



Tri-hypercharge: a path to the origin of flavour

Mario Fernández Navarro[†]

Invisibles23 Workshop, 29th August 2023

Based on JHEP 08 (2023) 020, arXiv [2305.07690] [hep-ph]

in collaboration with Stephen F. King

[†]M.F.Navarro@soton.ac.uk

The flavour puzzle



$$\begin{split} m_t &\sim \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \qquad m_c \sim \lambda^{3.3} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \qquad m_u \sim \lambda^{7.5} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \\ m_b &\sim \lambda^{2.5} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \qquad m_s \sim \lambda^{5.0} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \qquad m_d \sim \lambda^{7.0} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \\ m_\tau &\sim \lambda^{3.0} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \qquad m_\mu \sim \lambda^{4.9} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \qquad m_e \sim \lambda^{8.4} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \\ V_{us} \sim \lambda \,, \qquad V_{cb} \sim \lambda^2 \,, \qquad V_{ub} \sim \lambda^3 \,, \\ \tan \theta_{23}^\nu \sim 1 \,, \qquad \tan \theta_{12}^\nu \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \qquad \sin \theta_{13}^\nu \sim \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \,, \\ \mathrm{where} \, v_{\rm SM} \simeq 246 \, \mathrm{GeV} \, \mathrm{and} \, \lambda = \sin \theta_C \simeq 0.224 \end{split}$$

- Why three families?
- Why the three families interact so differently with the Higgs?
- What is the origin of very small neutrino masses, and why the PMNS mixing is so different from the CKM mixing?

The flavour puzzle



$$\begin{split} m_t &\sim \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad m_c \sim \lambda^{3.3} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad m_u \sim \lambda^{7.5} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \\ m_b &\sim \lambda^{2.5} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad m_s \sim \lambda^{5.0} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad m_d \sim \lambda^{7.0} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \\ m_\tau &\sim \lambda^{3.0} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad m_\mu \sim \lambda^{4.9} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad m_e \sim \lambda^{8.4} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} , \\ V_{us} &\sim \lambda , \qquad V_{cb} \sim \lambda^2 , \qquad V_{ub} \sim \lambda^3 , \\ \tan \theta_{23}^{\nu} \sim 1 , \qquad \tan \theta_{12}^{\nu} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} , \qquad \sin \theta_{13}^{\nu} \sim \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} , \\ \text{where } v_{\rm SM} \simeq 246 \text{ GeV and } \lambda = \sin \theta_C \simeq 0.224 \end{split}$$

- Why three families?
- Why the three families interact so differently with the Higgs?
- What is the origin of very small neutrino masses, and why the PMNS mixing is so different from the CKM mixing?
 ⇒ A theory of flavour is needed!

Tri-hypercharge in a nutshell

 $\frac{SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{Y_1} \times U(1)_{Y_2} \times U(1)_{Y_3}}{\rightarrow SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2+Y_3}}$

Field	$SU(3)_c$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_{Y_1}$	$U(1)_{Y_2}$	$U(1)_{Y_3}$
Q_1	3	2	1/6	0	0
u_1^c	3	1	-2/3	0	0
d_1^c	3	1	1/3	0	0
L_1	1	2	-1/2	0	0
e_1^c	1	1	1	0	0
Q_2	3	2	0	1/6	0
u_2^c	3	1	0	-2/3	0
d_2^c	3	1	0	1/3	0
L_2	1	2	0	-1/2	0
e_2^c	1	1	0	1	0
Q_3	3	2	0	0	1/6
u ₃ c	3	1	0	0	-2/3
d_3^c	3	1	0	0	1/3
L_3	1	2	0	0	-1/2
e_3^c	1	1	0	0	1
Н	1	2	0	0	-1/2

Tri-hypercharge in a nutshell

 $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{Y_1} \times U(1)_{Y_2} \times U(1)_{Y_3}$

 \rightarrow SU(3)_c × SU(2)_L × U(1)_{Y1+Y2+Y3}

Field	$SU(3)_c$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_{Y_1}$	$U(1)_{Y_2}$	$U(1)_{Y_3}$		Field	$SU(3)_c$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2+Y_3}$
Q_1	3	2	1/6	0	0		Q_1	3	2	1/6
u_1^c	Ī	1	-2/3	0	0		u_1^c	Ī	1	-2/3
d_1^c	Ī	1	1/3	0	0		d_1^c	Ī	1	1/3
L_1	1	2	-1/2	0	0		L_1	1	2	-1/2
e_1^c	1	1	1	0	0		e_1^c	1	1	1
Q_2	3	2	0	1/6	0		Q_2	3	2	1/6
u_2^c	Ī	1	0	-2/3	0		u_2^c	Ī	1	-2/3
d_2^c	Ī	1	0	1/3	0		d_2^c	Ī	1	1/3
L_2	1	2	0	-1/2	0		L_2	1	2	-1/2
e_2^c	1	1	0	1	0	_	e_2^c	1	1	1
Q_3	3	2	0	0	1/6		Q_3	3	2	1/6
u ₃ c	3	1	0	0	-2/3		uзc	3	1	-2/3
d_3^c	Ī	1	0	0	1/3		d_3^c	Ī	1	1/3
L ₃	1	2	0	0	-1/2		L ₃	1	2	-1/2
e ₃ ^c	1	1	0	0	1	_	e ₃ ^c	1	1	1
Н	1	2	0	0	-1/2	-	Н	1	2	-1/2

• "Why three families?" Gauge anomalies cancel separately for each family, as in the SM, but without family replication.

