# LHCb Data Flow - IT storage

Round table

# LHCb

DAQ archive expected rates for 2023:

- Proton
- Heavy Ion

HLT buffer was filling at 40GB/s: 8.8PB (no more than 80% full), **targetting average of** 10GB/s

### EOS

- What is the expected throughput from P8 to T0-disk? Is it still 10GB/s? YES
- Is the average file size going to change?
  - Not changing: 5GB and 10GB. Straight copies of runs: there is no control other the size.
- How many streams do you plan to use?
  - Cannot be predicted
- What is going to change between the Proton-Proton run and the Heavy Ion run?
  - Changes will probably be marginal
- Are you happy with the current situation regarding EOSLHCb?
  - Still issues with transfer timeouts in the case the load from the datamovers is increased (amount of parallel stream per machine is increased).
    - To do: a test with the verbose output of the xrdcp command.

# EOSCTA

#### eosctalhcb

- Automatic cleanup of test data after 6 months in eosctalhcb/eos/ctalhcb/archivetest/
  - Ongoing cleanup of last data challenge Feb 2022
- HTTP TAPE REST deployed on March 7
  - Needed to enable *Check On Tape* for HTTP archive transfers
  - Ongoing test:
    - archive: <u>32c47bf6-bd8f-11ed-b793-fa163e2373ae (https://fts3-lhcb.cern.ch:8449/fts3/ftsmon/#/job/32c47bf6-bd8f-11ed-b793-</u>

<u>fa163e2373ae)</u>

- staging: <u>c8800dc0-bdaf-11ed-b935-fa163e2373ae (https://fts3-lhcb.cern.ch:8449/fts3/ftsmon/#/job/c8800dc0-bdaf-11ed-b935-fa163e2373ae)</u>
- DIRAC-CTA namespace consistency check:
  - eosctalhcb namespace dumps generated every week: <u>LHCb latest.xz</u> (<u>https://cta.web.cern.ch/namespace\_dumps/exports/eosctalhcb/LHCb/latest.xz</u>)
  - Documentation in <u>KB0007876 (https://cern.service-now.com/kb\_view.do?</u> sysparm\_article=KB0007876)
- *xrootd TPC gateway* for xrootd transfers with delegation should go away and be replaced by HTTP transfers for T1s
- Review default configuration for FTS parameters and links
  - Archive timeout: 86400
  - Bringonline timeout: currently set to 259200 (3 days)
    - Will need to be increased for large staging activities
- LHCb interest in *archive metadata* discussions?
  - Free lunch: archive metadata can be added to all archive files and FTS will ignore it for non HTTP traffic
    - Adding archive metadata after the transfer is not useful as it is too late for tape endpoint scheduling decisions (useful for the next repack)
  - hierarchical collocation hints: MC/DAQ? run number? tracks? data type?
  - scheduling hints: archive priority to prioritize DAQ data over MC, user traffic...

#### CTA @ RAL

Plans would be to put in place a similar protocol configuration as CERN one:

- deploy and configure HTTP REST API
- majority of the data: local traffic antares <-> echo using xrootd
- marginal fraction: antares <-> other T1s using HTTP
  - remove the need for xrootd TPC gateways, delegation

## FTS

- Configuration validation for primary and secondary FTS servers used by DIRAC:
  - CERN FTS LHCB: https://fts3-lhcb.cern.ch:8449
    - CERN FTS team can fire up a new instance with restored configuration
  - RAL FTS LHCB: https://lcgfts3.gridpp.rl.ac.uk:8449 (not in use currently, not configured)
  - no other FTS instances
- Gfal2 on Alma9 + OpenSSLv3 is much slower than CC7 + OpenSSLv1

- LHCb uses Conda for their batch environment (instead of the system version), which follows closely the latest versions
- Soon enough, OpenSSLv1 reaches EoL, upon which Conda moves to OpenSSLv3
- LHCb very likely to need this sooner than ATLAS
- Davix: libneon vs libcurl?
  - Currently, libneon is the one used by FTS. *May change soon*

# Network

- current Network connection between LHCb (Bldg 2885) and Datacentre (Bldg 513) is 4x100Gbps (all active, with automatic redundancy/load balancing) <=> 4x12.5=50GBps
- Monitoring of those network lines is available at: https://monitgrafana.cern.ch/d/2xz9zR-nk/lhcb-cdr?orgId=14&from=now-1d&to=now
- Design:

