





Searches for violation of Lorentz invariance with $t\bar{t}$ dilepton final state at CMS

LIV/NC workshop, Belgrade - 29/05/2023



Nicolas Chanon - IP2I Lyon, CNRS/IN2P3 (France)
On behalf of the CMS Collaboration



Searches for violation of Lorentz invariance with $t\bar{t}$

CMS-PAS-TOP-22-007

Available on the CERN CDS information server

CMS PAS TOP-22-007

CMS Physics Analysis Summary

- Public since last week at LHCP conference
- Document:
<http://cds.cern.ch/record/2859658?ln=en>

Contact: cms-pag-conveners-top@cern.ch

2023/05/23


- Public page:
<https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/preliminary-results/TOP-22-007/index.html>

Searches for violation of Lorentz invariance in $t\bar{t}$ production using dilepton events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration

Abstract

Violation of Lorentz invariance is searched for using top quark pair ($t\bar{t}$) production in proton-proton collisions at the LHC, at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Events containing one electron and one muon collected with the CMS detector are analyzed in a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 77.4 fb^{-1} . A measurement of the differential normalized cross section for $t\bar{t}$ production as a function of sidereal time is performed. Potential violation of Lorentz invariance is introduced as an extension of the standard model (SM), with an effective field theory predicting the modulation of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section with sidereal time. Bounds on Lorentz-violating couplings are extracted, and found to be compatible with Lorentz invariance with an absolute precision of $0.1 - 0.8\%$. This search can also be interpreted as a precision test of special relativity with top quarks, improving precision by two orders of magnitude over a previous such measurement.



Compact Muon Solenoid
LHC, CERN

[Visit us: CMS Public Website, CMS Physics ; Contact us: CMS Publications Committee](#)

CMS-PAS-TOP-22-007

Searches for violation of Lorentz invariance in $t\bar{t}$ production using dilepton events in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

CMS Collaboration
24 May 2023

Abstract: Violation of Lorentz invariance is searched for using top quark pair ($t\bar{t}$) production in proton-proton collisions at the LHC, at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Events containing one electron and one muon collected with the CMS detector are analyzed in a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 77.4 fb^{-1} . A measurement of the differential normalized cross section for $t\bar{t}$ production as a function of sidereal time is performed. Potential violation of Lorentz invariance is introduced as an extension of the standard model (SM), with an effective field theory predicting the modulation of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section with sidereal time. Bounds on Lorentz-violating couplings are extracted, and found to be compatible with Lorentz invariance with an absolute precision of $0.1 - 0.8\%$. This search can also be interpreted as a precision test of special relativity with top quarks, improving precision by two orders of magnitude over a previous such measurement.

Links: [CDS record \(PDF\)](#) ; [CADI line](#) (restricted) ;




$t\bar{t}$ cross section under Lorentz-violation

Lorentz transformation: Lorentz-violating Standard Model Extension (SME):

$$x^\mu \mapsto x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$$

- **Rotations**
- **Lorentz boosts**



- Motivated by String theory or Loop quantum gravity
- Add all **Lorentz-violating operators** to the SM Lagrangian
- Tested in many sectors, but only once with top quarks (D0, PRL 108 (2012) 261603): precision O(10%)


$$L_{\text{SME}} = \frac{1}{2} i \bar{\psi} (\gamma^\nu + c^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\mu + d^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\nu \psi - m_t \bar{\psi} \psi.$$

- SME coefficients: constant matrices (Lorentz-violating)
- Indicate **preferential directions in spacetime**


Report the measurement in the **Sun-centered frame**:

- CMS frame is rotating daily around the earth Z-axis,
=> **modulation of the top-antitop cross section with sidereal time**

Rotation period of the earth lasts ~23h 56min 4s (UTC time ~UNIX time), or 24h, 86400 s (sidereal time)




The LHC: a top quark factory




From Tevatron to LHC, x100 increase in cross section:

- Gluon fusion mechanism is now dominant,
- Higher gluon parton density function in the proton at the LHC
- Higher center-of-mass energy




Integrated luminosity

- 5 fb⁻¹ at DØ analysis, 77 fb⁻¹ in this analysis




Challenge: pileup interactions

Higher instantaneous luminosity means higher number of (uninteresting, low momentum) **additional collisions per bunch crossing**




- **In-time pileup** : additional collisions in the same bunch crossing (1 bunch crossing every 25 ns)
- Out-of-time pileup: additional collisions in the previous or next bunch crossing