- "Why three families?" Gauge anomalies cancel separately for each family, as in the SM, but without family replication.
- If $H(1, 2)_{(0,0,-1/2)}$, then only third family Yukawa couplings are allowed at renormalisable level (two doublets H_u , H_d explain $m_{b,\tau}/m_t$ hierarchy if large tan β).

- "Why three families?" Gauge anomalies cancel separately for each family, as in the SM, but without family replication.
- If $H(1, 2)_{(0,0,-1/2)}$, then only third family Yukawa couplings are allowed at renormalisable level (two doublets H_u , H_d explain $m_{b,\tau}/m_t$ hierarchy if large tan β).
- Light charged fermion masses and CKM mixing are naturally small because they arise from non-renormalisable operators (involving the scalar SM singlets breaking $U(1)_Y^3$ down to SM hypercharge).

- "Why three families?" Gauge anomalies cancel separately for each family, as in the SM, but without family replication.
- If $H(1, 2)_{(0,0,-1/2)}$, then only third family Yukawa couplings are allowed at renormalisable level (two doublets H_u , H_d explain $m_{b,\tau}/m_t$ hierarchy if large tan β).
- Light charged fermion masses and CKM mixing are naturally small because they arise from non-renormalisable operators (involving the scalar SM singlets breaking $U(1)_Y^3$ down to SM hypercharge).
- Very interesting and non-standard neutrino sector; very rich phenomenology if NP scales are low.

Mario Fernández Navarro

Minimal set of scalars and work in EFT framework (O(1) coefficients implicit)

$$\phi_{\ell 23}^{(0,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}, \qquad \phi_{q23}^{(0,-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6})}, \qquad \phi_{q12}^{(-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},0)}$$

Minimal set of scalars and work in EFT framework (O(1) coefficients implicit)

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\ell 23}^{\left(0,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & \phi_{q23}^{\left(0,-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6}\right)}, & \phi_{q12}^{\left(-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},0\right)}.\\ \mathcal{L} &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^3 \phi_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^4 & \phi_{q12} \phi_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^2 & \phi_{q12} \phi_{q23} / \Lambda^2 \\ \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^4 \phi_{\ell 23} \tilde{\phi}_{q23} / \Lambda^5 & \phi_{\ell 23} / \Lambda & \phi_{q23} / \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^c \\ u_2^c \\ u_3^c \end{pmatrix} H_u \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{q12}^3 \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^4 & \phi_{q12} \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^2 & \phi_{q12} \phi_{q23} / \Lambda^2 \\ \phi_{q12}^2 \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^3 & \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda & \phi_{q23} / \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1^c \\ d_2^c \\ d_3^c \end{pmatrix} H_d \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_2 & L_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{q12}^3 \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^4 & \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^3 \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^4 & \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^3 \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^4 \\ \phi_{q12}^6 \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} / \Lambda^8 & \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}^2 / \Lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1^c \\ e_2^c \\ e_3^c \end{pmatrix} H_d \end{split}$$

Naive power counting in the EFT already motivates natural mass hierarchies.

Minimal set of scalars and work in EFT framework (O(1) coefficients implicit)

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\ell 23}^{\left(0,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & \phi_{q23}^{\left(0,-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6}\right)}, & \phi_{q12}^{\left(-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},0\right)}.\\ \mathcal{L} &= \left(Q_{1} \quad Q_{2} \quad Q_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^{3}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{4} & \phi_{q12}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{2} & \phi_{q12}\phi_{q23}/\Lambda^{2} \\ \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^{4}\phi_{\ell 23}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{5} & \phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda & \phi_{q23}/\Lambda \\ \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^{4}\phi_{\ell 23}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{6} & \phi_{\ell 23}\phi_{q23}/\Lambda^{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}^{c} \\ u_{2}^{c} \\ u_{3}^{c} \end{pmatrix} H_{u} \\ &+ \left(Q_{1} \quad Q_{2} \quad Q_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{q12}^{3}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{4} & \phi_{q12}\tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{2} & \phi_{q12}\phi_{q23}/\Lambda^{2} \\ \phi_{q12}^{2}\phi_{q23}^{2}/\Lambda^{4} & \phi_{q23}^{2}/\Lambda^{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{c} \\ d_{2}^{c} \\ d_{3}^{c} \end{pmatrix} H_{d} \\ &+ \left(L_{1} \quad L_{2} \quad L_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{q12}^{3}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{4} & \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^{3}\tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{4} & \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^{3}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^{4} \\ \phi_{q12}^{6}\phi_{\ell 23}^{2}/\Lambda^{8} & \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}^{2}/\Lambda^{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1}^{c} \\ e_{2}^{c} \\ e_{3}^{c} \end{pmatrix} H_{d} \\ & \phi_{q12}^{6}\phi_{\ell 23}^{2}/\Lambda^{8} & \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}^{2}/\Lambda^{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1}^{c} \\ e_{2}^{c} \\ e_{3}^{c} \end{pmatrix} H_{d} \end{split}$$

Naive power counting in the EFT already motivates natural mass hierarchies.

