MSc Promotional Presentation: Fitting NOvA and T2K data with the revamped A₄ symmetry model for the poorly constrained neutrino oscillation parameters

> Thabo Msiza Superviser: Prof Soebur Razzaque Co-Supervisor: Dr Ushak Rahaman University of Johannesburg

> > 25/04/2023







4 Methodology





What are Neutrinos?



Figure: Standard Model of Elementary Particles. source: *https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/StandardModel*

Oscillation Parameters

Known Parameters and their measurements

- The bestfit values of $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ and Δm_{12}^2 are known with good accuracy from solar neutrino experiments, with O(MeV) energy.
- $|\Delta m^2_{32}|$ is measured from atmospheric neutrino experiments and accelerator neutrino experiments, with O(GeV) energy. Its bestfit values are known but a degeneracy results mainly due to the mass Hierarchy Problem.
- $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ is known to good accuracy from reactor neutrino experiments, with O(MeV) energy.

Poorly known Parameters

- $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ is also measured from accelerator neutrino experiments, however the octancy (i.e. $\sin^2 \theta_{23} < 0.5$ vs $\sin^2 \theta_{23} > 0.5$) remains unknown.
- δ_{CP} is poorly determined. The violation of CP implies $P(\bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\beta}) \neq P(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}).$
- Mass Hierarchy: Although $|\Delta m_{23}^2|$ is known with good accuracy, the exact mass order remains unknown. The two possibilities being Normal $(m_1 < m_2 < m_3)$ and Inverted $(m_3 < m_1 < m_2)$.

NOvA Experiments



Figure: The NOvA Experiment google map view. *Taken from J. Bian et al* (2013)/arXiv:1309.7898v1



Figure: Schematic view of the T2K experiment from the J-PARC accelerator (red dot) and near detectors (orange dot) in Tokai to Super-Kamiokande (purple dot). *Taken from Abe K et al (2011)* /arXiv:1106.1238v2

The BMV model

The BMV (Babu-Ma-Valle) model [arXiv:hep-ph/0206292v1] makes use of the A_4 symmetric group, requiring the existence of extra (heavy) fermions plus 3 scalars fields (χ_1, χ_2, χ_3). This results in the charged lepton mass matrix taking the form:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} (f_e v_1)^2 I & M_E f_e v_1 I \\ M_E f_e v_1 I & U_{\omega} (Diag\{3(h_i^e u)^2\}) U_{\omega}^{\dagger} + M_E^2 I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & m_2^2 \\ m_2^{2^{\dagger}} & m_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

where f_e couples the standard fermions to the Higgs field and h_i^e couples the new scalars. v_1 and u are the vacuum expectation values of the Higgs field and new scalars respectfully. U_{ω} with $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ is termed the magic matrix and the recovered mixing matrix U_{ν} take the form :

$$U_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^{2} \\ 1 & \omega^{2} & \omega \end{pmatrix}, U_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta & 0 \\ \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

The resulting neutrino mixing matrix implies an exclusive θ_{12} depends with $\theta_{13} \equiv 0, \ \theta_{23} \equiv \frac{\pi}{4}$ and no Dirac phase. This conflicts with the discovery from Daya Bay [arXiv:1501.04991v1], Double CHOOZ [arXiv:1501.04991v1] and RENO [arXiv:1003.1391v1] Collaborations. The Revamped BMV model [arXiv:1305.6774v1] restores the non-vanishing θ_{13} by the addition of an additional scalar flavon. The selected parameter for $\langle \zeta \rangle$ scales M_E by β and the charged lepton mass matrix is modified to:

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} (f_e v_1)^2 I & f_e v_1 Y_D^{\dagger} \\ f_e v_1 Y_D & U_{\omega} (Diag\{3(h_i^e u)^2\}) U_{\omega}^{\dagger} + Y_D Y_D^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

where $Y_D = M_E I + \beta M_E Diag\{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$.

We obtain expressions for the standard parameters w.r.t the new parameters as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \tan \theta_{12} &= \left| [U'_{\nu}]_{12} |/ [[U'_{\nu}]_{11} \right| & (4) \\ \sin \theta_{13} &= |[U'_{\nu}]_{13} | & (5) \\ \tan \theta_{23} &= |[U'_{\nu}]_{23} |/ [[U'_{\nu}]_{33} | & (6) \\ \delta_{CP} &= Arg[(\cos \theta_{12} \cos \theta_{23}) / (|[U'_{\nu}]_{22}] e^{i\phi_{\beta}} + \sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{13} \sin \theta_{23})] & (7) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{\beta} = Arg[[U'_{\nu}]_{22}] - Arg[[U'_{\nu}]_{12}] + Arg[[U'_{\nu}]_{13}] - Arg[[U'_{\nu}]_{23}]$ is the argument of the new parameter β .

We perform the diagonalization numerically by ranging the new parameters as $|\beta| \le 1$, $10^4 \le M_E \le 10^5$ [GeV], $1 \le f_e v_1 \le 100$ [GeV], $-\pi \le \theta \le \pi$ and $-\pi \le \phi_\beta \le \pi$.

- Probe the Revamped BMV model using data NOvA and T2K long-baseline experiments
- Perform a comparative analysis between the revamped BMV model and the SM.
- Test the capability of the new model in constraining the poorly determined parameters.

Methodology

Data simulation and analysis GLoBES

- GLoBES- General Long Baseline Experiment Simulator.
- c Programming Language ran on Linux or OS systems.
- NOvA and T2K experimental data input with AEDL files.
- Allows user input
- handles both standard and non-standard physics models
- User manual available on https://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/personalhomes/globes/

Data analysis with $\Delta \chi^2$

•
$$\chi^2 = \sum_i 2[(N_i^{th} - N_i^{ex}) + N_i^{exp} ln(\frac{N_i^{exp}}{N_i^{th}})] + (\frac{sin^2 2\theta test_{13} - sin^2 2\theta_{13}^{true}}{0.05 sin^2 2\theta_{13}^{true}})^2 + (\frac{sin^2 2\theta_{32}^{test} - sin^2 2\theta_{32}^{true}}{0.02 sin^2 2\theta_{32}^{true}})^2 + (\frac{|\Delta m_{\mu\mu}^{test}|^2 - |\Delta m_{\mu\mu}^{true}|^2}{|\Delta m_{\mu\mu}^{true}|^2})^2$$

• $\Delta \chi^2 = \chi^2 - \chi^2_{min}$

$\Delta \chi^2$ Analysis of NOvA and T2K data with SM



Figure: LEFT: NH test, dotted lines are within 3σ , solid lines within 1σ RIGHT: IH test.

$\Delta\chi^2$ Analysis of NOvA and T2K data with Revamped BMV model



Figure: LEFT: NH test, the dotted lines are within 3σ , solid lines are within 1σ . RIGHT: IH test.

- The new model provides new constraints for NOvA none for T2K.
- The constraint is only on 3σ region.
- The apparent constraint on NOvA+T2K is a consequence of NOvA constrain.
- $\sin^2 heta_{23} = 0.5$ only if $\delta_{CP} pprox 170^o$ for NOvA
- ϕ_{eta} has poor constrains on both poorly determined paramaters
- $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ does not depend on θ .
- the bestfit points favor higher octancy for $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$

Questions??