The CMS detector

In the transverse plane (perpendicular to the beamline):




- Muon
- Electron
- Charged hadron (e.g. pion)
- - - Neutral hadron (e.g. neutron)
- - - Photon





Analysis strategy

1) Discriminate between $t\bar{t}$ and SM backgrounds




2) Evaluate relevant **corrections and systematic uncertainties as a function of sidereal time**

3) Measure **normalized differential cross section** with sidereal time



4) Extract Lorentz-violating SME coefficients




Employing $t\bar{t}$ dilepton final state



Selection:

- Dilepton final state: **$e\mu$** (dilepton + single lepton triggers)
- Leading lepton $p_T > 25$ GeV, subleading $p_T > 20$ GeV
- **≥ 2 jets** with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$
- Among which **≥ 1 b jet** (deepCSV tagger)

Discriminant observable: number of b jets (good separation between $t\bar{t}$ and tW),



Process	2016	2017
$t\bar{t}$ SM	167641	195871
$t\bar{t}+X$	456	544
Single top	8375	9888
Dibosons	692	651
W/Z+jets	2084	2321
Total background	11607	13404
Total MC	179247	209277
Data	168282	203584





Corrections with sidereal time

Integrated luminosity:


- **Integrated luminosity can vary** up to 20% per sidereal time bin
- Scale simulation yield for each sidereal time bin
- **Re-estimate luminosity uncertainties** as a function of time: cross-detector stability, luminometer linearity response

Pileup distribution:

- Nominal **pileup profile + associated uncertainty** (from the cross section for minimum bias events) does not cover for the pileup profile in time bins
- **For each sidereal time bin: reweight pileup distribution** and assign corresponding uncertainty

Data/simulation differences in dilepton trigger efficiencies:

- Estimated using p_T^{mis} trigger in events with ≥ 1 b jet
- **Uncertainties** estimated from partitions of the data: uncertainty arising from the number of jets, and run era dependency





Fitting the normalized differential XS

Reminder: Integrated lumi, pileup and trigger corrections depend on time

Fit method

- Profile likelihood method using “LHC test-statistic”
- Fit of 24 parameters of interest (POIs): 23 fractions + the average signal strength
- Reconstructed and particle-level sidereal time are identical: diagonal response matrix
- Under the SM hypothesis, same expected prediction in each bin
- The normalised differential cross section reduces to:

$$\sigma_i/\sigma_{\text{avg}} = \mu_i/\mu_{\text{avg}} \text{ (which are the POIs)}$$





Uncertainties and their correlation

Re-estimated as a function of sidereal time: **correlated in sidereal time**

Experimental syst. for which dependency in sidereal time is unknown: **uncorrelated in sidereal time**

SM theory and background normalisation uncertainties: **uniform (and correlated) in sidereal time**

MC stat.: **correlated in sidereal time**


Systematic uncertainty source	Correlation 2016–2017	Correlation time bins	Magnitude
Flat luminosity, year-to-year correlated part	100%	100%	0.6% (2016), 0.9% (2017)
Flat luminosity, year-to-year uncorrelated part	0%	100%	0.9% (2016), 1.4% (2017)
Time-dependent luminosity stability	0%	100%	0.2% (2016), 0.4% (2017)
Time-dependent luminosity linearity	0%	100%	0.2% (2016), 0.4% (2017)
Time-dependent pileup reweighting	100%	100%	0.3–5%
Time-dependent trigger efficiency, syst. component	0%	100%	0.5–1%
Time-dependent trigger efficiency, stat. component	0%	0%	0.5%
L1 ECAL prefiring	100%	0%	0.5%
Electron reconstruction	100%	0%	0.4%
Electron identification	100%	0%	1.2–2.2%
Muon identification, syst. component	100%	0%	0.3%
Muon identification, stat. component	0%	0%	0.5%
Muon isolation, syst. component	100%	0%	<0.1%
Muon isolation, stat. component	0%	0%	0.2%
Phase-space extrapolation of lepton isolation	100%	100%	0.5–1%
Jet energy scale, year-to-year correlated part	100%	0%	0.8%
Jet energy scale, year-to-year uncorrelated part	0%	0%	1.4%
Parton flavor impact on jet energy scale	100%	100%	1.1%
b tagging	0%	0%	2–4%
Matrix element scale	100%	100%	0.3–6%
PDF+ α_S	100%	100%	0.1–0.4%
Initial- & final-state radiation scale	100%	100%	1–5%
Top quark p_T	100%	100%	0.5–2.5%
Matrix element-parton shower matching	100%	100%	0.7%
Underlying event tune	100%	100%	0.2%
Color reconnection	100%	100%	0.3%
Top quark mass	100%	100%	0.5–3%
Single top quark cross section	100%	100%	30%
$t\bar{t}+X$ cross section	100%	100%	20%
Diboson cross section	100%	100%	30%
W/Z+jets cross section	100%	100%	30%
$t\bar{t}$ cross section *	100%	100%	4%
Single top quark time modulation *	100%	100%	2%
MC statistical uncertainty	0%	100%	0.1–1%