- $\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle$ and $\langle \phi_{q 23} \rangle$ explain m_2/m_3 and small CKM mixing
- $\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle$ crucial to explain m_1/m_2 and Cabibbo angle.

$$\frac{\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{m_c}{m_t} \simeq \lambda^3 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{cb} \simeq \lambda^2 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{us} \simeq \lambda,$$

Minimal set of scalars and work in EFT framework (O(1) coefficients implicit)

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\ell 23}^{\left(0,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & \phi_{q23}^{\left(0,-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6}\right)}, & \phi_{q12}^{\left(-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},0\right)}.\\ \mathcal{L} &= \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^{3}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^4 & \phi_{q12}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^2 & \phi_{q12}\phi_{q23}/\Lambda^2 \\ \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^4\phi_{\ell 23}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^5 & \phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda & \phi_{q23}/\Lambda \\ \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^4\phi_{\ell 23}\phi_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^6 & \phi_{\ell 23}\phi_{q23}/\Lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^c \\ u_2^c \\ u_3^c \end{pmatrix} H_u \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{q12}^3\tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^4 & \phi_{q12}\tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^2 & \phi_{q12}\phi_{q23}/\Lambda^2 \\ \phi_{q12}^2\phi_{q23}^2/\Lambda^3 & \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^2 & \phi_{q23}/\Lambda \\ \phi_{q12}^2\phi_{q23}^2/\Lambda^4 & \phi_{q23}^2/\Lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1^c \\ d_2^c \\ d_3^c \end{pmatrix} H_d \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_2 & L_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{q12}^3\tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^4 & \tilde{\phi}_{q12}^3\tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}/\Lambda^4 & \phi_{q23}^2/\Lambda \\ \phi_{q12}^6\tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}^2/\Lambda^8 & \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23}^2/\Lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1^c \\ e_2^c \\ e_3^c \end{pmatrix} H_d \end{split}$$

Naive power counting in the EFT already motivates natural mass hierarchies.

- $\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle$ and $\langle \phi_{q 23} \rangle$ explain m_2/m_3 and small CKM mixing
- $\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle$ crucial to explain m_1/m_2 and Cabibbo angle.

$$rac{\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim rac{m_c}{m_t} \simeq \lambda^3 \,, \qquad rac{\langle \phi_{q23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{cb} \simeq \lambda^2 \,, \qquad rac{\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{us} \simeq \lambda,$$

• 2HDM helps with $m_{b,\tau}/m_t$ and $m_{s,\mu}/m_c$ if large tan β .

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle}{\Lambda} &\sim \frac{m_c}{m_t} \simeq \lambda^3 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{cb} \simeq \lambda^2 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{us} \simeq \lambda \,, \qquad E \\ \mathcal{L} &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^7 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^9 & \lambda^5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^c \\ u_2^c \\ u_3^c \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} &\qquad 50 \\ {\rm TeV} & U12 \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & d_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^5 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1^c \\ d_2^c \\ d_3^c \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} &\qquad 51 \\ U(2)^5 \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 \\ \lambda^9 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^{12} & \lambda^6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1^c \\ e_2^c \\ e_3^c \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,. \qquad 51 \\ U(2)^5 \\ U(2)^5 \\ U(3)^5 \end{split}$$

• Largest VEV is $\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle \approx v_{12}$ triggering $U(1)_{Y_1} \times U(1)_{Y_2} \xrightarrow{v_{12}} U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2}$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle}{\Lambda} &\sim \frac{m_c}{m_t} \simeq \lambda^3 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{cb} \simeq \lambda^2 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{us} \simeq \lambda \,, \qquad E \\ \mathcal{L} &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^7 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^9 & \lambda^5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^c \\ u_2^c \\ u_3^c \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} &\qquad 50 \\ {\rm TeV} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & d_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^5 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1^c \\ d_2^c \\ d_3^c \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} &\qquad 51 \\ U(2)^5 \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 \\ \lambda^9 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^{12} & \lambda^6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1^c \\ e_2^c \\ e_3^c \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,. \qquad 51 \\ V_23 \\ V_23 \\ V_24 \\ V_25 \\ V_24 \\ V_25 \\ V_25$$

• Largest VEV is $\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle \approx v_{12}$ triggering $U(1)_{Y_1} \times U(1)_{Y_2} \xrightarrow{v_{12}} U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2}$

• Both 23-breaking VEVs trigger $U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2} \times U(1)_{Y_3} \xrightarrow{v_{23}} U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2+Y_3}$ at the scale v_{23} , mild hierarchy $v_{23}/v_{12} \approx \lambda$.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle}{\Lambda} &\sim \frac{m_c}{m_t} \simeq \lambda^3 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{cb} \simeq \lambda^2 \,, \qquad \frac{\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle}{\Lambda} \sim V_{us} \simeq \lambda \,, \qquad E \\ \mathcal{L} &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^7 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^9 & \lambda^5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1^c \\ u_2^c \\ u_3^c \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} &\qquad 50 \\ {\rm TeV} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & d_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^5 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^6 & \lambda^4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1^c \\ d_2^c \\ d_3^c \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} &\qquad 51 \\ \mathcal{V} &= U(2)^5 \\ + \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 \\ \lambda^9 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^{12} & \lambda^6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1^c \\ e_2^c \\ e_3^c \end{pmatrix} \lambda^2 \frac{v_{\rm SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \,. \qquad 51 \\ \mathcal{V} &= U(2)^5 \\ \mathcal{V} &= U($$

