ parametrizations have been considered.
 - The predictions from the generalized DREENA-A for three η/s scenarios lead to plots that are almost indistinguishable.
- In the theoretical approach:
 - Transport coefficient and jet quenching strength are calculated from the dynamical energy loss formalism.
 - η/s shows surprisingly good agreement all the way to T_c with constraints extracted from existing Bayesian analyses. Provides much smaller uncertainties at high temperatures.
 - No guidance on locating soft-to-hard boundary.

Thank you for your attention

Average jet perceived temperature

BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318

- Pb + Pb $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV
- Full = LHHQ; DotDashed = Nature,
Dashed = Constant
- Inset: Dotdashed = Nature,
Dashed = LHHQ
- Temperature difference during evolution
is very small.
- Insufficient to lead to observable difference
in the results.



- Mass of light quark $M = \mu_E/6$
- Mass of charm and bottom quark 1.2 GeV and 4.75 GeV
- Gluon mass $m_g = \mu_E/\sqrt{2}$
- $\mu_M/\mu_E = 0.6$
- $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.2\text{GeV}$
- Constant η/s (0.15 for Pb+Pb and 0.12 for Au+Au collision)
- Nature: $(\eta/s)_{\min} = 0.1, (\eta/s)_{\text{slope}} = 1.11, (\eta/s)_{\text{crv}} = -0.48$
- LHHQ: $(\eta/s)_{\min} = 0.04, (\eta/s)_{\text{slope}} = 3.30, (\eta/s)_{\text{crv}} = 0$

Modeling the bulk evolution

- Particlization is performed using Cooper-Frye prescription at isothermal space time hypersurface at 151 MeV.
- UrQMD is used to simulate microscopic dynamics of hadronic system.
- Bulk viscosity parametrized as

$$(\zeta/s)(T) = \frac{(\zeta/s)_{\max}}{1 + \left(\frac{T - T_0}{(\zeta/s)_{\text{width}}} \right)^2}$$

with $(\zeta/s)_{\max} = 0.03$, $(\zeta/s)_{\text{width}} = 0.022$ and $T_0 = 0.183 \text{ GeV}$.

- We use lattice QCD based EoS from HotQCD (high temperature) + HRG (low temperature) EoS.

η/s from the transport coefficient

BK, D. Zigic, I. Salom, J. Auvinen, P. Huovinen, M. Djordjevic and M. Djordjevic arXiv:2305.11318

- In the limit $ET \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\hat{q} = C_A \left(\frac{4\pi}{11 - \frac{2}{3}n_F} \right)^2 \frac{4\pi \left(1 + \frac{n_F}{6} \right)}{W(\xi(T))} (1 - x_{ME}^2) T^3$$

- $\xi(T) = \frac{1 + \frac{n_f}{6}}{11 - \frac{2}{3}n_f} \left(\frac{4\pi T}{\Lambda} \right)^2$, $W \equiv$ Lambert's W function, $x_{ME} = \mu_M/\mu_E$

- \hat{q} is weakly dependent on jet energy E .
- In weakly coupled limit:

$$\eta/s \approx 1.25 T^3 / \hat{q}$$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 192301 (2007), Phys. Rev. D 104, L071501 (2021)