Normalized differential XS: result

Direct fit of normalised differential $t\bar{t}$ cross section

- Uncertainty is around 2.2% in each time bin
- Statistical uncertainty accounts for $\sim 0.9\%$
- **Goodness-of-fit** (saturated model): **0.92**






Uncertainty breakdown

Uncertainty breakdown bin by bin: in each sidereal time bin, freeze groups of uncertainties in the fit and calculate the resulting uncertainty by subtracting to the total in quadrature.

Treatment of the systematics with sidereal time:

- Uncertainty in pileup, luminosity stability and linearity, trigger: evaluated as a function of sidereal time, treated as **correlated: subdominant**
- Other experimental systematics treated as **uncorrelated**, to let the fit find their impact on each time bin in data: **dominant**
- SM theory, background norm, other luminosity uncertainties treated as **uniform: cancel** almost completely in the ratio



- Cancellation of uncertainties is imperfect because of remaining correlations



SME signal model

SME signal model (evaluated at LO):

- Not sensitive to Z and T direction.
- Use similar benchmarks as Tevatron
- 4 directions tested: XX, XY, XZ, YZ
- 4 families of coefficients: c, d, c_L, c_R

$$c_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[(c_L)_{\mu\nu} + (c_R)_{\mu\nu}], \quad d_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[(c_L)_{\mu\nu} - (c_R)_{\mu\nu}]$$

$$f(t) = (c_{L,\mu\nu} + c_{R,\mu\nu}) R_\alpha^\mu(t) R_\beta^\nu(t) \left(\frac{\delta P}{P} \right)^{\alpha\beta} + c_{L,\mu\nu} R_\alpha^\mu(t) R_\beta^\nu(t) \left(\frac{\delta F}{F} + \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\bar{F}} \right)^{\alpha\beta}$$


$$|\mathcal{M}|_{\text{SME}}^2 = \underbrace{PF\bar{F}}_{\text{SM}} + \underbrace{(\delta P)F\bar{F} + P(\delta F)\bar{F} + PF(\delta \bar{F})}_{\text{SME}}$$


$$\text{SME weight: } w = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\text{SME}}|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_{\text{SM}}|^2}$$

$$w(t) = 1 + f(t)$$

- Use Madgraph LO + Pythia + full detector simulation
- Apply selection at reco level
- Computation of the time modulation using exact LO kinematics [Berger, Kostelecký, Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* 93, 036005 (2016)]
- Time modulation calculated in bins of sidereal time and number of b jets

SME model
■ c_{L,XX}=c_{L,YY}=0.01
■ c_{L,XY}=c_{L,YX}=0.01
■ c_{L,XZ}=c_{L,ZX}=0.01
■ c_{L,YZ}=c_{L,ZY}=0.01






Bounds on the SME coefficients

- Fit of each coefficients **individually while coefficients corresponding to the three other directions in a family** (c_L , c_R , c , d) **are left floating** in the fit
- Goodness-of-fit p-value is 0.98

- **c_L , c_R coefficients:**
Improved precision by a factor ~ 20 -50 relative to D0

- **c coefficients:** measured for the first time

- **d coefficients:** Improved precision by a factor up to ~ 100 relative to D0



- No significant deviation from the SM
- **Special relativity tested at the 0.1-0.8% level with top quarks at the LHC**



Comparison with SM expectations

- Alternative fit: Fit of each Wilson **individually, others set to SM**
- Correlation between coefficients of different directions is 0-4%