• Largest VEV is $\langle \phi_{q12} \rangle \approx v_{12}$ triggering $U(1)_{Y_1} \times U(1)_{Y_2} \stackrel{v_{12}}{\rightarrow} U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2}$

- Both 23-breaking VEVs trigger $U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2} \times U(1)_{Y_3} \xrightarrow{v_{23}} U(1)_{Y_1+Y_2+Y_3}$ at the scale v_{23} , mild hierarchy $v_{23}/v_{12} \approx \lambda$.
- Natural explanation of fermion mass hierarchies and CKM mixing!
- Spurion analysis, texture zeros and more models in the paper/poster!

Mario Fernández Navarro

Tri-hypercharge: a path to the origin of flavour

Neutrinos: Seesaw mechanism à la tri-hypercharge

Seesaw type I via full singlet neutrinos unnatural in the $U(1)_Y^3$ framework:

• e.g. introduce $U(1)^3_Y$ singlet $N(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{(0,0,0)}$

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_N \supset L_3 H_u N + m_N N N$

with L_2H_uN and L_1H_uN forbidden by $U(1)_Y^3!$ (they could originate from higher dimensional operators, but then neutrino mixing would be naturally small)

Neutrinos: Seesaw mechanism à la tri-hypercharge

Seesaw type I via full singlet neutrinos unnatural in the $U(1)_Y^3$ framework:

• e.g. introduce $U(1)^3_Y$ singlet $N(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{(0,0,0)}$

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_N \supset L_3 H_u N + m_N N N$

with L_2H_uN and L_1H_uN forbidden by $U(1)_Y^3!$ (they could originate from higher dimensional operators, but then neutrino mixing would be naturally small)

 \Rightarrow unsuccessful PMNS mixing

Neutrinos: Seesaw mechanism à la tri-hypercharge

Seesaw type I via full singlet neutrinos unnatural in the $U(1)_Y^3$ framework:

• e.g. introduce $U(1)^3_Y$ singlet $N(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})_{(0,0,0)}$

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_N \supset L_3 H_u N + m_N N N$

with L_2H_uN and L_1H_uN forbidden by $U(1)_Y^3$! (they could originate from higher dimensional operators, but then neutrino mixing would be naturally small)

 \Rightarrow unsuccessful PMNS mixing

• Solution \Rightarrow Add RH neutrinos à la tri-hypercharge $N(1, 1)_{(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)}$ (with vanishing SM hypercharge $Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 = 0$).

• Consider adding
$$N_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})}$$
 and $\phi_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})}$, then (remember $\phi_{\ell 23}^{(0,1/2,-1/2)}$)

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{\rm atm}} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} (\phi_{\rm atm} L_2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\rm atm} L_3) H_u N_{\rm atm} + \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} N_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} ,$$

• Consider adding
$$N_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})}$$
 and $\phi_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})}$, then (remember $\phi_{\ell 23}^{(0,1/2,-1/2)}$)

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{\rm atm}} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} (\phi_{\rm atm} L_2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\rm atm} L_3) H_u N_{\rm atm} + \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} N_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} ,$$

• But gauge anomalies via $N_{\rm atm}^{(0,\frac{1}{4},-\frac{1}{4})} \Rightarrow {\rm add \ conjugate \ neutrino \ } \overline{N}_{\rm atm}^{(0,-1/4,1/4)}$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{N_{\mathrm{atm}}} = & \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} (\phi_{\mathrm{atm}} L_2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{atm}} L_3) H_u N_{\mathrm{atm}} + \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} L_3 H_u \overline{N}_{\mathrm{atm}} \\ &+ \phi_{\ell 23} N_{\mathrm{atm}} N_{\mathrm{atm}} + \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} \overline{N}_{\mathrm{atm}} \overline{N}_{\mathrm{atm}} + M_{N_{\mathrm{atm}}} \overline{N}_{\mathrm{atm}} N_{\mathrm{atm}} , \end{split}$$
Notice $L_3 H_u = (0, 0, 0).$

• Consider adding $N_{\text{atm}}^{(0,\frac{1}{4},-\frac{1}{4})}$ and $\phi_{\text{atm}}^{(0,\frac{1}{4},-\frac{1}{4})}$, then (remember $\phi_{\ell 23}^{(0,1/2,-1/2)}$)

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{\rm atm}} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} (\phi_{\rm atm} L_2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\rm atm} L_3) H_u N_{\rm atm} + \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} N_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} ,$$

• But gauge anomalies via $N_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})} \Rightarrow {
m add}$ conjugate neutrino $\overline{N}_{
m atm}^{(0,-1/4,1/4)}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{\rm atm}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} (\phi_{\rm atm} L_2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\rm atm} L_3) H_u N_{\rm atm} + \frac{\phi_{\rm atm}}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} L_3 H_u \overline{N}_{\rm atm} + \phi_{\ell 23} N_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} + \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} \overline{N}_{\rm atm} \overline{N}_{\rm atm} + M_{N_{\rm atm}} \overline{N}_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} ,$$

Notice $L_3H_u = (0, 0, 0)$.

