BSM-2023, Nov.6-9 Hurghada Egypt  Wang, Z., Li, M., Wu, D. et al. Imaging of CsI(TI) crystal event and double-slit Young's interference by a single photon sensitive camera. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 138, 591 (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-023-04234-4</u>, <u>arXiv:2206.00876</u>

2. Wang, Z., Li, M., Wu, D. et al. Imaging of CsI(TI) crystal event and double-slit Young's interference by a single photon sensitive camera. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 138, 591 (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-023-04234-4</u>, arXiv:2301.01969

# Imaging with single photon sensitive camera

Zhimin Wang Institute of High Energy physics, CAS 2023-11-08

### Outline

- Photon detection & Imaging
- Characteristic of camera
- Double-slit Young's interference
- Particle imaging with CsI(Tl) crystal
- Spatial coincidence of imaging

## Parametrization of a single photon

- Parameters of single photon:
  - Wavelength:  $\lambda$
  - Polarization
  - Direction: ( $\Theta$ ,  $\phi$ )
  - Hitting location: (x,y,z)
  - Arriving time: t

Single photon measurement with possible BSM? More measurement dimensions, more possibilities.



# Simulation of high energy event pattern in LS: electron Spatial features



### Preliminary simulation of event pattern in LS



# Preliminary simulation of event pattern in LS: muon

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

## PMT/SiPM vs. imaging

- PMT/SiPM:
  - Roughly (x,y,z), (cm,mm)
  - T (ns, ps)
- Imaging:
  - (x,y,z) (mm,um)
  - (Θ, φ)

![](_page_6_Figure_7.jpeg)

https://www.hamamatsu.com/content/dam/hamamatsuphotonics/sites/documents/99\_SALES\_LIBRARY/etd/PMT\_handbook\_v3aE.p

![](_page_6_Picture_9.jpeg)

https://www.onsemi.com/pdf/datasheet/arrayj-series-d.pdf

Camera

![](_page_6_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_14.jpeg)

Film

![](_page_6_Picture_15.jpeg)

### 8

## Noise vs. signal (signal-noise ratio) in single photon level

- Noise level/resolution @single photon level(SPE)
  - PMT: ~30% (0.3e-)
  - SiPM: 10%~20% (0.2e-)
  - Camera: 1~100e-
- Single photon counting
  - <40%@SPE

![](_page_7_Figure_8.jpeg)

### Noise vs. signal identification @imaging

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Possibility to identify a signal from noise
  - Signal intensity
    - Object Distance
  - Solid angle
    - Lens diameter
    - effective aperture
  - Noise level
  - Efficiency

![](_page_8_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Single photon sensitive camera

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Dark current Readout noise

### Joint measurement of PMT & camera

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 1: Schema of LED testing system.

## Single photon testing With light source

- Pulse light
- Single photon identified
- Light intensity

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

(c) 1-D plot of pixels intensity

(d) Intensity vs. exposure time.

225

220

215

210

206

200

195

90

4000

10<sup>2</sup>

H pixel

### Single photon Double-slit Young's interference

Single photon both in space and time

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

(a) Schema of Young's interference.

# Double-slit Young's interference with single photon

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

(a) 1-D plot fitting of the interference 60 s

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Particle imaging with CsI(TI) crystal & 241Am (time coincidence of PMTs)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

(b) Schema of crystal test.

### Selection of Alpha events (by PMT)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ~100Hz
- ~80pe/alpha event @ object distance 10cm

### Imaging of 241Am

- Source location:
  - ~0.1mm
- Source intensity

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Aiming to a single particle: single event~8pe

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Muon identification with crystal and camera

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Muon searing in the image

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

(b) Average of extended surveyed lines of that in figure 11(a): the red lines are selected candidates. (c) Sum of extended surveyed lines of that in figure 11(b): the red lines are selected candidates.

**Figure 13**. Track candidate checking by extension. For a true muon track in principle, the candidate should have a similar average intensity as the short track, and increasing sum following the track extending. The red lines in (b) and (c) correspond to the extended track candidates. The selected candidate mentioned here is with the cuts discussed in section 3.1.

### 

(a) Extended track 1D.

**Figure 14.** Example of selected track candidate suggested by its averaged pixel intensity. Its raw length is around 1786 pixels (around 2880 pixel after extension), and averaged intensity per pixel is around 1.728 ADC and the summed intensity is around 568 ADC of 1786 pixels (around 73 p.e.). (a) x-axis is the horizontal pixels; y-axis is the vertical pixels. (b) x-axis is the horizontal pixels; y-axis is the vertical pixels. (b) x-axis is the horizontal pixels; y-axis is the vertical pixels.)

(b) Extended track in 3D.

### Proposal for further application time & spatial coincidence for precise vertex and track

- Spatial Coincidence of Multi cameras
  - 3D vertex (x,y,z)
  - Noise suppression
- Additional to precision time coincidence of PMT/SiPM for
  - Precise vertex
  - Topology of energy deposition

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

### System setup

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Manually matching photons in lower S/N level

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Summary

- Imaging of single particle in single photon level
  - Possible now!
- Nosie of commercial camera
  - ~0.3e-
- PMT/SiPM+ Multi-cameras in scintillation detector by time and spatial coincidence
  - Noise suppression
  - Vertex, and topology identification
  - Imaging of single particle in crystal, LS
    - Simulation shows a good potential
- Proposal for many future applications
  - Welcome for more discussion

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Expected signal intensity vs. distance or exposure time of single alpha event

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Muon identification

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 11.** Average pixel intensity and the sum of the intensity of all pixels along the track candidates. The z-axis of (a) and (b) reports the number of candidate tracks. The green line in (a) is the applied threshold to identify a selected track candidate, the black dots in (b) are from the selected track candidates. The red lines in (c) and (d) are from the selected track candidates. In (d), the distribution of the total intensity of the selected events is shown in red. It ranges between 100 and 600 ADC (i.e. 12–77 p.e.), which is somewhat lower than the average expectation of 177 p.e. from the calibration and may be due to the muon paths in the crystal.

### Image Matching Test

Photo A

Photo B

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Keypoint Matches

Match & combined Photo

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)