Wilson coefficient	SM expected Others fixed to SM (10^{-3} units)	Data Others fixed to SM (10^{-3} units)	SM expected Others floating (10^{-3} units)	Data Others floating (10^{-3} units)
$c_{L,XX} = -c_{L,YY}$	[-0.97; 0.97]	[-0.91; 1.03]	[-0.97; 0.97]	[-0.91; 1.03]
$c_{L,XY} = c_{L,YX}$	[-0.97; 0.97]	[-1.94; -0.01]	[-0.97; 0.97]	[-1.96; -0.03]
$c_{L,XZ} = c_{L,ZX}$	[-3.25; 3.25]	[-0.91; 5.58]	[-3.25; 3.25]	[-0.86; 5.63]
$c_{L,YZ} = c_{L,ZY}$	[-3.26; 3.26]	[-4.66; 1.83]	[-3.27; 3.27]	[-4.7; 1.81]
$c_{R,XX} = -c_{R,YY}$	[-1.71; 1.71]	[-1.65; 1.79]	[-1.71; 1.71]	[-1.66; 1.77]
$c_{R,XY} = c_{R,YX}$	[-1.72; 1.72]	[0.11; 3.53]	[-1.72; 1.72]	[0.14; 3.56]
$c_{R,XZ} = c_{R,ZX}$	[-5.81; 5.82]	[-9.52; 2.1]	[-5.82; 5.82]	[-9.61; 2.01]
$c_{R,YZ} = c_{R,ZY}$	[-5.84; 5.84]	[-3.79; 7.86]	[-5.84; 5.84]	[-3.74; 7.91]
$c_{XX} = -c_{YY}$	[-2.19; 2.19]	[-1.78; 2.62]	[-2.19; 2.19]	[-1.85; 2.55]
$c_{XY} = c_{YX}$	[-2.19; 2.19]	[-4.27; 0.15]	[-2.19; 2.19]	[-4.36; 0.07]
$c_{XZ} = c_{ZX}$	[-7.25; 7.25]	[-1.35; 13.27]	[-7.26; 7.25]	[-1.15; 13.48]
$c_{YZ} = c_{ZY}$	[-7.29; 7.29]	[-11.16; 3.35]	[-7.29; 7.29]	[-11.31; 3.24]
$d_{XX} = -d_{YY}$	[-0.62; 0.62]	[-0.6; 0.64]	[-0.62; 0.62]	[-0.6; 0.64]
$d_{XY} = d_{YX}$	[-0.62; 0.62]	[-1.25; -0.02]	[-0.62; 0.62]	[-1.27; -0.03]
$d_{XZ} = d_{ZX}$	[-2.09; 2.09]	[-0.65; 3.52]	[-2.09; 2.09]	[-0.62; 3.55]
$d_{YZ} = d_{ZY}$	[-2.1; 2.1]	[-2.93; 1.24]	[-2.1; 2.1]	[-2.95; 1.23]



Uncertainty breakdown

Similar conclusions as in the differential fit

- Largest uncertainty is the **time-uncorrelated component**: most exp. syst. have an individual uncertainty per sidereal time bin
- **Statistical uncertainty** is about 1/3 of total stat+syst uncertainty
- **Time-correlated** uncertainties follow. It includes an **uncertainty on single top** process in the **SME**.
- Usual **time-uniform systematics** have small impact





Conclusions and perspectives

Summary:

- Performed the first search for violation of Lorentz invariance with $t\bar{t}$ at the LHC, within the context of the SME
- Measured differential normalised cross section with sidereal time
- Measured SME coefficients in XX, XY, XZ, YZ directions for cL, cR, c, d families
- Special relativity tested at the 0.1-0.8% level with top quarks at the LHC

Perspectives:


- Another factor of 5-10 improvement expected at the HL-LHC or a factor 100 at the FCC-hh [Carle, Chanon, Perriès, *Eur.Phys.J.C* 80 (2020) 2, 128],

Thanks for your attention

Back-up slides



Top quark decay




CKM matrix

	d	s	b
u	■	■	■
c	■	■	■
t	■	■	■


Top quark decay

- The top quark undergoes electroweak decay to Wb before hadronizing
- W decays via $W \rightarrow l\nu$ or $W \rightarrow qq'$, leading to b + leptons or jets signatures


Jet




B-jet



Top Pair Branching Fractions





Translating UNIX to sidereal time

UTC time (~UNIX time): rotation period of the earth lasts ~23h 56min 4s (UTC)

Sidereal time: rotation period of the earth is defined as 24h, 86400 s (sidereal)

Angular velocity
of earth's rotation
around its axis in
sidereal time:
 $\sim 2\pi/86400 \text{ s}^{-1}$