Add another RH neutrino and play the same game to obtain solar mixing.

• Consider adding
$$N_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})}$$
 and $\phi_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})}$, then (remember $\phi_{\ell 23}^{(0,1/2,-1/2)}$)

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{\rm atm}} \supset \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} (\phi_{\rm atm} L_2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\rm atm} L_3) H_u N_{\rm atm} + \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} N_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} ,$$

• But gauge anomalies via $N_{
m atm}^{(0,rac{1}{4},-rac{1}{4})} \Rightarrow {
m add}$ conjugate neutrino $\overline{N}_{
m atm}^{(0,-1/4,1/4)}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{\rm atm}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} (\phi_{\rm atm} L_2 + \tilde{\phi}_{\rm atm} L_3) H_u N_{\rm atm} + \frac{\phi_{\rm atm}}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} L_3 H_u \overline{N}_{\rm atm} + \phi_{\ell 23} N_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} + \tilde{\phi}_{\ell 23} \overline{N}_{\rm atm} \overline{N}_{\rm atm} + M_{N_{\rm atm}} \overline{N}_{\rm atm} N_{\rm atm} ,$$

Notice $L_3H_u = (0, 0, 0)$.

- Add another RH neutrino and play the same game to obtain solar mixing.
- Successful seesaw only if $M_{\rm VL} \leq \langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle \Rightarrow \text{RH}$ neutrinos at scale $\langle \phi_{\ell 23} \rangle$, which can be as low as TeV!

$$m_{
u} \simeq \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & \lambda \ 1 & 1 & 1 \ \lambda & 1 & 1 \end{array}
ight) v_{23} rac{v_{\mathrm{SM}}^2}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}^2} \, .$$

Phenomenology: Z'_{23}



Very rich phenomenology if NP scales are low

- Flavour observables: meson-antimeson mixing, LFV, B-physics...
- LHC dilepton searches $pp
 ightarrow Z'_{23}
 ightarrow e^+e^-, \, \mu^+\mu^-$
- Inevitable $Z Z'_{23}$ mixing \Rightarrow breaking of custodial symmetry \Rightarrow EWPOs

• Tri-hypercharge gauge group might be the first step towards understanding the origin of three flavours, the hierarchical charged fermion masses and CKM mixing.

- Tri-hypercharge gauge group might be the first step towards understanding the origin of three flavours, the hierarchical charged fermion masses and CKM mixing.
- If seesaw mechanism is implemented via adding RH neutrinos, the $U(1)_Y^3$ setup leads to a low scale seesaw where RH neutrinos might be as light as a few TeV.

- Tri-hypercharge gauge group might be the first step towards understanding the origin of three flavours, the hierarchical charged fermion masses and CKM mixing.
- If seesaw mechanism is implemented via adding RH neutrinos, the $U(1)_Y^3$ setup leads to a low scale seesaw where RH neutrinos might be as light as a few TeV.
- Rich phenomenology via Z' bosons if NP scales are low: from flavour-violating observables to LHC physics and EW precision physics.

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times \frac{U(1)_{Y_1}}{V(1)_{Y_2}} \times \frac{U(1)_{Y_3}}{V(1)_{Y_3}}$$

Several questions still open:

- Gauge unification?
- Phase transitions in the early Universe? (multi-peaked GW?)
- Family decomposition of the remaining gauge symmetry: $SU(3)_c^3$ and $SU(2)_L^3$?
- Why is the Higgs a third family particle? (is there a symmetry relating the three families?)

Take home messages

If you are interested on the origin of flavour, come to my poster!

Tri-hypercharge: a path to the origin of flavour

Mario Fernández Navarro¹ and Stephen F. King



arXiv: 2305.07690

¹H.F. Bavarroßsoton.ac.uk **Flavour puzzle** $m_{\nu} \sim \frac{v_{2n1}}{2}$, $m_{\nu} \sim \lambda^{1/2} \frac{v_{2n2}}{2}$, $m_{\nu} \sim \lambda^{1/2} \frac{v_{2n2}}{2}$, $V_{\nu} \sim \lambda$, $\tan \theta_{\nu} \sim 1$.

Flavour sector	can be	narameterised	in	terms of	λ	_	0.225 as	

Hunting Invisibles: Dark sectors, Dark matter and Neutrinos

HIDDe

$\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$			
$m_b \sim \lambda^{2.5} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}}$,	$m_s \sim \lambda^{5.0} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}}$,	$m_d \sim \lambda^{7.0} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}}$,	$V_{cb}\sim\lambda^2,$	$\tan \theta_{12}^{\nu} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$,	
$m_\tau \sim \lambda^{3.0} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}}$,	$m_\mu \sim \lambda^{4.9} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}}$,	$m_e \sim \lambda^{8.4} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}}$,	$V_{ab}\sim\lambda^3,$	$\sin \theta_{13}^{\nu} \sim \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}$.	

Why three families? Why the three families interact so differently with the Higgs? What is the origin of very small neutrino masses, and why the PMNS mixing is so different from the CKM mixing?



Neutrino masses and mixing

U(2)⁵ also in the neutrino sector ⇒ naive expectation is a heavier active neutrino with tiny mixing.
 Solution ⇒ Add SM singlet neutrinos which carry U(1)²₂ charges (with vanishing SM hypercharge).

If the singlet neutrinos carry $U(1)^3_V$ charges, gauge anomalies are an issue \Rightarrow vector-like neutrinos

$$\begin{split} & \chi^{(ab,-b)}_{ab}, \quad \overline{\chi}^{(ab,-b)}_{ab}, \quad \phi^{(ab,-b)}_{ab}, \quad \phi^{(ab,-b)}_{ab} \\ & \mathcal{L}_{N_{abs}} \supset \frac{1}{A_{abs}} (\phi_{abs} L_2 + \bar{\phi}_{abs} L_2) H_n N_{abs} + \frac{\phi_{abs}}{A_{abs}} L_2 H_n \overline{N}_{abs} \\ & + \phi_{CD} N_{abs} N_{abs} + \phi_{CD} \overline{N}_{abs} \overline{N}_{abs} M_{N_{c}} \overline{N}_{abs} N_{abs} \\ & N_{abs}^{(b,-b)}, \quad \overline{M}_{abs}^{(b,-b)} = \phi_{abs}^{(b,-b)}, \quad \phi^{(b,-b)}_{abs} = \phi_{abs}^{(b,-b)}, \quad \phi^{(b,-b)}_{abs} N_{abs} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{N_{\text{tot}}} \supset & \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{tot}}} (\phi_{e12}L_1 + \tilde{\phi}_{e12}L_2 + \phi_{e13}L_3) H_u N_{\text{tot}} + \frac{\phi_{e13}}{\Lambda_{\text{tot}}} L_3 H_u \overline{N}_{\text{tot}} \\ & + \phi_{ad} N_{ad} N_{ad} + \tilde{\phi}_{ad} \overline{N}_{ad} \overline{N}_{ad} + M_{N_{ad}} \overline{N}_{ad} N_{ad} , \end{split}$$

Assume $M_{N_{\rm and}} \approx M_{N_{\rm and}} \equiv M_{\rm VL}$ for simplicity, $\langle \phi_{e12} \rangle \approx \mathcal{O}(v_{12})$ and the rest $\langle \phi \rangle \approx \mathcal{O}(v_{23})$, apply seesaw formula

$$m_{\nu} = m_D M_N^{-1} m_D^T = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} M_{VL} + \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} v_{21} \frac{1}{v_{21}^2 - M_{VL}^2}$$

 $\Rightarrow M_{\rm VL} \lesssim v_{23}$ required to describe PMNS mixing (singlet neutrinos at low scale v_{23} !), then

$$m_{\nu} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} v_{23}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_{23} \frac{H_u H_u}{\Lambda_{atm}^2} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} v_{23} \frac{H_u H_u}{\lambda^2 \Lambda_{ad}^2}.$$

Mild hierarchy $v_{23}/v_{12} \approx \lambda$ has introduced a small hierarchy in m_{ν} . If $\Lambda_{\rm stm}/\Lambda_{\rm sol} \simeq \lambda$ then

The speaker acknowledges support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 860881-HIDDeN.



Getting ready to apply the seesaw formula (neglecting $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients)

$$\begin{split} m_{D_L} &= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{N}_{\rm sol} & \overline{N}_{\rm atm} \\ L_1 & 0 & 0 \\ L_2 & 0 & 0 \\ L_3 & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\rm sol}} & \frac{\phi_{\rm atm}}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} \end{pmatrix} H_u, \qquad m_{D_R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{N_{\rm sol} & N_{\rm atm}}{L_1 & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\rm sol}} & 0 \\ L_2 & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\rm sol}} & \frac{\phi_{\rm atm}}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} \\ L_3 & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\rm sol}} & \frac{\phi_{\rm atm}}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} \end{pmatrix} H_u, \\ M_L &= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{N}_{\rm sol} & \overline{N}_{\rm atm} \\ \overline{N}_{\rm sol} & 0 & \overline{\phi}_{\ell 23} \end{pmatrix} \approx \nu_{23} \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}, \quad M_R \approx \begin{pmatrix} N_{\rm sol} & N_{\rm atm} \\ N_{\rm sol} & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} & \frac{\phi_{\rm atm}}{\Lambda_{\rm atm}} \end{pmatrix} \approx \nu_{23} \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}, \\ M_{LR} &= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{N}_{\rm sol} & \overline{N}_{\rm sol} & N_{\rm atm} \\ \overline{N}_{\rm sol} & 0 & \frac{\phi_{\ell 23}}{\Lambda_{\rm sol}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \approx M_{\rm VL} \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}, \end{split}$$