Angular velocity
of earth's rotation
around its axis in
UTC time:
 $2\pi/86164 \text{ s}^{-1}$

Jan 1st 2016 in
UNIX time

Effective longitude
of the beam at
CMS P5 relative to
Greenwich
meridian, in rad

$$\Omega_{\text{sidereal}} t_{\text{sidereal}} = \Omega_{\text{UTC}} * (t_{\text{UNIX}} - t_0) + \phi_{\text{UNIX}} + \phi_{\text{longitude}}$$


Timestamp of the
lumisection in UNIX
time (seconds since
1st Jan 1970)

Phase between
J2000 (reference
in Sun-centered
frame) and Unix
epoch

Top quark: existing bounds

Rev.Mod.Phys. 83: 11 (2011)

Indirect bound (*Phys. Rev. D* 97, 125016(2018)): from top-quark loop correction to photon propagator, using astrophysics photons



Direct bounds (*PRL* 108:261603, 2012): measurement of top pair production at DØ (Tevatron)




Table D36. Quark sector, $d \geq 4$

Combination	Result	System	Ref.
$ c_t $	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$	Astrophysics	[50]*
$(c_Q)_{XX33}$	$-0.12 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$	$t\bar{t}$ production	[256]
$(c_Q)_{YY33}$	$0.12 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
$(c_Q)_{XY33}$	$-0.04 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01$	"	[256]
$(c_Q)_{XZ33}$	$0.15 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
$(c_Q)_{YZ33}$	$-0.03 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.01$	"	[256]
$(c_U)_{XX33}$	$0.1 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
$(c_U)_{YY33}$	$-0.1 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
$(c_U)_{XY33}$	$0.04 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.01$	"	[256]
$(c_U)_{XZ33}$	$-0.14 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
$(c_U)_{YZ33}$	$0.01 \pm 0.07 \pm < 0.01$	"	[256]
d_{XX}	$-0.11 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
d_{YY}	$0.11 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
d_{XY}	$-0.04 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.01$	"	[256]
d_{XZ}	$0.14 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$	"	[256]
d_{YZ}	$-0.02 \pm 0.07 \pm < 0.01$	"	[256]

Top quark sector in the SME

Berger, Kostelecký, Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* 93, 036005 (2016)

LIV lagrangian related to top quark:

Third generation left-handed quark doublet

Gauge covariant derivative

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{CPT}+} \supset \frac{1}{2}i(c_Q)_{\mu\nu AB} \bar{Q}_A \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{D}^\nu Q_B + \frac{1}{2}i(c_U)_{\mu\nu AB} \bar{U}_A \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{D}^\nu U_B - \frac{1}{2}(H_U)_{\mu\nu AB} \bar{Q}_A \phi^c \sigma^{\mu\nu} U_B + \text{h.c.},$$

Right handed charge 2/3 top singlet

(Focus here on CPT-even coefficients)

- SME coefficients $\mathbf{c}_{\mu\nu}$ are **violating particle Lorentz invariance**
- $c_{\mu\nu}$ trace is Lorentz-invariant, and its antisymmetric part can be absorbed elsewhere in the Lagrangian: consider $\mathbf{c}_{\mu\nu}$ as **symmetric and traceless**

Define:


$$c_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[(c_L)_{\mu\nu} + (c_R)_{\mu\nu}], \quad d_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[(c_L)_{\mu\nu} - (c_R)_{\mu\nu}]$$

Top pair production in the SME

Berger, Kostelecký, Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* 93, 036005 (2016)

Top quark (~ 173 GeV) **decays** at almost 100% to **Wb**.
Assume **narrow-width** approximation for top quarks:

$$|\mathcal{M}|_{\text{SME}}^2 = \underbrace{PF\bar{F}}_{\text{SM}} + \underbrace{((\delta P)F\bar{F} + P(\delta F)\bar{F} + PF(\delta\bar{F}))}_{\text{LIV}}$$



SME weight: $w = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\text{SME}}|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_{\text{SM}}|^2}$

$$w(t) = 1 + f(t)$$

SME coefficients


$$f(t) = ((c_L)_{\mu\nu} + (c_R)_{\mu\nu}) R_\alpha^\mu(t) R_\beta^\nu(t) \left(\frac{\delta_p P}{P} + \frac{\delta_v P}{P} \right)^{\alpha\beta} + (c_L)_{\mu\nu} R_\alpha^\mu(t) R_\beta^\nu(t) \left(\frac{\delta F}{F} + \frac{\delta\bar{F}}{\bar{F}} \right)^{\alpha\beta}$$