Backup: Neutrinos

Full neutrino mass matrix (remember $M_L \approx M_R \approx v_{23} \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$, $M_{LR} \approx M_{VL} \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$)

$$\begin{split} M_{\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{\nu & \overline{N} & N}{0 & m_{D_L} & m_{D_R}} \\ \overline{N} & m_{D_L}^{\mathrm{T}} & M_L & M_{LR} \\ N & m_{D_R}^{\mathrm{T}} & M_{LR}^{\mathrm{T}} & M_R \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} & 0 & m_D \\ m_D^{\mathrm{T}} & M_N \end{pmatrix} \, . \\ m_{D_L} &= \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{\overline{N}_{\mathrm{sol}} & \overline{N}_{\mathrm{atm}}}{0 & 0} \\ L_2 & 0 & 0 \\ L_3 & \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \end{pmatrix} H_{\nu} \, , \quad m_{D_R} = \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{N_{\mathrm{sol}} & N_{\mathrm{atm}}}{0 & 0} \\ L_2 & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \\ L_3 & \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \end{pmatrix} H_{\nu} \, , \quad m_{D_R} = \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{N_{\mathrm{sol}} & N_{\mathrm{atm}}}{0 & 0} \\ L_2 & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \\ L_3 & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \end{pmatrix} H_{\nu} \, , \quad m_{D_R} = \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{N_{\mathrm{sol}} & N_{\mathrm{atm}}}{0 & 0} \\ L_2 & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \\ L_3 & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \end{pmatrix} H_{\nu} \, ,$$

Backup: Neutrinos

Full neutrino mass matrix (remember $M_L \approx M_R \approx v_{23} \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$, $M_{LR} \approx M_{VL} \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$)

$$M_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{\nu & \overline{N} & N}{0 & m_{D_{L}} & m_{D_{R}}} \\ \overline{N} & m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} & M_{L} & M_{LR} \\ N & m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} & M_{LR}^{\mathrm{T}} & M_{R} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} & 0 & m_{D} \\ m_{D}^{\mathrm{T}} & M_{N} \end{pmatrix} .$$
$$m_{D_{L}} = \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{\overline{N}_{\mathrm{sol}} & \overline{N}_{\mathrm{atm}}}{0 & 0} \\ L_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ L_{3} & \frac{\overline{\phi}_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \end{pmatrix} H_{u} , \qquad m_{D_{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} & \frac{N_{\mathrm{sol}} & N_{\mathrm{atm}}}{0 & 0} \\ L_{2} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \\ L_{3} & \frac{\phi_{\nu 13}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}} & \frac{\phi_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}} \end{pmatrix} H_{u} ,$$

Provided that $m_D \ll M_N$, we can apply the seesaw formula

$$\begin{split} m_{\nu} &= m_{D} M_{N}^{-1} m_{D}^{\mathrm{T}} = (m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{R}}) \begin{pmatrix} v_{23} & -M_{\mathrm{VL}} \\ -M_{\mathrm{VL}} & v_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \\ &= \left[m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} - m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} - m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} + m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} \right] \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \end{split}$$

Provided that $m_D \ll M_N$, we can apply the seesaw formula

$$\begin{split} m_{\nu} &= m_{D} M_{N}^{-1} m_{D}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}} & m_{D_{R}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{23} & -M_{\mathrm{VL}} \\ -M_{\mathrm{VL}} & v_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} - m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} - m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} + m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \end{split}$$

• Contributions proportional to $M_{
m VL}$ do not provide a successful $m_{
u}$

$$m_{D_L}m_{D_R}^{\mathrm{T}}M_{\mathrm{VL}} + m_{D_R}m_{D_L}^{\mathrm{T}}M_{\mathrm{VL}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}M_{\mathrm{VL}},$$
$$m_{D_R}m_{D_R}^{\mathrm{T}}v_{23} + m_{D_L}m_{D_L}^{\mathrm{T}}v_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}v_{23}.$$

Provided that $m_D \ll M_N$, we can apply the seesaw formula

$$\begin{split} m_{\nu} &= m_{D} M_{N}^{-1} m_{D}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}} & m_{D_{R}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{23} & -M_{\mathrm{VL}} \\ -M_{\mathrm{VL}} & v_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} - m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} - m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} + m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \end{split}$$

• Contributions proportional to $M_{
m VL}$ do not provide a successful $m_{
u}$

$$m_{D_L}m_{D_R}^{\mathrm{T}}M_{\mathrm{VL}} + m_{D_R}m_{D_L}^{\mathrm{T}}M_{\mathrm{VL}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}M_{\mathrm{VL}}$$

$$m_{D_R}m_{D_R}^{\mathrm{T}}v_{23} + m_{D_L}m_{D_L}^{\mathrm{T}}v_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix} v_{23}.$$

 $M_{\rm VL} \lesssim v_{23}$ required to describe PMNS mixing \Rightarrow SM singlet neutrinos with mass at the lower scale v_{23}

Provided that $m_D \ll M_N$, we can apply the seesaw formula

$$\begin{split} m_{\nu} &= m_{D} M_{N}^{-1} m_{D}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}} & m_{D_{R}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{23} & -M_{\mathrm{VL}} \\ -M_{\mathrm{VL}} & v_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} - m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} - m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} + m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \end{split}$$

 M_{VL} ≤ v₂₃ required to describe oscillation data. For simplicity we consider M_{VL} ≪ v₂₃ (and v₂₃/v₁₂ ≈ λ obtained in the charged fermion sector) and obtain (neglecting O(1) coefficients)

$$m_{\nu} \approx m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_{23} \frac{H_{u} H_{u}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}^{2}} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda^{2} \end{pmatrix} v_{23} \frac{H_{u} H_{u}}{\lambda^{2} \Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}^{2}}$$

Provided that $m_D \ll M_N$, we can apply the seesaw formula

$$\begin{split} m_{\nu} &= m_{D} M_{N}^{-1} m_{D}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}} & m_{D_{R}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{23} & -M_{\mathrm{VL}} \\ -M_{\mathrm{VL}} & v_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} - m_{D_{L}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} - m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} M_{\mathrm{VL}} + m_{D_{R}} m_{D_{R}}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{v_{23}^{2} - M_{\mathrm{VL}}^{2}} \end{split}$$

• $M_{\rm VL} \leq v_{23}$ required to describe oscillation data. For simplicity we consider $M_{\rm VL} \ll v_{23}$ (and $v_{23}/v_{12} \approx \lambda$ obtained in the charged fermion sector) and obtain (neglecting $\mathcal{O}(1)$ coefficients)

$$m_{\nu} \approx m_{D_R} m_{D_R}^{\mathrm{T}} v_{23}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} v_{23} \frac{H_u H_u}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{atm}}^2} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} v_{23} \frac{H_u H_u}{\lambda^2 \Lambda_{\mathrm{sol}}^2}$$

• If $\Lambda_{\rm atm}/\Lambda_{\rm sol}\simeq\lambda$ then (remember $\langle H_u
angle=v_{\rm SM}/\sqrt{2}$)

$$m_{
u} \simeq \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & \lambda \ 1 & 1 & 1 \ \lambda & 1 & 1 \end{array}
ight) v_{23} rac{v_{
m SM}^2}{\Lambda_{
m atm}^2} \, ,$$

scales $\Lambda_{\rm atm} \approx 10^6 \,{\rm TeV}$ and $v_{23} \approx \mathcal{O}(1 \,{\rm TeV})$ provide enough suppression for $m_{\nu} \approx \mathcal{O}(0.05 \,{\rm eV})$. SM singlet neutrinos with mass at scale v_{23} .

Mario Fernández Navarro

Tri-hypercharge: a path to the origin of flavour

Model 2: RH mixing suppressed

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\ell 23}^{\left(0,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & \phi_{q23}^{\left(0,-\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6}\right)}, & \phi_{q13}^{\left(-\frac{1}{6},0,\frac{1}{6}\right)}, & \phi_{d12}^{\left(-\frac{1}{6},-\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2}\right)}, & \phi_{e12}^{\left(\frac{1}{4},-\frac{1}{4},0\right)}. \\ \mathcal{L} &= \left(Q_{1} \quad Q_{2} \quad Q_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e13} q_{q23} \phi_{\ell 23} & \phi_{q13} \tilde{\phi}_{q23} \phi_{\ell 23} & \phi_{q13} \\ \phi_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e13} q_{q23} \phi_{\ell 23} & \phi_{\ell 23} \tilde{\phi}_{q23} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}^{c} \\ u_{2}^{c} \\ u_{3}^{c} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{u} \\ &+ \left(Q_{1} \quad Q_{2} \quad Q_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e23} & \phi_{d12} & \phi_{q13} \\ \phi_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e23} & \phi_{d23} & \phi_{e23} \\ \phi_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e23} & \phi_{e23} & \phi_{e23} \\ \phi_{q13}^{2} \phi_{q23} & \phi_{q23}^{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{1}^{c} \\ d_{2}^{c} \\ d_{3}^{c} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{d} \\ &+ \left(L_{1} \quad L_{2} \quad L_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e23} & \phi_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e23} & \phi_{e12}^{2} \phi_{e23} \\ \phi_{e12}^{2} \tilde{\phi}_{e23}^{2} & \phi_{e23}^{2} & \phi_{e23}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1}^{c} \\ e_{2}^{c} \\ e_{2}^{c} \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{d} . \\ \frac{\langle \phi_{e23} \rangle}{\Lambda} &= \frac{\langle \phi_{q13} \rangle}{\Lambda} \simeq \lambda^{3} , \quad \frac{\langle \phi_{q23} \rangle}{\Lambda} \simeq \lambda^{2} , \quad \frac{\langle \phi_{d12} \rangle}{\Lambda} \simeq \lambda^{4} , \quad \frac{\langle \phi_{e12} \rangle}{\Lambda} \simeq \lambda . \\ \mathcal{L} &= \left(u_{1} \quad u_{2} \quad u_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{5} & \lambda^{8} & \lambda^{3} \\ \lambda^{10} & \lambda^{3} & \lambda^{2} \\ \lambda^{6} & \lambda^{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2}^{c} \\ u_{2}^{c} \\ u_{3}^{c} \end{pmatrix} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &+ \left(d_{1} \quad d_{2} \quad d_{3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{5} & \lambda^{5} & \lambda^{5} & \lambda^{5} \\ \lambda^{7} & \lambda^{3} & \lambda^{3} \\ \lambda^{10} & \lambda^{6} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1}^{c} \\ e_{2}^{c} \\ e_{2}^{c} \end{pmatrix} \lambda^{2} \frac{v_{SM}}{\sqrt{2}} . \end{split}$$