LIV change in top quark propagator

LIV change in top production via top-gluon vertex

LIV change in top and antitop decay width

Rotation matrices to relate sun-centered frame and laboratory frame





Induces a **modulation of the top-antitop cross section with sidereal time**

Higher center-of-mass energies

Carle, Chanon, Perriès, Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 2, 128

- Compare $f(t)$ in p-p collisions at several center-of-mass energy (assuming CMS reference frame), and for several benchmark coefficients
- The amplitude of $f(t)$ increases with the energy (comes mostly from the matrix element)



Expected sensitivity at the LHC and future colliders

Carle, Chanon, Perriès, Eur.Phys.J.C 80 (2020) 2, 128

Benchmarks:

- **D0:** Recomputed expected sensitivity for 5.3 fb⁻¹ of p-pbar collisions at 1.96 TeV
- **LHC Run 2:** Expected sensitivity for 150 fb⁻¹ of p-p collisions at 13 TeV
- **HL-LHC:** 3 ab⁻¹ of p-p collisions at 14 TeV (expected to start data taking in 2027)
- **HE-LHC:** 15 ab⁻¹ of p-p collisions at 27 TeV (option for after HL-LHC, replacing LHC magnets in the same tunnel)
- **FCC-hh:** 15 ab⁻¹ of p-p collisions at 100 TeV (option for after HL-LHC, new magnets and new 100km tunnel)

Expected precision on the top-quark SME coefficients:

	D0	LHC (Run 2)	HL-LHC	HE-LHC	FCC
$\Delta c_{LXX}, \Delta c_{LXY}$	1×10^{-1}	7×10^{-4}	2×10^{-4}	2×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}
$\Delta c_{LXZ}, \Delta c_{LYZ}$	8×10^{-2}	3×10^{-3}	5×10^{-4}	9×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}
$\Delta c_{RXX}, \Delta c_{RXY}$	9×10^{-2}	3×10^{-3}	5×10^{-4}	8×10^{-5}	5×10^{-5}
$\Delta c_{RXZ}, \Delta c_{RYZ}$	7×10^{-2}	1×10^{-2}	2×10^{-3}	4×10^{-4}	8×10^{-5}
$\Delta c_{XX}, \Delta c_{XY}$	7×10^{-1}	1×10^{-3}	2×10^{-4}	3×10^{-5}	9×10^{-6}
$\Delta c_{XZ}, \Delta c_{YZ}$	6×10^{-1}	4×10^{-3}	7×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}	3×10^{-5}
$\Delta d_{XX}, \Delta d_{XY}$	1×10^{-1}	6×10^{-4}	1×10^{-4}	2×10^{-5}	8×10^{-6}
$\Delta d_{XZ}, \Delta d_{YZ}$	7×10^{-2}	2×10^{-3}	4×10^{-4}	8×10^{-5}	2×10^{-5}

LHC Run 2: Expect 2-3 orders of magnitude improvement wrt D0 (depending on the coeff.)


FCC: Expect 2 more orders of magnitude improvement relative to LHC Run 2

Which collider / experiment?

Carle, Chanon, Perriès, arXiv:1909.01990

Comparison LHC / Tevatron (assuming same center-of-mass energy):

- D0 less sensitive than ATLAS/CMS to cXX or cXY scenario
- D0 more sensitive than ATLAS/CMS to cXZ or cYZ scenario



- **Equivalent sensitivity at ATLAS or CMS** (opposite azimuth in the LHC ring)

A note on top/antitop mass difference


Top/Antitop mass difference

- Particle/antiparticle mass difference is not allowed to elementary particles within local quantum field theories, such as the SME
- Can be allowed in non-local theories with CPT breaking

Experimental method

- Kinematic fit used to reconstruct the top mass in lepton+jets or dilepton decay channels
- Can measure top / antitop mass in separated dataset and combine statistically
- Or can measure simultaneously top and antitop masses

PLB 728 (2014) 363–379



CMS 8 TeV (*PLB 770 (2017) 50–71*):

$$\Delta m_t = -0.15 \pm 0.19(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09(\text{syst}) \text{ GeV}$$

- Compatible with the SM
- This measurement has not been interpreted in the context of a given BSM model